# A Coherent-State Approach to Two-dim ensional Electron M agnetism

J.P.G azeau<sup>x</sup>, P.Y.H siao<sup>xy</sup> and A.Jellal<sup>{z</sup>

April 14, 2024

<sup>x</sup> Laboratoire de Physique Theorique de la Matiere Condensee, Boite 7020
 Universite Paris 7 Denis Diderot, 2 Place Jussieu, 75251 Paris Cedex 05 France
 <sup>{</sup> Laboratory of High Energy Physics, Faculty of Sciences
 Ibn Battouta Street, P.O. Box 1014 Rabat-Morocco

#### A bstract

We study in this paper the possible occurrence of orbital magnetim for twodimensional electrons conned by a harmonic potential in various regimes oftem perature and magnetic eld. Standard coherent state families are used for calculating symbols of various involved observables like thermodynamical potential, magnetic moment, or spatial distribution of current. Their expressions are given in a closed form and the resulting Berezin-Lieb inequalities provide a straightforward way to study magnetism in various limit regimes. In particular, we predict a paramagnetic behaviour in the thermodynamical limit as well as in the quasiclassical limit under a weak eld. Eventually, we obtain an exact expression for the magnetic moment which yields a full description of the phase diagram of the magnetization.

PACS: 7520.-g: diagm agnetism, param agnetism, superparam agnetism, 75.30 Kz: m agnetic phase boundary, 71.10.Ca: E lectron gas, Ferm igas, 51.60: m agnetic phenom ena in gases

#### I Introduction

In a recent paper, Ishikawa and Fukuyama [1] describe the possible orbital magnetism for two-dimensional electrons conned by a harmonic potential in various regimes of tem – perature and magnetic eld. They a ord a quite large complement of information in regard to the previous paper [2] devoted to the same subject. A coording to the range of values assumed by the relative ratios between the three characteristic energy scales present in the model, namely the thermodynamical unit  $k_B T$ , the magnetic quantum

e-m ail: gazeau@ ccr.jussieu.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>e-m ail: hsiao@ ccr.jussieu.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>z</sup>e-mail: jellal@ (ictp.trieste.it, youpy.co.uk)

h!<sub>c</sub>, and the harm onic quantum h!<sub>0</sub>, they explain the existence of the di erent m agnetic regimes. As a matter of fact, they distinguish between the \M esoscopic Fluctuation" regime ( $k_B T \stackrel{<}{} h(\frac{1}{c}^2 + 4!_0^2 + !_c)=2$ ), the \Landau D iam agnetism " regime ( $k_B T \stackrel{>}{} h(\frac{1}{c}^2 + 4!_0^2 + !_c)=2$ ), and the \de H aas-van A lphen" regime. Their studies rest upon the derivation of an approxim ate closed form ula for the therm odynam ical potential and from which they are able to get the m agneticm on ent. The isotropic two-dim ensional harm onic potential o ers us a complete analytical treatment of the problem whereas the orbital m agnetism is expected not to depend strongly on the shape of the con nem ent. The crucial point in our derivation is that the Ferm i-D irac function is a xed point of the Fourier transform. E xact series expansions ensue by sim ple application of the residue theorem as shown in the appendix. O ur results for the therm odynam ical potential, the orbital m agnetic m om ent, and the spatial distibution of currents are easily tractable and analyzable in com parison with those given in Ref.[1].

O ne can show that the H am iltonian under a perpendicular magnetic eld can be decomposed into the sum of two harmonic oscillators with frequencies  $!_+$  and ! respectively. This fact makes the present model completely integrable and also makes the use of the coherent states (CS) [3] possible. These coherent states are de ned as tensor products of two standard one-dimensional coherent states and read as: fjz\_d;  $z_g i = jz_d i j z_g i; z_d; z_g 2 Cg$ . This fam ily of states provides us with a resolution of the unity and in this way we are allowed to use the so-called symbol calculus a la Berezin-Lieb-Perelom ov  $a^{1}$ . Consequently, the Berezin-Lieb inequalities give us the opportunity to explore the behavior of the magnetization of our model in extrem e conditions like the therm odynam ical lim it or the quasi-classical lim it.

In the next section, we shall give a short review about the physical model. The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the H am iltonian will be obtained by algebraic and analytical m ethods respectively. In particular, we shall explain the sym m etries of the problem and their relevance in various approximations of the model. In Section III, the construction of the coherent states is presented and the main CS algebraic and functional properties are brie y recalled there. Section IV is devoted to the therm odynam ical potential . First we apply the B erezin-Lieb inequalities and study the magnetic m om ent in the di erent lim it regimes. We then give the exact expression of . The core of the paper lies in Section V, in which we establish exact expressions for the magnetic m om ent and the average number of electrons and discuss their behavior in di erent tem perature and magnetic eld regions. We show in Section V I how coherent sym bol calculus yields a Fourier integral expression for the radial distribution of the current. This integral can be also given as an expansion series with the aid of the residue theorem . In the conclusion we shall give some rem arks and com m ents on the possible extension of our approach to the other system s of physical interest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a)</sup> See [4] and Lieb in [5]. For a recent up-to-dated review on CS, specially on their group representation aspects we also refer to [6]. Notice that here the operator calculus in H ilbert space is replaced by the functional calculus involving CS parameters.

#### II Ham iltonian and Symmetries

The Ham iltonian for two-dimensional spinless electrons con ned by an isotropic harm onic potential and submitted to a constant perpendicular magnetic eld is written as

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \left(P + \frac{e}{c}A\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m !_0^2 R^2; \qquad (2.1)$$

where C oulom b interactions are neglected. This model is called the Fock-D arw in H am iltonian in the litterature [7]. The radius of the system,  $R_m$ , is classically de ned as

$$\frac{1}{2}m!_{0}^{2}R_{m}^{2} = ; \qquad (2.2)$$

where is the chem ical potential, and we shall give in Section IV a full quantum statistical mechanics interpretation of this relation. We shall work with the symmetric gauge  $A = \frac{1}{2}H$  R.We rst solve the eigenvalue and eigenvector problem s by using the underlying WeylH eisenberg symmetries, and we shall next consider eigenvectors in another system of coordinates by following a more classical analytical method.

#### A. Solutions through W eyl-H eisenberg sym m etries

The algebraic structure is easily displayed if we adopt the method of separation of Cartesian variables. It leads to the following form of the Hamiltonian:

$$H = \left(\frac{P_{x}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{8}m !^{2}X^{2}\right) + \left(\frac{P_{y}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{8}m !^{2}Y^{2}\right) + \frac{!_{c}}{2}L_{z} \qquad H_{0} + \frac{!_{c}}{2}L_{z}; \qquad (2.3)$$

where  $!_c = eB = mc$  is the cyclotron frequency,  $! = \frac{q}{!_c^2 + 4!_0^2}$ , and  $L_z = X P_y Y P_x$ . Here, we clearly see the splitting of the Ham iltonian into two independent harmonic oscillator Ham iltonians plus the angular momentum operator. Instead of directly using the oscillator annihilation operators:

$$a_{x} = \frac{1}{P_{\overline{2}}} \left( \frac{X}{l_{0}} + \frac{il_{0}}{h} P_{x} \right); \quad a_{y} = \frac{1}{P_{\overline{2}}} \left( \frac{Y}{l_{0}} + \frac{il_{0}}{h} P_{y} \right); \quad (2.4)$$

we work with two new ones, which are linear superposition of  $a_x$  and  $a_y$ :

$$a_{d} = \frac{1}{p-2} (a_{x} \quad ia_{y}); \quad a_{g} = \frac{1}{p-2} (a_{x} + ia_{y});$$
 (2.5)

where  $l_0 = \frac{q}{2h=m!}$ . Note that  $a_d$  and  $a_g$  are bosonic operators:  $[a_d; a_d^y] = I = [a_g; a_g^y]$ , and one has the useful identities:

$$X = \frac{l_0}{2} (a_d + a_d^y + a_g + a_g^y); Y = \frac{l_0}{2i} (a_d + a_d^y + a_g - a_g^y);$$
$$P_x = \frac{h}{2il_0} (a_d - a_d^y + a_g - a_g^y); P_y = \frac{h}{2l_0} (a_d + a_d^y - a_g - a_g^y): (2.6)$$

The operators H  $_0$  and L  $_z$  then can be simply expressed in terms of the number operators N  $_d = a_d^y a_d$  and N  $_g = a_g^y a_g$  as:

$$H_{0} = \frac{h!}{2} (N_{d} + N_{g} + 1); \quad L_{z} = h (N_{d} - N_{g}); \quad (2.7)$$

and so

$$H = \frac{h!}{2} (N_{d} + N_{g} + 1) + \frac{h!_{c}}{2} (N_{d} - N_{g}) = h (!_{+} N_{d} + !_{-} N_{g} + \frac{!_{-}}{2}); \qquad (2.8)$$

where  $! = (! !_c)=2$ . Eigenvalues are trivially found from the expression:

$$H jn_d; n_g i = E_{n_d n_g} jn_d; n_g i;$$
(2.9)

with  $E_{n_d n_g} = h(! + n_d + ! n_g + ! = 2)$  and where  $n_d$  and  $n_g$  are non-negative integers. The corresponding eigenvectors are tensor products of single Fock oscillator states:

$$jn_d; n_g i = jn_d i \quad jn_g i = \frac{1}{n_d \ln_g!} (a_d^y)^{n_d} (a_g^y)^{n_g} j0; 0i:$$
 (2.10)

## B. su (2) and su (1;1) sym m etries

Two distinct dynamical symmetries exist on the level of quadratic observables.

1. su (2) sym m etry

The rst one is of the type su (2) and is put into evidence by introducing the operators

$$S_{+} = a_{d}^{Y}a_{g}; S = a_{g}^{Y}a_{d}; S_{z} = \frac{N_{d} - N_{g}}{2} = \frac{L_{z}}{2h};$$
 (2.11)

The commutation relations read as:

$$[S_+;S_] = 2S_z; [S_z;S_] = S;$$
 (2.12)

and the invariant Casim ir operator is given by

$$C = \frac{1}{2} (S_{+} S_{+} S_{$$

Therefore, for a xed value =  $(n_d + n_g)=2$  of the operator  $(N_d + N_g)=2 = H_0 = (h!)$ 1=2, there exists a (2 + 1)-dimensional UIR of su (2) in which the operator  $S_z$  has its spectral values in the range  $\& = (p_l - n_g)=2$ .

2. su (1;1) sym m etry

The second one is of the type su (1;1):

$$T_{+} = a_{d}^{y}a_{g}^{y}; T = a_{g}a_{d}; T_{0} = \frac{1}{2}(N_{d} + N_{g} + 1) = \frac{H_{0}}{h!};$$
 (2.14)

with

$$[T_+;T] = 2T_0; [T_0;T] = T:$$
 (2.15)

The Casim ir operator then reads as:

$$D = \frac{1}{2} (T_{+} T + T T_{+}) \quad T_{0}^{2} = \frac{N_{d} N_{g}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\frac{N_{d} N_{g}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{4} (\frac{L_{z}^{2}}{h}^{2} - 1): (2.16)$$

When  $n_d = n_g$ , for a xed value =  $(n_d = n_g + 1)=2$  1=2 of the operator ( $N_d = N_g + 1$ )=2, there exists a U IR of su (1;1) in the discrete series, in which the operator  $T_0$  has its spectral values in the in nite range ; + 1; + 2; . A lternatively, when  $n_d = n_g$ , for a xed value # =  $(n_d + n_g + 1)=2$  1=2 of the operator  $(N_d + N_g + 1)=2$ , there also exists a U IR of su (1;1) in which the spectral value of the operator  $T_0$  runs in the in nite range #;# + 1;# + 2; .

#### C. Solutions through analytical derivation

The analytical solutions are obtained by separation of polar coordinates in the stationary Schrodinger equation

$$H (\mathbf{r}; ) = \left[ \frac{h^2}{2m} \left( \theta_r^2 + \frac{1}{r} \theta_r + \frac{1}{r^2} \theta^2 \right) - \frac{h}{2} \right]_c \left( \theta_r + \frac{m}{8} \right) \left[ \frac{2}{r^2} \right]_c (\mathbf{r}; ) = E (\mathbf{r}; ): \quad (2.17)$$

We consider (r; ) as an eigenfunction diagonal with respect to the conserved angular momentum and put  $(r; ) = R(r)e^{i}$ . The function R(r) is determined in terms of Laguerre polynomials. Explicitly we have

$$(\mathbf{r}; ) = _{n}; (\mathbf{r}; ) = (1)^{n} \frac{1}{p-1} \frac{1}{(n+j)!} \exp \left[\frac{r^{2}}{2l_{0}^{2}}\right] \left(\frac{r}{l_{0}}\right)^{j} L_{n}^{(j)} \left[\frac{r^{2}}{l_{0}^{2}}\right] e^{i} ; (2.18)$$

where n = 0;1;2; is the principal quantum number and = 0; 1; 2; the angular moment quantum number. The eigenenergies are obtained to be:

$$E_n = h! (n + \frac{j j + 1}{2}) + \frac{h!_c}{2};$$
 (2.19)

Therefore, n and are related to  $n_d$  and  $n_q$  by the following relations:

$$n_d = n + \frac{1}{2}(j j + ); and n_g = n + \frac{1}{2}(j j ):$$

We shall denote the eigenfunction indicemently by  $n_i$ ; (r; ) = hr; jn; i = hr; jn; n<sub>g</sub>i.

#### D. Filling the shells with ferm ions

Each of the above two symmetries a ords a way of ordering the pairs  $(n_d; n_g)$ . However, they do not provide any hint for ordering the energy eigenvalues  $E_{n_d n_g}$  with the exception of two limiting cases: weak eld one and strong eld one.

1.W eak eld case

Suppose the average num ber of electrons  $hN_{ei}$  obeys  $!_{c}hN_{ei} < < !_{0}$ . In term s of the su (2) sym m etry, the energy eigenvalues read as:

$$E_{n_d n_g} = h! + h!_c \& + \frac{1}{2}h!;$$
 (2.20)

and can be approxim ated by

$$E_{n_d n_g} = h!_0 (2 + 1) = E :$$
 (2.21)

Therefore, in the weak eld limit, the su (2) symmetry becomes a true symmetry of the H am iltonian, which explains the degeneracy of order 2 + 1 for the level E. Note that there are  $(_0 + 1)(2_0 + 1)$  (spinless) electrons which llthe shells up to  $_0$ .

2. Strong eld case

In the lim it of strong magnetic eld  $!_c$   $!_0$ , we have  $E_{n_d n_g}$   $h!_c (n_d + \frac{1}{2})$ . Therefore, for a given value of  $n_d$ , we have an in nite degeneracy labelled by  $n_g$  or by  $= n_d$   $n_g$   $n_d$ . The quantum number  $n_d$  corresponds to the Landau level index (as well as n for negative ). One can reinterpret it in terms of su (1;1) symmetry by noting that, for a given value of 0, the energy eigenstates are ladder states for the discrete series representation labelled by # = = 2 + 1 = 2.

# 3. G eneric interm ediate case

In the uncom m ensurate interm ediate case, which m eans  $!_+ = ! \ge Q$  and no approxim ation is relevant, we are faced to the problem of ordering the relatively dense (but not uniform ly discrete) set of eigenenergies:

$$E_{n_d n_g} \qquad \frac{E_{n_d n_g}}{h!} \qquad \frac{!}{2!} = \frac{!_+}{!} n_d + n_g: \qquad (2.22)$$

In the commensurate case,  $!_{+}=! = p=q 2 Q$ , degeneracy is possible:

$$E_{n_{d}n_{g}} = E_{n_{d}^{0}n_{g}^{0}} i \frac{p}{q} = \frac{n_{g}}{n_{d}} \frac{n_{g}^{0}}{n_{d}} i$$
(2.23)

## III Standard Coherent States

#### A. De nitions and Properties

The fact that the eigenvectors issued from the algebraic method are just tensor products of Fock harm onic oscillator eigenstates allow s one to construct the corresponding coherent states in a standard way:

$$jz_{d};z_{g}i \quad jz_{l}i \quad jz_{g}i = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(jz_{d}j^{2} + jz_{g}j^{2})\right]_{n_{d},n_{g}}^{X} \frac{p_{d}^{n_{d}}}{n_{d}!} \frac{z_{g}^{n_{g}}}{n_{g}!} jn_{d};n_{g}i$$
$$= \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(jz_{d}j^{2} + jz_{g}j^{2})\right]e^{z_{d}a_{d}^{y} + z_{g}a_{g}^{y}} j0;0i: \quad (3.1)$$

These (norm alized) coherent states obey som e of the usual CS properties  $[\beta]$ :

P<sub>1</sub> (Eigenvector property)

$$a_d jz_d; z_g i = z_d jz_d; z_g i; a_g jz_d; z_g i = z_g jz_d; z_g i:$$

P<sub>2</sub> (M in in al uncertainty relations)

X P 
$$_{x} = \frac{h}{2}$$
; Y P  $_{y} = \frac{h}{2}$ ;

where X  $[hz_d; z_g jX^2 jz_d; z_g i (hz_d; z_g jX jz_d; z_g i)^2]^{1=2}$ , etc.

P<sub>3</sub> (Tem poral stability)

$$e^{iHt=h}jz_d; z_gi = e^{i!t=2}je^{i(!+!_c)t=2}z_d; e^{i(!-!_c)t=2}z_gi:$$

P<sub>4</sub> (Action identity)

H 
$$(z_d; z_g)$$
 hz<sub>d</sub>;  $z_g$  jH j $z_d; z_g$  i = h[! + j $z_d$  j + ! j $z_g$  j +  $\frac{!}{2}$ ]: (3.2)

The function H  $(z_d; z_g)$  has been called lower (resp. contravariant) symbol of the operator H by Lieb [5] (resp. by Berezin [4]). It will plays an important role in the present context.

P<sub>5</sub> (Overlapping)

$$hz_{d}^{0}; z_{g}^{0} jz_{d}; z_{g}i = e^{i = (z_{d} z_{d}^{0} + z_{g} z_{g}^{0})} e^{-(jz_{d} - z_{d}^{0} j^{2} + jz_{g} - z_{g}^{0} j^{2}) = 2}:$$

 $P_6$  (Resolution of the unity)

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c^2}^{z} jz_d; z_g ihz_d; z_g j d^2 z_d d^2 z_g:$$

The last property is also crucial in our context. For any observable A with suitable operator properties (traceclass, ...) there exists a unique upper (or covariant) symbol  $\hat{A}(z_d;z_q)$  de ned by

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c^{2}}^{z} \hat{A}(z_{d};z_{g}) jz_{d};z_{g}ihz_{d};z_{g} jd^{2}z_{d}d^{2}z_{g}:$$
(3.3)

For instance, upper sym bols for num ber operators are given by

$$\hat{N}_{d}(z_{d};z_{g}) = \dot{z}_{d}\hat{f}$$
 1;  $\hat{N}_{g}(z_{d};z_{g}) = \dot{z}_{g}\hat{f}$  1; (3.4)

and hence the upper sym bol for our H am iltonian (2.8)

$$\hat{H}(z_{d};z_{g}) = h[!_{+}\dot{z}_{d}\dot{j} + !_{\dot{z}_{g}}\dot{j} - \frac{!}{2}]: \qquad (3.5)$$

Finally, we should mention the useful trace identity for a traceclass observable A:

$$TrA = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C^{2}}^{Z} A(z_{d}; z_{g}) d^{2}z_{d} d^{2}z_{g} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C^{2}}^{Z} A(z_{d}; z_{g}) d^{2}z_{d} d^{2}z_{g}; \qquad (3.6)$$

where  $A(z_d; z_g)$   $hz_d; z_g j A j z_d; z_g i$ 

#### B. Fock-Bargm an space

The coherent state function hr;  $j z_d; z_g i$  is, up to an exponential factor, the integral kernel for the isom etry m apping the H ibertian span  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  of the set of eigenfunctions (2.18) onto the so-called Fock-Bargm an space, i.e. the H ibert space

FB 
$$I_{entire}^{2} (C^{2}; \frac{1}{2}e^{-(jz_{d}j^{2}+jz_{g}j^{2})} d^{2}z_{d} d^{2}z_{g})$$
 (3.7)

of entire two complex variable functions  $f(z_d; z_g)$  that are square integrable with respect to the measure  $2e^{(j_dj_+^2+j_gj_-^2)}d^2z_dd^2z_g$ . This unitary mapping  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) = (z_d; z_g) + (z_d; z_g) +$ 

(r; ) = 
$$\frac{1}{Z^2} \Big|_{C^2} K(r; ; z_d; z_g) (z_d; z_g) e^{(jz_d j + jz_g j)} d^2 z_d d^2 z_g$$
 (3.8)

$$(z_d; z_g) = {R^2 K (r; ;z_i; z_g) (r; )rdrd : (3.9)}$$

The kernel is given by the following generating function:

$$K (\mathbf{r}; ; z_{d}; z_{g}) = e^{(\dot{z}_{d} \cdot \dot{j} + \dot{z}_{g} \cdot \dot{j}) = 2} h\mathbf{r}; \quad j z_{d}; z_{g} i = \sum_{n_{d}, n_{g}}^{X} \frac{p_{d}^{n_{d}}}{n_{d}!} \frac{z_{g}^{n_{g}}}{n_{g}!} n_{g}; \quad (\mathbf{r}; )$$

$$= \frac{1}{p - 1} e^{r^{2} = (2l_{0}^{2})} e^{(z_{d} \cdot e^{-1} \cdot r = l_{0})(z_{g} \cdot e^{-1} \cdot r = l_{0})}; \quad (3.10)$$

Note that norm alized eigenkets (2.18) are mapped to the corresponding norm alized eigenstates

$$\frac{z_{d}^{n_{d}}}{p_{d}!} \frac{q_{g}^{n_{g}}}{n_{d}!} = e^{(\dot{z}_{d} \hat{j} + \dot{j}_{g} \hat{j})=2} h z_{d}; z_{g} j n_{d}; n_{g} i$$
(3.11)

in the FB representation. Also note that in this representation the operators a and  $a^y$  have the simple form :

$$a_{d} = \frac{\varrho}{\varrho z_{d}}; a_{g}^{Y} = (m \text{ ultiplication by}) z_{d}; a_{g} = \frac{\varrho}{\varrho z_{g}}; a_{g}^{Y} = z_{g}:$$
(3.12)

#### IV Therm odynam ical potential

Let us now enter the core of the physical question we addressed in the introduction. We assume that the total number  $hN_ei$  of electrons is large enough form aking no appreciable di erence between a grand canonical ensemble and a canonical one. Then the magnetic moment M is given by

$$M = \frac{\theta}{\theta H}$$
; (4.1)

where is the therm odynam ical potential,

$$= \frac{1}{-} \operatorname{Trlog} (1 + e^{(H_{-})}); \qquad (4.2)$$

with  $= 1 = (k_B T)$ . The average number of electrons is given by:

$$hN_{e}i = \int_{n_{d}=0}^{x^{d}} f(E_{n_{d}n_{g}}) = Trf(H) = 0 ; \qquad (4.3)$$

where  $f(E) = 1 = (1 + e^{(E)})$  is the Ferm i distribution function. The magnetic moment can be yielded from eqs.(4.1) and (4.2) and reads as:

$$\frac{M}{B} = \frac{2}{!} Tr \frac{(N_{d} + 1=2)!_{+}}{(1 + e^{(H_{-})})}$$

$$= \frac{2}{!} \frac{X^{d}}{n_{d} = 0 n_{g} = 0} \frac{(n_{d} + 1=2)!_{+}}{(1 + 1e^{(H_{-})} + (n_{g} + 1=2)!_{+})}; \quad (4.4)$$

where  $= \exp((h!=2)) = (H;T)$ , and  $_{B} = he=(2mc)$  is the Bohr m agneton. D espite its concise appearance, the computation of the double series (4.4) is not easily tractable on a num erical level. Hence we will give the preliminary estimates before presenting much more exploitable exact expressions.

### A. Berezin-Lieb inequalities for the therm odynam ical potential

First we note that  $\log (1 + e^{(H^{-})})$  is a convex function of the positive H am iltonian H, and so we can apply the Berezin-Lieb inequalities to exam ine the quasi-classical behaviour of the therm odynam ical potential. These inequalities say that, for any convex function g(A) of the observable A, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{z}{C^2} g(A) d^2 z_d d^2 z_g \quad \text{Trg}(A) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{z}{C^2} g(A) d^2 z_d d^2 z_g: \quad (4.5)$$

Applying them to the (concave) therm odynam ical potential leads to the inequalities:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{C^{2}}^{Z} \log (1 + e^{-(\hat{H}^{-})}) d^{2}z_{d} d^{2}z_{g} \qquad \frac{1}{2} \int_{C^{2}}^{Z} \log (1 + e^{-(\hat{H}^{-})}) d^{2}z_{d} d^{2}z_{g};$$
(4.6)

Let us insert eqs.(3.2) and (3.5) and perform the angular integrations. It leads to the inequalities:

$$\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & Z_{1} & & \\ & du_{d} & du_{g} \log (1 + e^{-(h(!+u_{d} + !-u_{g} - \frac{1}{2}))}) ; \\ & \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{1} & & Z_{1} & \\ & du_{d} & du_{g} \log (1 + e^{-(h(!+u_{d} + !-u_{g} + \frac{1}{2}))}); \\ & (4.7) \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $u_d = \dot{z}_d \dot{f}$  and  $u_g = \dot{z}_g \dot{f}$ . Changing the integration variables, say  $u = h(!_+ u_d + !_u_g); v = h!_+ u_d$ , performing an integration by part, and introducing the control parameters de ned in (4.4), we easily reduce the above inequalities to the following ones:

where the function is given by:

$$() = \frac{2^{2} (h!_{0})^{2}}{2 (h!_{0})^{2}} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{u^{2}e^{u}}{1 + e^{u}} du$$

$$= \frac{4^{2} (h!_{0})^{2}}{(h!_{0})^{2}} \frac{F_{3}()}{6} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{\log e^{u}}{6} + F_{3}(1)^{1} \text{ for } 1;$$

$$= \frac{1}{(h!_{0})^{2}} \frac{(\log e^{u})^{3}}{6} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{\log e^{u}}{6} + F_{3}(1)^{1} \text{ for } 1:$$

$$(4.9)$$

We have introduced here the function Fs, of the Riem ann-Ferm i-Dirac type, de ned as:

$$F_{s}(z) = \frac{x^{4}}{m} \frac{z^{m}}{m^{s}} :$$
 (4.10)

In the high tem perature region  $j = h! = 2j k_B T$  we have 1. From (4.8) we see that the therm odynam ical potential is approximately equal to:

$$k_{\rm B} T = \frac{k_{\rm B} T}{h!_0}^{!_2} F_3 (1) = 0.901543 \text{kT} = \frac{k_{\rm B} T}{h!_0}^{!_2}$$

We now consider the more realistic case: h!=2 and  $k_B T$ . Let us split the function into three parts:

$$() = A - \frac{1}{2} + S;$$
 (4.11)

with

$$A = \frac{2}{2} \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{h!_{0}}^{2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{!}{!_{0}}^{2} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{0}}^{!_{2}^{3}};$$
  

$$\frac{2}{2} = \frac{h!}{2} \frac{4}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{h!_{0}}^{2} + \frac{1}{24} \frac{!}{!_{0}}^{2} + \frac{2}{6} \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{0}}^{!_{2}^{3}};$$
  

$$S = k_{B}T \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{0}}^{!_{2}} F_{3}(\exp[((h!=2)]); (4.12))$$

Then we see that lies in the interval  $[A + S_+ =2; A + S_+ =2]$ . Replacing S by the approximate expression

$$S_0 = k_B T \frac{k_B T}{h!_0} F_3 (e);$$
 (4.13)

and observing that the ratio

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{S}_{0}\mathbf{j}} = \frac{\mathbf{h}!}{4} \frac{6}{1 + 2 \frac{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{T}}{1 + 2 \frac{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf$$

tends to zero, we see that the therm odynam ical potential can be estim ated as:

$$A + \frac{S_0}{2} = \frac{4^2}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{10^2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{10^2} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{10^2} + \frac{1}$$

A similar asymptotic behaviour holds for the therm odynamical limit hN  $_{\rm e}$  i! 1. Indeed, in this quasiclassical regime, the average number of electrons is calculated to be:

$$hN_{e}i = \frac{(2 (A + S_{0}))}{h!_{0}^{2} (A + S_{0})^{2}} + \frac{1}{8} \frac{h!}{h!}^{2} + \frac{2}{6} \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{0}^{2}} + \frac{!_{0}}{!}^{2} \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{0}^{2}} F_{2}(e)^{5}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{h!_{0}^{2}} for \quad k_{B}T \text{ and } \quad h!=2: \qquad (4.16)$$

The magnetic moment hence reads as:

$$M = {}_{p}H; with {}_{p} = \frac{B}{h!_{0}}^{2}:$$
 (4.17)

Hence, we can assert that the system shows an orbital param agnetism in this limit. At this point, we refer to a recent work by C on bescure and R obert [8] in which precise informations are given for the magnetisation of electron gas constrained by general con nem ent potentials.

#### B. Exact expressions for the therm odynam ical potential

W e now determ ine the therm odynam ical potential in a precise way by applying the formulas (7.5) and (7.7) in the appendix. The function (k) de ned by (7.6) takes the following closed form:

$$(k) = Tr(e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}H}) = e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{4}h!} \frac{1}{1 e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}h!}} \frac{1}{1 e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}h!}}$$
(4.18)

The Fourier integral representation for the therm odynam ical potential hence reads as:

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}(\frac{h!}{2})}}{2\cosh\frac{1}{2}k}}{\frac{1}{ik+1}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{1 e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}h!}}} \frac{1}{1 e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}h!}} \frac{1}{1 e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}h!}} dk$$
(4.19)

As indicated in the formula (7.6), this Fourier integral is given as a series by using the residue theorem . One can easily see that the numbers (2m + 1)i, m 2 Z are simple poles of  $\sec h_{\frac{1}{2}}k$ , i is a double pole of (k), and i+ 4 m =  $(h!_{+})$ , i+ 4 m =  $(h!_{+})$ , m 2 Z are simple or double poles of (k) according to whether  $!_{+}$  and  $!_{-}$  are uncommensurable or not (see Fig.1). In order to full the requirements of the Jordan Lemma, one has to consider the following two cases: h!=2 and h!=2. In the rst case we take an integration path lying in the lower half-plane and involving only the simple poles (2m + 1)i, m < 0. It leads to the result:

$$= \frac{1}{4} \frac{x^{4}}{m=1} \frac{(1)^{m}}{m} \frac{e^{m}}{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}h! + m) \sinh(\frac{1}{2}h! m)};$$
(4.20)

which corresponds to the case 1 in Eq.(4.9). In the second case, an integration path in the upper half-plane is chosen. It encircles all the other poles: (2m + 1)i, m 0,

 $i + 4 m = (h!_+), i + 4 m = (h!_), m 2 Z_{as shown in Fig.1. We present the result in a manner which will render apparent the various regimes:$ 

$$= ( _{L} + _{01}) + _{Z_{x}} ) |_{-\{} + _{Z_{x}} ) |_{-\{} + _{X_{x}} |_{-\{} + _{X_{x}} ) |_{-\{} + _{X_{x}} |_{-\{} + _{$$

The 1st term is at the origin of the Landau diam agnetism :

$$_{\rm L} = \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{!_{\rm c}}{!_{\rm 0}} \right)^2 = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)_{\rm L} {\rm H}^2; \qquad (4.22)$$

where  $_{\rm L} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{_{\rm B}}{_{\rm h!_0}}^2 \frac{1}{3} D_0 \frac{2}{_{\rm B}}$  is the Landau diam agnetic susceptibility. The coe - cient  $D_0 = = (h!_0)^2$  can be interpreted as the density of states at Ferm i energy. Notice that the value of  $_{\rm L}$  is equal to one third of the \quasiclassical" one  $_{\rm p}$  obtained in (4.17), a feature which is rem iniscent of which we encounter in the studies of free 3D electron gas. The second term, which gives no contribution to the magnetization, is written as:

$${}_{01} = -\frac{2}{6} \frac{4}{h!_0} \frac{2}{h!_0} + \frac{2}{h!_0} \frac{k_B T}{h!_0} \frac{2}{12} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{5} :$$
 (4.23)

The third term is given as:

$$_{02} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{x^{\underline{k}}}{m} \frac{(1)^{\underline{m}}}{\underline{m}} \frac{\exp(\frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \underline{m})}{\sinh(\frac{h!}{2k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \underline{m}) \sinh(\frac{h!}{2k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \underline{m})} :$$
(4.24)

It becomes negligible at low temperature regime  $k_B\,T$  . The sum of  $_L$  and  $_{01}$  is analogue to the term A in Eq.(4.11) and  $_{02}$  corresponds to S . The last term is responsible for the oscillatory behaviour. We need to distinguish between irrational values of  $!_+ = !$  and rational ones:

case 
$$l = !$$
 2 Q,  

$$osc = \frac{1}{2} \frac{x^{d}}{m = 1} \frac{(1)^{m}}{m} 4 \frac{\sin(\frac{2}{h!} - m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{l} - m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!} - 2m)}$$

$$+ \frac{\sin(\frac{2}{h!} - m)}{\sin(\frac{2}{h!} - m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!} - 2m)} 5 \quad osc + \frac{1}{osc}; \quad (4.25)$$

case 
$$l = ! = p = q 2 Q; gcd(p;q) = 1; !_{+} = p = ! = q = 2l = (h) 2 R,$$
  
osc  $= \frac{1}{2} \frac{4}{4} \frac{x^{d}}{m = 1;m 6 0 m od q} \frac{(1)^{n}}{m} \frac{\sin(\frac{2}{h!} m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!} 2m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{l} m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!} 2m)}$   
 $+ \frac{x^{d}}{m = 1;m 6 0 m od p} \frac{(1)^{n}}{m} \frac{\sin(\frac{2}{h!} m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!} 2m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{l} m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!} 2m)}$ 
 $(4.26)$   
 $+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{x^{d}}{k} \frac{(1)^{(p+q)k}}{k \sinh(\frac{2}{h} k)} \frac{x}{k_{B}T} \cos(\frac{k}{k_{B}T}) ( \coth(\frac{2}{1}k) + \frac{1}{k}) \sin(\frac{k}{k_{B}T})^{\#\#}$ 

#### C. The therm odynam ical potential via sym bol calculus

Let us de net the therm odynamical potential operator as  $0 = \frac{1}{2} \log (1 + \exp [1 + \exp$ 

$$O = \frac{1}{2} \int_{C^{2}}^{Z} O(z_{d}; z_{g}) j z_{d}; z_{g} ih z_{d}; z_{g} j d^{2} z_{d} d^{2} z_{g};$$
  

$$O(z_{d}; z_{g}) = h z_{d}; z_{g} j O(j z_{d}; z_{g});$$
(4.27)

We then perform the angular integrations and take its trace. We get an integral representation of the therm odynam ical potential = TrO:

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1} Z_{1}} du_{d} du_{g} \hat{O}(u_{d}; u_{g}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1} Z_{1}} du_{d} du_{g} O(u_{d}; u_{g}): \quad (4.28)$$

The problem turns out to evaluate the upper and lower symbols. The lower one is shown here with the integral representation:

$$O(u_{d};u_{g}) = \frac{e^{(u_{d}+u_{g})}}{2} \sum_{1}^{Z+1} \frac{e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}(\frac{h!}{2})}}{(\cosh\frac{1}{2}k)(ik+1)} \exp u_{d}e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}h!} \exp u_{g}e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}h!} dk$$
(4.29)

## V A verage num ber of electrons and m agnetic m om ent

In this section, we will exploit the form ulas  $(4\ 20)-(4\ 26)$  to obtain the exact expressions of the average number of electrons and the magnetization. We will restrict ourselves to the more realistic case: h!=2.

The average number of electrons is easily derived by taking the derivative of with respect to . It is found to be:

$$\begin{split} hN_{e}i &= \frac{1}{24} \frac{!_{c}}{!_{0}}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}^{2} \frac{1}{h!_{0}}^{2} + \frac{2}{3} \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{0}}^{2} \frac{1}{6}^{5} \\ &+ \frac{1}{24} \frac{k_{e}}{!_{0}}^{4} (1)^{n} \frac{e^{-m}}{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}h!_{+}m) \sinh(\frac{1}{2}h!_{-}m)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{k_{e}}{!_{m=1}} (1)^{n} \frac{e^{-m}}{\frac{k_{B}T}{2} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{-}}m)}{\sinh(\frac{1}{2}h!_{+}m) \sinh(\frac{1}{2}h!_{-}m)}} \\ &\frac{k_{e}}{!_{m=1}} (1)^{n} \frac{k_{B}T}{h!} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{-}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!_{-}}^{2}m)} + \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!_{+}}^{2}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!_{+}}^{2}m)} + \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!_{+}}^{2}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!_{+}}^{2}m)} + \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!_{+}}^{2}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!_{+}}^{2}m)} + \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sinh(\frac{2k_{B}T}{h!_{+}}^{2}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sinh(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)} + \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sinh(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sin(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sin(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m) \sin(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{1}{!_{+}}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\cos(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)}{\sin(\frac{2}{h!_{+}}m)} \\ &+ \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \frac{\sin(\frac{2}{h!_{$$

The magnetic moment is decomposed into four parts and is expressed in Bohrmagneton units:

$$M = {}_{L}H \qquad 2_{B} \qquad \frac{2_{B}}{2_{B}} \qquad 2_{B} \qquad \frac{2_{B}}{2_{B}} \qquad \frac{2_{B}}{2_{B}}$$

where

$$M_{L} = \frac{1}{12h!_{0}} \frac{!_{c}}{!_{0}} \frac{1}{2_{B}} {}_{L}H; \qquad (5.3)$$

$$M_{0} = \frac{1}{8!} \frac{x^{4}}{m=1} (1)^{m} e^{-m} \frac{[! + 00th (h!_{+}m=2) ! 00th (h!_{-}m=2)]}{\sinh (h!_{+}m=2) \sinh (h!_{-}m=2)}; \quad (5.4)$$

and, for the irrational case  $!_+ = ! \quad \boxtimes Q$ ,

$$M_{osc} = \frac{k_{B}T}{h!} \frac{x^{4}}{m=1} \frac{(1)^{n} \sin (2 m = (h!))}{\sin (m!_{+}=!) \sinh (2 m k_{B}T = (h!))}$$
(5.5)  

$$\frac{m_{m=1}}{h!} \cot 2 m \frac{!_{+}}{h!} \frac{!_{+}}{m!} \cot m \frac{!_{+}}{!} \frac{k_{B}T}{h!} \cot 2 m \frac{k_{B}T}{h!}$$
(5.6)  

$$M_{osc}^{+} = \frac{k_{B}T}{h!} \frac{x^{4}}{m!} \frac{(1)^{n} \sin (2 m = (h!_{+}))}{\sin (m!_{-}=!_{+}) \sinh (2 m k_{B}T = (h!_{+}))}$$
(5.6)  

$$\frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \cot 2 m \frac{!_{+}}{h!_{+}} \frac{!_{+}}{m!_{+}} \cot m \frac{!_{+}}{l!_{+}} \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}} \cot 2 m \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{+}}$$
(5.6)

W ewill not give the expressions of M $_{\rm osc}$  in the rational case because the magnetization is a continuous function of !  $_{\rm c}$  and its behavior can be fully understood from the irrational one.

#### D iscussion

The tem perature scale is compared to the two naturalm odes ! of the system and draws three possible intrinsic regimes: high tem perature regime  $k_B T > h!_+$ , low tem perature regime  $k_B T < h!_+$ , low tem perature regime  $h! < k_B T < h!_+$ . Remember that we work in the large electron number region: > h!=2.

1. High tem perature regim e:  $k_B T > h!_+ > h!$ .

This inequality implies the following constraint on the eld:

$$\frac{!_{c}}{!_{0}} < \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{0}} - \frac{h!_{0}}{k_{B}T} - \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{0}}$$
(5.7)

We can see that M  $_0$  is the dominant term for the magnetic moment in regard to M  $_{\rm osc}$  because of the smallness of arguments of the sinh (in the denominator) and coth (in the numerator) functions. Hence, M  $_2$   $_B$  (M  $_L$  + M  $_0$ ), which shows mainly Landau diamagnetism. Similarly, we infer from (5.1) that hN  $_{\rm e}$  i  $_{\rm L}$  + hN  $_{\rm e}$  i $_{01}$  + hN  $_{\rm e}$  i $_{02}$ .

2. Low tem perature regime:  $k_B T < h!$ . The magnetic eld is restricted by the inequality:

$$\frac{!_{c}}{!_{0}} < \frac{h!_{0}}{k_{B}T} - \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{0}} - \frac{h!_{0}}{k_{B}T}$$
(5.8)

Now the M  $_0$  term becomes excessively small due to the rapidly decreasing exponential factor and the large arguments of the hyperbolic functions present in the expression. The magnetization is hence approximately determined by the three terms: M  $_{\rm L}$ , M  $_{\rm osc}^+$ , and M  $_{\rm osc}$  and exhibits oscillating behavior.

(a) Strong elds  $!_c$   $!_0$ .

W e m ake the following approximations:

$$!_{+} !_{c} 1 + \frac{!_{0}}{!_{c}}^{2!}; ! \frac{!_{0}^{2}}{!_{c}}; \frac{!_{+}}{!} \frac{!_{c}}{!_{0}}^{2}: (5.9)$$

A ssume also that  $!_{c}$   $2!_{0}^{q}$   $(=h)^{2}$  1 to insure the validity of h!=2.0 ne can see that the denom inator of M  $_{osc}^{+}$  contains the product of sin and two sinh's with sm all argument and, hence, M  $2_{B}$  (M  $_{L}$  + M  $_{osc}^{+}$ ). In particular, after replacing  $!_{+}$  by  $!_{c}$  in the sinus argument of the numerator of M  $_{osc}^{+}$ , we nd that the magnetization is periodic with respect to the inverse of the magnetic eld, a characteristic fact of the \de Haas-van Alphen" regime. The similar behavior holds for the electron number:  $hN_{e}i$   $hN_{e}i_{L} + (=h!_{0})^{2}=2+hN_{e}i_{osc}^{+}$ .

(b) W eak elds  $!_c$   $!_0$ .

In this case the two characteristic frequencies and their ratio are approxim ated to " #

$$! \qquad !_{0} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{!_{c}}{!_{0}} + \frac{1}{8} \quad \frac{!_{c}}{!_{0}} \quad 2^{2}; \quad \frac{!}{!} \qquad 1 \quad \frac{!_{c}}{!_{0}} + \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{!_{c}}{!_{0}} \quad 2^{2}: \qquad (5.10)$$

Now M  $_{\rm osc}$  and M  $^+_{\rm osc}$  have the same order of contribution due to the presence in their denom inators of

$$(\sinh (2^{2} m k_{\rm B} T = h!))^{2}$$
  $(\sinh (2^{2} m k_{\rm B} T = h!_{0}))^{2}$  (5.11)

and of the strongly oscillating functions

$$(\sin (m! =!))^2$$
  $(\sin (m!_c=!_0))^2$ : (5.12)

C onsequently, the system is considered as lying in the m esoscopic phase. Sim ilar conclusions can be reached for the behaviour of the average electron num ber.

3. Interm ediate tem peratures:  $h! < k_B T < h!_+$ . These inequalities in ply the constraint

$$\frac{!_{c}}{!_{0}} > \frac{h!_{0}}{k_{B}T} - \frac{k_{B}T}{h!_{0}} :$$
(5.13)

The weak eld case occurs only when  $k_B T$  approaches  $h!_0$ . In this case, one may think that the oscillatory term s M <sub>osc</sub> and M  $^+_{osc}$  give their contribution to M as what we have seen in the previous subsection. But, in fact, the hyperbolic sinus functions of the denom inators have large arguments:

 $\sinh (2^{2} m k_{B} T = h!) \sinh (19.74m) 0.5 \exp (19.74m);$ 

and so overcom es the algebraic contribution of the sinus functions. The system hence goes to the Landau diam agnetic regime. On the other hand, for a strong eld, we return to the approximation M  $2_{\rm B}$  (M  $_{\rm L}$  + M  $_{\rm osc}^+$ ) and the system shows the de H aas-van A lphen e ect.

We have repeated in Fig.2 the phase diagram of magnetization proposed by Ishikawa and Fukuyama. Let us how ever mention that the two intrinsic frequencies! do not represent the exact borders between the di erent magnetic phases. It should be taken in a qualitative sense only. In order to justify this, we choose the chem ical potential equal to  $100.0h!_{0}$ and make tem perature vary through the di erent magnetic eld regimes. Fig.3(a) illustrates the weak eld regime. One can see that at low temperature  $k_B T = 0.001h!_0$  the magnetization experiences strong uctuations, called mesoscopic uctuations. As T increases  $(k_B T = 0:1h!_0)$ , the strength of these uctuations decreases. As the tem perature is getting higher (for example for  $k_B T = 0.5h!_0$ ), the uctuations disappear and we reach the Landau diam agnetism. In Fig.3 (b) the magnetic eld lies between  $1.9!_{0}$  m c= and  $3:1!_0$  m c=e. W hen  $k_B T = 0:01h!_0$ , the system shows large uctuations. One can see that, if the magnetic led increases, the uctuations diminish and the cycloid-like curve appears (de Haas-van Alphen oscillations). At higher tem perature, e.g.  $k_B T = 0.1h!_0$ , one can see more clearly the phase change from the mesoscopic uctuations to the de Haas-van A lphen oscillations. If the tem perature continues increasing (for example,  $k_B T = 0.5h!_0$ ,  $k_B T = 1.0h!_0$ , the system will go from the Landau diam agnetism to the de Haas-van A lphen oscillations. Fig.3 (c) shows the de Haas-van A lphen phases. The position of the peak can be predicted from the simple form ula:

$$\frac{!_{c}}{!_{0}} = \frac{2}{nh!_{0}} \quad \frac{nh!_{0}}{2}; \text{ where } n \text{ is som } e \text{ odd positive integer.}$$

In Fig.3(d) we see that, at the extreme low temperature  $(k_B T = 0.001h!_0)$ , the curve shows some \width", which comes from the limit of the picture resolution and which corresponds, in fact, to small uctuations. This gives us an example of de Haas-van Alphen oscillations mixed with mesoscopic uctuations.

# VI Current Distribution

We now turn our attention to the spatial density of current. It can be obtained by the following formula:

$$J(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \hat{\gamma}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{R}) \frac{(e)}{m} \mathbf{P} + \frac{e}{c} A(\mathbf{R}) \hat{(\mathbf{R})}; \qquad (6.1)$$

where h = Tr(f(H)()) is the therm allower age, and R the eld operator:

Due to the symmetry of the system, the current distribution is purely orthoradial,

$$J(r) = J(r)e;$$
 (6.2)

and its component is given by the series:

$$J(\mathbf{r}) = ev_{n;}^{X} - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{!_{c} r}{2!_{0}}^{!} - r; (\mathbf{r}; )_{n;} (\mathbf{r}; )f(\mathbf{E}_{n});$$
(6.3)

where  $= h = (m!_0)$  is the characteristic length and  $v_0 = !_0$  the characteristic speed in the harm onic potential. The current distribution induces a local magnetic moment,

M (r) = 
$$\frac{1}{2c}$$
r J =  $\frac{1}{2c}$ rJ (r)e<sub>z</sub> M<sub>z</sub> (r)e<sub>z</sub>; (6.4)

which in turn gives rise to the totalm agnetic m om ent,

$$M = {}^{Z} M_{z}(r) dS = - {}^{Z_{1}}_{C_{0}} J(r) r^{2} dr:$$
(6.5)

By applying Eq.(3.8) to the expression (6.3) of J (r) we get

$$J(\mathbf{r}) = (e)_{V} \sum_{\substack{n_{d}, n_{g} \\ q}}^{X} f(\mathbf{h}) (!_{+}, n_{d} + !_{n_{g}} + \frac{!}{2})^{0} (n_{d}, n_{g}) \sum_{\substack{q = 1 \\ r}}^{!} \frac{1}{r} + \frac{!_{c}}{2!_{0}} \sum_{\substack{q = 1 \\ r}}^{1} A$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{q = 2 \\ r}}^{Z} e^{(\dot{p}_{d}^{0} \dot{f} + \dot{p}_{g}^{0} \dot{f} + \dot{p}_{g}^{0} \dot{f} + \dot{p}_{g}^{0} \dot{f} + \dot{p}_{g}^{0} \dot{f}}) K(z_{d}^{0}; z_{g}^{0}; r; )K(r; ; a; z_{g}; z_{g})$$

$$\frac{(z_{d}^{0} z_{d})^{n_{d}}}{n_{d}!} \frac{(z_{g}^{0} z_{g})^{n_{g}}}{n_{g}!} d^{2} z_{d}^{0} d^{2} z_{g}^{0} d^{2} z_{d} d^{2} z_{g}: \qquad (6.6)$$

The above equation is rotational invariant and one can hence put = 0. Let us now represent the Ferm i-D irac function by the Fourier integral (7.2) and perform the discrete sum mation. The four integrals on the complex plane can be easily performed by using well-known gaussian integrals of the type

<sup>Z</sup><sub>1</sub> e 
$$t^{2+tZ}$$
 dt = <sup>p</sup> - exp  $(\frac{1}{4}Z^{2})$ :

We nally obtain the following expression:

$$J(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{ev_{0}}{16} \frac{r^{2}}{\theta} \frac{r^{2}}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{dk}{\cosh(\frac{1}{2}k)} \frac{\exp[(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}]}{\sinh^{2}(ik+1)\frac{h!}{4}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{$$

Three types of singular points appear in the integrand:

1. Pole k = i.

This pole is triple since it appears once in  $\cosh \frac{1}{2}k_1$ , and twice in  $(\sinh (ik + 1) \frac{h!}{4})^2$ .

2. Poles k = (2m + 1)i, m 2 Z. They are the other (simple) poles of  $\cosh \frac{1}{2}k$ . 3. Singular points k = i + 4 m = (h!), m 2 Z.

These points are essential singularities since they appear in the exponential.

The current distribution is hence separated into three components responsible for the Landau diam agnetism, weakly diam agnetism, and oscillation behavior:

$$J (\mathbf{r} = (J (\mathbf{r}))_{L} + (J (\mathbf{r}))_{0} + (J (\mathbf{r}))_{\infty}$$

$$= 2 \mathbf{i} (\mathbf{a}_{1} (\mathbf{i}) + \mathbf{a}_{1} ((2\mathbf{m} + 1)\mathbf{i}) + (\mathbf{a}_{1} (\mathbf{i} + \frac{\mathbf{d}_{1} (\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{d}_{1} \mathbf{m}));$$

$$= 4 \mathbf{i} (\mathbf{a}_{1} (\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{d}_{1} \mathbf{m});$$

In a forthcom ing paper, we shall go deeper into the analysis of such an expression for the current distribution.

# VII Conclusion

In this paper, we have established exact form ulas for the therm odynam ical potential of a two-dimensional gas of spinless electrons, con ned in an isotropic harm onic potential, and submitted to a constant perpendicular magnetic eld. From this it has become possible to study the magnetic moment and other therm odynamical quantities at di erent regimes oftem perature and eld. This exhaustive study was made possible thanks to the speci c simplicity of the isotropic harm onic potential. Of course, there exist other situations in which we could get similar exact expressions: anisotropic harm onic potential, harm onic groove, ..., as indicated by [2]. In a next future, we shall deal with less tractable but still integrable models (see for instance [9], like in nite cylinder potential or quantum rings, cases in which the expressing of the trace (7.6) in a closed form is quitely not expected. We shall also intend to make use of other fam ilies of coherent states, possibly more adapted to these new situations, in order to use an e cient symbol calculus. For instance, for the in nite rectangular well potential, we are thinking about using tensor products of in nite well coherent states introduced and analysed in a recent paper [10]. F inally, we shall also explore the behaviour, at di erent tem perature and eld regimes, of other them odynam ical quantities of current experim ental interest, like the heat capacity [11].

## Appendix: Ferm i-D irac trace form u las

It is well known that, like the G aussian function, the function sech  $x = 1 = \cosh x$  is a xed point for the Fourier transform in the Schwartz space:

$$\frac{1}{\cosh \frac{q}{2}x} = p \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^{+1}}{1} \frac{e^{ixy}}{\cosh \frac{q}{2}y} dy:$$
(7.1)

Hence, given an Ham iltonian H, we can write for the corresponding Ferm i operator:

$$f(H) \quad \frac{1}{1 + e^{(H)}} = \frac{Z_{+1}}{1} \frac{e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}(H)}}{4\cosh\frac{1}{2}k} dk:$$
(7.2)

Sim ilarly, we can write for the therm odynam ical potential operator:

$$\frac{1}{2} \log (1 + e^{-(H_{-})}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{-(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}(H_{-})}}{(2\cosh\frac{1}{2}k)(ik+1)} dk:$$
(7.3)

Therefore, the average number of ferm ions and the therm odynam ical potential can be written (at least form ally) as follows:

hN i = Trf (H) = 
$$\frac{Z_{+1}}{1} \frac{e^{(ik+1)} - 2}{4\cosh - 2k}$$
 (k) dk; (7.4)

$$= \operatorname{Tr}(\frac{1}{2} \log (1 + e^{-(H_{-1})})) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2^{2} + 1}{1} \frac{e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}}}{(2\cosh \frac{1}{2}k)(ik+1)} (k) dk; \quad (7.5)$$

where designates the function

$$(k) = Tr(e^{(ik+1)\frac{1}{2}H})$$
: (7.6)

Observe that (2m + 1)i; m 2 Z are (simple) poles for the function  $1=\cosh \frac{1}{2}k$  and i is a pole for the functions (k) and 1=(ik + 1). These Fourier integrals can be evaluated by using residue theorems if the integrand functions  $_1(k) = (k)=\cosh \frac{1}{2}k$  and  $_2(k) =$ 

 $(k)=((ik + 1)\cosh \frac{1}{2}k)$  satisfy the Jordan Lemma, that is,  $_1(Re^i) g(R)$ ,  $_2(Re^i)$ h (R), for all 2 [0; ], and g (R) and h (R) vanish as R ! 1. The quantities hN i and are then form ally given by

where a  $_1$  ( ) denotes the residue of the involved integrand at pole ( ), and the skare the poles (with the exclusion of the pole i) of (k) in the complex k-plane.

W e now introduce the spectral resolution of the (bounded below) self-adjoint operator H :  $Z_{+1}$ 

$$'(H) = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} 2 & +1 \\ 1 & \\ 1 & \\ 1 & \\ 1 & \\ 1 & \\ P & \\ \end{array}} (1) E (d); (7.8)$$

where ' is a complex-valued function and  $E = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} E$  (d ) is the resolution of the identity for the H am iltonian H. De ne the density of states () as TrE (d )=d. The trace formula ensues:  $Z_{+1}$ 

$$Tr'(H) = \int_{1}^{2^{+1}} () () d :$$
 (7.9)

Let us now introduce the weighted density of states w ( ) =  $e^{\frac{1}{2}}$  ( ) and its Fourier transform

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\frac{2}{2}} \int_{1}^{2} e^{i\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{k}) d\mathbf{k}$$
 (7.10)

Then, from (7.4), (7.5) and (7.9), we can represent hN i and as follows:

hN i = 
$$p \frac{2}{2} \frac{z}{1} + 1 \frac{e^{(ik+1)\frac{2}{2}}}{4\cosh\frac{2}{2}k}$$
  $(-k) dk = -e^{\frac{2}{2}} Z_{1}^{b} (-k);$  (7.11)

$$= \frac{P \frac{Z}{2} + 1}{1} \frac{e^{(ik+1)\frac{Z}{2}}}{(2\cosh\frac{Z}{2}k)(ik+1)} \hat{w}(\frac{Z}{2}k) dk = \frac{2}{2}e^{\frac{Z}{2}} \hat{Z}_{2}^{b}(\frac{Z}{2}); \quad (7.12)$$

where we have introduced the weighted functions  $Z_1 = \text{sech}(-k)\hat{w}(k)$  and  $Z_2 = \text{sech}(k=)(i2k=+1)^{-1}\hat{w}(k)$ .

# A cknow ledgem ents

The authors are pleased to acknow ledge G alliano Valent (LPTHE, Universities of Paris 6 and 7, France), Rem iM osseri (CNRS, GPS, Universities of Paris 6 and 7, France), Sorin M elinte (UPCPM, University of Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium), and Yakov I. G ranovskii (Szczecin University, Poland) for useful suggestions and comments. P.Y. H. is also grateful the ICSC W orld Laboratory in Switzerland for the scholarship.

# References

- Y. Ishikawa and H. Fukuyama, \OrbitalM agnetism and Current D istribution of Two-D im ensional Electrons under Con ning Potential", J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 68 (1999) 2405{ 2413.
- [2] D.Yoshioka and H.Fukuyama, \OrbitalMagnetism of Two-D in ensional Electrons in Conning Potential", J.Phys. Soc. Jap. 61 (1992) 2368{2381.
- [3] J.R. K lauder and B.-S. Skagerstam: C oherent States, Applications in Physics and M athem atical Physics, W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1985
- [4] F A. Berezin, \Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators", Izv. Akad. SSSR Ser. M at. 6 (1972) 1134{1167; \GeneralConcept of Quantization", Commun. M ath. Phys. 40 (1975) 153{174
- [5] D.H. Feng, J.R. K lauder, and M. Strayer (eds): C oherent States: Past, Present and Future (Proc. O ak R idge 1993), W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1994
- [6] S.T. A li, J-P. Antoine, and J.P. Gazeau: Coherent states, wavelets and their generalizations, G raduate texts in Contemporary Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1999
- [7] V.Fock, \Bemerkung zur Quantelung des harm onischen Oszillators im Magnerfeld", Z.Phys. 47 (1928), 446{ ; C.G.Darwin, \The diamagnetism of the free electron", Proc.Cambridge Phil. Soc. 27 (1930) 86{
- [8] M. Combescure and D. Robert, \Rigorous sem iclassical results for the magnetic response of an electron gas", Rev. M ath. Phys., to appear, 2000
- [9] R.Rosas, R.Riera, J.L.Marn, and H.Leon, \Energy spectrum of a con ned twodimensional particle in an external magnetic eld", Am. J. Phys. 68 (2000) 835{840

- [10] JP.Antoine, JP.G azeau, P.M onceau, JR.K lauder, and K A.Penson, \Tem porally stable coherent states for in nite well and Poschl-Teller potentials", UCL-IPT-00-03, subm itted, 2000
- [11] V. Bayot, E. Grivei, S. Melinte, M. B. Santos, and M. Shayegan, \Giant low tem perature heat capacity of G aAs quantum wells near Landau level lling = 1", Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 4584 [4587

#### Figure caption

- Fig.1 Poles of the Fourier representation of the therm odynam ical potential . The poles lying on the imaginary axis are simple except for the point i which is of order 4. The poles lying on the line k = i in the complex k-plane (i is excluded) may be simple or double depending on whether !. and ! are uncommensurable or not.
- Fig.2 Phase diagram of the magnetization. In high temperature and low magnetic eld region, the system shows Landau diamagnetism; in low temperature and low magnetic eld region, mesoscopic uctuations appear; and in strong magnetic eld region, the system experiences the de Haas-van Alphen oscillation phase. The two curves,  $k_B T = h!_+$  and  $k_B T = h!_-$ , give a qualitative indication about the phase borders.
- Fig.3 M agnetization curves versus the magnetic eld at di erent tem peratures. W e represent the cyclotron frequency in  $!_0$  units and the magnetization in  $2_B$  units. The chemical potential is set up to 100  $\circ$  0.
  - (a) !<sub>c</sub> is less than 0:3!<sub>0</sub>. Tem peratures are chosen to be 0:001h!<sub>0</sub>, 0:1h!<sub>0</sub>, and 0:5h!<sub>0</sub>, respectively.
  - (b) !<sub>c</sub> is between 1:9!<sub>0</sub> and 3:1!<sub>0</sub>. Tem peratures are chosen to be 0:01h!<sub>0</sub>, 0:1h!<sub>0</sub>, 0:5h!<sub>0</sub>, and 1:0h!<sub>0</sub>, respectively.
  - (c)  $!_{c}$  is between  $4:0!_{0}$  and  $15:0!_{0}$ . Tem peratures are chosen to be  $0:1h!_{0}, 0:5h!_{0}, 1:0h!_{0}$ , and  $5:0h!_{0}$ , respectively.
  - (d) !<sub>c</sub> is greater than 15:0!<sub>0</sub>. Tem peratures are chosen to be 0:001h!<sub>0</sub>, 0:5h!<sub>0</sub>, 1:0h!<sub>0</sub>, and 5:0h!<sub>0</sub>, respectively.



Figure 1: FIG .1









