Disorder Induced Quantum Phase Transition in Random-Exchange Spin-1/2 Chains

K. Hamacher,¹ J. Stolze,² and W. Wenzel¹

¹Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Institut für Nanotechnologie, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany

²Institut für Physik, Universität Dortmund, 44221 Dortmund, Germany

(Dated: October 24, 2001)

We investigate the effect of quenched bond-disorder on the anisotropic spin-1/2 (XXZ) chain as a model for disorder induced quantum phase transitions. We find non-universal behavior of the average correlation functions for weak disorder, followed by a quantum phase transition into a strongly disordered phase with only short-range xy-correlations. We find no evidence for the universal strong-disorder fixed point predicted by the real-space renormalization group, suggesting a qualitatively different view of the relationship between quantum fluctuations and disorder.

PACS numbers: 05.70.Jk,64.60.cn,75.40.Mg

The existence and nature of quantum phase transitions (QPTs) [1, 2, 3] has in recent years emerged as one of the most interesting aspects of low-dimensional quantum systems. QPTs arise from the subtle interplay between short-range interactions on one hand and quantum fluctuations on the other [4]. Since the latter are particularly strong in one dimension, quantum spin chains have emerged as a generic model to investigate QPTs [5, 6, 7, 8]. The additional presence of disorder has profound effects on the properties of low dimensional systems [9, 10] as it competes with the subtle effects of quantum fluctuations. Its effect on QPTs has been the subject of recent intense and controversial discussion [7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16].

In one-dimension the strong-disorder renormalization (SDRG) [17, 18] group offers potentially exact results for a variety of models. Of particular interest is the prediction of a universal infinite randomness fixed point (IRFP) for disordered spin chains. In many systems SDRG studies suggest the relevance a random-singlet (RS) phase [19, 20] as the ground state for fairly general disorder. In this RS phase the average spin correlations are predicted to obey a universal isotropic power-law decay, $|\overline{\langle S_i^{\alpha} S_i^{\alpha} \rangle}| \sim |i-j|^{-2}$ ($\alpha = x, z$), where the overbar denotes a configurational average over many random chains (replicas). The Luttinger (or spin-liquid) continuum of critical ground states of the ordered chain is thus predicted to collapse to a single point. Numerical results consistent with the RS picture were reported for relatively short $(N \leq 18)$ XXZ chains [12] and also for long XX chains ($\Delta = 0$) [13] with couplings uniformly distributed in [0, 1]. Recently, some studies suggest the relevance of such a fixed point for the q-state quantum clock model and the quantum Ashkin Teller model [8], while others dispute its existence, at least for S > 1/2 [6].

Recent numerical advances, in particular the development of the density matrix renormalization group [21], now offer a framework to investigate the relevance of the IRFP to realistic one-dimensional spin systems [22]. In this Letter we investigate the influence of exchange disorder on the anisotropic spin 1/2 Heisenberg chain (XXZ model), one of the best-known model systems for QPTs in one dimension. We find a qualitatively different scenario for the interplay of quantum fluctuations and disorder. Our results indicate that the spin correlations do *not* obey the universal parameter independent decay law suggested by the RS picture. Instead we find a disorderinduced QPT for finite disorder strength, whose nature can be illustrated by an exactly solvable model.

In this paper we present results of a density matrix renormalization group study of XXZ chains with randomness in the transverse nearest-neighbor coupling,

$$H = J \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left[\lambda_i (S_i^x S_{i+1}^x + S_i^y S_{i+1}^y) + \Delta S_i^z S_{i+1}^z \right], \quad (1)$$

(J > 0) where the anisotropy parameter $\Delta \geq 0$ controls the relative strength of the quantum fluctuations. In the homogeneous system $(\lambda_i \equiv 1)$ the ground state of (1) shows long-range order for $\Delta > 1$ (Ising regime), whereas for $\Delta \leq 1$ (critical regime) the spin correlations decay algebraically to zero as $|\langle S_i^{\alpha} S_j^{\alpha} \rangle| \sim |i - j|^{-\eta_{\alpha}}$ with nonuniversal decay exponents [23]

$$\eta_x = \eta_z^{-1} = 1 - \pi^{-1} \arccos \Delta.$$
 (2)

The introduction of quenched randomness brings about subtle changes in the ground-state. For reasons of better numerical control of replica averages we used a bounded probability density $p(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2W}\Theta(W - |\lambda - 1|)$.

We investigated chains of length up to L = 80 with a finite-size DMRG algorithm and ensured that the ground state and correlations $\langle S_i^{\alpha} S_j^{\alpha} \rangle$ could be determined with consistent accuracy for arbitrary choices of λ_i . We noted that for long chains the standard DMRG procedure tends to spontaneously break the local S_z reversal symmetry. We ensured that the resulting data for the correlation functions were nevertheless correct by comparing with explicitly symmetry-adapted high-accuracy DMRG calculations for a number of randomly selected replicas λ_i . We verified the accuracy of the correlation functions and energies by comparing with exact data for XX ($\Delta = 0$) chains which can be mapped to non-interacting lattice



FIG. 1: Decay exponents η_x (circles) and η_z (squares) for $\Delta \leq 1$ obtained with the DMRG for L=80 in comparison with analytic results for the thermodynamic limit. OBC and PBC denote open and periodic boundary conditions, respectively. The OBC and PBC results for η_x coincide on the scale of the figure. Inset: $A_z = \langle S_0^z S_{L/2}^z \rangle$ as a function of $\Delta \geq 1$ (PBC) in comparison with analytic results. For $\Delta < 1.2$ the length scale for saturation is larger than the system.

fermions. For critical systems (see Fig. 1) we investigated finite size effects on the estimates of the decay exponents η_x and η_z (2) for $0 \leq \Delta \leq 1$. For ordered chains with periodic boundary conditions DMRG results for $\langle S_0^z S_{L/2}^z \rangle$ were in good agreement with the known long-range order parameter for $\Delta \geq 1$ [24] until the chain length became significantly shorter than the correlation (or saturation) length [25] of the system (see inset of Fig.1). These data suggest that chains of length L=80 are sufficient to determine the long-range behavior of the correlation functions to better accuracy than could conceivably be obtained in the replica average.

We then performed DMRG calculations for a large number of replicas each for various values of Δ and W. The number of replicas computed varied from 250 for small W, where replica expectation values fluctuate little, to more than 1000 for large W. We have not gathered replica averaged data for the XX-model by DMRG as the correlation functions for a small set of replicas showed perfect numerical agreement between DMRG and results from exact diagonalization for chain lengths of up to L = 160.

Replica-averaged correlation functions in the critial and Ising regime are shown in Figure (2) and (3) respectively. The data demonstrates qualitatively different behavior for small and large values of the disorder W. For $\Delta \leq 1$ and $W \leq 1$ both x and z correlation functions decay algebraically with exponents that depend on Δ and W. Fitted to additional exponential components and finite offsets $|\langle S_i^{\alpha} S_j^{\alpha} \rangle| = A + |i - j|^{-\eta_{\alpha}} \exp(-\gamma |i - j|)$ the data show negligible inverse correlation lengths γ and offsets A for small W and Δ . The decay of the x corre-



FIG. 2: Doubly logarithmic plots of replica averaged (a) $\overline{\langle S_0^+ S_r^- \rangle}$ and (b) $\overline{\langle S_0^z S_r^z \rangle}$ for $\Delta = 0.5$ and various disorder strengths W. z-correlation functions decay algebraically, the degree of correlation increases with disorder strength, while x-correlations decay algebraically for W < 1, but exponentially for W > 1.

lation accelerates with growing disorder W whereas that of the z correlation decelerates. The values of the decay exponents η_x and η_z as a function of W are shown in Figure (4)(a), indicating a continuous, but small change of both exponents from their values for ordered chains W = 0.

For W > 1 the x-correlation functions decay exponentially in both the Ising and the critical regime. The inverse correlation length of the x correlation function is shown in Figure (4)(b). The data is consistent with a crossover to exponential decay at W = 1 with significant finite size effects for W > 0.8, in particular in the Ising regime. In the Ising phase ($\Delta > 1$) the z correlation functions continue to decay to a finite value for large separations (see Figure (3) (inset)).

The nature of the transition at W = 1 is explained by a simple exactly solvable model, defined by the bimodal distribution

$$p(\lambda) = p\delta(\lambda + 1) + (1 - p)\delta(\lambda - 1)$$
(3)

in the general Hamiltonian (1) with $\Delta < 1$. The ground state spin correlations of this model are related to the known correlations [23] of the homogeneous chain (p = 0) by a simple gauge transformation [26, 27]. Consider a single replica, i.e. one configuration of λ_i s drawn from the



FIG. 3: Logarithmic plots of replica averaged correlation functions in the Ising regime ($\Delta = 1.5$) for various disorder strengths W. x-correlations decay algebraically for W < 1and exponentially for W > 1, z-correlation functions saturate at a finite value that increases with the disorder strength (inset)

distribution (3) for an open chain. By a suitable product U of π rotations $\exp(2i\pi S_i^z)$ about the local S_z spin axes the disorder may be gauged away, i.e. $\tilde{H} = UHU^{\dagger}$ describes a configuration with $\lambda_i \equiv 1$. As the z spin components are invariant under U the z correlations of the disordered system are *identical* to those of the homogeneous system. In contrast, a product of two x spin components aquires a string of random signs:

$$US_{i}^{x}S_{i+r}^{x}U^{\dagger} = S_{i}^{x}S_{i+r}^{x}\prod_{l=i}^{i+r-1}\lambda_{l}.$$
 (4)

The disorder average of (4) simply yields the x correlation of the homogeneous system, multiplied by $(1-2p)^r$. The decay of the z correlation thus remains algebraic, whereas the x correlation function is modified by an *exponentially* decaying factor, with a correlation length diverging with critical exponent equal to unity at the two quantum critical points $p_c = 0, 1$: $\xi_x \sim \frac{1}{2|p-p_c|}$. Applied to the probability density used in the DMRG calculations, this argument yields p = (W - 1)/(2W) and $\xi^{-1} = \ln W$ for W > 1 (heavy solid line in Fig. 4(b)). For $\Delta = 0$ data for larger systems are available [26] and the crossover from $\xi^{-1} = 0$ to $\xi^{-1} = \ln W$ is visible more clearly.

In the limiting cases that are accessible by alternate techniques, our results are in good agreement with both exact data for W = 0 (Fig. 1) and numerical diagonalization results [26] for long ($N \leq 256$) XX chains (see also [13]). The latter also display clear deviations from the IRFP behavior predicted by the SDRG. For the z correlation an r^{-2} decay with the corresponding finite-size scaling behavior [28] remains perfectly intact from



FIG. 4: (a) Exponents η for the algebraic decay of the x and z correlation functions in the critical regime $\Delta < 1$. In contrast to the predictions of the RSRG the exponents change continuously and slowly from their (finite system) values with no disorder. Circles, diamonds and squares denote $\Delta = 0.2, 0.5$, and 0.8, respectively. Open symbols correspond to η_z and filled symbols to η_x . (b) Inverse correlation length for the decay of the x correlation functions for various Δ as a function of W. For W > 1 all data are consistent with the results for the exactly solvable model (heavy line).

W = 0 up to W = 2. The static z structure factor is linear in the wave vector q and independent of W. In contrast, the x correlation does not show finite-size scaling and the static x structure factor is neither linear nor W-independent. The x correlation decays progressively faster as W grows. The data may be fitted to a power law as long as W < 1, but with an exponent significantly smaller than the value of 2 predicted for the RS phase. For W > 1 the decay is exponential, with an inverse correlation length proportional to the fraction of negative λ s (as in the exactly solvable model above).

Combined, these results suggest a qualitative revision of the influence disorder is thought to have on quantum spin chains. No signs of attraction to the IRFP (with universal and isotropic algebraic decay of the spin correlation functions) predicted by the SDRG were observed in our study. Instead we observe a disorder-driven quantum phase transition at W = 1 for $0 \leq \Delta \leq 1$, from a spin liquid phase with algebraically decaying correlations (with non-universal exponents) at W < 1 to a different phase with exponential decay of the x correlations. These observations suggest to critically reexamine the applicability of the SDRG to this system and to investigate the possible existence of a crossover length-scale beyond which the IRFP emerges as relevant [29]. We hope that research on thermodynamic properties and spin correlations, in particular of the numerically much more accessible XX chains, combined with the investigation of pre-asymptotic behavior in the the SDRG on the other hand will help resolve this issue.

The mechanism for the destruction of the critical phase by disorder elucidated in this study may have interesting implications for experiments. For nonbounded disorder, where fluctuating signs of the couplings are present with varying probability for any W, one may anticipate the existence of a crossover length scale where algebraic decay crosses into exponential decay as a function of system size that may be observable by studying long, but finite chains. DMRG studies for Gaussian disorder, for which replica averages are much more difficult to converge, are presently under way to explore this scenario. The results of the present study are directly applicable to systems where a crystal field or easy plane generates a natural anisotropy. Extrapolating from both limits, they suggest the continued existence of critical behaviour for weak isotropic disorder in the isotropic model, a scenario that we will investigate more thoroughly in the future.

JS acknowledges helpful discussions with S. Kehrein (Universität Augsburg), KH acknowledges the support of the Stipendienfonds der Chemischen Industrie, the BMBF and of the Studienstiftung des dt. Volkes and WW the computational resources of the von Neumann Institute for Computing.

[1] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions* (Cambridge University Press, 1999).

- [2] S. Sachdev, C. Buragohain, and M. Vojta, Science 286, 2479 (1999).
- [3] S. Sachdev and A. P. Young, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2220 (1997).
- [4] P. Lee and T. Ramakrishnan, Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 287 (1985).
- [5] G. Chaboussant, Y. Fagot-Revurat, M.-H. Julien, M. E. Hanson, C. Berthier, M. Horvatić, L. P. Lévy, and O. Piovesana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2713 (1998).
- [6] A. Saguia, B. Boechat, M. Continentino, and O. de Alcantara Bonfim, Phys. Rev. B 63, 052414 (2001).
- [7] A. A. Zvyagin, Phys. Rev. B **62**, R6069 (2000).
- [8] E. Carlon, P. Lajko, and F. Iglói, Disorder-induced crossover effects at quantum critical points (2001), preprint cond-mat/0106325.
- [9] R. N. Bhatt, in *Spin Glasses and Random Fields*, edited by A. P. Young (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998), pp. 225–250.
- [10] Y. Uchiyama, I. Sasago, K. Tsukada, K. Uchinokura, A. Zheludev, T. Hayashi, N. Miura, and P. Böni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 632 (1999).
- [11] A. Saguia, B. Boechat, and M. Continentino, Phys. Rev. B 62, 5541 (2000).
- [12] S. Haas, J. Riera, and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B 48, 13174 (1993).
- [13] P. Henelius and S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. B 57, 11457 (1998).
- [14] K. Uchinokura and T. Masuda, J. Phys. Soc. Japan Suppl. A 69, 287 (2000).
- [15] K. Kato, S. Todo, K. Harada, N. Kawashima, S. Miyashita, and H. Takayama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4204 (2000).
- [16] R. Kotlyar and S. D. Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2388 (2001).
- [17] S.-K. Ma, C. Dasgupta, and C.-K. Hu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 1434 (1979).
- [18] C. Dasgupta and S.-K. Ma, Phys. Rev. B 22, 1305 (1980).
- [19] C. A. Doty and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 45, 2167 (1992).
- [20] D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 534 (1992), Phys. Rev. B 50, 3799 (1994), *ibid.* 50, 6411 (1995).
- [21] I. Peschel, X. Wang, and K. Hallberg, eds., Density Matrix Renormalization: A New Numerical Method in Physics (Springer, Berlin, 1999).
- [22] T. N. Nguyen, P. A. Lee, and H.-C. zur Loye, Science 271, 489 (1996).
- [23] A. Luther and I. Peschel, Phys. Rev. B 12, 3908 (1975).
- [24] R. J. Baxter, J. Stat. Phys. 9, 145 (1973).
- [25] J. D. Johnson, S. Krinsky, and B. M. McCoy, Phys. Rev. A 8, 2526 (1973).
- [26] J. Stolze, H. Röder, and G. Müller, unpublished.
- [27] A. Furusaki, M. Sigrist, P. A. Lee, K. Tanaka, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 2622 (1994).
- [28] M. Karbach, K.-H. Mütter, and M. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. B 50, 9281 (1994).
- [29] S. Kehrein, private communication.