#### M.M.FOGLER

# D epartm ent of P hysics, M assachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 M assachusetts A ve, C am bridge, M A 02139, U SA E-m ail: fogler@ m it.edu

In this report we sum m arize a recent progress in exploration of correlated two-dimensional electron states in partially lled high Landau levels. At a m ean-eld Hartree-Fock level they can be described as charge-density waves, either unidirectional (stripes) or with the sym m etry of the triangular lattice (bubbles). Therm al and quantum uctuations have a profound e ect on the stripe density wave and give rise to novel phases, which are quite sim ilar to sm ectic and nem atic liquid crystals. W e discuss the e ective theories for these phases, their collective m odes, and phase transitions between them.

1 Charge density waves in high Landau levels

H istorically, most of the research in the area of the quantum Hall e ect has been focused on the case of very strong magnetic elds where all the electrons reside at the lowest Landau level (LL).<sup>1</sup> In contrast, phenomena described below occur in moderate and weak magnetic elds, i.e., at high LLs. Recent progress in the high LL problem can be sum marized as follows.<sup>2</sup> The low -energy physics is thought to be dom inated by the electrons residing in the single spin subband of the topm ost (N th) LL, which has a lling fraction  $_{\rm N}$  where 0 <  $_{\rm N}$  < 1. All other electrons play the role of a dielectric medium, which renorm alizes the interaction among these \active" electrons. This picture holds at arbitrary sm allm agnetic elds provided there is no disorder and the tem perature is zero, T = 0. This is because the broadening of the N th LL by electron-electron interactions is set by the quantity  $^{3;4}$  E<sub>ex</sub>  $0:1e^2 = R_c$ , where  $R_c$  is the classical cyclotron radius and is the bare dielectric constant. In a metallic 2D system with not too large  $r_s$ ,  $E_{ex}$  is always smaller than the cyclotron gap and N th LL is well isolated from the other LLs. The cyclotron motion is the fastest motion in the problem, and so on the tim escale at which the ground-state correlations are established, quasiparticles of N th LL behave as clouds of charge sm eared along their respective cyclotron orbits. This prompts a quasiclassical analogy between the partially led LL and a gas of interacting rings with radius  $R_c$  and the arealdensity (N + 1=2)  $_N = R_c^2$ . At  $_N > 1=N$ the rings overlap strongly in the real space.

W ithin a mean-eld H artree-Fock theory a partially led LL undergoes a charge-density wave (CDW) transition.<sup>5</sup> At high LLs it occurs at a critical temperature<sup>4</sup>  $T_c^{m\,f}$  0.25 $E_{ex}$ . At 0.4 <  $_N$  < 0.6 the resultant CDW is a unidirectional, i.e., the stripe phase. At other  $_N$ , the CDW has a sym metry of the triangular lattice and is called the bubble phase, see Fig.1 (left). In both cases the CDW periodicity is set by the wavevector q 2.4=R<sub>c</sub>. As T decreases, the amplitude of the local lling factor m odulation increases and eventually forces expulsion of



Figure 1: Left: M ean- eld phase diagram. R ight: G uiding center density dom ain patterns at T = 0. Shaded and blank areas symbolize lled and empty regions, respectively.

regions with partialLL occupation. The system becomes divided into depletion regions where the local lling fraction is equal to 2N, and fully occupied areas where the local lling fraction is equal to 2N + 1. At these low tem - peratures the bona de stripe and bubble domain shapes are evident, <sup>4</sup> see Fig. 1 (right).

The mean-eld theory is expected to be valid in the quasiclassical lim it of large N . At moderate N the CDW compete with Laughlin liquids and other fractional quantum Hall (FQH) states. A combination of analytic and numerical tools<sup>4,6,7,8,9</sup> suggests that the FQH states lose to the CDW at N 2.

The existence of the stripe phase as a physical reality was evidenced by a conspicuous magnetoresistance anisotropy observed near half-integral fractions of high LLs<sup>10;11;12</sup> (see Ref. 13 for review). This anisotropy develops at T < 0.1K in high-mobility sam ples. The anisotropy is the largest at total lling factor = 9=2 (N = 2, N = 1=2) and decreases with increasing LL index. At T = 25m K it remains discernible up to  $11\frac{1}{2}$ whereupon it is washed out, presum ably, due to disorder and nite temperature. The anisotropy is natural once we assume that the stripe phase form s. The edges of the stripes can be visualized as metallic rivers, along which the transport is \easy." The charge transfer am ong di erent edges, i.e., across the stripes, requires quantum tunneling and is \hard" because the stripes are e ectively far aw ay.

The existence of the bubble phases at high LLs is supported by the discovery of reentrant integral quantum Halle ect (IQHE) at 4.25 and 4.75. The Hall resistance at such lling factors is quantized at the value of the nearest IQHE plateau, while the longitudinal resistance is isotropic and shows a deep m inim um with an activated tem perature dependence. The current-voltage (I-V) characteristics exhibit pronounced nonlinearity, switching, and hysteresis. These observations are consistent with the theoretical picture of a bubble lattice pinned by disorder.

### 2 Liquid crystal analogy for the stripe phase

In the wake of the experim ents, a considerable amount of work has been devoted to the stripe phase in recent years, Refs. 14{27. It led to the understanding that the \stripes" may appear in several distinct form s: an an isotropic crystal, a sm ectic, a nem atic, and an isotropic liquid (Fig. 2). These phases succeed each other in the order listed as the magnitude of either quantum or thermal uctuations increases. Consequently, the phase diagram of Fig.1 needs modi cations to incorporate som e of those phases. The general structure of the revised phase diagram for the quantum (T = 0) case was discussed in the important paper of Fradkin and Kivelson.<sup>14</sup> However, pinpointing the new phase boundaries in terms of the conventional parameters  $r_s$ , , and T requires further work. The most intriguing are the phases which bear the liquid crystal nam es: the sm ectic and the nem atic. They are the main subject of this report. Let us start with the basic de nitions of these phases.

The smectic is a liquid with the 1D periodicity, i.e., a state where the translational sym m etry is spontaneously broken in one spatial direction.<sup>28</sup> The rotational sym m etry is of course broken as well. An exam ple of such a state is the original H artree Fock stripe solution <sup>4</sup> although a stable quantum H all smectic m ust have a certain am ount of quantum uctuations around the m ean- ekd state.<sup>15;16</sup> The necessary condition for the smectic order is the continuity of the stripes. If the stripes are allowed to rupture, the dislocations are created. They destroy the 1D positional order and convert the smectic into the nem atic.<sup>29</sup>

By de nition, the nem atic is an anisotropic liquid.<sup>28</sup> There is no long-range positional order. As for the orientational order, it is long-range at T = 0 and quasi-longrange (power-law correlations) at nite T. The nem atic is riddled with dynam ic dislocations. O ther types of topological defects, the disclinations, may also be present but rem ain bound in pairs, much like vortices in the 2D X -Y



Figure 2: Sketches of possible stripe phases.

m odel. O noe they unbind, all the spatial sym m etries are restored. The resultant state is an isotropic liquid with short-range stripe correlations. As the uctuations due to tem perature or quantum m echanics increase further, it gradually crosses over to the \uncorrelated liquid" where even the local stripe order is obliterated.

It is often the case that the low-frequency longwavelength physics of the system is governed by an e ective theory involving a relatively smallnum ber of dynam ical variables. In the remaining sections we will discuss such type of theories for the quantum H all liquid crystals.

#### 3 Sm ectic state

E ective theory. The collective variables in the sm ectic are (i) the deviations u (x;y) of the stripes from their equilibrium positions and (ii) long-wavelength density uctuations n about the average value  $n_0$ . The latter uctuations may originate, e.g., from width uctuations of the stripes. Let us assume that the stripes are aligned in the  $2^{-1}$ -direction, then the sym metry considerations x the e ective H am iltonian for u and n to be  $2^{28;17}$ 

$$H = \frac{Y}{2}^{n} e_{x} u - \frac{1}{2} (r u)^{2} + \frac{K}{2} (e_{y}^{2} u)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} n U n; \quad (1)$$

where Y and K are the phenom enological compression and the bending elastic moduli, and U (r) =  $e^2$  = r should be understood as the integral operator. The dynam ics of the smectric is dom inated by the Lorentz force and is governed by the Largangean

$$L = pQ_t u H; Q_p = m!_c (n + n_0Q_x u);$$
 (2)

where m is the electron mass and  $!_c = eB = mc$  is the cyclotron frequency.

From Eqs. (1) and (2) we can derive the spectrum of collective modes, the magnetophonons. It is natural

to start with the harm onic approximation where one replaces the rst term in H simply by  $(Y=2) (@_x u)^2$ . Solving the equations of motion for n and u we obtain the magnetophonon dispersion relation:<sup>17</sup>

$$! (q) = \frac{!_{p}(q)}{!_{c}} \frac{q_{v}}{q} \frac{Y q_{x}^{2} + K q_{y}^{4}}{m n_{0}}^{\# 1=2} :$$
(3)

Here  $!_p(q) = [n_0 U(q)q^2 = m]^{1=2}$  is the plasm a frequency and = arctan  $(q_i = q_k)$  is the angle between the propagation direction and the  $\hat{x}$ -axis. For C oulom b interactions  $!_p(q) / \frac{p}{q}$ . Unless propagate nearly parallel to the stripes, !(q) is proportional to sin 2  $q^{3=2}$ . One immediate consequence of this dispersion is that the largest velocity of propagation for the magnetophonons with a given q is achieved when = 45.

Thermal uctuations and anharmonisms. From Eq. (1) we can readily calculate the mean-square uctuations of the stripe positions at nite T, e.g.,

h[u (0;0) u (0;y)<sup>2</sup> i = 
$$\frac{k_B T}{2 Y K}$$
 jy j: (4)

A sone can see, at any nite tem peraturem agnetophonon uctuations are growing without a bound; hence, the positional order of a 2D sm ectic is totally destroyed <sup>28</sup> at  $s_b$  ciently large distances along the  $\hat{y}$ -direction,  $\hat{y}_j$ 

 $r \overline{YK} = k_B T$  y where = 2 =q is the interstripe separation. Similarly, along the  $\hat{x}$ -direction, the positional order is lost at lengthscales larger than  $x = (Y = K)^{1=2} \frac{2}{v}$ .

Another type of excitations, which disorder the stripe positions are the aforem entioned dislocations. The dislocations in a 2D sm ectic have a nite energy E D к. E<sub>D</sub> the density of therm ally excited disloca-At k<sub>B</sub> T tions is of the order of exp (  $E_D = k_B T$  ) and the average distance between dislocations is D  $\exp(2k_B T = E_D)$ . At low temperatures x; y $_{\rm D}$  ; therefore, the follow ing interesting situation em erges (Fig. 3). On the lengthscales smaller than v (or x, which ever appropriate) the system behaves like a usual sm ectic where Eqs. (1{3) apply. On the lengthscales exceeding <sub>D</sub> it behaves<sup>a</sup> like a nem atic.<sup>29</sup> In between the system is a smectic but with very unusual properties. It is topologically ordered (no dislocations) but possesses enorm ous uctuations. In these circum stances the harm onic elastic theory becom es inadequate and anharm onic term smust be treated carefully.

As shown by Golubovic and W ang,  $^{30}$  the anharmonism s cause power-law dependence of the parameters of the elective theory on the wavevector q:

Y 
$$Y_0 (y_y q_y)^{1=2}$$
; K  $K_0 (y_y q_y)^{1=2}$ ; (5)

<sup>a</sup> In a more precise treatment, <sup>30</sup> the length scales 
$$_{D x} / \frac{6^{=5}}{D}$$
 and  $_{D y} / \frac{4^{=5}}{D}$  are introduced such that  $_{D x D y} = \frac{2}{D}$ .



Figure 3: Portraits of the stripe phase on di erent lengthscales.

for 
$$q_x = {}_x^{-1} (q_y {}_y)^{3=2}$$
,  $q_y {}_y^{-1}$ , and  
Y Y<sub>0</sub> (  ${}_x q_x)^{1=3}$ ; K K<sub>0</sub> (  ${}_x q_x)^{-1=3}$ ; (6)

for  $q_x = \frac{1}{x}^1$  and  $q_y = \frac{1}{y}^1 (q_{x-x})^{2-3}$ . The lengthscale dependence of the parameters of the elective theory is a common feature of uctuation-dominated phenomena. It should be mentioned that the lower critical dimension for the smectic order is d = 3, <sup>28</sup> so that the 2D smectic is below its lower critical dimension. This is the reason why the scaling behavior (5) and (6) does not persist inde – nitely but eventually breaks down above the lengthscale  $_{\rm D}$  where the crossover to the therm odynam ic limit of the nem atic behavior commences.

The scaling show sup not only in the static properties such as Y and K but also in the dynamics. The role of anharm onism s in the dynam ics of conventional 3D sm ectics has been investigated by M azenko et al.<sup>31</sup> and also by K ats and Lebedev <sup>32</sup>. For the quantum H all stripes the analysis had to be done anew because here the dynam ics is totally di erent. It is dom inated by the Lorentz force rather than a viscous relaxation in the conventional sm ectics. This task was accomplished in R ef.17. The calculation was based on the M artin-Siggia-Rose form alism combined with the -expansion below d = 3 dimensions. O ne set of results concerns the spectrum of the magnetophonon m odes, which becom es

! (q) 
$$\sin \cos^{7=6} (xq)^{5=3} \frac{!_p (x^1)}{!_c x} \frac{1}{m n_0}$$
: (7)

C om pared to the predictions of the harm onic theory, Eq. (3), the  $q^{3=2}$ -dispersion changes to  $q^{5=3}$ . A lso, the maximum propagation velocity is achieved for the angle 53 instead of = 45. These modi cations, which take place at long wavelengths, are mainly due to the renormalization of Y in the static limit and can be obtained by combining Eqs. (3) and (6). Less obvious dynamicale ects peculiar to the quantum H all sm ectics include a novel dynamical scaling of Y and K as a function of frequency and a speci c q-dependence of the m agnetophonon dam ping.<sup>17</sup>

The latter issue touches on an important point. Our e ective theory de ned by Eqs. (1) and (2) is based on the

assumption that u and n are the only low energy degrees of freedom. It is probably well justi ed at T ! 0 but becomes incorrect at higher temperatures. The point of view taken in Ref. 17 is that in the latter case therm ally excited quasiparticles (\norm al uid") should appear and that they should bring dissipation into the dynam ics of the magnetophonons. Another intriguing possibility is for quasiparticles or other additional low energy degrees offreedom to exist even at T = 0. Such more complicated smectic states are interesting subjects for future study.

### 4 Nem atic

A s discussed above, at nite tem perature and in the therm odynam ic lim it, the sm ectic phase is always unstable. The lowest degree of ordering is that of a nem atic.<sup>28</sup> An intriguing possibility<sup>14</sup> is to have a nem atic phase already at T = 0, due to quantum uctuations. The collective degree of freedom associated with the nem atic ordering is the angle (r;t) between the local norm alto the stripes N and the x-axis orientation. The elective H am iltonian for N is dictated by symmetry to be

$$H_N = \frac{K_1}{2} (r N)^2 + \frac{K_3}{2} jr N^2$$
 (8)

The phenom enological coe cients K  $_1$  and K  $_3$  are term ed the splay and the bend Frank constants.<sup>28</sup> A particularly simple form is obtained if K  $_1 = K_3$ , in which case H  $_N = (K_3=2) (r)^2$  just like in the X -Y model.

Another obvious degree of freedom in the nematic are the density uctuations n(r;t). A peculiar fact is that in the static lim it n is totally decoupled from N, and so it does not enter Eq. (8). However, since the nematic is less ordered than even a smectic, the question about extra low energy degrees of freedom or additional quasiparticles is highly relevant. We believe that dierent types of quantum H all nematics are possible in nature. In the simplest case scenario N and n are the only low energy degrees of freedom. This is presumably the case when the nematic order is a superstructure on top of a parent uniform state. A concrete example is described by a wavefunction proposed by M usaelian and Joynt:<sup>24</sup>

$$= \bigvee_{j < k} (z_{j} \quad z_{k}) [(z_{j} \quad z_{k})^{2} \quad a^{2}] \quad \exp \qquad X \\ j = \sum_{j < k} j \quad j = 41^{2} :$$

Here  $z_j = p x_j + i y_j$  is a complex coordinate of jth electron, l = hc=eB is the magnetic length, and a is another complex parameter that determ ines the degree of orientational order and the direction of the stripes. The rotational invariance is broken if jaj exceeds some critical value. This particular wavefunction corresponds to

=  $\frac{1}{3}$  but can be easily generalized to higher Landau levels with  $_{\rm N}$  =  $\frac{1}{3}$  lling. This type of state has been



Figure 4: W orldlines of dislocations in (a) sm ectic (b) nem atic.

studied by Balents  $^{25}$  and recently by the present author.  $^{20}\,$  It was essentially postulated that the e ective Largangean takes the form

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \, {}^{1} \, \left( \Theta_{t} N \right)^{2} \, H : \qquad (10)$$

(As hinted above, the full expression contains also couplings between  $@_tN$  and m ass currents but they become vanishingly sm all in the long-wavelength lim it). The collective excitations are charge-neutral uctuations of the director. They have a linear dispersion,

α

$$! (q) = q K_1 \cos^2 + K_3 \sin^2 ; \qquad (11)$$

and resemble spinwaves in the  $\rm X$  -Y quantum rotor model.

The quantum nem atic phase must be separated from the stable zero-tem perature smectic by a quantum phase transition. Further insights into the properties of the quantum nem atics can be gained by analyzing the nature of such a transition. By analogy to the classical smectic-nem atic transition in two<sup>29</sup> and three<sup>33</sup> dim ensions, we expect the quantum one to also be driven by the proliferation of dislocations. Pictorially, the di erence between the smectic and nem atic can be represented as follows. The dislocations are viewed as lines in the (2 + 1)D space. In the smectic phase, they form small closed loops (Fig. 4a) that depict virtual pair creationannihilation events; in the nem atic phase arbitrarily long dislocation worldlines exist and may entangle (Fig. 4b), sim ilar to worldlines of particles in a Bose super uid.

To incorporate the dislocations into the e ective Lagrangean (2), we use a well known duality transform ation, see, for example, Ref. 34. By means of such a transform ation the original degrees of freedom u and n are traded for new variables: the second-quantized dislocation eld and an auxillary U (1) gauge eld a , which mediates the interaction among the dislocations. The imaginary-time e ective action for and a has the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} Z & Z \\ d & d^2 r & \frac{t}{2} j (i \theta & a \oplus a^{ext}) j^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $+ V () + H_{a}[a];$  (12)

$$H_{a} = \frac{\frac{2}{x}}{2Y} + \frac{1}{y} (2K (\frac{2}{y})^{1} + \frac{1}{2} (\frac{2}{y})^{1} +$$

Phenom enological param eters introduced above are as follow s. Param etert is of the order of  $h^2 = E_{c}$ , where  $E_{c}$  is the dislocation core energy estim ated within the Hartree-Fock approximation in Refs. 4 and 21. Unfortunately, this estimate is not reliable in the quantum nematic state where the quantum uctuations are large. P aram eter  $t_x$  $(\text{length})^2$  is the hopping maof dimension of energy trix element for dislocation motion in the &-direction, ie, dislocation glide. Such a glide requires quantum tunneling and is exponentially sm all unless < 1. Parameter ty describes the dislocation climb, which also originates from the dynam ics on the microscopic length scales. Yet another phenom enological variable is the potential V () = m  $jj^2 + r jj^4 + \dots$ , which accounts for a self-energy and a short-range interaction between the dislocations; the scales of m and r are set by  $E_c$ and  $E_c^2$ , respectively. Finally,  $e_D$  is electric charge of the dislocation that couples to the external vector potential  $a^{ext} = a_x^{ext} = 0$ ,  $a_y^{ext} = B x$ . This coupling is introduced only for the sake of generality. Since we study electron liquid crystalphases derived from incom pressible liquids, we expect dislocations to be electrically neutral, i.e.,  $e_D = 0$ .

To recover Eq. (11) we assume that the dislocations have condensed,  $h = _0 \in 0$ . Solving for the collective mode spectrum of the action (12), we nd

$$!_{1}(q) = \frac{m_{x}}{m}q_{x}^{2} + m_{x}Kq_{y}^{2}; m \quad t^{2}j_{0}f; (15)$$

which is consistent with Eq.(11) if  $K_1 = 1 = m_x K_3 = K$ , and  $m_x$ .

Remarkably, the magnetophonon mode of the parent smectic (3), does not totally disappear from the spectrum. Instead, it acquires a small gap  $m_y Y$  at q = 0. This gapped mode anti-crosses with the acoustic branch (15) near the point  $!_1^2(q) = m_y Y$ , and at larger q becomes the lowest frequency collective mode with the dispersion relation

$$!_{2}(q) = \frac{q_{x}^{2}q_{y}^{2}}{m^{2}!_{c}^{2}}YU(q) + m_{y}Y$$
(16)

only slightly di erent from (3). At such q the structure factor of the nem atic has two sets of -functional peaks,

$$S(!;q) = \frac{hq_y^2}{m!_c^2} \frac{K q_y^4}{m n_0} (!^2 !_1^2) + \frac{Y q_x^2}{m n_0} (!^2 !_2^2);$$

which split between them selves the spectral weight of the single collective mode of the smectic. The presence of the two modes can be explained by the existence of two order parameters: the aforementioned unit vector (more precisely, director) N norm alto the local stripe orientation and the complex wavefunction  $_0$  of the dislocation condensate. Classical 2D nematics have two (overdam ped) modes virtually for the same reason.<sup>29</sup>

Recently, Radzihovsky and Dorsey<sup>27</sup> formulated a qualitatively di erent theory of the quantum Hall nematics, whose predictions disagree with our Eqs. (10) and (11). At this point it is unclear whether these authors study a di erent kind of nem atic or they actually contest the theoreticalm odels proposed by Balents<sup>25</sup> and the present author.<sup>20</sup> To resolve som e of these issues it is in perative to bring the discussion from the level of e ective theory to the level of quantitative calculations. One prom ising direction is to investigate som e concrete trial wavefunctions of quantum nem atics, e.g., Eq. (9). Recently, the work in this direction was continued by C iftia nad W exter.<sup>26</sup> It is also desirable to nd a functional form of the electron-electron interaction which gives rise to the nem atic ground state. An educated guess<sup>2</sup> is that even a realistic Coulomb interaction may be su cient  $r_s^2$  . However, the quest provided r<sub>s</sub> 1 and 1 N for quantum nem atic may not be easy. Finite-size study by Rezayiet al.<sup>35</sup> suggests that the transition from the smectic to an isotropic phase as a function of the interaction param eters (Haldane's pseudopotentials) can also occur via a rst-order transition, without the interm ediate nem atic phase.

Experimentally, the nem atic can be distinguished from the smectic by, e.g., the microwave absorption technique: the nem atic will show two dispersing collective modes while the smectic will produce a single one. To circum vent disorder pinning elects, such measurements should be done at high enough q.

## A cknow ledgm ents

This work is supported by the MIT Pappalardo Fellowships Program in Physics. I would like to thank A.A.Koulakov, B.I.Shklovskii, and V.M.Vinokur for previous collaboration on the topics discussed.

#### References

- For review, see Quantum Hall E ect edited by R.E.Prange and S.M.Girvin (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990); Perspectives in Quantum HallEffect edited by S.DasSamma and A.Pinczuk (Wiley, New York, 1997).
- 2. For review, see M.M. Fogler, cond-m at/0111001.

- I.L.A leiner and L.I.G lazm an, Phys. Rev. B 52, 11 296 (1995).
- 4. A.A.Koulakov, M.M.Fogler, and B.I.Shklovskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 499 (1996); M.M.Fogler, A.A.Koulakov, and B.I.Shklovskii, Phys. Rev. B54, 1853 (1996).
- 5. H.Fukuyama, P.M.Platzman, and P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. B19, 5211 (1979).
- M.M.Foglerand A.A.Koulakov, Phys. Rev. B 55, 9326 (1997).
- 7. R.M oessner and J.T.Chalker, Phys. Rev. B54, 5006 (1996).
- 8. E.H. Rezayi, F.D. M. Haldane, and K. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1219 (1999); ibid 85, 5396 (2000).
- N. Shibata and D. Yoshioka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5755 (2001).
- 10. M. P. Lilly, K. B. Cooper, J. P. Eisenstein, L.N.Pfeier, and K.W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 394 (1999).
- 11. R.R.Du, D.C.Tsui, H.L.Storm er, L.N.P fei er, and K.W. West, Solid State Commun. 109, 389 (1999).
- 12. M. Shayegan, H. C. Manoharan, S. J. Papadakis, and E. P. DePoortere, Physica E 6, 40 (2000).
- 13. J. P. Eisenstein, M. P. Lilly, K. B. Cooper, L. N. Pfei er, and K. W. West, Physica E 9, 1 (2001); J.P. Eisenstein, Solid State Commun. 117, 132 (2001).
- 14. E. Fradkin and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. B59, 8065 (1999).
- 15. A.H.M acD onald and M.P.A.Fisher, Phys. Rev. B61, 5724 (2000).
- 16. H.A.Fertig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3693 (1999).
- 17. M .M .Fogler and V .M .V inokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5828 (2000).
- 18. R. Côte and H. A. Fertig, Phys. Rev. B 62, 1993 (2000).
- 19. H.Y i, H.A. Fertig, and R.Côte, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4156 (2000).
- 20. M.M. Fogler, cond-m at/0107306.
- 21.C.Wexler and A.T.Dorsey, Phys. Rev. B64, 115 312 (2001).
- 22. D. G. Barci, E. Fradkin, S. A. Kivelson, and V.Oganesyan, cond-m at/0105448.
- 23. A. Lopatnikova, B. I. Halperin, S. H. Simon, and X.-G. W en, cond-m at/0105079.
- 24. K. M usaelian and R. Joynt, J. Phys. Cond. M at. 8, L105 (1996).
- 25. L.Balents, Europhys. Lett. 33, 291 (1996).
- 26.0.Ciftja and C.W exler, cond-m at/0108119.
- 27. L. Radzihovsky and A. T. Dorsey, condmat/0110083.
- 28. P.G. de Gennes and J.Prost, The Physics of Liquid Crystals (Oxford University Press, New York,

1995).

- 29. J. Toner and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B23, 316 (1981).
- 30. L.Golubovic and Z.-G.W ang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2535 (1992).
- 31. G. F. Mazenko, S. Ramaswamy, and J. Toner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 51 (1982); Phys. Rev. A28, 1618 (1983).
- 32. E.I.K ats and V.V.Lebedev, FluctuationalE ects in The Dynamics of Liquid Crystals (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994).
- 33. J. Toner, Phys. Rev. B26, 462 (1982); A.R.Day, T.C.Lubensky, and A.J.McKane, Phys. Rev. A27, 1461 (1983).
- 34. M. P. A. Fisher and D. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. B39, 2756 (1989).
- 35. E.H. Rezayi and F.D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4685 (2000).