2D RANDOM DIRAC FERM IONS: LARGE N APPROACH

D . Serban

Service de Physique Theorique, CE Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France serban@spht.saclay.cea.fr

A bstract

We study the symmetry classes for the random D irac fermions in 2 dimensions. We consider N $_{\rm f}$ species of fermions, coupled by dierent types of disorder. We analyse the renormalisation group ow at the order of one loop. At N $_{\rm f}$ large, the disorder distribution ows to an isotropic distribution and the elective action is a sigma model.

1. Introduction

R andom D irac ferm ions in 2 dim ensions appear in various contexts in condensed m atterphysics. They were used to model disorder in systems with degenerate Ferm i surface [2], in the d-wave superconductors [3, 4] or to investigate the plateau transition in the integer quantum Halle ect [5]. In all these problems, the main question concerns the localization of quasiparticles, often dierent from the generic Anderson localization.

The universal properties of a system where random ness is present depend largely on the discrete symmetries, like time reversal invariance or spin rotation symmetry. This principle governs the Wigner-Dyson classication of random hermitian matrices [1]. Considering other discrete symmetries, like particle-hole or chiral symmetry, led Altland and Zimbauer [6] to extend this classication. However, it was recognized that the physics of 2d Dirac fermions is richer than expected from the random matrix classication, in the sense that for the same symmetry class, some systems may be critical and other not. One reason is that in two dimension, the sigma models, which are supposed to describe the universal physics, can support a topological term. In the form of a theta or WZW term, they can render the model critical [7, 8]. Sometimes, the presence of such a term can be deduced from general considerations [7, 8], in other situations it can be obtained exactly [3, 16]. A possible strategy, adopted in [14, 15] and applied here to all classes, is to try to

derive the e ective sigm a model, topological term included, by chain of controlled approximations. The drawback is that we have to consider a large number of ferm ion species N $_{\rm f}$ in order to have a control parameter for the approximation. This means that the most interesting cases, where N $_{\rm f}$ is small, are out of reach of this method.

The symmetry classes for 2d random D irac ham iltonians, were recently analysed [9]. The constraint that the discrete symmetries should also preserve the form of the D irac operator leads to a renement of the A Itland-Z imbauer classication, in which the classes A III, C I and D III, appear each in two dierent forms. Here, we pursue the characterization of the symmetry classes for the D irac Ham iltonians of [9]. We compute the beta function for all the disorder perturbations. When the number of fermion components N_f is large the low of the coupling constants generically closes on a single coupling constant, a fact which simplies considerably the derivation of the elective action. This was done for classes D in [14] and for classes C and C I in [15], and we refer to these papers for the details of the method. Without surprise, we obtain the sigma models defined on the manifolds identified by Z imbauer [6]. The two dierent versions of classes A III, C I and D III give rise to sigma models with or without a W ZW term.

We consider random Dirac Hamiltonians in 2d, in the representation

$$H = \begin{array}{ccc} V + M & 2iQ_z + A_z \\ 2iQ_z + A_z & V & M \end{array} ; \qquad (1)$$

where $@_z = (@_x - i@_y) = 2$, $@_z = (@_x + i@_y) = 2$ and V , M , A $_z$ and A $_z$ are random matrix elds of dimension N $_f$.

The discrete sym m etries preserving the form of the D irac H am iltonian are $\[9 \]$

$$H = P H P^{-1}; P = 0$$
 ; $P P^{y} = 1; P^{2} = 1$ (2)

$$H = {}_{C}CH^{T}C^{1}; C = {}_{C}0$$
; $CC^{y} = 1; C^{T} = C : (3)$

The rst condition will be called chiral sym m etry, while the second one could be called particle-hole sym m etry when $_{\rm C}=-1$ and time reversal sym m etry (or spin rotation invariance) when $_{\rm C}=+1$. The sym m etry conditions in pose constraints on the matrix elds V , M , A $_{\rm Z}$ and A $_{\rm Z}$, which are listed in [9]. We consider gaussian probability distribution for the disorder, compatible with the sym metry conditions.

The spectral properties of the H am iltonians 1 can be analysed using the supersym m etry [10] (or replica) m ethod, in which products of G reen functions are represented by gaussian integral over equal numbers of ferm ionic and bosonic D irac elds. A verage over gaussian random ness introduce e ective coupling between the bosonic and ferm ionic elds, the coupling constants being the disorder variances.

The rst step of our analysis is the renorm alisation group ow for the e ective coupling constants. The analysis can be eventually performed at all orders [11]. In the section 3 we give the one loop beta functions for all the classes and for any N $_{\rm f}$. Generically, at large N $_{\rm f}$ the ow closes on a single coupling constant, corresponding to equal variances for dierent types of disorder. 1 In this case, thee ective interaction, quartic in the the D irac elds, can be decoupled via a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation using a (super)matrix eld Q whose dimension does not depend on N $_{\rm f}$. The number of components of the D irac elds appear as an overall factor and it can be used as control parameter for a saddle point approximation.

The e ective action for the eld Q , hence the saddle point equation, has global invariance, described by a group G . The solutions of the saddle point equation break the invariance to a subgroup H , so that the manifold of saddle points is given by $G=\!H$. The low energy behaviour is obtained by taking slow ly varying con gurations of the eld on $G=\!H$ (G oldstone m odes) and evaluating the action for these m odes. The result is a sigm a m odel on some symmetric (super) space. G iven the fact that in two dimensions sigm a m odels can support a topological term , we may obtain a theta term or a W ZW one. In the last section, we give a list of bilinears in D irac elds to which couple the eld Q , the invariance group G of Q , as well as a diagonal solution of the saddle point equation q_0 together with its stabiliser H . Together with the coupling constants, these are the basic ingredients to characterize the sigm a model for each symmetry class.

2. Disorder perturbations

We use notations \sin ilar to [14]. To obtain a compact notation, we introduce supermultiplets

and sim ilarly for , $^{\rm t}$, where $_{+}$ and $_{\rm are}$ ferm ion multiplets with N $_{\rm f}$ components while $_{+}$ and $_{\rm are}$ bosonic ghosts with the same number of components. The superscript T denotes transposition.

In the absence of disorder, the action for the D irac elds is written

$$S_{\text{cft}} = \frac{Z}{2} \frac{d^2 x}{2} (^t \theta_z + ^t \theta_z) ;$$
 (5)

and it corresponds to a conform all eld theory with central charge c=0. From the holomorphic (antiholomorphic) elds (), one can construct the current algebra $0 \text{ Sp}(2N_f)_{k=1} [16]$.

The perturbation induced by disorder is

$$S_{pert} = g_i^{Z} \frac{d^2x}{2} O_i;$$
 (6)

where $g_{\rm i}$ are the disorder variances associated to di erent disorder invariants and the operators 0 $_{\rm i}$ are de ned as follows

$$O_{A} = X ({}^{t}E_{I})({}^{t}E_{I}); O_{a} = ({}^{t}E_{0})({}^{t}E_{0});$$

$$O_{V} = X^{2A} ({}^{t}E_{I})^{2}; O_{V} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2};$$

$$O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{I})^{2}; O_{m} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2};$$

$$O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2}; O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2};$$

$$O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2}; O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2};$$

$$O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2}; O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2};$$

$$O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2}; O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2};$$

$$O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2}; O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2};$$

$$O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2}; O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2};$$

$$O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2}; O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2};$$

$$O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2}; O_{M} = ({}^{t}E_{0})^{2};$$

w here

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{I}} = \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{I}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{array} ;$$

 e_I are generators of gl(N $_f$) norm alized by Tr(e_Ie_J) = $_{IJ}$ and A; V; M are subsets of indices of sl(N $_f$) which depend on the sym m etry class. e_0 is the identity norm alized by Tr e_0^2 = 1.

3. The one loop beta functions

To study the renorm alisation group ow for the coupling constants, we need the corresponding beta function. They can be derived from the operator product expansion of the perturbing operators O $_{\rm i}$

$$O_{i}(z;z)O_{j}(0) ' \frac{1}{27}C_{ij}^{k}O_{k}(0) + \text{reg};$$
 (8)

The one loop beta functions are given by [13]

$$_{k} \quad \mathbb{1}g_{1}g_{k} = \quad \begin{array}{c} X \\ C_{ij}^{k}g_{i}g_{j} \\ \end{array}$$
 (9)

The results for dierent classes are listed in the remaining of this section.

The non-chiral classes

Class 0 (A)

This class do not posses neither chiral invariance, nor particle-hole/time reversal symmetry We set N $_{\rm f}$ = N and consider only disorder which do not break parity invariance of the action. The disorder elds A, V and M belong to gl(N); the sl(N) part generates the perturbation operators O $_{\rm A}$; O $_{\rm V}$; O $_{\rm M}$, while the gl(1) part generates O $_{\rm a}$; O $_{\rm V}$; O $_{\rm m}$. The corresponding beta functions are

$$A = \frac{D}{2} g_A^2 \frac{D}{8} g_M^2 + g_V^2 + \frac{B}{4} g_M g_V + \frac{1}{N} g_M g_V + \frac{1}{N} g_M g_V;$$

$$A = \frac{N y}{4} g_M g_V + \frac{1}{N} g_M g_V;$$

$$A = x g_M^2 \frac{1}{N} (g_M + g_V) g_M + 2x \frac{D}{2} g_A g_M + \frac{B}{2} g_A g_V$$

$$A + \frac{2}{N} g_A g_V + \frac{2}{N} g_A (g_V + g_M) x g_M g_V;$$

$$A = x g_V^2 + \frac{1}{N} (g_M + g_V) g_V + 2x \frac{D}{2} g_A g_V + \frac{B}{2} g_A g_M$$

$$A + \frac{2}{N} g_A g_M + \frac{2}{N} g_A (g_M + g_V) + x g_M g_V;$$

$$A = \frac{1}{N} g_M (g_M + g_V) C g_M (g_M + g_V) + 2C g_A g_M$$

$$A + \frac{2}{N} g_A (g_M + g_V) + \frac{N}{2} g_A g_V;$$

$$A = \frac{1}{N} g_V (g_V + g_M) + C g_V (g_V + g_M) + 2C g_A g_V$$

$$A = \frac{1}{N} g_A (g_V + g_M) + C g_V (g_V + g_M) + 2C g_A g_V$$

$$A = \frac{1}{N} g_A (g_V + g_M) + C g_V (g_V + g_M) + 2C g_A g_V$$

$$A = \frac{1}{N} g_A (g_V + g_M) + C g_V (g_V + g_M) + 2C g_A g_V$$

$$A = \frac{1}{N} g_A (g_V + g_M) + \frac{N}{2} g_A g_M;$$

where the constants, with group theoretical signi cance, are

D =
$$2N$$
; $C = \frac{N^2 - 1}{N}$; $B = \frac{2(N^2 - 4)}{N}$; $X = \frac{1}{N}$; $Y = \frac{4(N^2 - 1)}{N^2}$:

In the large N lim it, the line $g_A = g_V = g_M = g_m = g_v = 2g_a = g$ 1=N is preserved by the RG ow. It is interesting to note that we cannot obtain an invariant line with all the coupling constants positive. Classes 3 and 4 (D and C)

These classes have particle-hole sym m etry ($_{\rm C}$ = 1), inforced by sym m etric, respectively antisym m etric m atrix $^{\rm T}$ = . W e set N $_{\rm f}$ = 2N , but for class D , N can be half integer. The random elds A and V belong to the algebra so (2N) (case D) and sp (2N) (class C). These algebra are spanned by a subset of the sl (2N) generators $e_{\rm I}$, $_{\rm I}$, selected by the condition $_{\rm I}$ = $_{\rm I}^{\rm T}$ 1. The eld M belongs to the complement of these algebras with respect to sl (2N), spanned by $_{\rm T_I}$ with $_{\rm T_I}$ =

 $T_{\rm I}^{\rm T}$ ¹. O perators O $_{\rm a}$; O $_{\rm v}$ are not allowed by these sym m etry classes. The beta functions are

with the group coe cients (again, the upper sign is for class ${\tt D}\,$ and the lower one for class ${\tt C}\,$):

At large N , an invariant line is given by $g_A=g_M=g_V=g_m=g$. Classes 3_+ and 4_+ (AII and AI)

These classes have time reversal (spin rotation) symmetry, with $_{\rm c}=1$ and $^{\rm T}=$. They are similar to classes 3 and 4 , with the random elds V and M changing roles: now M belongs to so (2N) and sp (2N) respectively, while V belongs to the complement with respect to sl (2N). The beta functions can be obtained from that of the classes 3 and 4 by changing $g_{\rm M}$ \$ $g_{\rm V}$ and $g_{\rm m}$ \$ $g_{\rm V}$.

The chiral classes

The rst type of chiral classes corresponds to = 1 in eq. 2 and it comprises three classes. Only disorder of the vector potential type is allowed, and these classes can be seen as \lim its of the previous ones when q_V ; q_M ; q_W ; q_m ! 0.

Class 1 (AIII)

The random gauge potential A belongs to gl(N) ' gl(1) sl(N) (N $_{\rm f}$ = N).

$$_{A} = \frac{D}{2}g_{A}^{2}$$
; $_{a} = 0$; (10)

 $w \pm h D = 2N$.

Classes 5 and 6 (DIII and CI)

In addition to the chiral sym m etry, these classes posses particle-hole sym m etry. W e set N $_{\rm f}$ = 2N . The random gauge potential A belongs to so (2N) and sp (2N) respectively

$$_{A} = \frac{D}{2} g_{A}^{2} ; \qquad (11)$$

with D = 2 (N 1).

The second type of chiral classes correspond to $\,=\,_3$ and it comprises veclasses. We set N $_{\rm f}$ = 2N , but for classes 8 and 9+ N should be understood as even integer.

Class 2 (AIII)

The chiral symmetry imposes the constraint A;]=0 to the random gauge potential; this condition selects an algebra gl(N) gl(N)' (gl(1) sl(N)) out of gl(2N). The two gl(1) parts are generated by the identity and and we denote the associated coupling constants by g_a and g_a . We denote by e_i the generators of sl(N) sl(N). The two sl(N) components can have dierent variances, g_{A1} and g_{A2} , with $g_A = (g_{A1} g_{A2}) = 2$.

The elds V and M are constrained by fV; g = 0, fM ; g = 0, so they belong to gl(2N)n (gl(N) $\,$ gl(N)), generated by e .0 perators O $_{\rm V}$ and O $_{\rm m}$ are not allowed by the chiral classes.

The beta functions are

with the group coe cients

$$D_{ii} = D_{sl(N)} = 2N ;$$
 $D = D_{gl(2N)} D_{gl(N)} = 2N ;$
 $D_{i} = D_{gl(2N)} = 2 + \frac{2}{N} = 2N + \frac{2}{N} ;$ $y = 2;$
 $x = 0;$ $B_{i} = \frac{2(N^{2} - 1)}{N};$ $B = 2N :$

At large N , the line $g_A^{}=0$, $g_A^+=g_M^{}=g_V^{}=2g_a^{}=4g_a^{}$ is left invariant by the RG $\,$ ow .

Classes 7 and 8 (DIII and CI)

These classes have both chiral sym m etry and particle-hole sym m etry, with the matrices inforcing these sym metries com muting.

We split the generators of gl(2N) in four groups $_{i};\;\;;T_{i};T$, with $_{i};\;T_{i}$ commuting with $_{3}$ and $_{5};\;T$ anticommuting with it; also, $_{i};\;=\;\;_{i}^{T}\;\;^{1}$ and $T_{i};\;=\;T_{i}^{T}\;\;^{1}$. The random gauge potential A is an element of so (N) so (N) or sp (N) sp (N) generated by $_{i}.$ If we choose the representation $_{C}=\;1,V$ belongs to o (2N) no (N) or sp (2N) n sp (N) sp (N) respectively, generated by , while the eld M is generated by T .

The beta functions are

where the group coe cients are

$$D^{ii} = N 2; D = D_{TT} = N;$$

 $D^{i} = D^{i}_{T} = N 1;$
 $x_{TTT} = x = x_{T} = x_{TT} = \frac{1}{2}; x^{ii}_{T} = x^{ii} = 0;$
 $B_{T} = N; B^{i} = B^{i}_{T} = N 1:$

In the large N $\,$ lim it the RG $\,$ ow preserve the line $g_A=0$, $g_A^+=g_M=g_V$. C lasses 9_+ and 9 (D I and C II)

These classes have also both particle-hole and chiral sym m etry, with the matrices enforcing these constraints anticom muting, f; g = 0.

If we choose $_{\text{C}}=1$, the m atrix de ning the particle-hole sym m etry is sym m etric for class D I and antisym m etric for class C II, as for the cases 3 and 4 or 7 and 8. The opposite choice exchanges the sym m etry of the m atrix between the two classes.

As in the previous case, we split the gl(2N) generators into four classes. Since f; g=0, i spans now gl(N), to which the eld A belong. V is generated by , which span o (2N) ngl(N) and sp (2N) ngl(N) respectively. M is again generated by T .

$$M_{M} = X_{TTT} g_{M}^{2} + 2X_{T}^{i} \frac{D_{T}^{i}}{2} g_{A}^{+} g_{M} + \frac{B_{T}^{i}}{2} g_{A}^{+} g_{V} X_{T} g_{M} g_{V};$$

$$V_{V} = X_{T} g_{V}^{2} + 2X_{T}^{i} \frac{D_{T}^{i}}{2} g_{A}^{+} g_{V} + \frac{B_{T}^{i}}{2} g_{A}^{+} g_{M} + X_{T} g_{M} g_{V};$$

with

At large N the RG ow preserves the line $g_{A}=0$ and $g_{A}^{+}=g_{M}=g_{V}=4g_{a}$.

We note that the equations listed above have interesting properties under the transform ation g_M \$ g_V and g_m \$ g_V . This operation leave the equations for classes 0, 2, 7 and 8 invariant and exchanges the ones for classes 3 , 4 and 9 , therefore it is equivalent to changing the sign of $_C$, when de ned 2 . Moreover, on the line $g_M = g_V = g$ (= $g_m = g_V$, when they exist), the ow for g and the ow for g_A decouple. This was noted in [18] for class 0 and was related to "spin-charge" separation, for class C in [12].

4. H ubbard-Stratonovich transform ation and the sigm a model

We consider products of n G reen functions, n_R retarded and n_A advanced, and we need to introduce a copy of the multiplets 4 for each G reen function. When the H am iltonian has chiral or particle-hole invariance, the spectrum is symmetric with respect to E=0 and we do not need to distinguish between retarded and advanced sector. In this case, the density of states can be singular at the point E=0.

As already mentioned, when the coupling constant have equal values, the decoupling of the quartic interaction is particularly simple. At that particular point, the disorder perturbation can be written only in terms of singlets of gl(N $_{\rm f}$). The decoupling matrix Q couples to these singlets and it has a size independent of N $_{\rm f}$. Up to an additive constant, the elective action for Q is

$$S[Q] = N_f \frac{1}{\alpha}^Z \frac{d^2x}{2} STrQ^2 STrln \frac{Q}{\theta} \frac{\theta}{Q}$$
; (12)

where g N $_{\rm f}$ g. Part of the invariance of the free action 5,0 Sp(2nN $_{\rm f}$ ½nN $_{\rm f}$), survives in the e ective action 12. We denote the residual invariance group by G .

The saddle point equation S[Q] = Q = 0 can be solved making a diagonal ansaz $Q_0 = q_0$, where $q_0^2 = 1$ and has the dimension of a mass. As there is no scale in the problem, g_i being dimensionless, is dynamically generated. The group G acts on Q by conjugation, and from a particular saddle point solution g_0 one generates a whole manifold by q_0 ! $q = gq_0g^{-1}$. This manifold is isomorphic to G = H, where H is the stabilizer of q_0 . The last step in deriving the sigma model is to evaluate the action 12 on slow ly varying con gurations q(x) on G = H. An elegant way of doing it is to use non-abelian bosonisation [17], as in [4,14] and to write the free action as a W ZW model. The eld q(x) becomes a mass term for the W ZW eld, which is forced to follow it. At scales larger than x_0 , the ective action is

$$S[q] = \frac{1}{16 \text{ f}}^{Z} \frac{d^2x}{2} STr@q@q + S_{top}[q]$$
 (13)

In the following, we give the main characteristics of the sigma model manifold for the 13 classes.

Class 0 (A

Q
$$Tr_{gl(N)}[3; t+t]; q_0 = 3;$$

G = $GL(njn); H = GL(nRjnR) GL(nAjnA);$

with = diag(1_{n_R} ; 1_{n_A}).

Classes 3 and 4 (D and C)

Q
$$Tr_{g1(2N)}$$
 ^t + ^t ¹; $q_0 = _3$;
G = $OSp(2n / 2n)$; H = $GL(n / n)$;

with diag(1;)

C lasses 3_{+} and 4_{+} (A II and A I)

The e ective action for the classes 1, 5 and 6 is invariant under multiplication at left/right by holomorphic/antiholomorphic group elements. This is a sign that the theory is conformally invariant and indeed, when deriving the sign a model we obtain a W ZW term.

Class 1 (A III)

Q
$$Tr_{gl(N)}[3; t]; Q 1=2 Tr_{gl(N)}[3; t];$$

$$q_0 = 3$$
; $G = GL(nj_1)_L GL(nj_2)_R$; $H = GL(nj_2)$:

The Level of the W ZW action is $k = N_f$.

Classes 5 and 6 (D III and C I)

Q
$$Tr_{g1(2N)}$$
 t 1; Q $Tr_{g1(2N)}$ t 1;
 $q_0 = 3$; $G = OSp(n \dot{p})_L$ $OSp(n \dot{p})_R$; $H = OSp(n \dot{p})$:

The level of the W ZW action is k=2N, the change of sign indicating that the compact and non-compact sector sw itch from the orthogonal to the sym plectic sector from one class to another.

To take into account the chiral sym metry for the last ve classes, we double the supermultiplets by

! =
$$\frac{1}{p_{\overline{2}}}$$
 ; $t = \frac{1}{p_{\overline{2}}}(t^{t})$;
! = $\frac{1}{p_{\overline{2}}}$; $t = \frac{1}{p_{\overline{2}}}(t^{t})$

Class 2 (AIII)

Q
$$Tr_{gl(2N)}[3; ^t + ^t]; q_0 = _3 _3;$$

G = GL(njn) GL(njn); H = GL(njn);

where $_3$ is the Paulim atrix acting in the extra space introduced to take into account the chiral sym m etry. G is selected from GL (2n ½n) by the condition [g; $_1$] = 0.

Classes 7 and 8 (D III and C I)

Q
$$Tr_{gl(2N)}$$
 $t + t$ 1; $q_0 = 3$ 3;
G = $OSp(2n \frac{1}{2}n)$ $OSp(2n \frac{1}{2}n)$; H = $OSp(2n \frac{1}{2}n)$:

G is selected from $OSp(4n \not \exists n)$ by the condition [g; 1] = 0 C lasses 9 and 9+ (D I and C II)

Q
$$Tr_{g1(2N)}$$
 $t + t$ 1; $q_0 = 3$ 3;
G = GL(2n/2n); H = OSp(2n/2n):

The group G is selected by from OSp(4n j4n) by [g; 3 1].

The classes 2, 7, 8 and 9 do not allow a theta term; also, there is no W ZW term. Note that the sigm a model is dened on the same manifold for the pair of classes 1 and 2 (class A III), 5 and 7 (class D III) and 6 and 8 (class C I); the dierence between the two members of a pair consists only on the presence or absence of the W ZW term.

The coupling constant for the sigm a model is given by the inverse of the number of the D irac ferm ions, $f = 1 = N_f$.

N otes

- 1. Exceptions may be the coupling constants corresponding to some u (1) part of the disorder, speci c to classes 0, 1, 2 and 9. This situation was analysed in the case 1 (A III) in [4] and in [18] in a non-herm itian version of case 0. For the moment, we ignore this point and analyse the case of equal disorder variances.
- 2. We thank to D . Bernard for pointing out that this can be realized on the D irac H am iltonian via a non-unitary transform ation.

References

- [1] F.Dyson, J.M ath. Phys. 3 (1962) 140;
- [2] F. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B 33 3257;
- [3] A A. Nersesyan, A M. Tsvelik and F. Wegner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 2628;
- [4] A. Altland, B.D. Simons, M.R. Zimbauer, condmat/0006362;
- [5] A W W . Ludwig, M P A . Fisher, R . Shankar, and G . G rinstein, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 7526
- [6] A.A. Lland and M. Zimbauer, Phys. Rev. B 55 (1997) 1142;M. Zimbauer, J. M ath. Phys. 37 (1996) 4986;
- [7] N. Read and D. Green, Phys. Rev B 61 (2000) 10267; T. Senthiland M. P.A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 61
- [8] P.Fendley and R.M.Konik, Phys. Rev. B 62 (2000) 9359,
- [9] D.Bernard and A.LeClair, \A classication of 2d random Dirac fermions", cond-mat/0109552.
- [10] K B. E fetov, Adv. Phys. 32 (1983) 53;
- [11] B.Gerganov, A.LeClair and M.Moriconi, hep-th/0011189;
- [12] D.Bemard and A.LeClair, Phys.Rev.B 64 (2001), 045306.
- [13] J.Cardy, in Les Houches, Eds. E. Brezin and J. Zinn-Justin, North-Holland, 1998;
- [14] M. Bocquet, D. Serban, M. R. Zimbauer, Nucl. Phys. B 578 (2000) 628;
- [15] D. Bernard, N. Regnault, D. Serban, Nucl. Phys. B 612
 (2001) 291;
- [16] D.Bemard, \(Perturbed\) conformal eld theory applied to 2d disordered systems: an introduction", hep-th/9509137
- [17] E.W itten, Commun.Math.Phys. 92 (1984) 455;
- [18] S. Guruswam y, A. LeClair and A. W. W. Ludwig, Nucl. Phys. B 583 (2000) 475;