Zinc Impurities in the 2D Hubbard model

Th. A. Maier and M. Jarrell¹

¹University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati OH 45221, USA

W e study the two-dimensional H ubbard m odel with nonmagnetic Zn impurities modeled by binary diagonal disorder using Q uantum M onte C arb within the D ynam ical C luster A pproximation. With increasing Zn content we nd a strong suppression of d-wave superconductivity concomitant to a reduction of antiferrom agnetic spin uctuations. T_c vanishes linearly with Zn impurity concentration. The spin susceptibility changes from pseudogap to C unie-W eiss like behavior indicating the existence of free magnetic moments in the Zn doped system. W e interpret these results within the RVB picture.

Introduction C hem ical substitution in high- T_c superconductors provides a powerful probe into the com plex nature of both the superconducting and norm al state of these m aterials. Experiments substituting dierent in – purities for Cu show that nonmagnetic in purities (Zn, A l) are just as e ective in suppressing superconductivity as magnetic dopants (N i, Fe) [1]. Based on Anderson's theorem [2] these results are taken as strong evidence for an unconventional pairing state described by an anisotropic order parameter with nodes on the Ferm i surface. Indeed, recently the characteristic fourfold sym – metry of the $d_{x^2 y^2}$ -wave order parameter was observed in the spatial variation of the local density of states near the Zn im purity using scanning tunneling microscopy [3].

Nuclear magnetic resonance experiments show that even a nonmagnetic in purity substituted for Cu induces an elective magnetic moment residing on the neighboring Cu sites [4]. In addition, the bulk susceptibility in in – purity substituted underdoped cuprate superconductors shows Curie-W eiss like behavior irrespective of the magnetic structure of the dopants [1]. This indicates the existence of free magnetic moments in the impurity doped CuO₂ planes. The form ation of these moments with substitution of nonmagnetic in purities is explained to arise from breaking singlets [1, 5] in an antiferrom agnetically correlated host by removing Cu spins.

In this letter we focus on the suppression of superconductivity by Zn in purities and the change of the bulk m agnetic susceptibility to a Curie-W eiss like behavior in underdoped system s. Despite a wide variety of theoretical studies addressing these issues, a complete understanding of the e ects of Zn doping has not been achieved. These approaches are mostly based on the description of in purities embedded in a BCS host [6] or on generalizations of the A brikosov-G orkov equations for nonm agnetic in purities in unconventional superconductors using phenom enological pairing interactions [7]. In order to capture e ects like m om ent form ation by substitution of nonm agnetic in purities, it seems necessary to describe correlations and the scattering from in purities on the sam e footing within a m icroscopic approach.

The most widely applied technique developed to describe disordered systems is the Coherent Potential Approximation (CPA) [8]. The CPA shares the same microscopic de nition [9] as its equivalent for correlated clean systems, the Dynamical M ean Field Approximation (DM FA) [10]. Both approaches map the lattice system onto an elective in purity problem embedded in a host that represents the remaining degrees of freedom. This single-site approximation neglects interference effects of the scattering of different in purity sites (crossing diagrams) and correlation elects become purely local. Therefore, it inhibits a transition to a state described by a nonlocal (d-wave) order parameter and thus is not appropriate for our investigations here.

The Dynamical Cluster Approximation (DCA) [9, 11, 12, 13] system atically incorporates nonlocal corrections to the CPA /DM FA by mapping the lattice system onto an embedded periodic cluster of size N $_{\rm c}$. For N $_{\rm c}$ = 1 the DCA is equivalent to the CPA /DM FA and by increasing the cluster size N c the length-scale of possible dynam ical correlations can be gradually increased while the DCA solution remains in the therm odynam ic lim it. In the clean lim it the DCA applied to the Hubbard model has been shown to describe the essential low energy physics of the cuprates [14, 15, 16]: It captures the antiferrom agnetic phase near half lling and the transition to a superconducting phase with $d_{x^2 v^2}$ -wave order parameter at nite doping. In the norm al state it exhibits non-Ferm i liquid behavior in form of a pseudogap in the density of states and a suppression of spin excitations at low tem peratures in the underdoped regime.

In this letter we study the two-dimensional (2D) Hubbard model including a potential scattering term according to the chemistry of Zn impurities using the DCA. We will show that potential scattering by Zn impurities strongly suppresses superconductivity as well as spin uctuations and changes the magnetic susceptibility to a Curie-Weiss like temperature dependence in the underdoped region.

Form alism The correlated electrons in a CuO $_2$ plane doped with Zn impurities are described by the 2D Hubbard model with diagonal disorder

$$H = t \begin{array}{c} X & X & X \\ c_{i}^{y} c_{j} + U & n_{i"} n_{i\#} + \\ < ij>; & i \\ \end{array} (1)$$

where we used standard notation. The disorder induced by the Zn sites occurs in the local orbital energies $_{i}$ which are independent quenched random variables distributed according to some speci ed distribution P ($_{1};:::;_{N}$) = ${\textstyle \mathop {\bigvee}\limits_{i=1}^{N}}$ P ($_{i}$) where N is the number of lattice sites. For a concentration x of Zn in purities we use the binary alloy distribution

$$P(i) = x(i + V=2) + (1 x)(i V=2);$$
 (2)

where V is the energy di erence between the Cu and Zn 3d orbitals. For simplicity we use site-independent values for the hopping integralt and the Coulomb repulsion U.

The microscopic derivation of the DCA algorithm was discussed in detail for correlated systems in [12, 16] and for disordered systems in [9]. The DCA has a simple physical interpretation for systems where the intersite correlations have only short spatial range. The corresponding self-energy may then be calculated on a coarse grid of N_c = L_c^D selected K points on ly, where L_c is the linear dimension of the cluster of K points. Know ledge of the momentum dependence on a nergrid may be discarded to reduce the com plexity of the problem. To this end the rst B rillouin zone is divided into N_c cells of size $(2 = L_c)^D$ around the cluster momenta K. The propagators used to form the self-energy are coarse grained or averaged over the momenta K + K surrounding the cluster momentum K

$$G(K;i!_{n}) = \frac{N_{c}}{N}^{K} G(K + K;i!_{n})$$
$$= \frac{N_{c}}{N}^{K} \frac{1}{i!_{n} K + K} (K;i!_{n}) : (3)$$

In Eq.(3) we make use of the fact that the approximation of the lattice self-energy (k) by the cluster self-energy (K) optimizes the free energy [12, 13]. (K) summarizes the single-particle e ects of the interaction term (second term) and the disorder term (third term) of the H am iltonian, Eq.(1). The dispersion $_{K+K}$ denotes the spectrum of the kinetic part (rst term) of Eq.(1). In order to avoid overcounting of self-energy diagrams on the cluster, the cluster excluded propagator

$$G(K)^{1} = G(K)^{1} + (K)$$
 (4)

is used as bare propagator for the cluster problem . To diagonalize the disorder part it is convenient to perform $_{I\!\!P}\!\!a$ Fourier transform to cluster real space G_{ij} = 1=N $_{\rm c}$ $_{\rm K}$ e^{iK} $^{(X_{\pm}X_{\pm})}$ G (K) where the disorder is diagonal. The inverse bare cluster propagator for a particular disorder con guration f $_ig$ is then given by

$$[G_{1}^{1},...,_{N}]_{ij} = [G^{1}]_{ij} \quad i \; ij; \qquad (5)$$

and is used to initialize a QMC simulation to calculate the e ects of the Coulomb interaction U. The QMC result for the cluster G reen function G_{1}^{c} ;...; $_{N}$; $_{ij}$ = G_{ij}^{c} [U; G_{1} ;...; $_{N}$; $_{ij}$] thus depends on the particular disorder con guration f $_{i}$ g. The disorder averaged cluster G reen function is then obtained from the individual results by

$$G_{ij}^{c} = hG_{1;...;N}^{c}$$
 (6)

where the average < ::: > = ${^{R}Q}_{i=1} d_i P(i)$ (:::) is to be taken for a system of N_c sites. Note that in principal QMC calculations for all possible disorder con gurations f ig have to be carried through. However, contributions from con gurations with m Zn in purities on the cluster (m N_c) are weighted by a factor of $x^m (1 x)^{(N_c m)}$ to the integral Eq.(6). Therefore it seems reasonable for small concentrations x 1 and cluster sizes N_c to consider on ly those con gurations with none or only a single Zn in purity on the cluster and to neglect con gurations with m ore than one Zn in purity. Then the disorder averaged cluster G reen function G^c_{ij} is obtained as a weighted sum from the two con gurations as

$$G_{ij}^{c} = x N_{c} G_{1;ij}^{c} + (1 x N_{c}) G_{0;ij}^{c};$$
 (7)

where $G_{1=0,ij}^{c}$ denotes the QMC result for the cluster G reen function for the con guration with one or zero Zn in purities on the cluster, respectively. The prefactors follow with $(1 \ x)^{N_{c}} \ 1 \ xN_{c}, xN_{c} \ (1 \ x)^{N_{c}-1} \ xN_{c}$ for x << 1 in linear approximation in the impurity concentration x. The disorder averaged cluster G reen function G_{ij}^{c} is then transformed back to cluster reciprocal space to calculate a new estimate of the cluster self-energy

$$(K) = G(K)^{1} G^{c}(K)^{1};$$
 (8)

which is then used to repeat the steps starting from Eq.(3) until convergence is reached.

Results W e study the superconducting instability and m agnetic properties of the H am iltonian Eq.(1) in the intermediate coupling regime at 5% hole doping (n = 0:95) for di erent Zn impurity concentrations x. W e perform calculations for N_c = 4, the smallest cluster size that allows for a transition to a $d_{x^2 y^2}$ -wave superconducting state while preserving the lattice translational and point group symmetries. W e set the hopping integral t = 0.25eV and the Coulomb repulsion U = W = 2eV, where W = 8t is the bandwidth of the non-interacting system. W e choose V = 5eV > W + U to simulate the nonmagnetic closed shell (d¹⁰) con guration of the impurity site.

We searched for superconductivity with s-wave, extended s-wave, p- and d-wave order parameters. As we found for the clean system (x=0) [14, 16], only the $d_{x^2 y}^2$ -wave pair eld susceptibility for zero center of mass momentum diverges at low temperatures. Fig.1 illustrates the inverse pair eld susceptibility P_d^1 as a function of temperature T for the impurity concentrations x = 0 (circles), x = 0:05 (squares) and x = 0:10




FIG.1: The inverse pair-eld susceptibility versus tem perature when N_c = 4, U = W = 2eV, V = 5eV at 5% doping for impurity concentrations x = 0 (circles), x = 0.05 (squares) and x = 0.10 (diam onds). The solid lines represent ts to the function P_d^{-1} / (T T_c). Inset: Critical tem perature T_c as a function of impurity concentration x.

(diam onds). The corresponding critical tem perature T_c is then calculated by extrapolating P_d^{-1} (T) to zero using the function P_d^{-1} / (T T_c) (represented by the solid lines in Fig.1). T_c is rapidly suppressed, and the critical exponent increases, with increasing Zn content x. Consistent with experiments [1] this falls of T_c is linear in x resulting in a critical in purity concentration 0:10, beyond which the instability to the super- X_{C} conducting phase disappears. C om pared to experim ents $(x_c < 0.05)$ our calculation predicts a result about twice as high for the critical doping. How ever, considering the sim pli ed description of the problem using a 2D Hubbard model with the complexity of the Zn impurities reduced to a model of diagonal disorder, we expect agreement only on a qualitative level.

In order to study the correlation between the suppression of superconductivity and possible m om ent form ation by Zn substitution we study the bulk (q = (0;0)) and the antiferrom agnetic (q = (;)) spin susceptibilities. Fig.2 shows the bulk magnetic susceptibility (T) as a function of tem perature for the same in purity concentrations x used in Fig.1. As we demonstrated in [14], the clean system exhibits an anomaly in (T) at a characteristic tem perature T?, below which spin excitations are suppressed and (T) falls with decreasing temperature. T[?] thus marks the onset of singlet form ation due to strong short-ranged antiferrom agnetic spin correlations. In agreem ent with experim ents [1], the substitution of in purities leads to a dram atic change of the low tem perature behavior of the magnetic spin susceptibility. Despite the nonm agnetic nature of the impurity scattering term in our simulation, (T) displays an upturn at low tem peratures as x is increased. M oreover at x = 0.10,




FIG.2: The bulk m agnetic susceptibility versus temperature when N_c = 4, U = W = 2eV, V = 5eV at 5% doping for impurity concentrations x = 0 (circles), x = 0.05 (squares) and x = 0.10 (diam onds). The solid lines are guides to the eye. The dashed line represents a t to the function (T) = $_{0}$ $_{1}T + C = (T)$.

(T) shows Curie-W eiss like behavior; i.e. it can be t by the function (T) = $_0$ $_1T$ + C=(T $\,$), with

0. This clearly indicates the form ation of free m agnetic m om ents due to the breaking of singlet bonds by the substitution of nonm agnetic im purities.

The inverse antiferrom agnetic spin susceptibility $_{\rm AF}$ (T) for the same parameter set as in Fig2 is illustrated in Fig.3. For the clean system (x=0) 5% hole doping marks the critical doping, beyond which no transition to an antiferrom agnetic state can be found [14]. As can be seen from the extrapolation of the circles in Fig.3 the corresponding Neel temperature $T_N = 0$. As the concentration of impurities is increased we notice that for T > 0.05 $_{AF}$ decreases with x. How ever at low er tem peratures (T < 0:05) $^{1}_{AF}$ for nite in purity concentration x falls below the curve of the clean system and eventually goes through zero indicating a transition to the antiferrom agnetic state. Therefore it can be inferred that a nite concentration of impurities, i.e. spin vacancies, acts to enhance antiferrom agnetic spin correlations. Our results for the site and time averaged localm agnetic moment T ii shown in the inset to Fig.3 support this conjecture: At low tem peratures this quantity increases with impurity concentration x indicating that local mom ents get stabilized. It is im portant to note that recent NMR measurements on YBCO [17] show a similar enhancem ent of antiferrom agnetic spin correlations around Zn impurities at low tem peratures.

Sim ilar results were also obtained in [18, 19] where an antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg m odel with a spin vacancy was studied. In the latter report, the enhancem ent of antiferrom agnetic spin correlations was ascribed to pruning of singlets by the nonm agnetic in purity in an resonating-




FIG.3: The inverse antiferrom agnetic (q = (;)) spin susceptibility versus temperature when $N_{\rm c}$ = 4, U = W = 2eV, V = 5eV at 5% doping for in purity concentrations x = 0 (circles), x = 0:05 (squares) and x = 0:10 (diamonds). The solid lines represent ts to the function ${}_{\rm A}{}_{\rm F}^{\rm I}$ / (T $T_{\rm N}$). Inset: The site and time averaged m agnetic moment versus temperature; spin vacancies reduce uctuations. The solid lines are guides to the eye.

valence-bond picture (RVB). In the RVB picture, the short-ranged order in the doped model is described as a collection of nearest-neighbor singlet bonds which uctuate as a function of time and space. When a Zn impurity is introduced into the system, the singlet uctuations on adjacent sites are suppressed since these sites now have one less neighbor to form short-ranged bonds with. The suppression of singlet uctuations on the sites adjacent to the Zn dopant also enhances moment form ation on these sites.

We nd further evidence of the suppression of spin uctuations by Zn impurities in the decrease of the antiferrom agnetic exponent towards the mean-eld result of one with increasing impurity content x. Concomitant with this behavior the corresponding exponent for the pair-eld susceptibility (cf. Fig.1) increases towards one. From this observation we conclude that the suppression of superconductivity in Zn doped systems originates in the suppression of antiferrom agnetic spin uctuations that mediate pairing.

Sum m ary In this letter we have used the 2D H ubbard m odel with binary diagonal disorder to study the e ects of nonm agnetic (Zn) in purities on high-tem perature superconductivity. We nd that Zn in purities strongly suppress superconductivity. A sevidenced by the increasing m ean-eld character of the pair eld and antiferrom agnetic susceptibilities with Zn substitution, spin uctuations that mediate pairing get suppressed. Consistent with experiments, $T_{\rm c}$ decreases linearly with impurity concentration. With increasing Zn content we further nd a change of the magnetic susceptibility to Curie-W eiss like behavior indicative of the existence of free magnetic moments.

O ur results can be understood within the RVB picture. The nonm agnetic im purities break local singlets and thus generate unpaired spins. A concom itant suppression of antiferrom agnetic spin uctuations that m ediate pairing results from the pruning of RVB states [19]. Consequently, superconductivity gets strongly suppressed with increasing Zn content.

A cknow ledgem ents W e acknow ledge useful conversations with W .Putikka, D J. Scalapino, Y.W ang and M. Vojta. This work was supported by NSF grant DMR – 0073308. This research was supported in part by NSF cooperative agreem ent ACI-9619020 through com puting resources provided by the National Partnership for Advanced C om putational Infrastructure at the Pittsburgh Supercom puter C enter.

- [1] G.Xiao, et al., Phys. Rev. B 42, 8752 (1990).
- [2] P.W. Anderson, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 11, 25 (1959).
- [3] S.H. Pan, et al., Nature 403, 746 (2000).
- [4] H.Alloul, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3140 (1991); A.V. Mahajan, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3100 (1994).
- [5] A M . Finkelstein, et al., Physica C 168, 370 (1990).
- [6] T. Xiang and JM. W heatley, Phys. Rev. B 51, 11721 (1995); G. Haran and A.D.S. Nagi, Phys. Rev. B 58, 12441 (1998).
- [7] R J.Radtke, et al, Phys.Rev.B 48 653 (1993); S.Haas, et al, Phys.Rev.B 56, 5108 (1997).
- [8] D W .Taylor, Phys. Rev. 156 1017 (1967); P. Soven Phys. Rev. 156 809 (1967); P L. Leath and B.G oodm an, Phys. Rev. 148 968 (1966).
- [9] M. Jarrell and H.R. Krishnam urthy, Phys. Rev. B, 63 125102 (2001).
- [10] W .M etzner and D.Vollhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 324
 (1989); E.M uller-Hartmann: Z.Phys. B 74, 507{512
 (1989); T.Pnuschke, et al., Adv. in Phys. 42, 187 (1995);
 A.Georges, et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 13 (1996).
- [11] M.H.Hettler et al., Phys. Rev. B 58, 7475 (1998).
- [12] M.H.Hettler, et al., Phys. Rev. B 61, 12739 (2000).
- [13] Th.Maier, et al, Eur. Phys. J.B 13, 613 (2000).
- [14] M . Jarrell, et al., EuroPhy. Lett., 56 563 (2001).
- [15] Th.Maier, et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.85, 1524 (2000).
- [16] M. Jarrell, et al., Phys. Rev. B 64, 195130 (2001).
- [17] M.-J.Julien et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3422 (2000).
- [18] N.Bulut, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2192 (1989).
- [19] G.B.Martins, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3563 (1997).