arXiv:cond-mat/0201044v1 [cond-mat.soft] 4 Jan 2002

Group analysis of the membrane shape equation

G. De Matteis *
Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Lecce,
Via Arnesano, CP. 193, I-73100 LECCE (Italy).

22nd March 2022

Abstract

A system of partial differential equations describing bilayers amphiphilic membranes is studied by the Lie group analysis. This algorithmic approach allows us to show all the symmetries of the system, to determine all possible symmetry reductions, to recover the axisymmetric solutions, obtained by other euristic methods, and finally to address the question of new similarity solutions.

1 Introduction

In the last decades a great interest has been attracted by the membraneous systems in biophysics [1],[2]. In particular the binary systems amphiphile-water has been considered [3]. In water the amphiphilic molecules form sheets of costant area, in the form of bilayers, because this minimizes the contact of the hydrocarbon tails with water. For a flat piece of membrane, the tails are still exposed to water contact at the membranes edge. This leads to an effective line tension which, for large enough membranes, pulls the edges together and closes the membrane, i.e. we get a *vesicle*. As reviewed in Sec. 2,

*e-mail: dematt73@yahoo.it, giovanni.dematteis@le.infn.it

a detailed study of such kind of membranes can be performed in a geometrical setting, following the Helfrich approach. However most of the known analytical solutions [4] can be obtained only under particular geometrical restrictions. So the aim of this article is to exploit a systematic group analysis (Sec. 3), in order to find the symmetry properties of the system involving the shape equation and the Gauss-Codazzi-Mainardi equations. Then, in Sec. 4 we use the above analysis in performing all the possible symmetry reductions and to determine classes of particular solutions. Finally in Sec. 5 we address some comments and final remarks.

2 The Helfrich functional and the vesicles shape equations

The membrane's shape is described by a three-component vector field $\mathbf{x}(u^1, u^2) = (x^1(u^1, u^2), x^2(u^1, u^2), x^3(u^1, u^2))$ depending on the two internal coordinates (u^1, u^2) of the membrane. To be self-consistent, we introduce a bit of the geometrical concepts used below [6],[7],[8]. The tangent plane to a point P on the surface is spanned by two tangent vectors

$$\mathbf{t}_\alpha = \partial_\alpha \mathbf{x}, \quad \alpha = 1, 2$$

where $\partial_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}$.

The two tangent vectors determine the metric tensor or the first fundamental form Ω_1

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(u^1, u^2) \equiv \mathbf{t}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{t}_\beta = \partial_\alpha \mathbf{x} \cdot \partial_\beta \mathbf{x},$$

(summation over repeated indices is understood), by which we can express the infinitesimal element of distance ds on the surface

$$ds^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} du^\alpha du^\beta.$$

The infinitesimal element of area dS is given by

$$dS = \sqrt{\det g_{\alpha\beta}} du^1 du^2.$$

The metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$ characterizes the intrinsic geometry of the surface. But for a complete description of its embedding into euclidean three-dimensional space we need the second fundamental form

$$\Omega_2 = b_{\alpha\beta} du^\alpha du^\beta \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, 2,$$

where

$$b_{\alpha\beta}(u^1, u^2) = (\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n}$$

and

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{\mathbf{t}_1 \times \mathbf{t}_2}{\|\mathbf{t}_1 \times \mathbf{t}_2\|}$$

is the unit normal vector on the surface. Embedding of the surface into $\mathbb{R}^3$ is dictated by the Gauss-Weingarten equations (GWE)

$$\begin{cases} \partial_\alpha \mathbf{n} = -g^{\beta\gamma} b_{\alpha\gamma} \partial_\beta \mathbf{x} \\ \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \mathbf{x} = b_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{n} + \Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\sigma \mathbf{x} \end{cases} \quad (\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, 2), \tag{1}$$

where $g^{\beta\gamma} = (g^{-1})_{\beta\gamma}$ and $\Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ are the so-called Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma^\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\sigma\delta} \left(\frac{\partial g_{\alpha\delta}}{\partial u^\beta} + \frac{\partial g_{\beta\delta}}{\partial u^\alpha} - \frac{\partial g_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial u^\delta} \right).$$

The two most important scalar quantities on the surface are the gaussian curvature K and the mean curvature H, given by the determinant and the half-trace of the matrix $b^\beta_\alpha = g^{\beta\gamma} b_{\alpha\gamma}$:

$$K = \det(b^\beta_\alpha) = \frac{1}{R_1 R_2}, \tag{2}$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} tr(b^\beta_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} \right), \tag{3}$$

where R_1 and R_2 are the principal radii of curvature and they define the extrinsic properties of the surface.

Inspired by the physics of liquid crystals, Helfrich [5] considered the derivatives of the unit vector $\mathbf{n} \equiv (n^1, n^2, n^3)$ with respect to u^1 and u^2 as the curvature strains. The length and energy scales are not the size of the

molecular head group, or the molecular energy involved in assembling the amphiphilic sheet. Indeed the basic energy is that one needed to bend the sheet, i.e. an energy which arises microscopically from a change in the local concentration of head and tail groups of the amphiphiles.

Over short distances, this energy will ensure that the membrane is relatively flat. However, over larger distances, the effect of thermal fluctuations is to change appreciably the orientation of the membrane. The scale of distances at which an orientation correlation occurs is called the persistence lenght. It can be calculated from the free energy describing a system of sheets.

We assume that the free energy which describes the deformations of the membrane depends on its shape only. The local free energy per unit area is written as an expansion in powers of local curvatures, and the integrated free energy depends parametrically on the unknown coefficients in this expansion. These coefficients are the spontaneus, or natural, curvature of the membrane, and its bending and saddle-splay moduli. Moreover, the free energy must be invariant under translations and rotations and under reparametrization transformations of the local coordinates, because of the fluidity assumption. Thus, only the invariant linear and quadratic forms can enter into, namely

$$\frac{\partial n^1}{\partial u^1}+\frac{\partial n^2}{\partial u^2}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial n^1}{\partial u^1}+\frac{\partial n^2}{\partial u^2}\right)^2, \quad \frac{\partial n^1}{\partial u^1}\frac{\partial n^2}{\partial u^2}-\frac{\partial n^1}{\partial u^2}\frac{\partial n^2}{\partial u^1}.$$

Then, the elastic free energy takes the form

$$F_c=\frac{k}{4}\int_S\left(\frac{\partial n^1}{\partial u^1}+\frac{\partial n^2}{\partial u^2}-c_0\right)^2 dA+\overline{k}\int_S\left(\frac{\partial n^1}{\partial u^1}\frac{\partial n^2}{\partial u^2}-\frac{\partial n^1}{\partial u^2}\frac{\partial n^2}{\partial u^1}\right)dA,$$

where the so-called bending rigidity k and the gaussian rigidity $\overline{k}$ are the elastic moduli. Making use of the GWE (1) and of the expressions (2-3) for K and H, F_c can be written as

$$F_c=k\int_S(H-H_s)^2dS+\overline{k}\int_S KdS$$

where $H_s=-\frac{c_0}{2}$ is called spontaneus curvature and takes account of the

asimmetry effect of the membrane in its enviroment.

When the bending rigidity $k \gg k_B T$, the persistence length is much larger than the diameter of a vesicle. Thus we can neglect thermal fluctuations and the shape of a vesicle is determined by minimizing the elastic energy. Moreover, the area S of the vesicle is fixed for two reasons: a) there is very little exchange of molecules between the bilayer and the ambient on experimental time scales; b) the elastic energy associated with displacement within the membrane surface is negligible on the scale of the bending elastic energy. Furthermore, we can assume that the variations of volume, that is of the water enclosed in, are negligible. On the contrary, a net transfer of water through the membrane would lead to a variation of the osmotic pressure, associated with an exchange of the energy in the system, which is huge with respect to the bending energy scales. With these costraints, the equilibrium shape of a vesicle is determined by the minimization of the Helfrich's shape energy F [1] given by

$$F = k \oint_S (H - H_s)^2 dS + \overline{k} \oint_S K dS + \lambda \oint_S dS + \Delta p \int dV \qquad (4)$$

where λ and $\Delta p = p_e - p_i$ are treated as Lagrange multipliers or they can be prescribed experimentally by volume or area reservoirs. The membrane shapes of equilibrium are defined by the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation (the shape equation)

$$\delta^{(1)} F = 0,$$

where $\delta^{(1)}$ indicates the first variation of the functional under normal infinitesimal distortion of the surface. The resulting equation is

$$\Delta p - 2\lambda H - 2k(H - H_s)^2 H + 2k(H - H_s)(2H^2 - K) + k\Delta H = 0, \qquad (5)$$

where Δ applied to H represents the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the surface

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g_{ij}}} \partial_\alpha \left(g^{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{\det g_{ij}} \partial_\beta \right).$$

The integrability conditions of the GWE are known from the differential

geometry [6] and they are

$$\begin{array}{c}(\Gamma^2_{12})_{u^1} - (\Gamma^2_{11})_{u^2} + \Gamma^1_{12}\Gamma^2_{11} + \Gamma^2_{12}\Gamma^2_{12} - \Gamma^2_{11}\Gamma^2_{22} - \Gamma^1_{11}\Gamma^2_{12} = -g_{11}K, \\ \frac{\partial b_{11}}{\partial u^2} - \frac{\partial b_{12}}{\partial u^1} = b_{11}\Gamma^1_{12} + b_{12}(\Gamma^1_{12} - \Gamma^1_{11}) - b_{22}\Gamma^2_{11}, \\ \frac{\partial b_{12}}{\partial u^2} - \frac{\partial b_{22}}{\partial u^1} = b_{11}\Gamma^1_{22} + b_{12}(\Gamma^2_{22} - \Gamma^1_{12}) - b_{22}\Gamma^2_{12}.\end{array} \tag{6}$$

These relations are necessary and sufficient conditions for the coefficients $g_{\alpha\beta}$ e $b_{\alpha\beta}$ to be the first and the second fundamental forms of a surface immersed in the euclidean space. For this reason they must be included in the set of equations, which describe the shape of a vesicle. So the equations (5) and (6) describe the vesicle configurations in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

In order to simplify the structure of the system, with no loss of generality, we choose metric on the surface in the conformal form

$$ds^2 = e^{2\alpha(x,y)}(dx^2 + dy^2)$$

where (x, y) are conformal local coordinates on the surface. Furthermore, in order to put in evidence the role of the mean curvature, it is more usefull to introduce the following variables

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}e^{-2\alpha}(b_{11} + b_{22}) - H_s} = \frac{1}{H - H_s}, \tag{7}$$

$$q = \frac{1}{4}(b_{11} + b_{22})e^{-\alpha} - \frac{H_s}{2}e^{\alpha}. \tag{8}$$

Thus, the conformal metric takes the form

$$ds^2 = 4q^2\varphi^2(dx^2 + dy^2).$$

For sake of clarity, we rename the coefficients b_{11} and b_{12} of the second form as

$$b_{11} \equiv \vartheta, \quad b_{12} \equiv \omega,$$

so the associated matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vartheta & \omega \\ \omega & 8q^2\varphi(1+H_s\varphi) - \vartheta \end{pmatrix}.$$

In conclusion, the system (6-5) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} q^2(\varphi_{xx}+\varphi_{yy}) + 2q\varphi(q_{xx}+q_{yy}) - 2\varphi(q_x^2+q_y^2) + q^4(8\varphi+\alpha_2\varphi^2+\alpha_3\varphi^3+\alpha_4\varphi^4) = 0, \\ \vartheta_y - \omega_x = (8+\frac{\alpha_2}{3}\varphi)q(\varphi q_y + q\varphi_y), \\ \omega_y + \vartheta_x = \frac{\alpha_2}{3}q\varphi(\varphi q_x + q\varphi_x) + 8q\varphi q_x, \\ 4q\varphi^2(q_{xx}+q_{yy}) + 4\varphi q^2(\varphi_{xx}+\varphi_{yy}) - 4\varphi^2(q_x^2+q_y^2) - 4q^2(\varphi_x^2+\varphi_y^2) = \\ = \omega^2 + \vartheta^2 - (8+\frac{\alpha_2}{3}\varphi)q^2\varphi\vartheta, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha_2 = 24H_s$, $\alpha_3 = 8(2H_s^2 - \frac{\lambda}{k})$, $\alpha_4 = 4\frac{\Delta p}{k} - 8H_s\frac{\lambda}{k}$.

This is a system of nonlinear partial differential equations in two dimensions and in four dependent variables. Its integrability properties are unknown: only some particular solutions have been found on the basis of euristic considerations (for example by imposing axisymmetry) [20],[21],[4].

3 Group analysis

3.1 Symmetry algebra

A specific purpose of the present article is to study the Lie-point symmetry group of the system (9). That is, we are looking for a local Lie group G of point trasformations on the space of the independent and dependent variables

$$X \times U = \{(x, y, \vartheta, \omega, q, \varphi) : t.c. : q \neq 0, : \varphi \neq 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^4$$

into itself, such that each trasformation $\mathbf{g} \in G$ acts as

$$(\widetilde{x}, \widetilde{y}, \widetilde{\vartheta}, \widetilde{\omega}, \widetilde{q}, \widetilde{\varphi}) = \mathbf{g} \cdot (x, y, \vartheta, \omega, q, \varphi) = (\Lambda_g^1,\ \Lambda_g^2,\ \Omega_g^1,\ \Omega_g^2, ...,\ \Omega_g^4),$$

where $\Lambda_g^i = \Lambda_g^i(x, y, \vartheta, \omega, q, \varphi)$, $\Omega_g^j = \Omega_g^j(x, y, \vartheta, \omega, q, \varphi)$ are smooth real functions, depending also on a suitable set of r real parameters g, conti-

nously varying in a neighbourhood of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^r$. In this section we will follow the standard group analysis scheme developped in [11],[14],[15]. For a symmetry group of a system of differential equations we mean that each element $\mathbf{g}$ trasforms solutions of the system (9) into other solutions: $(\widetilde{\vartheta}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}),\widetilde{\omega}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}),\widetilde{q}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}),\widetilde{\varphi}(\widetilde{x},\widetilde{y}))$ is a solution whenever $((\vartheta(x,y),\omega(x,y),q(x,y),\varphi(x,y))$ is one. Equivalently, we can say that the symmetry group leaves the system invariant. Moreover we are interested in connected local Lie groups of symmetries, leaving aside the problem of the discrete symmetries. Instead of considering finite trasformations, in the study of connected groups, we can restrict our attention to the associated algebra, that is to the infinitesimal trasformations. The symmetry algebra $\widehat{g}$ of the system is realized by real vector fields, of the form

$$\mathbf{v}=\xi^1\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\xi^2\frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\phi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial\vartheta}+\phi_2\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega}+\phi_3\frac{\partial}{\partial q}+\phi_4\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi},$$

where ξ^i and ϕ_α are functions of $x,y,\vartheta,\omega,q,\varphi$. These functions have to be determined by the invariance condition of the differential system (9), namely

$$pr^{(2)}\mathbf{v}\left[\Delta_\nu\right]=0\ \ whenever\ \Delta_\nu=0,\quad for\ \nu=1,...,4, \tag{10}$$

where $\Delta_\nu=0$ indicates each of the equations in (9), and

$$pr^{(2)}\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4}\phi_\alpha^x\frac{\partial}{\partial u_x^\alpha}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4}\phi_\alpha^y\frac{\partial}{\partial u_y^\alpha}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4}\phi_\alpha^{xx}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{xx}^\alpha}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4}\phi_\alpha^{xy}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{xy}^\alpha}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4}\phi_\alpha^{yy}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{yy}^\alpha},$$

is the prolonged vectorfield on the space containing the derivatives of the dependent variables. Here we have indicated with $u^1=\vartheta$, $u^2=\omega$, $u^3=q$, $u^4=\varphi$ and the components ϕ_α^s and ϕ_α^{st} can be computed algorithmically [11]. The equations (10) lead to a large number of linear partial differential equations, called determining equations, for the functions ξ^i, ϕ_α. Solving them, we can distinguish two cases:

I) if the phenomenological parameters are not vanishing $(\alpha_2,\alpha_3,\alpha_4)\neq$

$(0,0,0)$, the symmetry algebra $\widehat{L}_I$ is spanned by the vector fields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}(\xi^1(x,y),\xi^2(x,y)) &= \mathbf{v}(\xi(z)) = \xi\partial_z + \\ &+\xi_z\left[-(\vartheta - i\omega)\partial_\vartheta - (\omega + i\vartheta - 4iq^2\varphi - i\frac{\alpha_2}{6}q^2\varphi^2)\partial_\omega - \frac{q}{2}\partial_q\right] + \\ &+c.c.,\end{aligned}$$

where $z = x + iy$ and $\xi = \xi^1 + i\xi^2$, being ξ^1, ξ^2 arbitrary real harmonic functions satisfying the Cauchy-Riemann conditions

$$\xi^1_y = -\xi^2_x,\ \xi^1_x = \xi^2_y;$$

II) restricting the phenomenological parameters to be $(\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (0,0,0)$, the symmetry algebra $\widehat{L}_{II}$ is spanned by the vector fields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_1(\xi^1(x,y),\xi^2(x,y)) &= \mathbf{v}_1(\xi(z)) = \xi\partial_z + \\ &+\xi_z\left[-(\vartheta - i\omega)\partial_\vartheta - (\omega + i\vartheta - 4iq^2\varphi)\partial_\omega - \frac{q}{2}\partial_q\right] + \\ &+c.c., \\ \mathbf{v}_2 &= \vartheta\partial_\vartheta + \omega\partial_\omega + \varphi\partial_\varphi,\end{aligned}$$

with the same notation used above. The non trivial commutation relations for $\widehat{L}_I$ are

$$\left[\mathbf{v}(\xi(z)), \mathbf{v}(\widetilde{\xi}(z))\right] = \mathbf{v}(\xi\widetilde{\xi}_z - \widetilde{\xi}\xi_z), \tag{11}$$

and for $\widehat{L}_{II}$

$$\left[\mathbf{v}_1(\xi(z)), \mathbf{v}_1(\widetilde{\xi}(z))\right] = \mathbf{v}_1(\xi\widetilde{\xi}_z - \widetilde{\xi}\xi_z), \tag{12}$$

$$[\mathbf{v}_1(\xi(z)), \mathbf{v}_2] = 0, \tag{13}$$

where $\xi(z)$ and $\widetilde{\xi}(z)$ are arbitrary complex analitic functions. In both cases the symmetry Lie algebras are infinite -dimensional. In particular, the algebra $\widehat{L}_I$ is a realization of the conformal transformations algebra in the plane $\widehat{L}_c$ [18]. Moreover, $\widehat{L}_{II}$ is the direct sum of $\widehat{L}_c$ and of the one-dimensional

subalgebra $\widehat{L}_g$ generated by $\mathbf{v}_2$

$$\widehat{L}_{II} = \widehat{L}_c \oplus \widehat{L}_g.$$

A more explicit base for the algebra $\widehat{L}_I$ ($\widehat{L}_c$) is obtainable by developing the functions $\xi(z)$ in Laurent series, so that the generic vector field is

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(\alpha) &= e^{i\alpha} z^{n+1}\partial_z + \\ &+ e^{i\alpha}(n+1)z^n \left[-(\vartheta - i\omega)\partial_\vartheta - (\omega + i\vartheta - 4iq^2\varphi - i\frac{\alpha_2}{6}q^2\varphi^2)\partial_\omega - \frac{q}{2}\partial_q\right] + \\ &\quad + c.c., \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi[$. Thus the commutation relations become

$$[L_n(\alpha), L_m(\beta)] = (m-n)L_{n+m}(\alpha+\beta). \tag{14}$$

In passing, we note that for $\alpha = 0$ the generators $L_n(0)$ span a centerless Virasoro algebra [19]. The generators $\left\{L_{-1}(0), L_{-1}(\frac{\pi}{2}), L_0(0), L_0(\frac{\pi}{2}), L_1(0), L_1(\frac{\pi}{2})\right\}$ span the $sl(2,\mathbb{C})$ algebra, which is the algebra of Möbius transformations in the complex plane of local coordinates of the surface.

In order to give an interpretation of this symmetry structure of the system (9), we consider the effect of finite transformations on the metric. The finite transformations generated by vector fields $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{v}_1$on the variables q, φ, z, $\overline{z}$ are given by

$$\widetilde{z}(\varepsilon, z) = \Gamma^{-1}(\varepsilon + \Gamma(z)), \quad \overline{\widetilde{z}}(\varepsilon, \overline{z}) = \overline{\Gamma^{-1}}(\varepsilon + \overline{\Gamma(\overline{z})}), \tag{15}$$

where ε is the group parameter and

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_{z_0}^{z} \frac{ds}{\xi(s)}.$$

While q and φ transform as

$$\widetilde{q}(\lambda, q, z, \overline{z}) = q(z, \overline{z}) \left|\frac{\xi(z)}{\xi(\widetilde{z})}\right|, \quad \widetilde{\varphi}(\varphi, z, \overline{z}) = \varphi. \tag{16}$$

Moreover, being

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial \widetilde{z}} = \frac{\xi(z(\widetilde{z}))}{\xi(\widetilde{z})},$$

we have

$$d\widetilde{s}^2 = 4\widetilde{q}^2\widetilde{\varphi}^2 d\widetilde{z}d\overline{\widetilde{z}} = 4q^2(z(\widetilde{z}), \overline{z}(\overline{\widetilde{z}}))\varphi^2(z(\widetilde{z}), \overline{z}(\overline{\widetilde{z}}))dzd\overline{z} = ds^2,$$

that is the metric is invariant under group transformations. Moreover, since the mean curvature H is related to φ via the eq. (7), by virtue of the eq. (16), we find that H remains invariant or, equivalently, it transforms like a scalar under the group transformations. Concerning the gaussian curvature K, it is a metric invariant, thus it remains invariant under the symmetry group because the metric tensor does. In conclusion we have seen that both H and K are invariant under the class obtained of transformations. Consequently, a surface, which is a solution of system (9), cannot be "deformed" into another one by using a group transformation.

3.2 Classification of the low dimensional subalgebras

In order to perform all possible symmetry reductions of (9), we must proceed to the subalgebras classification under the inner automorphism group (or adjoint group). This leads to build up the so-called optimal system, that is the set of the representatives of the s-dimensional subalgebra classes, which are pairwise nonconjugated. We start by considering the conjugation classes of 1-dimensional subalgebras. A first simple result can be achieved by computing the adjoint action on a generic element $L_m(\alpha)$ (with $m \neq 0$) from the commutation relations (14)

$$Ad\left[\exp(\varepsilon L_0(\alpha))\right]\langle L_m(\beta)\rangle = e^{-m\varepsilon\cos\alpha} L_m(\beta - m\varepsilon\sin\alpha),$$

with $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 2\pi[$. Then, by a suitable choice of the parameters, we can conjugate any subalgebra spanned by $\{L_m(\beta)\}_{m\neq 0}$ to $\{L_m(0)\}_{m\neq 0}$. More generally, this treatment can be applied looking for the action of a generic element $\mathbf{v}(\xi)$ on a vector field $\mathbf{v}(\delta)$ (being $\xi = \xi^1 + i\xi^2$ and $\delta =$

$\delta^1 + i\delta^2$ analytic functions of $z = x + iy$) [16]. Integrating the corresponding differential equation we are lead to the functional equation

$$\delta(\widetilde{z}) = \mu(z(\widetilde{z}))\frac{\xi(\widetilde{z})}{\xi(z(\widetilde{z}))},$$

where $z(\widetilde{z})$ can be obtained from (15) and

$$\delta(\widetilde{z})\,|_{\varepsilon=0} = \mu(z)$$

for $\mu(z)$ given. Now, if we would like to conjugate $\mathbf{v}(\mu(z))$ to the translation generator $\mathbf{v}(1)$, we have to find a transformation generated by a vectorfield $\mathbf{v}(\xi)$, by solving the functional equation for ξ:

$$1 = \mu(z(\widetilde{z}))\frac{\xi(\widetilde{z})}{\xi(z(\widetilde{z}))}.$$

Now, the rectification theorem for vectorfields [12] suggests and Neumann proved [13] that the real version of this functional equation admits a solution for smooth μ and out of a neighbourhood of its zeros. Thus, we can conclude that all 1-dimensional subalgebras of $\widehat{L}_I$ are conjugated among themselves, at least in a suitable domain. Therefore, we can choose the translation vectorfield $L_{-1}(0) = \mathbf{v}(1)$ as the representative of all 1-dimensional subalgebras. We now consider a 2-dimensional subalgebra $\{\mathbf{v}(\zeta), \mathbf{v}(\eta)\}$. In view of the above result, we can conjugate $\mathbf{v}(\zeta)$ to $\mathbf{v}(1)$ and $\mathbf{v}(\eta)$ will be transformed into some other $\mathbf{v}(\widetilde{\eta})$. In addition the commutation relations (11-13) and the closure condition require

$$[\mathbf{v}(1), \mathbf{v}(\widetilde{\eta})] = \mathbf{v}(\widetilde{\eta}_z) = a\mathbf{v}(1) + b\mathbf{v}(\widetilde{\eta}),$$

that is

$$\widetilde{\eta}(z) = -\frac{a}{b} + ce^{bz}, \quad c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0.$$

Performing the transformation $\widetilde{z} = e^{-bz}$, we end up with

$$\partial_z = -b\widetilde{z}\partial_{\widetilde{z}}, \quad e^{bz}\partial_z = -b\partial_{\widetilde{z}}.$$

Thus, we can conclude that all the 2-dimensional subalgebras are conjugated among themselves and, precisely, to the subalgebra

$$\widehat{L}_{td} = \{L_{-1}(0), L_0(0)\},$$

which can be taken as a representative of all 2-dimensional subalgebras.

3.3 Reduced systems

In order to find explicit group invariant solutions it is enough to restrict ourselves to 1- and 2-dimensional subalgebras. In fact, in these cases we have 4 group invariants, which are in one- to- one corrispondence with the dependent variables, so that their role can be interchanged. Concerning the independent variables, we have respectively 1 and 0 new indipendent similarity variables. Thus, the original partial differential system is reduced to an ordinary differential one or to a functional one, respectively.

We now perform the symmetry reductions of (9) starting from the 2-dimensional subalgebras, by considering the representative subalgebra $\widehat{L}_{td}$. The correspondent subgroup has the following basic invariants

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 = s\vartheta^{1/2}, \qquad & I_2 = s\omega^{1/2}, \\ I_3 = sq, \qquad & I_1 = \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

where $s = z - \overline{z}$. From which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = \varphi_0, \qquad & q = \frac{I_3}{s} = \frac{Q}{y}, \\ \vartheta = \frac{I_1^2}{s^2} = \frac{\Theta}{y^2}, \qquad & \vartheta = \frac{I_2^2}{s^2} = \frac{\Omega}{y^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta, \Omega, Q, \varphi_0$ are arbitrary real costants. By substituting these relations

in (9) we obtain

$$\begin{gathered} Q(\varphi_0) = -\frac{2}{8+\alpha_2\varphi_0+\alpha_3\varphi_0^2+\alpha_4\varphi_0^3}, \\ \Theta(\varphi_0) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi_0 Q^2\left(8+\frac{\alpha_2}{3}\varphi_0\right), \\ \Omega = 0, \\ 16 = -Q^2\left(8+\frac{\alpha_2}{3}\varphi_0\right)^2. \end{gathered}$$

The inconsistency of the last equation induces us to conclude that there are not group invariant solutions with respect to any 2-dimensional subgroup.

Now we consider solutions invariant under 1-dimensional subgroups, all represented by the translation group generated by the algebra $\{L_{-1}(0)\}$. With respect to the previous case, we have to add only one more invariant, namely s, that is y. Then, the invariant solutions have the form

$$\vartheta = \vartheta(y),\ \omega = \omega(y),\ q = q(y),\ \varphi = \varphi(y).$$

The reduced system becomes a system of ordinary differential equations

$$\begin{gathered} q^2\frac{d^2\varphi}{dy^2} + 2q\varphi\frac{d^2q}{dy^2} - 2\varphi\left(\frac{dq}{dy}\right)^2 + q^4\left(8\varphi+\alpha_2\varphi^2+\alpha_3\varphi^3+\alpha_4\varphi^4\right) = 0, \\ \frac{d\vartheta}{dy} = \left(8+\frac{\alpha_2}{3}\varphi\right)q\left(\varphi\frac{dq}{dy}+q\frac{d\varphi}{dy}\right), \\ 4q\varphi^2\frac{d^2q}{dy^2} + 4\varphi q^2\frac{d^2\varphi}{dy^2} - 4\varphi^2\left(\frac{dq}{dy}\right)^2 - 4q^2\left(\frac{d\varphi}{dy}\right)^2 = \\ = \alpha_5^2 + \vartheta^2 - \left(8+\frac{\alpha_2}{3}\varphi\right)q^2\varphi\vartheta, \end{gathered} \tag{17}$$

where we put $\omega = \alpha_5$ being a constant. In the next section we will look for some particular solutions of this system.

The importance of this system lies in the fact that all possible 1-dimensional symmetry reductions lead to it, modulo transformations of the dependent and independent variables. In particular, this has to be true for all systems obtained by using some clever parametrization of the axysymmetric surfaces studied in the litterature [20],[21],[4].

4 Solutions

In order to find particular solutions of (17), we make some simplifying hypotheses. The aim is to give explicit solutions to the reduced system for the

amphiphilic membranes configurations.

4.1 Sphere

We first consider the case $\varphi = \varphi_0$. This condition means that we are taking under consideration only the constant mean curvature surfaces, since

$$\varphi_0 = \frac{1}{H_0 - H_s}, \quad H_0 \neq H_s. \tag{18}$$

The system (17) takes the form

$$\begin{gathered} 2q\varphi_0 \tfrac{d^2q}{dy^2} - 2\varphi_0 \left(\tfrac{dq}{dy}\right)^2 + q^4 \left(8\varphi_0 + \alpha_2\varphi_0^2 + \alpha_3\varphi_0^3 + \alpha_4\varphi_0^4\right) = 0, \\ \tfrac{d\vartheta}{dy} = \left(8 + \tfrac{\alpha_2}{3}\varphi_0\right) q\varphi_0 \tfrac{dq}{dy}, \\ 4q\varphi_0^2 \tfrac{d^2q}{dy^2} - 4\varphi_0^2 \left(\tfrac{dq}{dy}\right)^2 = \alpha_5^2 + \vartheta^2 - \left(8 + \tfrac{\alpha_2}{3}\varphi_0\right) q^2\varphi_0\vartheta. \end{gathered} \tag{19}$$

The second equation gives

$$\vartheta(y) = \frac{B(\varphi_0)}{2} q^2 + \vartheta_0,$$

where $B(\varphi_0) = \left(8 + \frac{\alpha_2}{3}\varphi_0\right)\varphi_0$. The remaining equations give

$$q\frac{d^2q}{dy^2} - \left(\frac{dq}{dy}\right)^2 + q^4 \frac{A(\varphi_0)}{2\varphi_0} = 0, \tag{20}$$

where $A(\varphi_0) = (8\varphi_0 + \alpha_2\varphi_0^2 + \alpha_3\varphi_0^3 + \alpha_4\varphi_0^4)$, with the conditions

$$\begin{gathered} \alpha_5 = 0, \\ \vartheta_0 = 0, \\ B^2(\varphi_0) = 8\varphi_0 A(\varphi_0). \end{gathered}$$

Assuming $A(\varphi_0) \neq 0$, the last relation is a condition on the mean curvature in terms of the phenomenological parameters, namely

$$2H_s k H_0^2 - 2H_s^2 k H_0 - 2\lambda H_0 + \Delta p = 0. \tag{21}$$

A non trivial solution (modulo translations) of (20) is

$$q(y) = \frac{\sqrt{\delta_0}}{C} \frac{1}{\cosh(\sqrt{\delta_0} y)},$$

where $C = 2\,|H_0 \varphi_0|$ and δ_0 is a constant of integration. The gaussian curvature is

$$K = -\frac{1}{4q^2\varphi^2} \nabla^2 \ln(q\varphi) = H_0^2.$$

Thus, the described surface is a sphere of radius $R = \frac{1}{H_0}$ under the condition (21). By performing a coordinate change $x\prime = \sqrt{\delta_0} x,\ y\prime = \sqrt{\delta_0} y$, the metric and the second fundamental form result

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \tfrac{1}{H_0^2 \cosh^2(y\prime)} (dx\prime^2 + dy\prime^2), \\ \Omega_2 &= \tfrac{1}{H_0} \tfrac{1}{\cosh^2(y\prime)} (dx\prime^2 + dy\prime^2), \end{aligned}$$

and the representation in the three-dimensional space is given by

$$x^1(x\prime, y\prime) = -\frac{R \sin x\prime}{\cosh y\prime},\ x^2(x\prime, y\prime) = -\frac{R \cos x\prime}{\cosh y\prime},\ x^3(x\prime, y\prime) = -R \tanh y\prime.$$

4.2 Delaunay's surfaces

We now consider costant mean curvature surfaces in the particular case of $H_0 = H_s$. In this case we cannot use the relation (18), so that we are forced to perform some slight changes. We first introduce the following variables

$$\begin{aligned} p &= q(1 + H_s \varphi), \\ \widetilde{\varphi} &= \tfrac{\varphi}{1 + H_s \varphi} = \tfrac{1}{H}, \end{aligned}$$

in terms of which the reduced system takes the form

$$\begin{gathered} p^2 \tfrac{d^2\widetilde{\varphi}}{dy^2} - 2\beta_1 p^2 \left(\widetilde{\varphi} \tfrac{d^2\widetilde{\varphi}}{dy^2} - \left(\tfrac{d\widetilde{\varphi}}{dy} \right)^2 \right) + 2\widetilde{\varphi} \left(1 - \beta_1 \widetilde{\varphi}\right) \left(p \tfrac{d^2 p}{dy^2} - \left(\tfrac{dp}{dy} \right)^2 \right) + \\ + 8p^4 \widetilde{\varphi} - 8\beta_1^2 p^4 \widetilde{\varphi}^3 + 4\beta_3 p^4 \widetilde{\varphi}^4 - 8\beta_2 p^4 \widetilde{\varphi}^3 = 0, \\ \tfrac{d\vartheta}{dy} = 8p \left(\widetilde{\varphi} \tfrac{dp}{dy} + p \tfrac{d\varphi}{dy} \right), \\ 4\widetilde{\varphi}^2 p \tfrac{d^2 p}{dy^2} + 4p^2 \widetilde{\varphi} \tfrac{d^2\widetilde{\varphi}}{dy^2} - 4p^2 \left(\tfrac{d\widetilde{\varphi}}{dy} \right)^2 - 4\widetilde{\varphi}^2 \left(\tfrac{dp}{dy} \right)^2 = \vartheta^2 + \alpha_5^2 - 8p^2 \widetilde{\varphi} \vartheta, \end{gathered} \tag{22}$$

where $\beta_1 = H_s,\ \beta_2 = \frac{\lambda}{k},\ \beta_3 = \frac{\Delta p}{k}$. By imposing the condition $\widetilde{\varphi} = \frac{1}{H_s}$, the first equation in (22) gives

$$\Delta p = 2\lambda H_s.$$

The second equation becomes

$$\vartheta(y) = \frac{4}{\beta_1} p^2(y) + \vartheta_0,$$

and the last one takes the form

$$p\frac{d^2p}{dy^2} - \left(\frac{dp}{dy}\right)^2 = -4p^4 + D^2, \tag{23}$$

where $D^2 = \frac{1}{4}\beta_1^2(\vartheta_0^2 + \alpha_5^2)$. Equation (23) is a slight generalization of equation (20). The integration of (23) gives

$$p(y) = \sqrt{r_2}\sqrt{1 - \sigma^2 sn^2(2\sqrt{r_2}(y - y_0), \sigma)} \tag{24}$$

where $r_2 = \frac{\delta_0 + \sqrt{\delta_0^2 - 4D^2}}{4}$, $\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{8\sqrt{\delta_0^2 - 4D^2}}{\delta_0 + \sqrt{\delta_0^2 - 4D^2}}}$ and $\delta_0 > 2\,|D|$a constant of integration. Notice that for $D^2 = 0$, that is $\vartheta_0 = \alpha_5 = 0$, we obtain the sphere of radius $R = \frac{1}{H_s}$. Furthermore, choosing $D = \pm\frac{\delta_0}{2}$, we get a cylinder with $H = H_s$. In the general case, the two fundamental forms are

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \tfrac{4r_2}{\beta_1^2}\left[1 - \sigma^2 sn^2\left(2\sqrt{r_2}(y - y_0), \sigma\right)\right](dx^2 + dy^2), \\ \Omega_2 &= \left[\tfrac{4r_2}{\beta_1}\left[1 - \sigma^2 sn^2\left(2\sqrt{r_2}(y - y_0), \sigma\right)\right] + \vartheta_0\right]dx^2 + 2\alpha_5 dxdy + \\ &\quad + \left[\tfrac{4r_2}{\beta_1}\left[1 - \sigma^2 sn^2\left(2\sqrt{r_2}(y - y_0), \sigma\right)\right] - \vartheta_0\right]dy^2. \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we can always choose a coordinate system in which $\alpha_5 = 0$. Indeed both H and K depend only on δ_0 and D. In order to simplify the last expressions, we perform a coordinate change $(x, y) \to (\phi, p)$ by the




Figure 1: Unduloid $\beta_1 = 0.5$, $\vartheta_0 = 7.2$

equation (24) for y and via the relation $x = \frac{\beta_1}{2}\phi$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\frac{1}{\beta_1^2}\frac{p^2}{p^4-\frac{\delta_0}{2}p^2+\frac{D^2}{4}}dp^2 + p^2 d\phi^2, \\ \Omega_2 &= \frac{1}{\beta_1}\frac{p^2-\frac{\vartheta_0\beta_1}{4}}{-p^4+\frac{\delta_0}{2}p^2-\frac{D^2}{4}}dp^2 + \\ &+\beta_1\left(p^2+\frac{\beta_1\vartheta_0}{4}\right)d\phi^2. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

These two forms represent a family of surfaces parametrized by $\beta_1, \vartheta_0, \delta_0$. In the particular case $\delta_0 = \frac{2-\vartheta_0\beta_1^3}{\beta_1^2}$ the two forms (25) generate the Delaunay surfaces [23][22]. Precisely we have the unduloids when $0 < \beta_1^3\vartheta_0 < 1$ (figure1), and the nodoids when $\beta_1^3\vartheta_0 < 0$ (figures 2,3,4). Otherwise, when the above constraint on δ_0 is not satisfied, we guess that a larger class of surfaces appears. However we leave this study to the next future.

4.3 Toroidal surfaces

Now, we leave the class of the constant mean cuevature surfaces and consider the reduction of the system (17) under the particular restriction $q = q_0$ a




Figure 2: Nodoid $\beta_1 = 0.8$ $\vartheta_0 = -25$




Figure 3: Nodoid $\beta_1 = 1$ $\vartheta_0 = -1.6$




Figure 4: Nodoid $\beta_1 = 1$ $\vartheta_0 = -2.8$

constant. The reduced system (17) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\tfrac{d^2\varphi}{dy^2} + q_0^2\left(8\varphi + \alpha_2\varphi^2 + \alpha_3\varphi^3 + \alpha_4\varphi^4\right) = 0 \\ &\tfrac{d\vartheta}{dy} = \left(8 + \tfrac{\alpha_2}{3}\varphi\right) q_0^2 \tfrac{d\varphi}{dy}, \\ &4\varphi q_0^2 \tfrac{d^2\varphi}{dy^2} - 4q_0^2\left(\tfrac{d\varphi}{dy}\right)^2 = \alpha_5^2 + \vartheta^2 - \left(8 + \tfrac{\alpha_2}{3}\varphi\right) q_0^2\varphi\vartheta. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Integrating the second equation we obtain

$$\vartheta(y) = q_0^2\varphi\left(8 + \frac{\alpha_2}{6}\varphi\right) + c_1,$$

which substituted in the third equation of (26) gives

$$\varphi\frac{d^2\varphi}{dy^2} = \left(\frac{d\varphi}{dy}\right)^2 + \frac{\alpha_5^2 + c_1^2}{4q_0^2} - \frac{\alpha_2^2 q_0^2}{144}\varphi^4 + 2c_1\varphi - \frac{\alpha_2}{3}q_0^2\varphi^3.$$

By comparing this equation with the first one of the system (26), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d\varphi}{dy}\right)^2 + \frac{\alpha_5^2 + c_1^2}{4q_0^2} + 2c_1\varphi + 8q_0^2\varphi^2 + \frac{2\alpha_2}{3}q_0^2\varphi^3 + q_0^2\left(\alpha_3 - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{144}\right)\varphi^4 + q_0^2\alpha_4\varphi^5 = 0$$

Furthermore, the integration of the first equation (26) gives

$$\left(\frac{d\varphi}{dy}\right)^2 = -8q_0^2\varphi^2 - \frac{2}{3}q_0^2\alpha_2\varphi^3 - \frac{\alpha_2}{3}q_0^2\varphi^4 - \frac{2}{5}q_0^2\alpha_4\varphi^5 + \phi_0.$$

So the comparison of the last two equations provides the constraints

$$\begin{gathered}\alpha_4 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta p = 2\lambda H_s,\\ \tfrac{\alpha_3}{2} = \tfrac{\alpha_2^2}{144} \Leftrightarrow \lambda = kH_s^2,\\ c_1 = 0,\\ \phi_0 = -\tfrac{\alpha_5^2}{4q_0^2}.\end{gathered}$$

Consequently the original system is reduced to the equation

$$\left(\frac{d\varphi}{dy}\right)^2 = -\gamma_0 - \gamma_2\varphi^2 - \gamma_3\varphi^3 - \frac{\gamma_3^2}{8\gamma_2}\varphi^4 = P_4(\varphi), \tag{27}$$

where $\gamma_0 = \frac{\alpha_5^2}{4q_0^2}$, $\gamma_2 = 8q_0^2$, $\gamma_3 = 16H_sq_0^2$. In order to ensure the positivity of the r.h.s. of (27) we must impose the condition

$$\alpha_5^2 < \frac{8q_0^6}{H_s^2}(11 + 5\sqrt{5}).$$

As suggested by the equation (27), performing the coordinate transformation $(x, y) \to (x, \varphi)$, the two fundamental forms are

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{\gamma_2}{2}\varphi^2\left(dx^2 - \frac{d\varphi^2}{\gamma_0 + \gamma_2\varphi^2 + \gamma_3\varphi^3 + \frac{\gamma_3^2}{8\gamma_2}\varphi^4}\right),\\ \Omega_2 &= \varphi\left(\gamma_2 + \frac{\gamma_3}{4}\varphi\right)dx^2 + \frac{2\alpha_5}{\sqrt{-\gamma_0 - \gamma_2\varphi^2 - \gamma_3\varphi^3 - \frac{\gamma_3^2}{8\gamma_2}\varphi^4}}dxd\varphi +\\ &\quad + \frac{\gamma_3\varphi^2}{4\left(-\gamma_0 - \gamma_2\varphi^2 - \gamma_3\varphi^3 - \frac{\gamma_3^2}{8\gamma_2}\varphi^4\right)}d\varphi^2, \end{aligned}$$

with $0 < \beta < \varphi < \alpha$ if $H_s < 0$ and $\beta < \varphi < \alpha < 0$ if $H_s > 0$, being α, β the two real roots of $P_4(\varphi)$, which is positive in $]\alpha, \beta[$. The difference in the sign

of H_s depends only on the different orientation of the normal vector $\mathbf{n}$. The gaussian and mean curvatures are given by

$$H = \frac{1}{\varphi} + \frac{\gamma_3}{2\gamma_2}, \quad K = -\frac{2\gamma_0}{\gamma_2\varphi^4} + \frac{2\gamma_3}{\gamma_2\varphi} + \frac{\gamma_3^2}{4\gamma_2^2}.$$

In the special case $\alpha_5 = 0$ and rescaling the coordinates $x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_2}}\theta$, $\varphi = \sqrt{2}\rho$, we have

$$ds^2 = \rho^2 d\theta^2 - \frac{d\rho^2}{1 + 2\sqrt{2}H_s\rho + H_s^2\rho^2}, \tag{28}$$

$$\Omega_2 = \left(H_s\rho^2 + \sqrt{2}\rho\right) d\theta^2 - \frac{H_s d\rho^2}{1 + 2\sqrt{2}H_s\rho + H_s^2\rho^2}, \tag{29}$$

$$H = H_s + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2\rho}, \tag{30}$$

$$K = H_s^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}H_s}{\rho}. \tag{31}$$

If we interpret the symmetry variable θ as the rotation angle through the x^3-axis and ρ as the radius $\sqrt{(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2}$, then (28-29) are the two fundamental forms of the so called Clifford torus, with cartesian equation

$$\left[(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 + \frac{1}{H_s^2}\right]^2 = \frac{8}{H_s^2}\left[(x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2\right].$$

This vesicle configuration has been detected experimentally by M. Mutz and Bensimon [24],[25](see figure 5).

4.4 Circular Biconcave Discoid

In the previous sections we have considered the special cases in which the variables q or φ are taken to be constant. In this section we will consider the general case in which both q and φ are not constant functions, but we suppose that q is functionally depending on φ. Thus we put without loss of generality

$$q = q(\varphi) = \frac{\rho(\varphi)}{2\varphi}, \tag{32}$$




Figure 5: Clifford torus

where ρ is a function to be determined. We now look at the system (17) and consider the second equation. Assuming a one-to-one correspondence between ρ and φ, we have

$$\vartheta = \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{24} + \frac{1}{\varphi}\right)\rho^2 + \int \frac{\rho^2}{\varphi^2}\frac{d\varphi}{d\rho}d\rho + \vartheta_0,$$

where the integral is still an undetermined function of ρ. However one could play with in order to get closed expressions. For example, the toroidal case can be recovered by assuming φ and ρ to be proportional, or the expression under integral of the form $\frac{c}{\rho^2}$. Another interesting possibility arises making the following hypothesis:

$$\frac{1}{\varphi^2}\frac{d\varphi}{d\rho} = -\frac{c}{\rho}, \tag{33}$$

or, equivalently

$$\varphi = \frac{1}{c\ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)}.$$

Consequently we have

$$\vartheta = \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{24} - \frac{c}{2} + c\ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)\right)\rho^2 + \vartheta_0.$$

If we now substitute the two last expressions in the remaining equations of (17), we obtain the compatibility conditions

$$\alpha_2 = 12c,\ \alpha_3 = 4c^2,\ \alpha_4 = 0,\ \alpha_5 = \vartheta_0 = 0, \tag{34}$$

thus $c = 2H_s$ and the other phenomenological parameters λ and Δp are equal to zero because of (34). Furthermore, we have the following differential equation for $\rho(y)$

$$\frac{d}{dy}\left(\frac{\frac{d\rho}{dy}}{\rho}\right) + c^2\rho^2 \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)\left(1 + \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)\right) = 0. \tag{35}$$

In order to integrate this equation we perform the hodograph transformation

$$\frac{dy}{d\rho} = f^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\rho). \tag{36}$$

The equation (35) provides a differential equation for $f(\rho)$

$$\frac{df}{d\rho} - \frac{2}{\rho}f = -2c^2\rho^3 \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)\left(1 + \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)\right),$$

the general solution of which is

$$f(\rho) = \rho^2\left(f_0 - c^2\rho^2 \ln^2\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)\right) \qquad (f_0 \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Thus, the problem of the system (17) with tha assumptions (32-33) is reduced to the quadrature of (36). Furthermore, we can conclude that the solution surface is defined by the following two fundamental forms in the local coordinate (x, ρ)

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \rho^2 dx^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{f(\rho)}d\rho^2, \\ \Omega_2 &= c\rho^2 \ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right)dx^2 + c\rho^2\left(\ln\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_0}\right) + 1\right)\frac{1}{f(\rho)}d\rho^2. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 6: Circular Biconcave Surface

From the above expression of the metric, we interpret ρ as a radius and x as an angular variable, obtaining an axisymmetric surface. A general parametrization of this kind of surfaces is given in terms of angle ψ between the tangent to the contour and the radial direction [4]

$$x^1 = \cos(x) \qquad\qquad x^2 = \sin(x)$$
$$x^3 = \int \tan\psi(\rho) d\rho + z_0$$

where $\sec^2\psi(\rho) = \frac{\rho^2}{f(\rho)}$. The required integration for x^3, which can be numerically performed, leads to the well known [4] biconcave discoid surface (see figure 6) where we set $f_0 = 1$ in order to avoid a conical point in $\rho = 0$. This surface may represent a static configuration for the red blood cells.

5 Conclusions

In this article we have shown that the symmetry algebra of the system (9) is the algebra of the conformal transformations in two dimensions, that is they generate arbitrary reparametrizations of the local coordinates (x, y), accom-

pained by the appropriate transformation of the dependent variables. In the particular case $H_s = \Delta p = \lambda = 0$ the functional F (4) becomes the so-called Willmore functional and the symmetries include a one-dimensional algebra $\widehat{L}_g$ (spanned by the vectorfield $\mathbf{v}_2$), which generates the scaling transformations in the ambient space [9][10]. One of the main result of our research is that in the general case (the most important from the physical point of view) the group analysis leads to a unique symmetry reduction, that is the system (17). By making different simplifying hypothesis ($q = q_0$, $\varphi = \varphi_0$, etc...) we have recovered the known solutions of toroidal and constant mean curvature type, respectively. However, the first and second fundamental forms contain possible generalizations of these surface solutions. Moreover we have seen how to obtain in a systematic way other classes of solutions, by imposing particular relations between q and φ (see eq. 32-33). In this way we have obtained the so-called circular biconcave surfaces, but we presume to be able to reduce to the quadratures many other classes of surfaces in the next future. Besides, in order to find new solutions and study their stability, we plan to use the discrete symmetries of the system, numerical methods in determining solutions of the GWE (1) and finally we plan to study the second variation of the functional F on the solutions.

Acknowledgments

The work presented here was supported by a research grant from the Dipartimento di Fisica of the Universita' di Lecce and MIUR.

The author thanks prof. L. Martina for many helpfull discussions.

References

[1] Lipowsky R., Sackman E., *Handbook of biological physics,* Volume 1, *Structure and Dynamics of Membranes,* Elsevier Science B.V., Amsterdam, 1995.

[2] D. Nelson, T. Piran, S. Weinberg, Eds., *Statistical mechanics of membranes and surfaces,* World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.

[3] Peliti L., *Amphiphilic Membranes,* Lectures presented at the 1994 Les Houches Summer School "Fluctuating Geometries in Statistical Mechanics and Field Theory".

[4] Ou-Yang Zhong-Can, Liu Ji-Xing, Xie Yu-Zhang, *Geometric Methods in the Elastic Theory of Membranes in Liquid Crystal Phases,* World Scientific, Hong Kong 1999.

[5] Helfrich W., *Elastic properties of lipid bilayers: Theory and possible experiments,* Z. Naturforsch, **28c** (1973) 693-703.

[6] Manfredo P. do Carmo, *Differential Geometry of curves and Surfaces,* Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1976.

[7] B. A. Dubrovin, A. T. Fomenko, S. P. Novikov, *Modern Geometry-Methods and Applications,* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.

[8] B. O'Neill, *Elementary Differential Geometry,* Academic Press, N.Y., 1967.

[9] T. J. Willmore, *Riemannian Geometry,* Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1993.

[10] T. J. Willmore, *Total Curvature in Riemannian Geometry,* Ellis Horwood, Chicester, 1982.

[11] P. J. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations,* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.

[12] V. I. Arnold, *Ordinary Differential Equations,* The MIT Press, Cambridge, 1973.

[13] F. Neumann, in " Proceedings, 23rd International Symposium on Functional Equations" Gagnano, Italy, June 2-11, 1985, (compiled by T.M.K. Davison), Centre for Information Theory, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.

[14] L. V. Ovsiannikov, *Group Analysis of Differential Equations,* Academic Press, New York, 1982.

[15] P. Winternitz, *Group Theory and exact solutions of partially integrable differential systems* in "Partially integrable evolution equations in Physics", 515-567, Ed. R. Conte, N. Boccara.

[16] D. David, N. Kamran, D. Levi, and P. Winternitz, *Simmetry reduction for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation using a loop algebra,* J. of Math. Physics, Vol.27, May 1986, n. 5.

[17] N. H. Ibragimov, *Transformation Group Applied to Mathematical Physics,* Reidel, Dordrecht, 1985.

[18] M. Henkel, *Conformal Invariance and Critical Phenomena,* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[19] R. Gilmore, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Some of Their Applications,* John Wiley&Sons, New York, 1974.

[20] Hu Jian-Guo, Ou-Yang Zhong-Can, Phys. Rev. E **47,** 461 (1993).

[21] Wei-Mou Zheng and Jixing Liu, Phys. Rev. E **48,** 2856 (1993).

[22] J. Bells, *Math. Intelligencer* **9** (1987), 53.

[23] H.Naito, M.Okuda and Z.C.Ou-Yang, *Physical Review Letters* **74** (1995), 4345.

[24] Ou-Yang Zhong-Can, *Phys. Rev. A* **41,** 4517 (1990).

[25] M. Mutz, D. Bensimon, *Phys. Rev. A* **43,** 4525 (1991).