## A nom alous H eavy-Ferm ion and O rdered States in the Filled-Skutterudite P rFe<sub>4</sub>P $_{12}$

Y.Aoki, T.Namiki, T.D.M atsuda, K.Abe, H.Sugawara, and H.Sato

Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

(April 14, 2024)

Speci c heat and m agnetization m easurem ents have been perform ed on high-quality single crystals of lled-skutterudite  $PrFe_4P_{12}$  in order to study the high-eld heavy ferm ion state (HFS) and low - eld ordered state (ODS). From a broad hump observed in C=T vs T in HFS for m agnetic elds applied along the h100i direction, the K ondo temperature of 9 K and the existence of ferrom agnetic PrPr interactions are deduced. The <sup>141</sup> Pr nuclear Schottky contribution, which works as a highly-sensitive on-site probe for the Prm agnetic m om ent, sets an upper bound for the ordered m om ent as 0.03  $_{\rm B}$  =P r-ion. This fact strongly indicates that the prim ary order parameter in the ODS is nonm agnetic and m ost probably of quadrupolar origin, com bined with other experimental facts. Signi cantly suppressed heavy-ferm ion behavior in the ODS suggests a possibility that the quadrupolar degrees of freedom is essential for the heavy quasiparticle band form ation in the HFS. Possible crystalline-electric- eld level schem es estim ated from the anisotropy in the m agnetization are consistent with this conjecture.

71.27.+a, 75.40.Cx, 71.70 Jp, 71.70.Ch

I. IN TRODUCTION

Studies of U-based interm etallic system s have revealed that the nature of strongly correlated electron states based on f<sup>2</sup> con guration can be qualitatively di erent from those based on f<sup>1</sup> con guration. Such an example is possible quadrupolar K ondo coupling of a non-K ram ers doublet ground state to conduction electrons in cubic symmetry [1,2]. This coupling, which may be viewed as a screening process of the uctuating quadrupole mom ent on the ion by the conduction electrons, can lead to a non-Ferm i-liquid (NFL) behavior if the coupling has sym metric two channels [3]. This unconventional scenario has been applied to explain NFL behaviors observed in som e cubic U com pounds [4{6]. How ever, doubts have been thrown on the realization of  $5f^2$  con guration or a non-K ram ers doublet ground state [7,8]. The required substitution with non-magnetic elements for realization of NFL behavior allows other possible explanations to be proposed instead [9,10].

In this respect, the exploration of Pr-based correlatedelectron systems is highly required because  $Pr^{3+}$  (4f<sup>2</sup>) is the most direct analogue to  $U^{4+}$  . Compared to 5f system s, sm aller spatial extent of the 4f orbitals usually causes more localized character with less valence uctuation. There is little debate regarding the existence of crystalline-electric-eld (CEF) levels and, therefore, com parison with the aforem entioned theoretical models becom es more reliable. A though resulting weaker hybridizations of the 4f electron m ight lead to a sm aller energy scale (K ondo tem perature  $T_K$  ), which characterizes the renorm alized properties developing at low tem peratures, experimental observations are possible in limited system s. Such com pounds reported to date are PrInAg<sub>2</sub> and PrFe<sub>4</sub>P<sub>12</sub>. The form er is characterized by the enhanced Sommerfeld coe cient 6:5 J/K<sup>2</sup> mol [11]. Unusual + In T dependence of electrical resistivity [12] m ight indicate NFL nature of the ground state in this

com pound.

In the present study, we focus on PrFe<sub>4</sub>P<sub>12</sub>, which exhibits a heavy-ferm ion state (HFS) in applied elds where an ordered state (ODS) is suppressed (see Fig. 2 for a eld-vs-tem perature H T phase diagram ). The Ferm iliquid (FL) nature of HFS is evidenced by the enorm ous value (' 1:4 J/K<sup>2</sup> molin  $_{0}$ H = 6 T==h110i [13]), which satis es the K adow aki-W oods relation [14] along with the  $cm/K^{2}$  $T^2$  coe cient of electrical resistivity (T) (2:5 in 6 T), and the huge cyclotron m ass (m  $_{\rm c}$  ' 70 m  $_{\rm 0}$ ) determ ined by de Haas-van Alphen (dHvA) studies [15]. To our know ledge,  $PrFe_4P_{12}$  is the one and only system in which such de nitive evidence for the 4f<sup>2</sup>-based FL heavy-ferm ion ground state has been obtained. In this paper, we report possible evidence indicating that the HF state is form ed via nonm agnetic (m ost likely quadrupolar) interactions.

## II.EXPER IM ENTAL

Single crystals of  $PrFe_4P_{12}$  were grown by tin-ux m ethod described in Ref. [16]. The observation of the dH vA oscillations [15] ensures high-quality of the sam ple. Speci c heat C (H;T) for H ==h100i was m easured by a quasi-adiabatic heat pulse m ethod described in Ref. [17] using a dilution refrigerator equipped with an 8-T superconducting m agnet. The tem perature increment caused by each heat pulse is controlled to 2% for the usualm easurem ent and to 0.5% in limited tem perature ranges where the phase transition occurs. The bulk m agnetization M ( $_0H$  5:5 T;T 2:0 K) was m easured with a commercial SQ U ID m agnetom eter (Q uantum D esign).

Figure 1 shows the C=T-vs-T data for H ==h100i. In zero eld, a clear anom aly indicating the second-order nature of the phase transition appears at  $T_A = 6.51 \text{ K}$ . In the early stage, this was regarded as a signature of an antiferrom agnetic transition since the magnetic susceptibility () drops below T<sub>A</sub> [16]. W ith decreasing T below  $T_A$ , C =T decreases showing two weak shoulders at around 4 and 1 K.A slight upturn below 0.2 K, which grows strongly in applied elds, is due to the nuclear Schottky contribution (C<sub>n</sub>). With increasing eld, the anom aly at TA shifts to lower tem peratures and changes its shape from second-order-like to rst-order-like at 2 T.A ctually, at tem peratures very close to the phase tran-4 T, Barkhausen-like heating is insition in  $_0H = 2$ term ittently observed during heat pulse m easurem ents. The determ ined phase boundary between HFS and ODS is plotted in a H T phase diagram of Fig. 2. In HFS above  $_{0}H = 4 T$ , there appears a broad hum p at a tem perature  $T_{m ax}$ , which shifts to higher tem peratures with increasing eld. For H == h110i [13], no such hum p is observed up to 8 T although the overall T dependence of C=T is similar to that of the present data. The hum p structure and the enorm ously enhanced C = T in the tem perature range of T .  $T_{m \mbox{ ax}}$  cannot be explained by a sim ple Schottky model with a few separated levels. A ctually, C = T does not show any exponential decrease with lowering temperature below T<sub>max</sub>. This fact indicates that, at least in the measured tem perature range, "welllocalized Prions with dominant CEF e ect" does not provide an appropriate picture in PrFe4P12 and many body e ects should be taken into account.

Them easured speci cheat C can be expressed as C<sub>el</sub>+ C<sub>n</sub> + C<sub>ph</sub>. For an estimation of the phonon contribution C<sub>ph</sub>, we use  $T^3$  with = 2.59  $10^4$  J/K<sup>4</sup> molreported for LaFe<sub>4</sub>P<sub>12</sub> [18]. Even at 8 K, C<sub>ph</sub> amounts to only 3% of the total value of C due to the huge contribution of C<sub>el</sub>.

The hump structure in C=T vs T is attributed to the low-energy them al excitations in heavily renorm alized quasiparticle bands form ed around the Ferm i level (E  $_{\rm F}$ ). A saphenom enologicalm odel to describe the excitations, we employ the resonance levelm odel (RLM ) [19], which assumes a Lorentzian density of states centred at E  $_{\rm F}$  with a T-independent width ( $T_{\rm K}$ ). A though this model is unrealistic, it has been applied successfully to describe the C (T) data and to extract the characteristic energy scale on Ce-based HF com pounds, e.g., CeRu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> [20] and CeCu<sub>6</sub> [21]. We use the following slightly modi ed enition for the electronic speci c heat, entropy, and m agnetization:

$$C_{RLM} = G_{S}(T; ;g_{B}H_{eff})$$
 (1a)

$$S_{RLM} = S_S (T; ;g_B H_{eff});$$
 (1b)

$$M_{RLM} = M_{SS}(T; ; g_{B}; H_{eff});$$
 (1c)

where the functions of C  $_{\rm S\,S}$  , S  $_{\rm S\,S}$  , and M  $_{\rm S\,S}$  are de ned



FIG.1. Total specic heat of  $PrFe_4P_{12}$  in m agnetic elds of (a)  $_0H$  3 T and (b)  $_0H$  4 T for H ==h100i. The thin curves represent the best m odel ttings of the HFS data using RLM (see text).



FIG.2. M agnetic eld vs tem perature phase diagram. The broken and solid curves represent rst-order and second-order phase boundaries, respectively.



FIG.3. M agnetic eld dependence of the e ective Zeem an energy determ ined by the tting shown in Fig. 1(b). The inset shows the T dependence of inverse m agnetic susceptibility.

in Ref. [19] and H  $_{\rm eff}$  denotes an electric eld acting on the electrons. The prefactor is phenom enologically introduced considering that the entropy release associated with the hum p structure is larger than R ln 2 (see Fig. 5). The connotation of will be discussed below.

In zero eld ( $H_{eff} = 0$ ), experimental values of  $C_{el}=T = 0.465 \text{ J/K}^2 \text{ mol and electronic entropy } S_{el} =$ 10.2 J/K molat T = 8 K (see Fig. 5) uniquely lead to = 8:7 K and = 2:7. M odel calculation of  $C_{el}$ =T using these values is drawn in Fig. 1 (a) by a broken thin curve. The model curve, which satis es the entropy balance with the zero-eld data, approaches 2.7  $J/K^2$  mol as T ! 0. For the high-eld data shown in Fig. 1(b), the only one tting parameter is g  $_{\rm B}\,{\rm H}_{\rm eff}$  , which is determ ined so that  ${\rm T}_{m\mbox{ ax}}$  can be reproduced. Thus determ ined m odel curves shown in Fig. 1 (b) dem onstrate that the hump structure is described fairly well by Eq. (1a). The resulting e ective Zeem an energy  $(1=2)g_{B}H_{eff}$  as a function of H falls on a straight line as shown in Fig. 3. A ssum ing the e ective g-factor to be H independent between 4 and 8 T, q = 22 is obtained from the slope in Fig. 3. The absence of the hump structure in C = Tfor H == h110i up to 8 T [13] is due to sm aller g for this geom etry, in accordance with the anisotropy in M as will be discussed below. The positive intercept in Fig. 3 indicates ferrom agnetic interactions among the Prions with an energy scale of 3:6 K, which is consistent with the positive W eisstem perature  $_{p} = +3.5$  K determ ined from  $M = (_{0}H) (_{0}H = 0:1T)$ the magnetic susceptibility data below 20 K (inset of Fig. 3). This feature contrasts strikingly with the negative  ${}_{\rm p}{}^{\prime}{
m s}$  observed in Ce-based Kondo lattice compounds, where jp jprovides a rough estimate of  $T_K$  . We also compare M (T) measured in  $_{0}H = 5:5 \text{ T} \text{ with } M_{RLM}$  (T) given by Eq. (1c). At 2.0 K, M and M  $_{RLM}$  are 1.83 and 1.58  $_{B}$  =P r-ion, respectively. Except the slightly smaller value of M  $_{\rm R\,L\,M}$  , the general feature of gradually decreasing M with increasing T is well reproduced (not shown).

The observed nuclear Schottky contribution  $C_{\rm n}$  is mostly caused by Pr nuclei (nuclear spin I = 5=2 for  $^{141}{\rm Pr}\,w$  ith the natural abundance of 100%). The contribution from Fe and P nuclei is negligibly small. The nuclear magnetic m on ent averaged over the existing isotopes (I (I + 1)g\_N^2) is 5:3 10 ^4 and 3.84 for Fe and P, respectively. Using these values, the contribution from Fe and P nuclei can be estimated as  $C_{\rm n}$  = 1:7 10  $^5$  ( $_{0}{\rm H}_{\rm hf}$ =T) $^2$  J/K m ol, which amounts to only 0.4% of the observed  $C_{\rm n}$  in 8 T assuming  $_{0}{\rm H}_{\rm hf}$  ' 8 T.

The observed Pr nuclear Schottky contribution is largely enhanced. This is due to the strong intra-site hyper ne coupling between the nucleus and 4f-electrons on the sam ePr ion. The H am iltonian for the Pr nucleus can be written as [22]

$$H_n = a^0 I_z + P (I_z^2 I (I + 1)=3);$$
 (2)

where the z axis lies in the direction of hJi for each Pr ion. Here  $a^0 (= AhJ_z i)$  and  $P (= BhJ_z^2 \quad J(J+1)=3i)$  are the magnetic dipole hyper ne interaction parameter and the electric quadrupole coupling constant, respectively. For each magnetic eld, the values of  $a^0$  and P can be determined by tting the observed  $C_n(T)$  data to the model given by Eq. (2). Consequently, if the coupling constant A is known,  $hJ_z i$  will be obtained. In the following data analysis, we replace  $hJ_z i$  by the site-averaged quantity  $(hJ_z if)^{1=2}$  since the Prions are most probably separated into inequivalent sites in ODS and the measured speci c heat provides the site-averaged quantity. U sing this, we de ne the site-averaged magnitude of the Prm agnetic moment  $m_{Pr}$   $g_J (hJ_z if)^{1=2}$ .

W e experim entally determ ine the coupling constant A in HFS, where hJi is polarized along the applied eld for all Pr ions. In  $_0H = 5.5 \text{ T}$ , M (T ! 0) =  $g_J h J_z i = 1.89 \text{ }_B / Pr$ -ion and  $a^0$  is obtained to be 0.122 K. Thus determ ined A = 0.052 K agrees well with reported theoretical values [23,24].

In order to separate C<sub>n</sub> and C<sub>el</sub>, we use Eq. (1a) in HFS and T + T<sup>n</sup> in ODS for C<sub>el</sub>. The H dependences of  $m_{Pr}$  and , obtained by thing C (T) data below 2 K, are shown in Fig. 4. The resulting exponent n ranges from 4.1 to 4.3. In HFS, P is determined to be (7 2) 10<sup>3</sup> K; for the precise determination of P in low elds, the m easurement should be extended further below 0.1 K.

A remarkable feature in Fig. 4(b) is that is substantially reduced (' 0:1 J/K<sup>2</sup> m ol) and alm ost H independent in ODS, and it rises sharply to  $1 J/K^2 m$  olat H<sub>A</sub>. Field-scan data of C=T measured at 1 K (shown in Fig. 4(b) for comparison) also rejects this feature; the di erence between the C=T and data comesmainly from the nuclear contribution in HFS and the magnetic excitation approximated as T<sup>n</sup> in ODS. This observation evidently shows that the HF behavior is significantly suppressed in ODS.

In Fig. 4(a),  $m_{Pr}$  is compared with M (H) data measured at 2.0 K.A small di erence in  $H_A$  is due to the temperature dependence of  $H_A$  (see Fig. 2). A greem ent



FIG.4. (a) M agnetic eld dependences of the site-averaged m agnitude of the Prm agnetic m om ent m<sub>Pr</sub> and bulk m agnetization M (T = 2.0 K). (b)  $C_{e1}=T j_{!0}$  estimated by the tting below 2 K (see text). C=T vs  $_{0}$ H m easured at 1 K is shown for comparison.



FIG.5. Temperature dependence of the electronic part of entropy  $S_{el}$  calculated integrating the  $C_{el}=T$  data. Because of the Barkhausen-like heating occurring at the rst-order phase transition in  $_{0}H$  = 2  $\,$  4 T, thus calculated  $S_{el}$  above  $T_{A}$  becomes lower than the true values. By magnetization measurements using a M axwell relation of  $(@S=@(\ _{0}H))_{T}$  =  $(@M=@T)_{\ _{0}H}$ , we have corrected the  $S_{el}$  data in T >  $T_{A}$ . In zero eld,  $S_{el}$  data for T >  $T_{A}$  are nicely reproduced by the RLM model given by Eq. (lb), which provides an asymptotic entropy of  $S_{el}(T \ 1 \ ) = R \ln(2 \ ) ' \ R \ln(65)$ .



FIG. 6. M agnetization curves measured at 2.5, 4.2 and 7 K in external elds along the main symmetry directions. The observed magnetic anisotropy of M (H =h100i) > M (H =h110i) > M (H =h111i) is the feature of the non-ordered state.

between the two sets of data is quite good even in ODS taking into account the weak tem perature dependence of M; e.g., M (T ! 0) is only 1% smaller than M (T = 2 K) in  $_0H$  = 3 T. This agreement clearly shows that the reduced M in ODS is mainly due to the shrinkage of each Prm agnetic m om ent itself, not due to cancellation am ong the Prm agnetic m om ents as usually observed in classical antiferrom agnets. For example, M (H) curve of H oG a<sub>2</sub> show s com plex eld-induced m etam agnetic phase transitions, though the H o nuclear speci c heat (i.e., the m agnitude of each H o m agnetic m om ent) is of H independence, re ecting that the m etam agnetic behavior in M (H) is due to the change in the H o m om ent cancellation depending on the eld-induced m agnetic structures [25].

As shown in Fig. 4(a),  $m_{Pr}$  decreases signi cantly as H ! 0. The extrem ely small  $C_n$  ' 6.4 10 <sup>5</sup> =T<sup>2</sup> J/K molobserved in zero eld sets an upper bound for the ordered  $m_{Pr}$ , i.e., 0:03 <sub>B</sub> /Pr-ion. The extrem ely small m  $_{Pr}$  along with the large entropy decrease below  $T_A$  as shown in Fig. 5 strongly suggests that the prim ary order parameter in ODS is not the Prm agneticm on ent but of non-magnetic origin. This is consistent with the absence of magnetic Bragg peaks at 1.5 K in a powder neutron diraction study [26], in which typical sensitivity is of the order of 0.1  $_B$  /Pr-ion.

In the non-ordered state, it is found that the lowtem perature properties cannot be described quantitatively in a well-localized Pr-ion picture. For example, the specic heat cannot be described by a simple CEF model producing a Schottky anomaly. It is expected, however, that some of the qualitative features may reect the CEF e ect playing a role in the background of the strong hybridization. The  ${\rm T}_{\rm h}$  site symmetry of the  $Pr^{3+}$  ions with no fourfold rotation axis leaves a non-vanishing (O  $_6^2$  O  $_6^6$ ) term in the CEF Ham iltonian [27], along with the usual cubic terms of  $(O_4^0 + 5O_4^4)$ and  $(O_6^0 \ 210_6^4)$ . If the  $(O_6^2 \ O_6^6)$  term is dominating, one of the two magnetic triplets will be stabilized as the CEF ground state and consequently the anisotropy in M willbe M (H ==h111i) > M (H ==h110i) > M (H ==h100i) at low tem peratures. M agnetization curves m easured in applied elds along the main symmetry directions are shown in Fig. 6. Since M (H == h100i) > M (H == h110i) > M (H ==h111i) is observed in the non-ordered state, the above possibility is ruled out. In the following analysis, therefore, we assume that the  $(O_6^2 O_6^6)$  term is negligibly small and we use the  $O_h$  point-group notation for CEF levels described in Lea, Leask and W olf (LLW ) [28] (see Ref. [27] for the  $O_h$  \$  $T_h$  correlation table). In this notation, the two CEF param eters are represented by W and x. W e calculated m agnetization for a single-site Pr ion at 2.5 K in a magnetic eld of 5.5 T in order to com pare with the experim ental data. The results are shown in Fig. 7 as a function of x. For each x, the absolute value of W is determined so that  $S_{el}(T = 8 \text{ K}) = 102$ J/K molis satis ed. No molecular eld for the dipole or multipole Pr-Pr interactions is taken into account in the calculation. The observed anisotropy in M is qualitatively reproduced when  $0 \cdot x = 1$  for W < 0 or x. 0 for W > 0. In these parameter regions, the 1 CEF ground state is a 1 singlet or a 3 non-K ramers doublet; in either case the ground state is non-m agnetic, in accordance with the non-magnetic nature of ODS.A 5 triplet ground state is highly unlikely.

The magnetic susceptibility in ODS has quite large values, as readily recognized in both the inset of Fig. 3 and the magnetization curve shown in Fig. 4(a). If the observed in ODS were attributed to itinerant electrons with ' 0:1 J/K<sup>2</sup> mol, an unreasonably large e ective moment  $_{eff} = 15 _{B}$  /carrier would be needed, indicating that the observed in ODS is attributed to the well-localized 4f electrons, of which the c-f hybridization is substantially suppressed. Attributing ' 0:4  $_{B}$ /T P r-ion for T ! 0 in ODS to a Van V leck susceptibility, following possible CEF level schemes are deduced. (i) In the case of the 1 ground state, a 4 state is located



FIG.7. x dependence of the calculated magnetization for a single-site Pr ion at 2.5 K in a magnetic eld of 5.5 T. For each x, the absolute value of W is determined so that  $S_{el}(T = 8 \text{ K}) = 10.2 \text{ J/K}$  mol is satis ed. No molecular eld for the dipole or multipole Pr-Pr interactions is taken into account. Broken arrows indicate the parameter region where the magnetization anisotropy is qualitatively consistent with the experimental data. The upper panel shows the ground and rst excited CEF states.

at E ( $_4$ ) ' 13 K above the ground state, taking into account the 1 ground state having only one non-zero m atrix element of  $J_z$  with the  $_4$  state. Since the experi $m entalS_{el}(T = 8 K) = 10.2 J/K m olshown in Fig. 5 de$ nies the possibility that a 5 state is located below 13 K as the rst excited state, one can conclude that the  $_4$ state is the rst excited state and the 5 state should be located above it. Calculated entropy at 8 K in zero eld (in the non-ordered state) neglecting the  $_5$  state and the other higher levels is 8.9 J/K mol, which is not far from the experimental value. (ii) In the case of the  $n^3$  ground state,  $_{3}^{(1)}$  referring to 7=24 ( $\frac{1}{2}$ i + j 4i) 5=12j0i (M i represents an eigenstate of  $J_z$ ) has only one nonzero matrix element of  $J_z$  with  $_4$  state and so does  $_3^{(2)}$  $\overline{1=2}$  ( $\overline{2}i + j$  2i) with 5 state. Therereferring to fore, with the sim ilar consideration as in the case (i), one can conclude that the rst excited state is a  $_4$  state, which is located at E (  $_4$ ) ' 18 K if it can be assumed that all the Prions have the  $\binom{(1)}{3}$  ground state in ODS. Using the value of E (  $_4$ ), calculated entropy at 8 K in zero eld (in the non-ordered state) neglecting the 5 state and the other higher levels is 9.6 J/K mol, which is also not far from the experimental value. From the rough discussion m entioned above based on the present speci c-heat and m agnetization results, we propose that the possible CEF level schemes are 1  $_4$  (13 K) and  $_4$  ( 18 K). Noted that the energy level of the rst З excited state should be considered as a rough estimation since we have made some assumptions in the discussion, e.g., the modi cation due to the ordering of the wave

functions and the energy levels of the CEF levels to be sm all enough. In order to check our proposal, inelastic neutron scattering m easurem ent is planed.

Ultrasonic study reported by Nakanishi et al. [29] dem onstrates that the elastic constants of  $c_{11}$  and  $c_{11}$  $c_{12}$  shows softening below 20 K down to  $T_A$  while  $c_{44}$  does not. From this fact, they claim that the CEF level scheme is  $_3$ 4, which is the same as one of our proposed models. Below  $T_{\rm A}\,\text{,}$  both  $c_{11}$  and  $c_{11}$ C<sub>12</sub> start to increase. From these observations, they proposed that the order parameter in ODS would be an antiferro quadrupole ordering with  $_3$  sym m etry, where the twofold degeneracy of the  $_3$  ground state is lifted. If this is the case,  $S_{el}(T = T_A)$  being larger than R ln 2 indicates that the excited 4 state, which also has the quadrupole m om ents, is also relevant to the ordering.

In the case of  $_1$   $_4$  level scheme, which cannot be nuled out by our present study, the  $_1$  ground state itself has no degrees of freedom. However, since the energy separation between the two levels is comparable to the estimated value of  $T_K$ , one can speculate that the  $_4$  excited state contributesm uch to the renormalized physical properties at low temperatures. Due to the quadrupole degrees of freedom relevant to the  $_4$  state, a quadrupole ordering could take place also in this case.

In ODS, where the quadrupolar degeneracy is most probably lifted, the strong suppression of the HF behavior is observed as shown in Fig. 4(b). This observation points to a possibility that the uctuation of the quadrupole m om ents in the non-ordered state is playing an essential role for the heavy-ferm ion state form ation. Figure 4(a) shows that  $m_{Pr}$  develops monotonously with increasing magnetic eld and approaches as large as 60% of the HFS value at  $H_A$ . The insensitivity of the suppression of the HF behavior to the eld induced  $m_{Pr}$  in ODS im plies that the suppression of the HF behavior is not due to any magnetic sources, probably consistent with our conjecture.

Any sign of possible NFL behaviors, which could be caused by the quadrupolar K ondo e ect, have not been observed in PrFe4P12, contrasting rem arkably with PrAgIn<sub>2</sub>. The instability of NFL state against FL state has been studied theoretically in som e m odel cases [30], although comparison with the real systems are still insu cient. In PrFe<sub>4</sub>P<sub>12</sub>, largely-enhanced negative therm opower below 50 K [31] suggests the predom inant hybridization process to be between  $f^2$  and  $f^3$  con gurations [32]. The virtual  $f^3$  con guration is expected to have a quartet ground state since the CEF ground state of N dFe<sub>4</sub>P<sub>12</sub> is a quartet [16]. In this scheme, the c-fhybridization should have com plicated multi-channels. Another factor needed to be considered is the closely-located CEF excited state in the  $f^2$  con guration in PrFe<sub>4</sub>P<sub>12</sub>. In the case of the  $_3$   $_4$  level scheme, the  $_4$  excited state might cause the instability of the NFL state relevant to the 3 ground state, as dem onstrated in a non-K ram ers doublet and a singlet case [30]. For deeper understanding of the NFL-against-FL stability issue, theoretical study on the present case, which has not been done yet, is highly required.

A band structure calculation on LaFe<sub>4</sub>P<sub>12</sub>, which consistently explains observed angular dependence of the dH vA branches, indicates that the Ferm i surface consists of a nearly spherical hole sheet (47th) and a multiply connected one (48th) [33]. The latter one is characterized by strong c-f hybridizations. Therefore, the heavily renorm alized quasiparticle bands observed in  $PrFe_4P_{12}$ are expected to be mostly attributed to the corresponding 48th sheet of  $LaFe_4P_{12}$ . As pointed out by Harima [33], its nearly cubic shape may favor a commensurate 3-dimentional nesting with q = f1;0;0g. This conjecture is supported by some of the corresponding X-ray satellite peaks observed in ODS [34] and the carrier reduction suggested by jumps in the electrical resistivity and the Hallcoe cient at  $T_A$  [31]. The lattice distortion (or the charge ordering) and the associated gap opening on som e part of the corresponding Ferm i sheet should be also taken into account to understand the nature of 0 D S.

## IV.SUMMARY

W e have studied the heavy-ferm ion and the anom alous ordered states in lled-skutterudite PrFe4P12 by speci c heat and magnetization measurements. From a broad hump observed in C=T vsT for H==h100i in HFS, the K ondo tem perature of 9 K and the existence of ferrom agnetic Pr-Pr interactions are deduced. Utilizing <sup>141</sup>Pr nuclear Schottky contribution, an upper bound for the site-averaged Pr ordered m agnetic m om ent is obtained to be  $0.03_{B} = Pr$ -ion. This fact strongly indicates that the prim ary order param eter in the ordered state is nonm agnetic, most probably of quadrupolar origin, as suggested by the ultrasound measurements. Signi cantly suppressed heavy-ferm ion behavior in the ordered state suggests a possibility that the quadrupolar uctuation of the Pr ions leads to the heavy quasiparticle band formation in the high-eld heavy ferm ion state. Possible crystalline-electric-eld level schemes of the Pr<sup>3+</sup> ions estim ated from the anisotropy in the magnetization and the electronic part of entropy are 1 4 and 3 4 [(ground state)-( rst excited state)], both of which are consistent with the aforem entioned conjecture. The present study dem onstrates that  ${\tt P}\,r{\tt F}e_4{\tt P}_{12}\,$  could be an unprecedented system, where the role of the quadrupole moments can be studied for the realization of the rare 4f<sup>2</sup>-based heavy ferm ion state thanks to the existence of the ordered state, which is not available in  $PrInAg_2$ .

## ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e thank A. Donni, K. Takegahara, H. Harima, O. Sakai, R. Shiina, M. Koga, K. Miyake and K. Ueda for useful discussions. This work is supported partly by a

G rant-in-Aid for Scienti c Research from the M inistry of E ducation, Science and Culture and by the REIMEI Research Resources of Japan Atom ic Energy Research Institute.

- [1] D L.Cox, Phys.Rev.Lett. 59, 1240 (1987).
- [2] For a review, D.L.Cox and A.Zawadowski, Adv.phys. 47,599 (1998).
- [3] A NFL behavior can be observed even for the case of a triplet ground state as shown theoretically by M.Koga, G.Zarand, and D.L.Cox, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2421 (1999).
- [4] C L. Seam an et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 2882 (1991).
- [5] F.G. A liev et al., J. Phys. Condens. M atter 8, 9807 (1996).
- [6] For a tetragonal case, see H. Am itsuka et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 63, 736 (1994).
- [7] A P.Ram irez et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 73, 3018 (1994).
- [8] P.Daietal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1202 (1995).
- [9] E.M iranda et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 290 (1997).
- [10] A H.Castro Neto et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3531 (1998).
- [11] A.Yatskar et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3637 (1996).
- [12] H.M itam ura et al., Physica B 281& 282, 150 (2000).
- [13] T D . M atsuda et al., Physica B 281& 282, 220 (2000).
- [14] K.Kadowakiand S.B.W oods, Solid State Commun.58, 507 (1986).
- [15] H. Sugawara et al, J.M agn.M agn.M ater. 226-230, 48 (2001).
- [16] M S. Torikachviliet al., Phys. Rev. B 36, 8660 (1987).
- [17] Y. Aoki et al, J. M agn. M agn. M ater. 177-181, 271 (1998).
- [18] G P. M eisner et al., in Proceedings of the 17th International Conference on Low Temperature Physics, edited by U. Eckern, A. Schmid, W. W ever, and W. W uhl (Elsevier, Am sterdam, 1984), p.711.
- [19] K D .Schotte and U .Schotte, Phys.Lett.55A , 38 (1975).
- [20] H P. van der M eulen et al, Phys. Rev. B 44, 814 (1991).
- [21] A S. Edelstein et al., Physica B 163, 504 (1990).
- [22] L.J. Sundstrom, in Handbook on the Physics and Chem istry of Rare Earths, edited by K A.G schneidner, Jr. and L.Eyring (N orth-Holland, 1978), Chap. 5, p. 379.
- [23] J.Kondo, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.16, 1690 (1961).
- [24] B.Bleaney, J.Appl. Phys. 34, 1024 (1963).
- [25] Y.Aokietal, Phys. Rev. B 62, 8935 (2000).
- [26] L.Keller et al, J.Alloys Com pd. 323-324, 516 (2001).
- [27] K. Takegahara, H. Harima, and A. Yanase, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 1190 (2001).
- [28] K R.Lea, M JM.Leask, and W P.W olf, J.Phys.Chem. Solids 23, 1381 (1962).
- [29] Y.Nakanishiet al, Phys. Rev. B 63, 184429 (2001).
- [30] M. Koga and H. Shiba, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 3007 (1996).
- [31] H. Sato et al., Phys. Rev. B 62, 15125 (2000).
- [32] see p.758 of Ref. [2]
- [33] H. Sugawara et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69, 2938 (2000).

[34] K. Iwasa et al, unpublished.