E ects of D isorder in M $g_{1 x}$ T a_x B $_2$ A lloys using C oherent-P otential A pproxim ation

P. Jiji Thom as Joseph and Prabhakar P. Singh

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Powai, Mumbai-400076, India

A bstract

U sing K orringa-K ohn-R ostoker coherent-potential approximation in the atom ic-sphere approximation (KKR-ASA CPA) method for taking into account the electron-phonon coupling constant , and A llen-D ynes equation for calculating T_c ; we have studied the variation of T_c in M $g_{1 x} T a_x B_2$ alloys as a function of T a concentration. Our results show that the T_c decreases with the addition of T a for upto 40 at% and remains essentially zero from 60 at% to 80 at% of T a: W e also nd T a B_2 to be superconducting, albeit at a low er tem perature. Our analysis show s that the variation in T_c in M $g_{1 x} T a_x B_2$ is mostly dictated by the changes in the B p density of states with the addition of T a:.

The experimental $[1{4}]$ and theoretical $[5{12}]$ e orts aimed at understanding the nature of superconductivity in M gB₂ have m ade substantial progress since the discovery of superconductivity in it [1].W ith an enhanced understanding of superconductivity in M gB₂, attempts are now being m ade to understand the changes in the electronic structure and the superconducting properties of M gB₂ upon alloying with various elements. Such e orts provide an opportunity to explore the possibility of obtaining M gB₂ alloys with in proved superconducting properties.

The changes in the superconducting properties of M gB₂ due to substitution of various elements such as B e; Li; C; A l; N a; Z n; Z r and others have been studied experimentally [4,13{18]. Some theoretical work has also been reported within the rigid-band model. The main e ects of alloying are seen to be (i) a decrease in transition temperature, T_c , with increasing concentration of the alloying elements except for Z n (and possibly L i) where T_c is seen to increase som ewhat, (ii) changes in lattice parameters a and c; and (iii) a change in crystal structure.

W ith a view to understand the changes in the electronic structure and the superconducting properties as well as to clarify the reported superconductivity in T aB₂ [19,20], we have carried out an ab initio study of M g_{1 x} T a_xB₂ alloys. We have used K orringa-K ohn-R ostoker coherent-potential approximation [21] within the atom ic-sphere approximation (KKR-ASA CPA) method for taking into account the electron-phonon coupling constant , and A llen-D ynes equation for calculating the electron-phonon coupling constant , and A llen-D ynes equation. Such an attempt allows us to exam ine the possibility of superconductivity in T aB₂, given the superconductivity in M gB₂. We have analyzed our results in terms of the changes in the total density of states (D O S), in particular the changes in the B p contribution to the total D O S, as a function of T a concentration.

Based on our calculations, described below, we nd that in M $g_{1 x} T a_x B_2$ alloys (i) the T_c decreases with the addition of T a for up to 40 at%, remains essentially zero from 60 at% to 80 at%, and then rises to 1:8K for T aB_2 ; (ii) the T_c for T aB_2 is much lower than reported earlier [19], and (iii) the variation in T_c is mostly dictated by the changes in the B p densities of states as more and more T a are added. Before we describe our results, we outline some of the computational details.

The charge self-consistent electronic structure of M g_{1x} T $a_x B_2$ alloys as a function of x has been calculated using the KKR-ASA CPA m ethod [22].W e have used the CPA rather than a rigid-band m odel because CPA has been found to reliably describe the e ects of disorder in m etallic alloys.W e param etrized the exchange-correlation potential as suggested by Perdew-W ang [23,24] within the generalized gradient approximation. The B rillouin zone (BZ) integration was carried out using 1215 k-points in the irreducible part of the BZ. For DOS calculations, we added a small imaginary component of 2 m Ry to the energy and used 3887 k-points in the irreducible part of the BZ. The lattice constants for M gB₂ and T aB₂ were taken from experiments while for other com positions we used the Vegard's law. The W igner-Seitz radii for M g and T a were slightly larger than that of B. The sphere overlap, which is crucial in ASA, was less than 10% and the maximum lused was $l_{max} = 3$.

The electron-phonon coupling constant was calculated using G aspari-G yor y [25] form alism with the charge self-consistent potentials of M $g_{1 x} T a_x B_2$ obtained with the KKR-ASA CPA method. Subsequently, the variation of T_c as a function of T a concentration was calculated using A llen-D ynes equation [26]. The average values of phonon frequencies $!_{ln}$ for T aB₂ and M gB₂ were taken from Refs. [27] and [28] respectively. For intermediate concentrations, we took $!_{ln}$ to be the concentration-weighted average of M gB₂ and T aB₂.



Fig.1. The calculated variation of superconducting transition temperature T_c with T a concentration in M $g_{1 x}$ T $a_x B_2$, with = 0.09.

The main results of our calculations are shown in Fig.1, where we have plotted the variation in T_c of M $g_{1 x} T a_x B_2$ alloys as a function of T a concentration. The calculations were carried out as described earlier with the same value of

= 0:09 for all the concentrations. The T_c for M gB₂ is equal to 30 K; which is consistent with the results of other works [28] with similar approximations. The corresponding is equal to 0:73: As a function of T a concentration we nd that the T_c decreases rapidly and goes to zero at around 40 at% of T a as shown in Fig. 1. The T_c remains essentially zero until around 75 80 at% of T a, thereafter rising to 1:8 K for T aB₂ with x = 1: The corresponding for T aB₂ is equal to 0:38:.



Fig.2. The total density of states for M $g_{1 \times}$ T $a_x B_2$ as a function of Ta concentration x, calculated with the charge self-consistent KKR-ASA CPA m ethod, as described in the text. Note that in (f), the vertical scale is di erent from the rest of the gures. The vertical line denotes the Fermi energy.

In order to get further insight into the variation of T_c as a function of T a concentration in M $g_{1 x} T a_x B_2$ alloys, we have analyzed the total DOS as well as the contribution of B p-electrons to the total DOS. In Figs. 2(a) (f), we show the total DOS of M $g_{1 x} T a_x B_2$ alloys for T a concentration ranging from x = 0 to x = 1; calculated using the KKR-ASA CPA m ethod as described earlier. The DOS for M gB_2 and T aB_2 , as shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(f) are similar to previous calculations [28,29]. With sm all addition of T a the Ferm i energy, E_F , moves outward to accomm odate the additional electrons resulting in a decrease in T_c : Further addition of T a allows E_F to be pinned in a valley around 50 60 at% of T a, resulting in a decreased donor ability of the m etallic

	a (a:u:)	c=a	N (E _F)	В _р
M gB ₂	5.834	1.141	3.331	2.834
M g _{0:8} Ta _{0:2} B ₂	5.833	1.124	3.116	1.785
M g _{0:6} Ta _{0:4} B ₂	5.831	1.106	0.466	0.616
M g _{0:4} Ta _{0:6} B ₂	5.830	1.088	1.734	0.663
M g _{0:2} Ta _{0:8} B ₂	5.829	1.070	2.791	0.833
T aB ₂	5.828	1.052	4.980	1.455

Table 1

The calculated lattice parameter a (a:u:), c=a, total DOS at the Ferm i energy (states/Ry/atom) and B p partial DOS (states/Ry/spin). The lattice parameters for interm ediate concentrations were determ ined using Vegard's law.

plane (M g Ta) with the increase in the number of d electrons. Thus the overall contribution of B electronic states decreases as the concentration of T a is increased up to around 80 at% of T a: Starting with around 80 at% of T a the B contribution rises and so does the T_c as shown in Fig. 1. To substantiate these qualitative observations we have listed in Table I the total DOS and the B p DOS at E_F: In addition, in Fig. 3, we show the B p_x and p_z partial DOS as a function of concentration. It is clear from Table I and Fig. 3 that the B p DOS is responsible for the variation in T_c of M g_{1 x} Ta_xB₂ alloys, and the possible loss of superconductivity in these alloys at around 60 at% of T a can be attributed to a very sm all B p_{x,y} DOS at E_F: In Table I, we have also listed the lattice constants used in our calculations.

In conclusion, we have studied the variation of $T_{\rm c}$ in M $g_{1\ x}$ T a_xB_2 alloys as a function of T a concentration. We have used the KKR-ASA CPA method for taking into account the electron-phonon coupling constant $\,$. The $T_{\rm c}$ is then calculated using the Alen-D ynes equation. Our results show that the $T_{\rm c}$ decreases with the addition of T a for upto 40 at%, thereafter remains essentially zero up to 80 at% of T a: We $\,$ nd T aB_2 to be superconducting, albeit at a low tem per-ature. We have also shown that the variation in $T_{\rm c}$ is mostly dictated by the changes in the B p density of states.

W e would like to thank Dr. I.A. A brikosov for providing a copy of his locally self-consistent G reen's function code.



Fig.3.B ppartialDOS showing p_x (full line) and p_z (dashed line) for M $g_{1 x} T a_x B_2$. The vertical line denotes the Ferm i energy. Note that for x = 1 the vertical scale is di erent from the rest of the plots.

References

- J. Nagamatsu, N. Nakagawa, T. Muranaka, Y. Zenitani, and J. Akimitsu, Nature, 410, 63 (2001).
- [2] S.L.Bud'ko, C.Petrovic, G.Lapertot, C.E.Cunningham, P.C.Can eld, M. H.Jung, A.H.Lacerda, cond-m at/ 0102413.
- [3]W.N.Kang,C.U.Jung,Kijoon H.P.Kim,Min-Seok Park,S.Y.Lee, Hyeong-Jin Kim, Eun-MiChoi, Kyung Hee Kim, Mun-Seog Kim, and Sung-Ik Lee, cond-mat/0102313.
- [4] Cristina Buzea and Tsutom u Yam ashita, cond-m at/ 0108265; and references therein.

- [5] M. An and W. E. Pickett, cond-m at 0102391.
- [6] J.E.Hirsch, cond-m at/0102115.
- [7] K. D. Belashchenko, M. van Schilfgaarde, and V. P. Antropov, cond-m at/ 0102290.
- [8] M . Im ada, cond-m at/0103006.
- [9] J.E.H insch and F.M ansiglio, cond-m at/ 0102479.
- [10] S.L.Bud'ko, G.Lapertot, C.Petrovic, C.E.Cunningham, N.Anderson, and P.C.Can eld, cond-m at/ 0101463.
- [11] Y.Kong, O.V.Dolgov, O.Jepsen, and O.K.Andersen, cond-mat/0102499.
- [12] Prabhakar P. Singh, cond-m at/ 0104580.
- [13] Israel Felner, cond-m at/ 0102508.
- [14] J. S. Slusky, N. Rogado, K. A. Regan, M. A. Hayward, P. Khalifah, T. He, K. Inum anu, S. Loureiro, M. K. Haas, H. W. Zandbergen, and R. J. Cava, cond-m at/ 0102262.
- [15] S.M.Kazakov, M.Angst, and J.Karpinski, cond-m at/0103350.
- [16] S. Suzuki, S. Higai, and K. Nakao, cond-m at/ 0102484.
- [17] Y.G. Zhao, X.P. Xang, P.Y.Qiao, H.T. Zhang, S.L.Jia, B.S.Cao, M.H. Zhu, Z.H. Han, X.L.W ang and B.L.Gu, cond-m at/0104063.
- [18] Y.M orotom o and Sh Xu, cond-m at/0104568.
- [19] D.Kaczorowski, A.J.Zaleski, O.J.Zogal, and J.K lamut, cond-mat/0103571.
- [20] L. Layarovska and E. Layarovski, J. Less Common M et 67, 249 (1979).
- [21] J.S.Faulkner, Prog.M at. Sci 27, 1 (1982); and references therein.
- [22] Prabhakar P. Singh and A. Gonis, Phys. Rev. B 49, 1642 (1994).
- [23] J.P.Perdew and Y.W ang, Phys. Rev. B 45, 13244 (1992);
- [24] J.P.Perdew, K.Burke and M.Emzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [25] G.D.Gaspari and B.L.Gyorrfy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 801 (1972).
- [26] P.B.Allen and R.C.Dynes, Phys. Rev. B 12, 905 (1975).
- [27] H.Rosner and W.E.Pickett, cond-m at/0100092 (2001).
- [28] J.Kortus, I.I.Mazin, K.D.Belashchenko, V.P.Antropov, L.L.Boyer, condmat/0101446.
- [29] Prabhakar P. Singh, cond-m at/ 0104563.