Spin dynam ics of the S = 1=2 antiferrom agnetic zig-zag ladder with anisotropy

P.D. Sacram ento

D epartam ento de F sica and CFIF, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

V.R.Vieira

D epartam ento de F sica and CFIF, Instituto Superior Tecnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

A bstract. We use exact diagonalization and the modied Lanczos method to study the nite energy and nite momentum spectral weight of the longitudinal and transverse spin excitations of the anisotropic zig-zag ladder. We not that the spin excitations form continua of gapless or gapped spinons in the dierent regions of the phase diagram. The results obtained are consistent with a picture previously proposed that in the anisotropic case there is a transition from a gapped regime to a gapless regime, for small interchain coupling. In this regime we not a sharp low-energy peak in the structure function for the transverse spin excitations, consistent with a nite stiness.

1. Introduction

Recently [1] it has been suggested that a two dimensional spin system, $C s_2 C u C l_4$, has an excitation spectrum that can be described, similarly to the one dimensional case [2], by a continuum originated from pairs of spin 1=2 spinons. The standard one dimensional Heisenberg model is known to have fractional states where the usual spin 1 m agnons are replaced by pairs of decon ned spin 1=2 topological excitations called spinons [3]. The characteristic low excitation energy coherent peaks that appear in the dynamical susceptibility are in this case replaced by a continuum. This property has been veriled experimentally for several quasi-one dimensional spin 1=2 systems like CPC [4], K C uF₃ [5, 6] and copper benzoate [7] where a description in terms of a nearest-neighbor Heisenberg model is assumed to apply.

Real m aterials are however neither strictly one-dimensional nor the interactions are of the simple Heisenberg nearest-neighbor form. Originally $C s_2 C u C l_4$ was taken as a quasi-one-dimensional system [8] but a more careful estimate of the inter-chain parameters revealed that they are of the same order as the intrachain interactions [1]. The interlayer coupling is estimated to be two orders of magnitude smaller implying that the system is essentially two-dimensional. It forms a triangular lattice in the copper (C u^{2+} , S=1=2) planes and constitutes therefore a frustrated system.

Frustrated systems have attracted considerable interest. Using a large-N bosonic expansion it has been predicted [9] that the presence of frustration may counteract the staggered elds responsible for connement [10] and lead to deconnement of the spinons. In a non-frustrated system it is known that the low energy modes are spin-1 magnons. The presence of frustration leads to a non-collinear order parameter [11] which can be parametrized by three real scalar numbers. The representation of the spin operators in terms of bosonic operators contains an hidden internal gauge symmetry under which the scalar link elds transform either as charge + 2 or charge + 1 scalars [9]. It has been predicted a long time ago that if the charge + 2 scalars condense into a Higgs phase [12] then the unit charges are not conned and the spinons remain free [11]. However the Higgs phase is just one of the possible phases predicted to occur in the frustrated two-dimensional lattice. The C s_2 C uC l_4 system provides a rest experimental example of a two-dimensional system with a spectrum consistent with the existence of spinons.

One may think of a simpler system like the zig-zag ladder, where only two chains are coupled, and study the excitation spectrum of this system as a rst step towards understanding the two-dimensional triangular lattice obtained in the limit when several ladders are coupled to each other. In usual spin ladders an in nitesimal coupling between chains leads to a behavior qualitatively dierent from the 1d case. Therefore it is interesting to see if in the case of the zig-zag ladder there is a qualitative dierence from the single-chain case. It has been found before that if the next-nearest-neighbor (nnn) interaction is small enough the system still behaves qualitatively as the single-chain case. Therefore it seems reasonable that for small couplings we might not similar features

characteristic of the single chain, in particular a spinon originated spectrum. The main question to be answered is what happens for large couplings where the role of the nnn coupling is important. We will show that indeed for all couplings a spinon description holds. A direct comparison with the experimental results for C s_2 C uC l_4 would require a full 2d calculation but our results for the zig-zag ladder give a rst indication that the nnn coupling does not lead to a coherent energy spectrum.

In the context of a frustrated two-leg ladder similar to the zig-zag ladder [13] it has been shown that the spinons survive as the elementary excitations in a spontaneously dimerized ground state but become massive. A local \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry related to independent translations by one lattice spacing on each chain is spontaneously broken and leads to a non-vanishing dimerization for strong enough frustration. This symmetry breaking leads to kinks as elementary excitations which are massive. These kinks have been shown to have $\mathbb{S}=1=2$ and therefore at least two must be created.

In general if a term removes explicitly the Z_2 degeneracy between the two dimerized ground states this leads to soliton con nement. An example is to introduce explicit dimerization in the Hamiltonian. The role of explicit dimerization has also been addressed in the context of spin-Peierls systems like $CuGeO_3$ [14], NaV_2O_3 [15] or $Cu(NO_3)_22:5D_2O$ [16]. The excitation spectrum of these systems is however considerably different from the chains without dimerization. The spectrum is gapped and the lowest energy excitations are coherent spin-1 magnon peaks [17] that separate from the continuum that appears at higher energies. The spectrum of these systems is actually closer to integer spin chains [18] or to spin-ladders [19]. The effects of explicit dimerization have received renewed attention recently [20].

A nother source of interest in the zig-zag ladder is that it has been proposed that in the anisotropic case incommensurate quasi-long-range spin correlations should be observed. Also a gapless chiral phase has been predicted to occur [21]. In this work we focus our attention on the combined elects of a next-nearest neighbor frustrating interaction and of anisotropy. In a two-dimensional non-frustrating system the spectrum is coherent and composed of magnons. The addition of frustrating terms may lead to decon ned spinons. In the zig-zag ladder when the nnn frustrating coupling is absent the system has a spectrum determined by gapless spinons (it is the case of the simple Heisenberg chain). Adding frustration it is expected that the spinons will remain deconned. In the isotropic case where the elect of the nnn coupling is to dimerize the spinons are massive at suicently strong nnn coupling. In the anisotropic case at strong enough nnn couplings we expect the system to have a transition from the intermediate dimeriphase to a gapless phase where we expect the deconned spinons to be massless.

2. H am iltonian

The anisotropic zigzag ladder is de ned by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2}J_{1}^{XY} \overset{X}{=} S_{i}^{+}S_{i+1} + S_{i}S_{i+1}^{+} + J_{1}^{z} \overset{X}{=} S_{i}^{z}S_{i+1}^{z}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}J_{2}^{XY} \overset{X}{=} S_{i}^{+}S_{i+2} + S_{i}S_{i+2}^{+} + J_{2}^{z} \overset{X}{=} S_{i}^{z}S_{i+2}^{z}$$
(1)

The spin operators refer to spin S = 1=2 states, while the sum mation i = 1; :::;N runs along the \rib" of the zig-zag ladder. We shall parameterize the interactions by the coupling parameter $j = J_2^{XY} = J_1^{XY}$ and by the anisotropy parameter $J_1^z = J_1^{XY} = J_2^z = J_2^{XY}$. (The isotropic case reduces to $j = J_2 = J_1$ and j = 1.) We will set $J_1^{XY} = 1$ henceforth. The nearest-neighbor Heisenberg chain with anisotropy corresponds to both the weak-coupling ($J_1 = 0$) and to the strong-coupling ($J_2 = 0$) limits of the zig-zag ladder. The spectrum is gapless for the case of XY anisotropy, j = 1, as shown by the Bethe ansatz [22]. The excitation spectrum consists of spin-1=2 particles dubbed spinons. Since ipping one spin represents a spin-1 excitation, the spinons can only be created in pairs. Therefore the conventional spin 1 m agnons are decon ned into spin-1=2 spinons that propagate incoherently.

The isotropic case has been studied before [23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28] as a function of the coupling parameter, $j = J_2 = J_1$. As j increases, the system goes from gapless (single chain) to a dimer phase and then to a spiral phase, where the structure factor has a maximum at a momentum =2 < q < . The system has a spin gap in these last two phases, and it therefore only displays short-range order. In the lim it that the intrachain interaction is much larger than the inter-chain interaction (j! 1) the two chains decouple and a gapless single chain behavior is recovered. It has been argued that this only happens, strictly speaking, at j = 1: the spin gap becomes exponentially small as j grows, but it remains non-vanishing [27]. Recently, on the other hand, it has been proposed that incommensurate quasi-long-range spin correlations should be observed if easy-plane (XY) anisotropy is included in the zigzag ladder [21]. This is argued to be due to the presence of a \tw ist" term that results from the inter-chain interaction. It has been proposed that there is one gapless mode and one mode with a gap in the regime of strong XY anisotropy in the inter-chain coupling. A nother prediction of this work is the existence of spontaneous local spin currents. This, however, has been refuted in ref. [29]. Also, other recent numerical work [30] has failed to con mm the gapless nature of the groundstate in the anisotropic X Y case at weak interchain coupling. Recent D ensity Matrix Renormalization Group (DMRG) results [31] suggest, however, that the zig-zag ladder does indeed show a gapless chiral phase as predicted in ref. [21].

A lso, recently an analysis of the exact properties of such nite systems was carried out, looking at various correlation functions and the structure of the spectrum both in the isotropic and the anisotropic cases [32]. The spin sti ness of the zig-zag ladder was calculated, and it was found evidence for a gapless regime at weak coupling that survives the therm odynamic limit in the case of X Y anisotropy. This was also concluded looking

at the level crossings to detect the phase transition between the two regimes [32] using a previously proposed procedure to detect the dimer transition at strong interchain coupling [23]. The same method was also recently used in reference [33].

In this work we will study the structure function and the spectral weight of the spin excitations both for the longitudinal and the transverse correlations. Our results are consistent with previous conclusions that there is a transition to a gapless regime at weak coupling if anisotropy is present. The results indicate a continuum of gapless excitations in the transverse correlations in the X Y case, and a continuum of gapped excitations in the isotropic case.

3. Spectral weight

The structure function is de ned by the overlap of two states coupled either by the longitudinal or the transverse spin operator [3],

$$S (q;!) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l:R}^{X} e^{iqR} \int_{1}^{Z} dt e^{i!t} \langle S_{l}(t)S_{l+R}(0) \rangle$$
 (2)

where and are cartesian components. At zero temperature we obtain therefore

$$S (q;!) = M (! + E_G E) (q + k_0 k)$$
 (3)

where E_G is the groundstate energy, E is the energy of an excited state, ! is the excitation energy (energy di erence to the groundstate) and q is its momentum (momentum di erence to the momentum of the groundstate k_0) and the spectral weight is de ned by

$$M = 2 j G j G (q) j > j^2$$
 (4)

where S (q) is the Fourier transform of the spin operator. We will calculate the structure functions S_+ (q;!) and S_{zz} (q;!) which probe the transverse and the longitudinal spin excitations, respectively.

The single chain case was studied before both by Bethe ansatz [2] and using numerical diagonalization of small systems [3]. The continuum of excitations is contained in the thermodynamic limit between two lines: the bottom one is the single-spinon dispersion, $_1(q)$, and the upper one is the maximum energy resulting from the combined e ect of two spinons, $_u(q)$. In the isotropic case (= 1) the lines are dened by

$$\frac{1}{J_1} = \frac{1}{2} \sin (q) j$$

$$\frac{u}{J_1} = \sin \frac{q}{2}$$
(5)

and in the X Y case (=0) are de ned by

$$\frac{1}{J_1^{XY}} = j\sin(q)j$$

$$\frac{u(q)}{J_1^{XY}} = 2\sin\frac{q}{2}$$
(6)

In the X Y case the longitudinal structure function can be calculated exactly [34] since this system is equivalent to free spinless ferm ions and it is given by [3]

$$S_{zz}(q;!) = 2 \frac{(! \sin(q)) (2\sin(q=2) !)}{4\sin^2(q=2) !^2}$$
 (7)

In the isotropic case there is no exact solution but M uller et al. [3] proposed an ansatz that ts very well both numerical results for small systems and various experimental results where a description in terms of a single chain is expected to hold. The M uller ansatz is

$$S_{zz}(q;!) = \frac{A}{!^2 - \frac{2}{1}(q)} (! - 1(q)) (u(q) !)$$
 (8)

where is a step function and A a constant β 5, 3]. This function diverges at the lower boundary while in the X Y case it diverges at the upper boundary β 6]. At m om entum the divergence is stronger and it diverges as S_{zz} !

In the therm odynam ic \lim it the structure function eq. (3) can be written as a product [3]

$$S (q;!) = M (q;!)D (q;!)$$
 (9)

where M (q;!) is the continuum lim it of the spectral weight originating in the overlaps eq. (4) and D (q;!) is the density of states. In the isotropic and in the X Y case the density of states is nite and nearly constant close to the low-energy threshold and it diverges at the upper threshold. On the other hand M (q;!) is constant in the X Y -case and it diverges at the lower threshold in the H eisenberg case. The structure function as a consequence diverges in the lower threshold for the H eisenberg chain and it diverges in the upper threshold in the X Y -case [3]. For any nite system the density of states is a set of delta functions at the excitation energies.

U sing eld theory it is also possible to determ ine the dependence of the transverse structure function close to the lower threshold. In the single chain case the transverse function is given by [38]

$$S_{+}$$
 $(q;!)$ $\frac{1}{(!^{2} - \frac{2}{1}(q))^{3-4}}; j = 0; = 0$ (10)

and therefore

$$S_{+}$$
 (;!) ! $^{3=2}$ (11)

However the nite energy structure function is not known analytically.

The ladder case is more involved. We will use exact diagonalization of nite systems together with the modied Lanczos method [37].

4. Num erical results

Let us begin by recalling the quantum numbers of the groundstate as a function of the size N for the S = 1=2 zig-zag antiferrom agnet. Periodic boundary conditions

are in posed throughout. The groundstate is a spin singlet in general due to the antiferrom agnetic interactions. The system has three well de ned regimes: (a) strong-coupling, (b) intermediate coupling and (c) weak-coupling. Consider the isotropic case rst. For strong enough coupling between chains, $j = J_2 = J_1 < 1 = 2$, it has either momentum for N = 4n + 2 or momentum 0 for N = 4n. For intermediate couplings (j > 1 = 2), on the other hand, the momentum oscillates between 0 and as a function of the coupling parameter j and of the system size N [39]. There are several points along j in this regime where the corresponding energy levels for these two momentum values cross. The groundstate is degenerate at these points, and this is rejected by peaks in the dimer correlation function [32, 40]. Such level crossings grow in number as the system size grows, and this indicates that the two singlet states in question are in fact degenerate in the thermodynamic limit. By the Lieb-Schultz-Mattis theorem, [41] this is consistent with a spin gap in the excitation spectrum that survives the thermodynamic limit in the weak-coupling regime j > 1 = 2.

The spectrum of the anisotropic S = 1=2 X X Z zig-zag ladder has also been studied previously in the strong-coupling regime up to the Majumdar-Ghosh line (0 < j < 1=2). [42] A gapless regime occurs for X Y anisotropy 1 and strong coupling $j < j_{c1}$ (); an Ising antiferrom agnet along the rib of the zig-zag that shows a spin gap in the excitation spectrum occurs for > 1 and $j < j_{c1}$ (), and a dimer phase regime that also has a spin gap exists at $j > j_{c1}$ () and any . The line $j = j_{c1}$ () separates the gapless phase from the dimer phase for 1, while it separates the dimer phase from the (Ising) Neel phase for > 1. The line at = 1 and $j < j_{c1}$ separates the X Y gapless phase from the Ising phase.

It was found [32] that there is a transition from the gapped intermediate coupling regime to a weak-coupling gapless regime. In the intermediate coupling regime the two lowest states are two states with $S_z=0$, of momenta k=0; . There is a line, $j_{c2}()$, where the rst excited state becomes a $S_z=1$, k==2 state signalling the doubling of the periodicity and leading to a gapless regime as confirmed from the spin still ness tensor highest eigenvalue [32]. The curve $j_{c2}()$ shown in Fig. 4 of ref. [32] separates a spin-gap (dimer) phase from a gapless phase at small interchain couplings. As expected, the value of j_{c2} grows near the isotropic point. (It should tend to j=1 at j=1 according to W hite and A eck, [27] but nite-size e ects gave a nite value).

Let us now analise the spectral weight eq. (4) at various points in the phase diagram parametrized by j and . We will focus our attention on two classes of parameters. We will consider the isotropic case (=1) and the XY-case (=0) varying in both cases the interchain coupling, j.

Let us begin with the single chain case for both values of and let us consider the particular case N=16. In Fig. 1 we show the lowest energy levels (taking the groundstate as the zero of energy) for $S_z=0$ and $S_z=1$ and a given momentum for the Heisenberg chain and the XY chain. In the Heisenberg case the states are organized into spin multiplets due to the SU (2) spin invariance. The groundstate is a spin singlet with momentum zero. The rst excited state is a spin triplet with momentum k=1

and the next state is another spin singlet but with momentum k=. In the = 0 case the rst excited state is now a state with $S_z=1$ and momentum k= and the next state is fourfold-degenerate with $S_z=0$ and momentum k=4 =N or momentum k=0, or $S_z=2$ and the same momentum values [32].

The structure function S_{zz} only couples the groundstate to states with $S_z=0$ and $S_T=1$. On the other hand S_+ only couples the groundstate to states with $S_z=1$ and $S_T=1$ (S_T is the total spin). In the isotropic case S_+ couples to a subset of the states probed by S_{zz} while in the anisotropic case the two functions probe di erent sets of states.

In Fig. 2a we show the spin excitations that contribute to S_{zz} (q;!) for N=16 and for =1. As mentioned above the spectral weight of the spin excitations decreases as we move away from the lower threshold. The states contained in the region dened by $_1$ (q) and $_u$ (q) have a considerable weight while those at higher energies have a much smaller weight [3]. The spectral weight of these higher states will vanish in the thermodynamic limit. Also other states contained in the continuum have very small weights. The continuum is therefore well dened by the set of states with highest spectral weight. In Fig. 2b we show the structure function for the Heisenberg chain. The delta functions at the excitation energies have been given a nite width both in frequency and momentum for better visualization.

In Fig. 3 we show the states with non-vanishing spectral weight for the longitudinal and the transverse structure functions for the X Y chain. The spectral weight is uniform inside the continuum de ned by eqs. (6). The spectrum of the transverse excitations is however di erent. The lower spinon dispersion is well described by the single-spinon dispersion; particularly close to q = the gap is already rather small for such a small system. At higher energies the spectral weight is considerably spread.

A swe introduce the next-nearest-neighbor interaction the spectrum remains gapless for all if j is small. In the intermediate coupling regime (j 1) the system becomes gapped. In Fig. 4 we show the a) spectral weight and b) the structure function for j=1 in the isotropic case. The states with $S_z=0$ and momenta k=0; are nearly degenerate [32]. The next excited state is a spin triplet with momentum k=2 which in the thermodynamic limit will have a gap to the groundstate. A coordingly the spectral weight shows a gap with a continuum above it indicative of massive spinons. In the anisotropic case the two lowest states are the same as in the isotropic case but the next excited state is a $S_z=1$, k=2 state [32]. The next state is a $S_z=0$, k=3 singlet. The low value of the gap signals the near level crossing that for N=16 occurs around j=12 leading to a gapless regime [32]. In Fig. 5 we show the spectral weights and the structure functions for the longitudinal and the transverse spin excitations. In the case of S_{zz} the lowest gap is at k=3, while for S_+ the lowest gap is at k=3. It is also clear that the spectrum is quite sharp at k=3 in the transverse spin function.

As we increase j further the sharpness of the gapless transverse mode at k==2 becomes stronger. In Fig. 6 we show the structure function for the isotropic case and in Fig. 7 the same function for the anisotropic case at j=2. The Goldstone mode

predicted to occur in the anisotropic case for the transverse spin excitations clearly singles out.

We also consider the nite-size dependence of the low-energy excitations for the longitudinal and the transverse spectral functions as a function of the system size using results from exact diagonalizations and the modi ed Lanczos method. We consider system sizes up to N=24. The results extrapolate to the single-spinon dispersion curve for the various values of and j. In particular we consider the anisotropic case.

In Fig. 8 we show the lowest energy states for a) $S_z=0$ and b) $S_z=1$ as a function of m omentum for the XY case for j=2. The spectrum clearly shows the doubling of the lattice cell with a signicant low energy mode at q=-2, particularly in the transverse correlations ($S_z=1$) where once again as the system size increases the gapless nature of the spectrum is evident as j grows (weak interchain couplings).

In the single-chain case the longitudinal spectrum can be obtained considering the two-spinon curves (assuming non-interacting spinons) via the usual procedure $E(q) = (k_1) + (k_2)$ where $q = k_1 + k_2$, E(q) is the two-spinon curve and (k) is the single-spinon dispersion curve. These two limiting curves dene the region of the continuum spectrum. The transverse excitations in the anisotropic case probe however a dierent set of states as can be seen for example from the single-chain XY case shown in Fig. 3c. The high energy part of the spectrum shows that the interactions between the spinons can not be ignored (remember that in the Jordan-Wigner transformation from a XY chain to spinless fermions, the transverse correlations involve the presence of strings). Therefore the two-spinon rule requires a proper treatment of the spinon interactions. The zig-zag ladder case is still more involved particularly for the transverse excitations. In any case the continuum is clearly visible.

5. Conclusions

The nite-energy and nite-momentum structure function provides a direct way of analysing the excitation spectrum. Previously the structure factor was analysed in the isotropic case [26, 27, 32]. The structure factor is obtained integrating the structure function over frequency at a xed momentum. In the isotropic case the peak in the structure factor shifts from q = to q = -2 when the spiral phase is reached as the Majum dar-G hosh point is crossed. In the anisotropic case on the other hand it has been predicted that in the limit of very weak interchain coupling an incommensurate gapless chiral phase should be observed [21]. However, for nite systems it is dicult to detect the incommensurability since the shift from commensurability is very small [30].

In this work we have analysed the structure function itself for the zig-zag ladder as a function of anisotropy () and the interchain coupling (j). The results show that in general the excitations are gapless or gapped spinons that have to be created in pairs as for the single-chain case. In the X Y case (=0) as j grows it is clear that a gapless mode in the transverse excitations arises in agreem ent with previous results from = 00 theory [21] and with previous numerical results [32] obtained analysing the stiness and

the level crossings. In the isotropic case (= 1) the spectrum is a continuum of massive spinons. These results may be relevant to understand the ladder limit in the context of the recent experimental results on the two-dimensional system $C s_2 C u C l_4$ [1].

A first this work was completed we became aware of a preprint [43] where using M obius boundary conditions it is shown that in the isotropic case in the strong and intermediate coupling cases the spectrum may be described by a continuum resulting from two-spinon scattering, in agreement with the general conclusions of our paper.

A cknow ledgm ents

We acknow ledge several discussions with Jose Rodriguez and with Alexander Nersesyan. This research was partially supported by the Program PRAXISXX I under grant number 2/21/FIS/302/94.

R eferences

- [1] R.Coldea, D.A.Tennant, A.M.Tsvelik and Z.Tylazynski, Phys.Rev.Lett.86, 1335 (2001).
- [2] J.des Cloizeaux and J.J.Pearson, Phys.Rev.128, 2131 (1962); T.Yam ada, Prog.Theor.Phys. Jpn.bf41, 880 (1969).
- [3] G.Muller, H.Thomas, H.Beck and J.C.Bonner, Phys.Rev. B 24, 1429 (1981).
- [4] I.U.Heilm ann et al, Phys.Rev.B 18, 3530 (1978).
- [5] S.E.Nagler et al., Phys.Rev.B 44, 12361 (1991); D.A.Tennant, T.G.Perring, R.A.Cow ley and S.E.Nagler, Phys.Rev.Lett. 70, 4003 (1993).
- [6] D.A. Tennant, R.A. Cowley, S.E. Nagler and A.M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. B 52, 13368 (1995).
- [7] D.C.Dender et al, Phys. Rev. B 53, 2583 (1996).
- [8] R. Coldea et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 151 (1997).
- [9] S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 45, 12377 (1992).
- [10] S.W atanabe and H.Yokoyama, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn 68, 2073 (1999); C.H.Chung, J.B.M arston and R.McKenzie, J.Phys.Cond.M att.13, 5159 (2001).
- [11] S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions, (Cam bridge University Press, Cam bridge, UK, 1999), Chapt. 13.
- [12] E. Fradkin and S. H. Shenker, Phys. Rev. D 19, 3682 (1979).
- [13] D.Allen, F.H.L.Essler and A.A.Nersesyan, Phys. Rev. B 61, 8871 (2000).
- [14] M. Hase, I. Terasakiand K. Uchinokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3651 (1993).
- [15] M. Isobe and Y. Ueda, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 65, 1178 (1996); M. Weiden et al., Z. Phys. B 103, 1 (1997).
- [16] G. Xu, C. Broholm, D. H. Reich and M. A. Adams, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4465 (2000).
- [17] G.Bouzerar, A.P.Kam pf and G.I.Japaridze, Phys. Rev. B 58, 3117 (1998).
- [18] F D M . H aldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 1358 (1980); F D M . H aldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1153
 (1983).
- [19] E.Dagotto and T.M.Rice, Science 271, 618 (1996).
- [20] P.V. Shevchenko, V.N.K otov and O.P. Sushkov, Phys. Rev. B 60, 3305 (1999); W. Zheng et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 144411 (2001).
- [21] A A. Nersesyan, A O. Gogolin and F. H. L. Essler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 910 (1998).
- [22] R J. Baxter, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 70, 193 (1972).
- [23] K.O kam oto and K.Nomura, Phys. Lett. A 169, 433 (1992).
- [24] S.Eggert, Phys. Rev. B 54, R 9612 (1996).
- [25] P.W ind, N.Guihery, J.P.Malrieu, Phys. Rev. B 59, 25 (1999).

- [26] R.Chitra, S.Pati, H.R.Krishnam urthy, D.Sen and S.Ram asesha, Phys.Rev. B 52, 6581 (1995).
- [27] S.R.W hite and I.A eck, Phys. Rev. B 54, 9862 (1996).
- [28] D.Allen and D. Senechal, Phys. Rev. B 55, 299 (1997).
- [29] M. Kaburagi, H. Kawamura and T. Hikihara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68, 3185 (1999).
- [30] A.A.Aligia, C.D.Batista and F.H.L.Essler, Phys.Rev.B 62, 3259 (2000).
- [31] T. Hikihara, M. Kaburagiand H. Kawamura, Phys. Rev. B 63, 174430 (2001).
- [32] V.R.Vieira, N.Guihery, J.P.Rodriguez and P.D. Sacram ento, Phys. Rev. B 63, 224417 (2001).
- [33] R.D. Som ma and A.A. Aligia, Phys. Rev. B 64, 024410 (2001).
- [34] Th. Niem eijer, Physica 36, 377 (1967).
- [35] See also A. Luther and I. Peschel, Phys. Rev. B 12, 3908 (1975) where the exponent of the structure function for the Heisenberg chain was obtained using the Luttinger model analogy.
- [36] Note however that in the case of the X Y chain the high energy behavior is not well described by the Luttinger model [35].
- [37] E.R.G. agliano, E.D. agotto, A.M. oreo and F.C.A. karaz, Phys. Rev. B. 34, 1677 (1986).
- [38] M. Bocquet, F. H. L. Essler, A. M. Tsvelik and A.O. Gogolin, Phys. Rev. B 64, 094425 (2001).
- [39] T. Tonegawa and I. Harada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 56, 2153 (1987).
- [40] C.K.Majum dar and D.K.Ghosh, J.Math. Phys. 10, 1388 (1969).
- [41] E.H. Lieb, T. Schultz and D.J.M. attis, Ann. Phys. NY 16, 407 (1961); I.A. eck and E.H. Lieb, Lett. Math. Phys. 12, 57 (1986).
- [42] K.Nomura and K.Okamoto, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.Lett.62, 1123 (1993); J.Phys.A:Math.Gen. 27, 5773 (1994).
- [43] K.Okunishi and N.Maeshima, cond-mat/0104101.

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1-Excitation energies from the exact diagonalization of a N = 16 chain as a function of m omentum for S_z = 0 and S_z = 1 for the Heisenberg chain and the X Y chain.
- Fig. 2-a) Excitation energies of the states that contribute to the longitudinal spectral weight for the Heisenberg chain. The solid lines are the exact Bethe ansatz results for the therm odynam ic lim it. The num erical results are obtained via exact diagonalization of a N = 16 system. The color code of the points is the following: the points correspond to states with a spectral weight that is i) M (q;!) > 1 (black), ii) 1 > M (q;!) > 0:1 (red) and iii) 0:1 > M (q;!) > 0:01 (green). The same color codes are used in the remaining gures. In Fig. 2b we show the structure function. The momentum is shown in units of . The vertical scale is in arbitrary units.
- Fig. 3-Excitation energies of the states that contribute to the a) longitudinal and c) transverse spectral weight of the XY chain. The solid lines in Fig. 3a are the exact Bethe ansatz results. We also show the structure function for the b) longitudinal and d) transverse excitations.
- Fig. 4-a) Excitation energies obtained from the exact diagonalization of a N=16 ladder in the isotropic case and j=1 that contribute to the spectral weight and b) the structure function.
- Fig. 5-a) Excitation energies of the states that contribute to the a) longitudinal and c) transverse spectral weight in the anisotropic case and j = 1. We also show the structure function for the b) longitudinal and d) transverse excitations.
- Fig. 6-Structure function of a N = 16 ladder in the isotropic case and j = 2.
- Fig. 7-Structure function for the a) longitudinal and b) transverse excitations in the anisotropic case and j = 2.
- Fig. 8-Lowest energy branch for = 0 and j = 2 for a) $S_z = 0$ and b) $S_z = 1$ for dierent system sizes.

































