

Anomalous Magnetic Field Dependence of the Thermodynamic Transition Line in the Isotropic Superconductor (K,Ba)BiO₃

S. Blanchard¹, T. Klein¹, J. Marcus¹, I. Joumard¹, A. Sulpice², P. Szabo³, P. Samuely³, A.G.M. Jansen⁴ and C. Marcenat⁵

1- *Laboratoire d'Etudes des Propriétés Electroniques des Solides, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, BP166, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France*

2- *Centre de Recherche sur les Très Basses Températures, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, BP166, 38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France*

3- *Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, SK-04353 Košice, Slovakia*

4- *Grenoble High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, B.P. 166, F-38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France*

5- *Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique - Grenoble, Département de Recherche Fondamentale sur la Matière Condensée, SPSMS, 17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble Cedex 9, France (today)*

Thermodynamic (specific heat, reversible magnetization, tunneling spectroscopy) and transport measurements have been performed on high quality (K,Ba)BiO₃ single crystals. The temperature dependence of the magnetic field H_{Cp} corresponding to the onset of the specific heat anomaly presents a clear positive curvature. H_{Cp} is significantly smaller than the field H_{Δ} for which the superconducting gap vanishes but is closely related to the irreversibility line deduced from transport data. Moreover, the temperature dependence of the reversible magnetization present a strong deviation from the Ginzburg–Landau theory emphasizing the peculiar nature of the superconducting transition in this material.

PACS numbers: 7425Bt, 7425Dw, 7460Ec

The $H - T$ phase diagram of high T_c superconducting oxides has been the focus of intense theoretical and experimental study during the past decade [1]. One of the most interesting phenomenon which has been observed is the existence of a melting line $T_m(H)$ above which the vortex lattice melts into a liquid of entangled lines [1]. This melting has very important consequences for the physics of vortices, since the free motion of the flux lines in the liquid state gives rise to a large dissipation and renders the system useless for applications. The presence of this liquid phase also hinders any direct determination of the upper critical field H_{c2} from standard magnetotransport data. Indeed, any resistive "critical" field $H_R(T)$ defined as the field for which the resistivity reaches some arbitrary value R (e.g. 50% of the normal state resistivity), then presents a positive curvature in high T_c cuprates [2] as well as in (K,Ba)BiO₃ [3], [4].

Similarly, the presence of strong thermal fluctuations also complicates the determination of H_{c2} from thermodynamic measurements. These fluctuations lead to broad and smooth anomalies in specific heat measurements (C_p). Thus the field H_{Cp} (defined for instance by the inflexion point of C_p/T) can present either a positive curvature in Y₁Ba₂Cu₃O_{7- δ} (YBCO) crystals [5], a linear dependence in Tl₂Ba₂CuO₆ [6] or even almost no dependence with field in highly anisotropic systems such as Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O₈ [7] and HgBa₂Ca₂Cu₃O_{8+ δ} [8]. It is still unclear whether this upper critical field still exists as a transition line or is just some smooth crossover between the vortex liquid and the normal state. In order to shed

light on this issue, we performed thermodynamic (specific heat, magneto-tunneling, reversible magnetization) and transport measurements on high quality optimally doped (K_x,Ba_{1-x})BiO₃ single crystals ($x \sim 0.4$).




FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of the specific heat anomaly in a (K,Ba)BiO₃ single crystal. $\Delta C_p = C_p(H, T) - C_p(H=7T, T)$. Inset: magnetic field dependence of the tunneling spectra at $T = 20$ K and $H(T) = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$ (bold curve), 8, 9, 10

Thermal fluctuations are small in this system (the

Ginzburg number G_i is only $\sim 10^{-4}$) due to its isotropic structure, a $T_c \sim 31\text{K}$, and a coherence length on the order of 30 \AA . Nevertheless, some of us have previously shown that the magneto-transport data is well described by the vortex-glass scaling formalism [4] suggesting that the vortex solid melts into a liquid at high temperature through a second order phase transition. In the following, the vortex-glass transition field H_{vg} is defined as the field for which $R \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, magnetotunneling measurements have shown that the superconducting gap Δ closes above some characteristic field H_Δ . The corresponding $H_\Delta(T)$ line is in reasonable agreement with the classical Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenberg (WHH) theory for the upper critical field [3]. The physical image emerging from those measurements was then that of a liquid phase existing for $H_{vg} < H < H_\Delta \sim H_{c2}$. However, we will show here that the magnetic field H_{Cp} is *smaller* than H_Δ and presents a strong *positive curvature*, indicating a very peculiar nature for the thermodynamic superconducting transition.

The measurements were performed on new particularly homogeneous single crystals presenting very sharp superconducting transitions in both transport ($\Delta T_c \sim 0.15\text{K}$) and ac susceptibility ($\Delta T_c \sim 0.2\text{K}$ for $h_{ac} < 0.01\text{G}$) measurements. The specific heat was measured by an ac technique [9] which allows us to measure small samples (here a few 10^{-2} mm^3) with high sensitivity (typically 1 part in 10^4). Heat was supplied to the sample at a frequency ω on the order of a few Hz by a light emitting diode via an optical fiber. The induced temperature oscillations were measured by a chromel-constantan thermocouple, which was calibrated in situ using a very pure silver single crystal as a reference. These measurements are only relative and were renormalized using the data from ref [10]. Figure 1 displays the temperature dependence of the specific heat at various magnetic fields up to 5T. The 7T curve is equal to the normal state specific heat above $T = T_c(H = 7\text{T}) \sim 20\text{K}$ and has been used as a base line in Fig.1.

The unusually high quality of our crystals is attested to by the narrow width of the transition, which is on the order of 1K in zero magnetic field, and by the amplitude of the specific heat jump, $\Delta C_p(T_c)/T_c \sim 4 - 5 \text{ mJ.mol}^{-1}\text{K}^{-2}$. This ratio is ~ 2 and 5 times larger than that of refs. [10] and [11], respectively, which are the only prior reports of a specific heat anomaly at T_c in this system. This allowed us to study the magnetic field dependence of the specific heat anomaly in much greater detail. As shown in Fig.1, this anomaly remains well defined in magnetic fields. The $T_{Cp}(H)$ curve corresponding to any characteristic point of the transition (e.g. the onset, mid-point or maximum) presents a clear positive curvature. This can be seen in Fig.2 where T_{Cp} corresponds to the onset of the peak. The shaded area represents the temperature difference between the onset and the mid-point of the transition. A very similar upward curvature has

been obtained in two other samples. A positive curvature was already obtained by transport [4] and magnetic measurements [12]. However, in non-classical superconductors, there is an ambiguity in defining H_{c2} using those techniques.

Another striking feature of the specific heat anomaly is the rapid collapse of its height with field. Such a behaviour was previously observed in high T_c materials [7] [8] and is usually attributed to the presence of highly field dependent thermal fluctuations. The observation of a similar collapse in $(\text{K,Ba})\text{BiO}_3$ remains a puzzling issue. Geometrical arguments based on entropy conservation imply that this reduction must be accompanied by a rapid, anomalous, increase of the specific heat at low temperature for fields much smaller than the upper critical field of $\sim 30\text{T}$ deduced from transport data. The data from ref [10] also suggest such a behaviour.




FIG. 2. $H - T$ phase diagram of the $(\text{K,Ba})\text{BiO}_3$ system. Squares ($H_{Cp}(T)$): onset on the specific heat anomaly (see Fig.1, the shaded area represents the temperature difference between the onset and the mid-point of the anomaly), circles ($H_{vg}(T)$): "vortex-glass transition" line deduced from transport measurements ($R \rightarrow 0$), triangles: $H_\Delta(T)$ defined as the line for which the superconducting gap measures by tunneling spectroscopy vanishes. The different characteristic temperatures at 3T (arrows) as shown in Fig.3.

As pointed out above, the specific heat measurements are in striking contrast with our previous magnetotunneling [3] data which suggested that the upper critical field (defined as the field H_Δ for which the superconducting gap is completely closed) presents a classical WHH dependence. We have thus performed similar magnetotunneling measurements on the sample which has been used in the specific heat experiments. The inset of Fig.1 shows the evolution of the tunneling spectra near the normal state at $T = 20\text{K}$ with increasing magnetic fields. H_Δ has been defined as the field for which the superconducting gap is completely closed ($H_\Delta(20\text{K}) \sim 10\text{T}$). As shown by the bold curve, at $H = H_{Cp}(20\text{K}) \sim 7\text{T}$, a gap some feature remains clearly visible in the cor-

responding tunneling spectrum (bold curve). As shown in Fig.2, in agreement with our previous data, the curvature is much less pronounced for H_Δ and surprisingly $H_{vg} < H_{Cp} < H_\Delta$. Note that even though the difference between T_{Cp} and T_Δ is quite large, the resistivity reaches about 95% of its normal state value (R_N) at T_{Cp} and smoothly increases up to $\sim 100\%$ for $T \sim T_\Delta$ (see Fig.3). The specific heat anomaly thus defines a fundamental boundary below which the resistivity drops rapidly towards zero but superconductivity is only completely destroyed at $T = T_\Delta$ for which $R = R_N$ and the superconducting gap is completely suppressed. Note that the difference between T_Δ and T_{Cp} is *not* due to sample homogeneities since heavy ion irradiation leads to an increase of T_{Cp} which then tends towards T_Δ [13]. This difference could be due to the existence of a pseudo-gap but, in contrast to cuprates, this pseudo-gap would only occur in non zero magnetic field.




FIG. 3. Resistive transition at $H = 3T$. The onset of the specific heat anomaly (see Fig.1) corresponds to the temperature below which the resistivity rapidly decreases. $R \rightarrow R_N$ for $T = T_\Delta$ (temperature for which the superconducting gap closes, R_N is the normal state resistivity). In the inset: renormalized specific heat vs temperature. As shown T_{vg} is close to the mid-point of the specific heat anomaly.

To complete this study of the thermodynamic properties, we have performed reversible magnetization measurements (M_{rev}) using a SQUID magnetometer. In the intermediate magnetic field range, $H_{c1} \ll H \ll H_{c2}$, the reversible magnetization of extreme type-II superconductors in the London model [15] is given by: $M_{rev} = -\frac{\alpha \Phi_0}{32\pi\lambda^2} \ln \frac{\beta H_{c2}(T)}{H}$ where $\alpha \sim 1$, Φ_0 is the flux quantum, $\lambda(T)$ the magnetic penetration depth, $H_{c2}(T)$ the upper critical field and $\beta \sim 0.37$ [16]. Thus, $\partial M_{rev}/\partial \ln(H)$ is expected to be proportional to $1/\lambda^2(T) \sim (1 - T/T_c)$ close to T_c . M_{rev} presents a clear logarithmic dependence (see [14]) and the corresponding $\partial M_{rev}/\partial \ln(H)$ are shown in Fig.4. However, as shown, strong deviations from the expected linear behaviour are visible and $\partial M_{rev}/\partial \ln(H)$

can be described much better by a $(1 - T/T_c)^{1.5}$ law (solid line, the dotted line is a WHH dependence for $1/\lambda^2$). A similar deviation is usually observed in high T_c cuprates [17] and has been attributed to the presence of strong fluctuations. It was originally suggested by Nelson *et al.* [18] that elastic distortions of the vortex lattice (in the presence of strong thermal fluctuations) may lead to a significant contribution to the entropy of the system. However the corresponding contribution to $\partial M_{rev}/\partial \ln(H)$ is expected to be of the order of $k_B T/\Phi_0 \xi$ [19] (where k_B is the Boltzmann constant), which is about one order of magnitude smaller than the observed deviation in $(K, Ba)BiO_3$ [20].

Both specific heat and reversible magnetization data thus point out the peculiar nature of the superconducting transition in $(K, Ba)BiO_3$. Moreover, as shown in Fig.2, H_{vg} and H_{Cp} present a very similar temperature dependence emphasizing the close relation between those two lines. As shown in the inset of Fig.3, the $R = 0$ criterion is close to the mid-point of the C_p transition. This then suggests two possibilities: either (i) the positive curvature in H_R is *not* due to the melting of the vortex solid as usually suggested but is an intrinsic property of the H_{c2} line ($= H_{Cp}$) or (ii) the specific heat anomaly is not related to H_{c2} but instead marks a transition in the vortex state. Many theoretical models have been developed in order to explain a "possible" upward curvature of the upper critical field in cuprates (including a spin-charge separation [21] or very strong coupling [22]) but none of those models can be applied to the $(K, Ba)BiO_3$ system. A positive curvature has also been predicted by Ovchinnikov and Kresin [23] in the presence of inhomogeneities and/or magnetic impurities. However, in this case this curvature is only expected to appear at low temperature (i.e. when the superconducting coherence length becomes smaller or on the order of the size of the impurity) and H_{c2} is still expected to vary linearly with T close to T_c . Finally, the condensation of charged bosons in magnetic field is also expected to lead to a positive curvature [24]. But, one has then to take into account the fact that we do not observe any feature in the tunneling spectra above T_{Cp} in zero magnetic field. On the other hand, a second scenario is that $H_{c2} \sim H_\Delta$ and that the specific heat anomaly marks a transition within the vortex state. For instance, specific heat anomalies, both peaks and/or steps, have been observed for transitions in the vortex state in YBCO [25] [26]. However, even though the amplitude of ΔC_p per unit volume measured here is similar to the one associated with vortex melting in YBCO, its shape is quite different and looks much like a classical superconducting transition.

In summary, specific heat and reversible magnetization measurements demonstrate the very peculiar nature of the thermodynamic superconducting transition in the cubic $(K, Ba)BiO_3$ system. The strong deviations from the Ginzburg-Landau model in the reversible magnetiza-

tion, the rapid collapse of the specific heat anomaly with magnetic field and the positive curvature in $H_{vg}(T)$ associated with a vortex-glass scaling behaviour, all suggest the presence of *strong* fluctuations. It is interesting to note that the superconducting transition in (K,Ba)BiO₃ occurs in the vicinity of a metal - insulator transition and the carrier density is very small in this system. This might lead to the presence of large *quantum* fluctuations [27] [28]. The field H_{Δ} for which the superconducting gap completely disappears in tunneling spectroscopy is larger than the field H_{Cp} corresponding to the onset of the specific heat anomaly. H_{Cp} (as well as any characteristic field deduced from transport measurements) presents a clear positive curvature.




FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of $\partial M_{rev}/\partial \ln(H)$ in a (K,Ba)BiO₃ single crystal. The dotted line is a WHH fit for the temperature dependence of $1/\lambda^2$ (see text for details). The solid line is a $(1 - T/T_c)^{1.5}$ fit to the data.

We would like to thank L.Paulius for interesting discussions and N.E.Phillips and R.A.Fischer for sending us their specific heat data on (K,Ba)BiO₃.

[1] G. Blatter, M.V. Feigel'man, V.B. Geshkenbein, A.I. Larkin, and V.M. Vinokur, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **66**, 1125 (1994).
[2] see for instance : I.W. Sumarlin *et al.* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** 2228 (1992), A.P. Mackenzie *et al.* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** 1238 (1993), M.S. Osofski *et al.* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** 2315 (1993).
[3] P. Samuely, P. Szabo, T. Klein, A.G.M. Jansen, J. Marcus, C. Escribe-Filippini and P. Wyder *Europhys. Lett.* **41** 207 (1998).
[4] T. Klein, A. Conde-Gallardo, J. Marcus, P. Szabo, P. Samuely, A.G.M. Jansen and C. Escribe-Filippini *Phys.*

Rev. B **58**, 12411 (1998).
[5] M. Roulin, A. Junod and E. Walker *Physica C* **296** 137 (1998).
[6] A. Carrington, A.P. Mackenzie and A. Tyler *Phys. Rev. B* **54** R3788 (1996).
[7] A. Junod, A. Erb and C. Renner *Physica C* **317-318** 333 (1999).
[8] C. Marcenat *et al.* in *Coherence in High Temperature Superconductors* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1996), A. Carrington *et al.* *Phys. Rev. B* **55** R8674 (1997).
[9] P.F. Sullivan and G. Seidel *Phys. Rev.* **173** 679 (1968).
[10] B.F. Woodfield, D.A. Wright, R.A. Fisher, N.E. Phillips and H.Y. Tang *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83** 4622 (1999).
[11] J.E. Graebner, L.F. Schneemeyer and J.K. Thomas *Phys. Rev. B* **39** 9682 (1989).
[12] V.F. Gantmakher *et al.* *Phys. Rev. B* **54** 6133 (1996), D. Hall *et al.* *Phil. Mag. B* **80** 61 (2000).
[13] C. Marcenat *et al.* to be published.
[14] I. Joumard, T. Klein, A. Conde-Gallardo, J. Marcus and A. Sulpice *Physica C* **341-348** 1067 (2000).
[15] P. De Gennes in *Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys*, (Addison-Wesley, New York, 1989), M. Tinkham M. in *Introduction to Superconductivity* (Mc Graw-Hill, New York, 1996), A.A. Abrikosov A.A. in *Fundamentals of the Theory of Metals* (North-Holland, New York, 1988).
[16] This model has been later improved by Z. Hao and J.R. Clem (*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** 2371 (1991)) taking into account the contribution of vortex core to the free energy density. Despite this non-logarithmic contribution to the magnetization, it has been shown that M_{rev} remains approximately linear with $\ln H$ on a large magnetic field range with $\alpha \sim 0.77$ and $\beta \sim 1.44$.
[17] see for instance : P.H. Kes *et al.* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** 2383 (1991), U. Welp *et al.* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** 3180 (1991).
[18] D.R. Nelson and H.S. Seung *Phys. Rev. B* **39** 9153 (1989).
[19] L.N. Bulaevskii *et al.* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68** 3773 (1992). In highly anisotropic samples the coherence length ξ should be replaced by the interlayer spacing s .
[20] The main difference between (K,Ba)BiO₃ and cuprates is that $\partial M_{rev}/\partial \ln(H)$ remains positive on the entire temperature range in (K,Ba)BiO₃.
[21] R.G. Dias and J.M. Wheatley *Phys. Rev. B* **50** R13887 (1994).
[22] F. Marsiglio and J.P. Carbotte *Phys. Rev. B* **36** 3633 (1987).
[23] Y.N. Ovchinnikov and V.Z. Kresin *Phys. Rev. B* **54** 1251 (1996).
[24] A.S. Alexandrov *Phys. Rev. B* **48** 10571 (1993).
[25] A. Schilling *et al.* *Nature* **382** 791 (1996), M. Roulin *Science* **273** 1210 (1996).
[26] F. Bouquet, C. Marcenat, E. Steep, R. Calemczuk, W.K. Kwok, U. Welp, G.W. Crabtree, R.A. Fisher, N.E. Phillips and A. Schilling *Nature* **411** 448 (2001).
[27] G. Blatter and B.I. Ivlev *Phys. Rev. B* **50** 10272 (1994).
[28] V.J. Emery and S.A. Kivelson *Nature* **374** 434 (1995); *ibid.* *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** 3253 (1995).