

New Phase Transition in Polymer Solutions with Multicomponent Solvent

Zh. S. Gevorkian^{1,2;} and Chin-Kun Hu^{1,*}

¹Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei 11529, Taiwan

²Institute of Radiophysics and Electronics

and Yerevan Physics Institute, Alikhanian Br.2

375036 Yerevan Armenia

Considering the density-density correlation function of a concentrated polymer solution with multicomponent solvent we find a phase transition due to the heterogeneity of excluded volume constant. This new phase transition implies a strong enhancement of the scattered light intensity in the critical region, which can explain a recent experiment showing strong light scattering from a ternary polymer system consisting of polyethylene oxide (PEO) dissolved in nitroethane and 3-methylpentane.

PACS numbers: 78.35.+c; 61.25.Hq

Physical properties of polymer solutions have attracted much attention in recent years [1,2]. Polymer solutions with multicomponent solvent are of particular current interest because some polymers require mixed solvents to reach their θ -conditions [3] and such polymer solutions have fluctuating excluded volume constants as in the case of random heteropolymers in a single solvent [4]. Therefore, the investigation of polymer solutions with multicomponent solvent will help to understand the properties of random heteropolymers, including many biopolymers such as RNA, DNA, protein, etc, which play important roles in functions of biological systems.

Light scattering is one of the main methods for the investigation of polymer solutions [5]. Particularly, it allows the determination of the molecular weight of polymers in dilute solutions [6]. Many papers have studied the light scattering of polymer solutions with multicomponent solvents [7]. However, most of these papers considered the scattering from dilute polymer solutions. In the present paper we consider the concentrated polymer solutions with multicomponent solvent. In this case a strong overlapping between the polymer chains exists and the excluded-volume interaction between the monomers is screened [8,3]. Although the solution is concentrated it still occupies a very small volume fraction of the system (semidilute regime). Such a situation was realized in a recent experiment by To, Kim and Choi (TKC) [9] who studied light scattering from a ternary polymer system consisting of polyethylene oxide (PEO) dissolved in a binary solvent of nitroethane (NE) and 3-methylpentane (MP) (PEO/NE/MP) and found an abnormal strong light scattering, which does not have any theoretical explanation. Using the concept of a fluctuating excluded-volume constant to calculate the density-density correlation function of a polymer solution with a binary solvent, we find a critical behavior for such a system when the fraction of one component of the solvent approaches a critical value. This new phase transition implies a strong enhancement of the scattered light intensity in the critical region, which can explain TKC's abnormal strong light scattering in PEO/NE/MP [9].

Consider a solvent the components of which have the same refractive index. This means that the solvent will not scatter light by itself. However suppose that the components

differ from each other by their ability to dissolve a given homopolymer. Now consider a solution of concentrated homopolymers in such a multicomponent solvent. Since the excluded-volume interactions between the monomers depend not only on themselves but also on their environments, they will fluctuate from point to point because of the composition fluctuations of the solvent. In analogy with the random heteropolymer case [10], the energy of a polymer solution with a fluctuating excluded-volume constant, in terms of monomer concentration [8], can be written in Gaussian approximation in the form

$$\frac{U}{T} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} c(\mathbf{r}) \left[\frac{a^2 r^2}{12c} + v + v_1(\mathbf{r}) c(\mathbf{r}) \right] \quad (1)$$

Here

$$c(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i^X (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_i) \quad (2)$$

is the microscopic concentration of monomers, $c = \langle c(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$ is the average concentration of monomers, a is their average size and v is the average value of the excluded volume constant with $v_1(\mathbf{r})$ being its fluctuating part. The summation in Eq. (2) runs over all chains and monomers and \mathbf{R}_i is the coordinate of the i -th monomer. As was mentioned above, the excluded-volume constant fluctuations are caused by the composition fluctuations of the solvent and by the fact that the excluded-volume constant has different values in different components of the solvent. Therefore we assume that the fluctuating part $v_1(\mathbf{r})$ is a Gaussian-distributed random function whose correlation function is given by

$$\langle v_1(\mathbf{r}) v_1(\mathbf{r}^0) \rangle = B(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^0); \quad \langle v_1 \rangle = 0 \quad (3)$$

The Fourier transform of the correlation function $B(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^0)$ is given by

$$B(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{w^2}{c} \frac{1}{k_s^2 + 1} \quad (4)$$

Here w is the variance (dispersion) of the excluded-volume constant and ξ_s is the correlation length of the composition fluctuations. Note that far away from the critical temperature of the solvent mixture ξ_s is very small (less than 2nm for NE/MP mixture [11]) and fluctuations can be assumed to be almost uncorrelated. When the solvent consists of only two

components, as in the experiment [9], the average and the variance of the excluded volume constant can be estimated as follows

$$v = v_p + (1 - \phi) v_g; \quad w^2 = (1 - \phi) (v_g - v_p)^2; \quad (5)$$

Here v_p and v_g are the excluded volume constants in poor (MP) and in good (NE) components, respectively; ϕ and $1 - \phi$ are the fractions of MP and NE in the solvent, respectively.

It is well known that the intensity of scattered light is proportional to the Fourier transform of the density-density correlation function of the polymer solution [5], which is determined as follows

$$G(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}^0) = \frac{1}{C} \langle c(\mathbf{r}) c(\mathbf{r}^0) \rangle = \langle \hat{c}^2 \rangle; \quad (6)$$

Here $\langle \dots \rangle$ means a thermodynamic average using the energy of Eq.(1). Thus

$$\langle G(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}^0) \rangle = A \int \prod_i d\mathbf{R}_i [c(\mathbf{r}) c(\mathbf{r}^0) \hat{c}^2] \exp \left\{ -\frac{U[\mathbf{c}]}{T} \right\}; \quad (7)$$

where A is a normalization constant and the integration goes over all monomer coordinates.

It is convenient to go to integration over the monomer concentration $c(\mathbf{r})$ instead of \mathbf{R}_i in (7) [8]. Doing this and calculating the Gaussian integral over $c(\mathbf{r})$, we find that the correlation function $G(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}^0)$, representing $v c^2 G(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}^0)$ above with v being a $(12vc)^{1/2}$, satisfies the equation [10]

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{r}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_1(\mathbf{r})}{v} G(\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}^0) = -(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}^0); \quad (8)$$

The first two terms in (8) describe the polymer solution in a homogeneous solvent and the third one describes the heterogeneity of the excluded-volume constant.

It is easy to obtain the bare ($v_1 = 0$) correlation function from Eq.(8)


$$G_0(q) = \frac{1}{q^2 + \frac{1}{2} v}; \quad (9)$$

which means that $\frac{1}{2} v$ is the correlation length of the polymer in a homogeneous solution. For averaging over the realizations of the random field v_1 , we use the impurity diagram technique

[12]. Expanding Eq.(8) on v_1 and averaging in each order over v_1 , one can represent the averaged correlation function in a Dyson form

$$G(q) = \frac{1}{q^2 + \frac{\Sigma(q)}{v^2}}; \quad (10)$$

where the self-energy $\Sigma(q)$ is determined by the following irreducible diagrams



$$\Sigma = \text{[diagram 1]} + \text{[diagram 2]} + \text{[diagram 3]} + \dots \quad (11)$$

The heavy line denotes the bare correlation function of Eq.(9) and the dashed line is the Fourier transform of the random field v_1 correlation function of Eq.(3), $B(k) = v^2$. In the leading order we find from Eq.(11)

$$\Sigma(q) = \frac{1}{v^2} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} B(k) G_0(k) \Sigma(q-k); \quad (12)$$

It follows from Eqs.(10)-(12) that fluctuations of the excluded-volume constant lead to renormalization of correlation length. Substituting Eq.(9) into Eq.(12), one obtains from Eq. (10) the following expression for the correlation function

$$G(q) = \frac{1}{q^2 + \frac{\Sigma(q)}{v^2}}; \quad (13)$$

where the renormalized correlation length is determined as

$$\frac{1}{\xi_R^2} = \frac{1}{\xi^2} - \frac{w^2}{v^2} \frac{1}{4 c_s (1 + c_s)}; \quad (14)$$

To obtain Eq.(14) we take the limit $q \rightarrow 0$ in Eq.(12). This approximation is justified for consideration of scattering in the optical region because $k \ll k_s$ (see below). Equation (14) implies that the renormalized correlation length ξ_R diverges when the heterogeneity parameter $g = w^2/v^2$ approaches the critical value:

$$g_c = 4 c_s (1 + c_s); \quad (15)$$

This means that the system undergoes a second order phase transition at g_c [10]. Note that in conditions, when $v \neq 0$, the limit $g \rightarrow g_c$ is reached for any nonzero value of variance $w \neq 0$ because g behaves as v^2 . Note that for the binary solvent the critical value of g , g_c , can be found from the equation $g(g_c) = g_c$, where $g(\phi) = w^2(\phi) = v^2(\phi)$ is determined by Eq.(5).

Now let us present the physical reason for the increases of density fluctuations and the renormalized correlation length ξ_R with the heterogeneity parameter $g = w^2 = v^2$, which eventually leads to the phase transition. When g is 0 or very small, to maximize the entropy (minimize the free energy) polymer chains prefer to take conformations which make the density of monomers in solution almost constant with small fluctuations. When g is increasing, the solvent is more and more heterogeneous consisting of regions with small and large excluded volume constants. In such cases, the monomers prefer the regions with small excluded volume constant to minimize the excluded volume energy. This leads to large density fluctuations of monomers because of the composition fluctuations of the solvent. When the heterogeneity parameter g exceeds the critical value g_c , the trend to minimize the excluded volume energy having a heterogeneous structure becomes more important than the trend to maximize the entropy having almost constant density. Since the polymer solution is concentrated, the overlapping between the polymer chains exists and the fluctuations can propagate through polymer chains, which leads to the divergence of ξ_R at g_c .

Now consider how the properties of polymers in a multicomponent solvent just mentioned could be reflected on the light scattering. As was stated above the intensity of scattered light is proportional to $G(q)$ of Eq.(13). From Eqs.(13), (14) and (15) we obtain

$$I(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 + \xi_R^2 (1 - \frac{g(\phi)}{g_c})} : \quad (16)$$

Here $k = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} n \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$ with λ being the wavelength of the incident light, n being the refractive index of the medium, and θ being the scattering angle. Consider the asymptote of Eq.(16). Far away from the critical point $g \rightarrow g_c(\phi_c)$ the renormalized correlation length is almost equal to the bare one ξ_R . In the optical region, neglecting the first term

in the denominator of Eq.(16), we find

$$I_0(k) = \frac{1}{k^2} : \quad (17)$$

In this case scattered light is isotropic and the intensity is small because in a good solvent the correlation length of a concentrated solution is of the order of a few nanometers [3]. In the critical region $q \rightarrow q_R$, $I(k)$ is large except a small region of angles near 0 . Therefore for scattered light intensity, one has from Eq.(16)

$$I(k) = \frac{1}{16 \pi^2 n^2 \sin^2 \theta} : \quad (18)$$

It follows from Eq.(18) that at the critical value $q \rightarrow q_R$ of the heterogeneity parameter scattered light is strongly anisotropic and the intensity is much larger than that predicted by Eq.(17) (see below).

Now we want to apply our theory to polymers dissolved in a binary solvent. The experimental system consists of polyethylene oxide (PEO) dissolved in nitroethane + 3-methylpentane (NE/MP) where nitroethane (NE) is a good solvent for PEO and 3-methylpentane (MP) is a poor one. The molecular weight and the concentration of PEO in the solvent were 9×10^5 and 0.075 mg/cc, respectively. Note that a MW = 9×10^5 molecular weight PEO solution in a marginal (mixture of good (NE) and poor (MP)) solvent should be treated as a concentrated one because its concentration 0.075 mg/cc significantly exceeds the overlapping concentration of the chains (see, for example, [8], [3]). The volume fraction of MP was controlled in the experiment and the measurements were done at different values of the fraction. The refractive indices of NE and MP are very close to each other, 1.39 and 1.38, respectively. This means that light scattering caused by composition fluctuations of the binary solvent could be significant only near the critical temperature of the mixture. Note that the binary mixture NE/MP has an upper critical temperature at 26.5° C and the critical composition is $\phi_0 = 65\%$ MP by volume [13]. A argon ion laser with the wavelength $\lambda = 488\text{nm}$ was used in the experiment. The temperature of the sample used by TKC was 42° C, far away from the critical temperature. Nevertheless when the volume fraction of

MP was varied from 0.58 to 0.7 an abrupt increase in the intensity of scattered light was observed. The experiment shows more than two orders of magnitude increase in the scattering intensity within the narrow composition range. At higher composition $\phi > 0.7$ the intensity is greatly reduced (see Fig. 1 and Sec. 3 of [9]).

Note that when the fraction of MP is small the average excluded-volume constant, according to Eq.(5), is mainly determined by the good solvent NE, and the heterogeneity parameter is small $g \ll g_c$. Therefore scattered light intensity is determined by Eq.(17). Increasing the fraction of MP we increase the heterogeneity parameter which reaches the critical region $g \approx g_c$. In this case scattered light intensity is determined by Eq.(18). Comparing the intensities Eqs.(17) and (18) at scattering angle $\theta = 90^\circ$ (as in the experiment), we have

$$\frac{I}{I_0} = \frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} : \quad (19)$$

Substituting the experimental values [9] of $\lambda = 488\text{nm}$, $n = 1.38$ and $\lambda_s = 4\text{nm}$ in Eq. (19), we have $I/I_0 \approx 100$. This is consistent with what was observed in the experiment [9]. Note that the other characteristic feature of light scattering: isotropic angular distribution in the good solvent regime and anisotropic distribution in the critical region predicted by the theory were also observed in the experiment [9].

Now let us present a consistency check of some experimental data. It follows from Eq. (5) that the heterogeneity parameter $g = w^2/v^2$ depends on ϕ and $x = v_p/v_g$ and can be written as

$$g(\phi; x) = \frac{(1 - \phi)(1 - x)^2}{(x + 1)^2} : \quad (20)$$

Differentiating Eq. (20) with respect to x and setting the result to 0, we find that the maximum of g is reached at $\phi_0 = 1/(x + 1)$ and $g_{\max} = (1 - x)^2/4x$. Assuming that $x \approx 0.4$, one has $g_{\max} \approx 0.22$ and $\phi_0 \approx 0.7$ which is consistent with the critical fraction of MP: $\phi_c \approx 0.6 - 0.7$. Substituting from the experiment the values $\lambda_s = 4\text{nm}$, $\lambda = 1\text{nm}$ and $c = 12 \cdot 10^{17} \text{cc}^{-1}$ into Eq. (15), we find that $g_c \approx 0.22$, which is consistent with the g_{\max} .

Please note that from only one phenomenological parameter $\chi = 0.4$ we obtain g_{ax} and χ_0 which are consistent with experimental data.

As mentioned above, the experiment [9] was done at temperatures far above the critical temperature of the NE/M P mixture. The question arises of how the scattered light intensity will behave when the temperature decreases and approaches the critical temperature of the solvent. Obviously the correlation length of the composition fluctuations ξ_s will increase under the temperature decreasing. Accordingly, from Eqs.(15) and (16), the scattered light intensity will decrease. However, near the critical temperature, although the difference between the refractive indices is small, the scattering by the solvent itself becomes essential because of the large value of correlation length ξ_s . Therefore the intensity of scattered light will first decrease with decreasing temperature, then begin increasing near the critical temperature of the NE/M P mixture. This behavior was observed in later experiments [14].

Note that our calculation here corresponds to a mean field approximation. The divergence of the correlation length at the critical point $\xi_s \sim (g - g_c)^{-\nu}$ with the exponent $\nu = 1/2$ (see Eq. (14)) is the reflection of this fact. However in the vicinity of critical point $g \sim g_c$ one should take into account large fluctuations. We have shown [10] that effectively these fluctuations are described by the field-theoretical $O(N)$ model at $N = 0$. Therefore the correct value of critical index $\nu = 0.588$ (see, for example, [15]) follows from this correspondence. A more detailed experiment on light scattering in the above-mentioned ternary system could check this theoretical prediction.

In summary, we have presented a theory of phase transition due to the heterogeneity of the excluded-volume constant in a concentrated polymer solution with multicomponent solvent. The abnormal scattering of light observed in the experiment of [9] is a manifestation of such critical behavior. Our theory describes the main characteristic features of the experiment [9] qualitatively as well as quantitatively.

We would like to thank Jonathan Dushoff for a critical reading of the manuscript, N. Izmailian and Kwing To for valuable comments. This work was supported in part by the National Science Council of the Republic of China (Taiwan) under Contract No. NSC 90-

2112-M -001-074.

REFERENCES

Electronic address: gevorkia@phys.sinica.edu.tw.

⁺ Electronic address: huck@phys.sinica.edu.tw.

- [1] J. des Cloizeaux and G. Jannink, *Polymers in Solution*, (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990).
- [2] Y. B. Melnikenko, et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79, 5266 (1997); A. Bhattacharya, S. D. Mahanti, and A. Chakrabarti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 333 (1998); W. B. Li, et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 5580 (1998); A. M. Hulkami, et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83, 4554 (1999). M. A. Anisimov, et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85, 2336 (2000).
- [3] H. Fujita, *Polymer Solutions*, (Elsevier, New York, 1990).
- [4] C. D. Sfatos and E. I. Shakhnovich, *Phys. Reports* 288, 77, (1997).
- [5] D. McIntyre and F. Gomick, *Light Scattering from Dilute Polymer Solutions*, (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, London, 1964).
- [6] P. Debye, *Molecular-weight Determination by Light Scattering*, p.123 in [5].
- [7] H. Yawakawa, *J. Chem. Phys.* 46, 973 (1967) and references therein.
- [8] M. Doi and S. E. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics*, (Oxford Press, New York, 1986).
- [9] K. To, C. A. Kim, H. J. Choi, *Physica A* 254, 292 (1998).
- [10] Zh. S. Gevorkian and C.-K. Hu, eprint cond-mat/0201169.
- [11] R. F. Chang et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 27, 1706 (1971).
- [12] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics*, (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, 1969).
- [13] A. M. Wims, D. McIntyre, F. Hynne, *J. Chem. Phys.* 50, 616 (1969).

[14] K. To, private communication, (2001).

[15] J. Zinn-Justin, Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996).