A simple model for 1=f noise

Jom Davidsen and Heinz Georg Schuster Institut fur Theoretische Physik und Astrophysik, Christian-Albrechts-Universitat, Olshausenstra e 40, 24118 Kiel, Germany (March 22, 2024)

We present a simple stochastic mechanism which generates pulse trains exhibiting a power law distribution of the pulse intervals and a 1=f power spectrum over several decades at low frequencies with close to one. The essential ingredient of our model is a uctuating threshold which performs a Brownian motion. Whenever an increasing potential V (t) hits the threshold, V (t) is reset to the origin and a pulse is emitted. We show that if V (t) increases linearly in time, the pulse intervals can be approximated by a random walk with multiplicative noise. Our model agrees with recent experiments in neurobiology and explains the high interpulse interval variability and the occurrence of 1=f noise observed in cortical neurons and earthquake data.

5.40.-a, 87.10.+e, 89.75 D a

The omnipresence of 1=f noise in nature is one of the oldest puzzles in contem porary physics still lacking a generally accepted explanation. The phenom enon is characterized by a certain behavior of the respective time signal: The power spectrum S(f) is proportional to 1=f at low frequencies f with 1. Examples include the light of quasars [1], electricalm easurem ents [2], m usic and speech [3], hum an cognition [4] and coordination [5], the current through ion channels [6], network tra c [7], burst errors in communication systems [8], freeway tra c [9], granular ow [10], etc. The time signals of a large number of these systems [5,7{10] resemble a pulse train consisting of individual, largely identical events which occur at discrete times. This is especially true for spike trains of single nerve cells for which 1=f noise has been observed in various brain structures [11{15]. The reported exponents, which depend both on the presence or absence of a sensory stimulus [11,12] and on the state of the animal (REM sleep vs awake state) [13{15], vary from 0.68 to 1.38. The power-law behavior for spike train power spectra lies within the range 0.01 to 10 Hz, extending typically over 2 decades. In almost all cases the upper lim it of the observed time over which fractal correlations exist is in posed by the duration of the recording.

In this Letter, we propose a simple mechanism for generating pulse trains with 1=f behavior in systems with a threshold-controlled dynam ics like, e.g., neurons and earthquake faults. Our model is based on an integrate-and-re (IaF) mechanism and consists of a single unit characterized by two variables (see Fig. 1): The voltage V (t) and the threshold C (t). Initially, the voltage is below the threshold. Then, the voltage increases monoton-ically in time | in the simplest case just linearly | and the threshold evolves according to a Brownian motion with di usion constant D within re ecting boundaries $V_0 < C_1 < C(t) < C_u$. As soon as V (t) has reached the threshold, the voltage is reset to V_0 and a pulse of unit height is emitted. In this way, a pulse train is generated. Note that the threshold is not reset to its initial value.

Such a model is often used to describe single neurons: V (t) is the membrane potential and the emitted pulse is the generated action potential. However, it is usually assumed that the threshold is constant in time. Recent investigation have shown that this is not true for cortical neurons in vivo [16] and in vitro [17]. Additionally, there is evidence that the spike trains of auditory neurons [18] and of neurons in the mesencephalic reticular form ation [19] are not renewal, i.e., successive time intervals between spikes are correlated. These facts are incorporated in ourm odel in the sim plest possible way. M oreover, the e ects of dead tim e or absolute refractoriness, which lim its the rate at which a neuron can re, are autom atically included in our model via the lower bound C1. The upperbound C_u prevents an in nite time di erence between two pulses.



FIG.1. Dynam ics of ourm odel for a linear increasing voltage V (t) with $V_0 = 0$. The dashed lines represent the lower and the upper boundary for the uctuating threshold C (t).

As one can see from Fig. 2, the power spectrum of the pulse train generated by our model with linear increasing voltage shows a 1=f decay over several decades [20]. The frequency below which white noise behavior

is observed is determined by Cu C_1 and goes to zero $C_1 ! 1 . The exponent_n is not universal and$ forC_u increases if the ratio $(C_1 V_0) = D$ increases. In the limit (C₁ V₀) \overline{D} , we nd = 0:5. This result is explained by the fact that this lim it corresponds to the case in which the waiting tim es between pulses are purely determined by the diusive dynamics of the threshold. Hence, each waiting time is merely the rst return time of a Brownian motion which obeys a power-law distribution with exponent 1:5. This implies = 0.5 [21].

To compare our results with real neurons, consider the parameters of curve (b): The dead time of a neuron is typically of order of milliseconds and the maxim altime di erence between two spikes of the order of seconds. This is exactly the ratio between C_1 and C_u . Moreover, we can now identify one unit of time in ourm odelwith 5 m illiseconds real time. Hence, the 1=f behavior in (b) is found for f < 11 Hz. This and the fact that ' 1:02 reproduces the experim ental results very well.

Extensive num erical investigations have shown that our model is very stable with respect to variations of the dynam ics. Dierent form s of voltage increase, e.g., a linear, a squared, or a square-root increase, give sim ilar results. The assumption of a monotonical increase of the voltage can also be dropped. A sm all am ount of noise can be added to the voltage signal without altering our ndings. Substituting the re ecting boundaries by a con ning potential does not change our results, either. This points tow ards a generic behavior.

To obtain the power spectrum pf our model analytically, consider the signal X (t) = $_{k}$ (t t_{k}) where t_{k} denotes the tim e of occurrence of the kth pulse. It follow s as shown in [22]

$$S(f) = \lim_{T ! 1} \frac{1}{2T} dt X(t) exp^{i2 ft};$$
 (1)

$$= \lim_{T! \ 1} \frac{1}{2T} X X \exp^{i2 f(t_{k+q} t_k)}$$
(2)

W ith I =
$$\lim_{T \downarrow 1} \frac{1}{2T} (k_{max} \quad k_{min} + 1)$$
, this leads to

$$X \stackrel{D}{=} \exp^{i2 f(t_{k+q} t_k)} \frac{E}{;} (3)$$

where h over k. Hence, we need to know the probability distributions $_{q}$ ()d of the time di erences between pulses t_{k+q} for all integers q. q is merely the qth = t_r passage tim es density function ga averaged over the stationary probability distribution of \initial" states V (0)

$$_{q}() = hg_{q}(JV(0))i_{V(0)}$$
: (4)

Since g_q can be computed from the rst passage times density function (FPTDF), we will rst focus on the latter.



FIG.2. Power spectrum of the pulse train generated by gur model. Param eters are (a): $V_0 = 0$, $C_1 = 0.2$, $C_u = 40$, D = 0.2. (b) as (a) with $C_u = 200$. (c): $V_0 = 0$, $C_1 = 0.02$, $C_u = 4000$, D = 2.0. This curve is shifted down by 1 decade. All curves show a clear 1=f decay. varies from 0:6 to 1:1.

The FPD TF of our model with linear voltage increase can be obtained by mapping the model to an IaF model with constant threshold $C = (C_1 + C_1)=2$: The voltage \hat{V} (t) is de ned as the sum of V (t) and C C (t). This m eans that ∇ (t) uctuates around V (t). It also implies that \hat{V} (t) is reset to $V_0 + C$ (t,) after the kth threshold crossing. Hence, the correlations are now encoded in the uctuating reset. In conclusion, ∇ (t) behaves alm ost as a Brownian motion with drift to an absorbing barrier with a reset following each barrier crossing. The only di erence is that the stochastic process is restricted to the interval [V (t) + C C_{u} ; V (t) + C C_1] at time t which makes an exact mathematical treatment di cult. N eglecting the restriction for a m om ent and setting C =0, we obtain from the associated Fokker-P lanck equation the FPTDF

$$g_{1}(\vec{y}(0)) = \frac{\vec{v}(0)}{2 D^{3=2}} \exp - \frac{(\vec{v}(0) +)^{2}}{2D}; \quad (5)$$

0 for < 0. Here, V (0) is the initial disand g_1 tance. Eq. (5) is, of course, just an approximation to the FPTDF of our model. This can already be seen from the fact that the FPTDF of ourm odel is identically zero for V_0) and > (C_u) V_0). However, as will be < (C₁ i denotes the average over the ensemble and shown below this approximation proves to be very useful and Eq. (5) can even be simplied to a Gaussian with the sam e m ean and variance:

$$g_1(j\gamma(0)) / \exp \frac{(\gamma(0) +)^2}{2D j\gamma(0)j}$$
: (6)

From the FPTDF one could now compute higher passage times density functions gn in principle by convolution

$$g_{n}(j\mathcal{T}(0)) = g_{1}(tj\mathcal{T}(0))g_{n-1}(tjt)dt: (7)$$

However, this convolution resists an analytical treatment due to the M arkovian character of our process and the form of Eqs. (6,7). The former manifests itself in the fact that the rst passage time directly gives the initial distance between the threshold and the voltage for the next passage problem. The reason for this is that the norm of the kth reset of the voltage ∇ equals the time di erence between the kth and the (k 1)th pulse due to the linear increase of the voltage ∇ with slope 1. Hence the reset depends on the last passage time only and the whole stochastic point process is totally described by the FPTDF plus its M arkovian property.



FIG.3. Power spectrum of the time signal generated by the linear version of our model and by the two approximations for the same parameters as in Fig. 2, (b).

These properties enable us to interpret the stochastic process generated by the Gaussian approximation (6) as a random walk of the inter-spike-intervals (ISI) $_{\rm k}$:

$$_{k+1} = _{k} + \stackrel{p}{\overline{D}}_{k} _{k} : \qquad (8)$$

Here, k denotes the white noise source. Note the special kind of multiplicative noise which distinguishes our model from the one in [23]. The variance of the step length is proportional to the current \position". Hence, the origin is a xed point of the random walk. To om it this di culty and in spirit of our originalm odel, we consider the random walk k to be con ned by two re ecting boundaries, i.e., $0 < (C_u)$ V_0) < $k < (C_1 V_0)$. The power spectrum of the pulse-train generated by such a random walk with $t_{k+1} = t_k + k$ shows a clear 1=f behavior with the same exponent as for our model (see Fig. 3). This is also true if the new inter-spike-interval is chosen from an inverse Gaussian distribution given in Eq. (5) with an initial condition depending on the last interval. Hence, these approximations seem to be justi ed. This is further con med by the stationary ISI distribution. For the random walk, the ISI distribution function P () is proportional to ¹ [24]. Simulations show that this is in excellent agreem ent with our model. and the inverse G aussian approximation.

 ${\tt W}$ e have to point out that the behavior of ${\tt P}$ () depends on the specic kind of voltage increase. For a voltage increase with $(t t_{ast})$ with 0 << 2, we nd ² for our model. Substituting the re ect-P()/ ing boundaries by a potential of the form $a=x + bx^2$ for example, we still nd power law tails in the ISI distribution. This is exactly what measurements show for corticalneurons [25], especially in the mesencephalic reticular form ation [19]. Hence, our model seems to be especially well-suited to explain the occurrence of 1=f noise in that form ation. In general, IaF models can not explain the high interspike interval variability exhibited by corticalneurons [26]. A uctuating threshold as described by our model, how ever, can solve this long-standing issue.

For renew alprocesses the ISI distribution function and the FPTDF are identical and completely describe the process. This is the case for a standard IaF neuron with reset of the voltage to the origin after each barrier crossing which is described by the FPTDF in Eq. (5). Such a model can neither explain a 1=f signal nor a power-law decay of the ISI distribution. In contrast to our model, U sher and co-w orkers show ed that fractal behaviorm ight be a consequence of the global activity dynamics of a network of IaF neurons [27]. Due to experim ental lim itations, how ever, the link between 1=f single-unit power spectra and m acroscopic activity dynam ics rem ains, as of now, a conjecture. A nother attempt to explain the phenom enon of 1=f noise is based on fractal and fractal-rate stochastic point processes [28]. Certain types of these processes properly characterize the statistical properties found in di erent experim ents. How ever, in m any cases it is not clear a priori why the real system should generate such a process. This is especially true for the clustering Poisson process which was applied to explain 1=f noise in the mesencephalic reticular formation [14]. In contrast to that, our model provides a simple explanation of 1=f noise in integrate-and-re systems in general and, hence, applies to neurons in particular. The crucial assumption is a uctuating threshold. Such a behavior is related to models presented in [29] and was already considered in [25] to explain the power law decay of neuronal ISI distribution functions. However, the stochastic point process was still assumed to be renewal and could not explain a 1=f behavior of the power spectral density function. Consequently, the second crucial assumption of our model is the Markovian character of the process in agreement with Refs. [22,23]. To directly verify our model for neurons in the mesencephalic reticular form ation, one should m easure the evolution of the reset from the threshold potential.

A llthree main characteristics of our model, i.e., a 1=f spectrum, a power-law decay of the ISI distribution, and a Markovian dependence of the ISI, can not only be observed in nerve cells but also in other systems. For example, the pulse signal, de ned by associating the occurrence of pulses of unit height with earthquakes in the M o jave region [30], show s 1=f uctuations with = 1:3and has an ISI distribution with exponent 1:1 (Fig. 4). M oreover, there is evidence that the ISI do not obey a renewal process. Rather a M arkovian dynam ics can be found [31]. These notings im ply that the elective evolution of the ISI is similar to the one generated by our m odel and caricatured by Eq. (8).



FIG.4. Power spectrum of the earthquake signal. Inset: ISI distribution.

To sum marize, we have presented a simple stochastic model which is able to transform integrated white noise with a $1=f^2$ power spectrum into noise with 1=f tail. The basic mechanism is similar to what is expected to describe the dynamics of single neurons and, thus, our model can explain the behavior of neurons in the centralnervous-system [14,19]. The analysis of earthquake data even suggests that the elective dynamics of the ISI is the same form any system s exhibiting 1=f noise.

W e thank A.Aertsen and C.Goltz for useful discussions. J.D.would like to thank the Land Schleswig-Holstein, Germany, for nancial support.

Em ail address: jdavidse@ chem .utoronto.ca

- [1] W . H . P ress, C om m ents A strophys. 7, 103 (1978).
- [2] S. Kogan, Electronic noise and uctuations in solids (C am bridge University Press, C am bridge, 1996).
- [3] R.F.Voss and J.Clarke, Nature (London) 258, 317 (1975); J.Acoust.Soc.Am. 63, 258 (1978).
- [4] D.L.Gilden, T.Thomton, M.W. Mallon, Science 267, 1837 (1995).
- [5] H. Yoshinaga, S. M iyazim a, and S. M itake, Physica A 280,582 (2000).
- [6] S.M. Bezrukov and M.W interhalter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 202 (2000).
- [7] T. Field, U. Harder, and P. Harrison, csPF/0107001 (2001) and refs. herein.

- [8] J.M. Berger and B.B.M andelbrot, IBM J.Res.Dev.7, 224 (1963).
- [9] T. M usha and H. H iguchi, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 15, 1271 (1976).
- [10] A.Nakahara and T.Isoda, Phys.Rev.E 55, 4264 (1997).
- M.C.Teich, IEEE Trans. Biom ed.Eng. 36, 150 (1989);
 M.C.Teich, in Single Neuron Computation, ed.by T. McKenna, J.Davis, and S.F.Zornetzer (A cadem ic Press, San Diego, 1992), p. 589.
- [12] M. C. Teich, R. G. Turkott, and R. M. Siegel, IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Mag. 15, 79 (1996); M. C. Teich, C. Heneghan, S. B. Lowen, T. Ozaki, and E. Kaplan, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 14, 529 (1997).
- [13] M. Yam am oto et al., Brain Research 366, 279 (1986).
- [14] F. G nuneis et al., Biol. Cybern. 60, 161 (1989); F. G nuneis, M. Nakao, and M. Yam am oto, ibid. 62, 407 (1990); F. G nuneis et al., ibid. 68, 193 (1993).
- [15] T.Kodam a et al., Brain Research 487, 26 (1989).
- [16] R.Azouz and C.M.Gray, J.Neurosci. 19, 2209 (1999).
- [17] D. Heck, S. Rotter, and A. Aertsen, in Brain Theory, ed. by A. Aertsen (Elsevier, Am sterdam, 1993), p. 241.
- [18] M.C.Teich and S.B.Lowen, IEEE Eng.M ed.Biol.M ag. 13, 197 (1994), and refs. therein.
- [19] M.Yam am oto and H.Nakaham a, J.Neurophysiology 49, 1182 (1983).
- [20] The slope of the voltage increase is not relevant and is set to 1.W e assume $C_1 = V_0 = C_u = C_1$ and $0 = D = C_u = C_1$ to exclude trivial behavior.
- [21] H.G.Schuster, Determ inistic Chaos, (VCH, Weinheim, 1995), p. 93.
- [22] J. D avidsen and H. G. Schuster, Phys. Rev. E 62, 6111 (2000).
- [23] B.Kaulakys and T.Meskauskas, Phys. Rev. E 58, 7013 (1998).
- [24] The random walk with multiplicative noise can be approximated by a random walk with constant variance and non-uniform waiting times between steps which are proportional to $_{k}^{1}$. This explains the power-law decay of P ().N ote that deviations from this behavior occur close to the boundaries.
- [25] M. E. W ise, in Statistical Distributions in Scientic W ork, ed.by C. Taillie, G. P. Patil, and B. A. Baldessari (D. Reidel, Hingham, MA, 1981), Vol. 6, p. 211.
- [26] W .R.Softky and C.Koch, NeuralComp.4, 643 (1992), J.Neurosci.13, 334 (1993).
- [27] M. Usher, M. Stem m ler, and Z.O lam i, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 326 (1995).
- [28] S.Thumeretal, Fractals 5, 565 (1997), and refs. therein.
- [29] P. Reim ann and P. Hanggi, in Stochastic Dynamics, ed. by L. Schim ansky-Geier (Springer, Berlin, 1997), p. 127; A. Fulinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 4926 (1997).
- [30] The investigated time signal contains all earthquakes above magnitude 1.8 in the area of 34 0° lat. N to 35 45° lat.N and 115 45° lon.W to 118 15° lon.W from 01/01/84 till 12/31/00 which can be found in the Southern C alifornia Seism ographic N etwork C atalogues.
- [31] J. Davidsen, C. Goltz, and H. G. Schuster, to be published.