

# Comment on "1=f noise in the Bak-Sneppen model"

Jom Davidse and Norbert Luthje

Institut für Theoretische Physik und Astrophysik, Christian-Albrechts-Universität,  
Olshausenstraße 40, 24118 Kiel, Germany

(August 18, 2000)

Contrary to the recently published results by Daerden and Vanderzande (Phys. Rev. E 53, 4723 (1996)), we show that the time correlation function in the random neighbor version of the Bak-Sneppen model can be well approximated by an exponential giving rise to a  $1=f^2$  power spectrum.

5.40-a, 64.60-Ak, 87.10+e

Recently, an exact solution of the random neighbor version of the Bak-Sneppen model was presented by de Boer and co-workers [1]. They derived a master equation for the probability  $P_n(t)$  that  $n$  of out of  $N$  numbers have a value less than a fixed value at (discrete) time  $t$ . In the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\bar{p} = 1/2$ ,  $P_n$  has the scaling form  $P_n(t) = \frac{1}{N} f(x = n - \bar{p}; t = tN)$ . Inserting this expression into the master equation gives the following Fokker-Planck equation for  $f(x; t)$  with a reflecting boundary at  $x = 0$ :

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (xf): \quad (1)$$

Consequently, the random neighbor version of the Bak-Sneppen model for  $N \rightarrow \infty$  is just an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, i.e., Brownian motion in a parabolic potential. Given the initial condition  $f(x; 0) = \delta(x - y)$ , the solution is

$$f(x; t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2t}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{2(x-y)\exp(-x^2/2)}{1-\exp(-x^2/2)}\right): \quad (2)$$




FIG. 1. Plot of the correlation function given by Eq. 6.

It follows for the autocorrelation function  $G(t)$  of the time signal  $x(t)$

$$G(t) = \frac{1}{4} \exp(-at); \quad (3)$$

where  $a = 1$ . This directly gives the power spectral density  $S(f)$  via a Fourier transform of  $G(t)$

$$S(f) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{a^2 + f^2}; \quad (4)$$

Going back to the unscaled variables leads to

$$S_p(f) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{\frac{a^2}{N^2} + f^2}; \quad (5)$$

which is only valid for low frequencies. Hence, the power spectral density of the signal  $\ln(t)$  decays as  $1=f^2$  and for very low frequencies it even becomes constant. However, the above calculation was carried out without applying the boundary condition at  $x = 0$ . Nevertheless, it is already clear from a physical point of view that the functional form of  $G(t)$  will not change drastically by incorporating a reflecting boundary. This is supported mathematically by the fact that one simply has to use the method of images. This was done in Ref. [2] giving

$$G(t) = \frac{1}{8} [1 - \exp(-t^2)]^2 F(1; 2; 3; 2; r(t)) + F(1; 2; 3; 2; r_+(t)) - F(1; 2; 5; 2; r(t)) - 3 F(1; 2; 5; 2; r_+(t)) \frac{1}{2}; \quad (6)$$

where  $F(a; b; c; z)$  is the hypergeometric function and where  $r(t) = \frac{1}{2} [1 - \exp(-t^2)]$ . In Fig. 1,  $G(t)$  is shown. We clearly find an exponential behavior with  $a = 0.869 \pm 0.008$  for  $0.1 < t < 10$  giving rise to a power spectral density as in Eq. (4). This is confirmed by a numerical Fourier transform of Eq. (6) (see Fig. 2). Here, it has to be noted that  $G(t)$  is an even function, i.e.,  $G(t) = G(-t)$ . This also ensures that the Fourier transform  $S(f)$  is real.

In the case of the Bak-Sneppen model with one next neighbor, we also cannot confirm the results presented in Ref. [2]. A direct simulation of the time signal gives  $S_p(f) / 1=f^2$  over 2 decades for a system size of  $N = 8192$ . Hence, although the power spectral density in the Bak-Sneppen model decays as a power law, the exponent is far from one. This is also true for a different definition of the time signal [3].

In conclusion, there is no sign of  $1=f$  noise in the random neighbor version of the Bak-Sneppen model and even in the next neighbor version there is no  $1=f$  noise in the strict sense.




FIG .2. Plot of the power spectrum given by a numerical Fourier transformation of Eq. 6. The solid line with exponent 2 is drawn for reference.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J. DavidSEN would like to thank the Land Schleswig-Holstein, Germany, for the financial support granted to him.

---

Email address: davidSEN@theo-physik.uni-kiel.de

<sup>y</sup> Email address: luethje@theo-physik.uni-kiel.de

[1] J. de Boer et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 906 (1994).

[2] F. Daerden and C. Vanderzande, Phys. Rev. E 53, 4723 (1996).

[3] M. Paczuski, S. Maslov, and P. Bak, Phys. Rev. E 53, 4723 (1996); S. Maslov et. al., Phys. Rev. E 58, 7141 (1998).