## A nom alous therm al conductivity of frustrated H eisenberg spin-chains and ladders

J.V. A lvarez and C laudius G ros

Fakultat 7, Theoretische Physik, University of the Saarland, 66041 Saarbrucken, Germany.

W e study the therm al transport properties of several quantum spin chains and ladders. We not indications for a diverging therm al conductivity at nite tem peratures for the models exam ined. The tem perature at which the non-diverging prefactor <sup>(th)</sup> (T) peaks is in general substantially lower than the tem perature at which the corresponding speci c heat  $c_V$  (T) is maximal. We show that this result of the microscopic approach leads to a substantial reduction for estimates of the magnetic mean-free path extracted by analyzing recent experiments, as compared to similar analyses by phenomenological theories.

PACS num bers:

Introduction - The nature of therm al transport in system swith reduced dimensions is a long standing problem and has been studied intensively in classical<sup>1</sup> system s either by direct numerical out-of-equilibrium simulations<sup>2</sup> or by investigation of soliton-soliton scattering processes<sup>3</sup>. There has been, on the other hand, considerably less progress for quantum system s. Huber, in one of the rsts works on the subject<sup>4</sup>, evaluated the therm al conductivity (T) for the Heisenberg-chain with an equation-of-motion approximation and found a nite (T), a result which is, by now, known to be wrong. It

has been shown recently<sup>5</sup>, that the energy-current operator commutes with the ham iltonian for the spin-1/2Heisenberg chain. The thermal conductivity is consequently in nite for this model. The intriguing question, \under which circum stances does an interacting quantum -system show an in nite thermal conductivity", is to-date completely open, the analogous question for classical system s being intensively studied<sup>1</sup>.

A second motivation to study (T) for quantum spinsystems comes from experiment. An anomalous large magnetic contribution to has been observed<sup>6</sup> for the hole-doped spin-ladder system  $Sr_{14} \ _xCa_xCu_{24}O_{41}$ . For  $Ca_9La_5Cu_{24}O_{41}$ , which has no holes in the ladders, an even larger thermal conductivity has been measured<sup>7</sup> raising the possibility of ballistic magnetic transport limited only by residual spin-phonon and impurity scattering. There is, how ever, up-to-date no microscopic calculation for the thermal conductivity of spin-ladders.

In this Letter we present a nite size analysis of the thermal conductance in Heisenberg  $J_1$   $J_2$  chains and ladders suggesting ballistic thermal transport in these two families of spin models. Following this result we propose a possible scenario that would account a num – ber of anomalies found in the thermal conductivity in spin systems. We apply these ideas to the case of  $Ca_3La_5Cu_{24}O_{41}$ , obtaining good agreement with recent

experim entalm easurem ents.

M odels – W e consider quasi one-dimensional systems s for which the ham iltonian takes the form  $H = \begin{bmatrix} L & \\ x=1 \end{bmatrix} H_x$ , where L is the number of units along the chain. W e will consider two m odels, the isotropic H eisenberg chain with dimerized nearest and hom ogeneous next-nearest neighbor exchange couplings,

$$H_{x}^{(ch)} = J (1 + (1)^{x}) S_{x} \quad \S_{+1} + S_{x} \quad \S_{+2} ;$$

and the two-leg Heisenberg-ladder:

$$H_{x}^{(lad)} = J_{k} (S_{1;x} \quad \S_{;x+1} + S_{2;x} \quad \S_{;x+1}) + J_{?} S_{1;x} \quad \S_{;x} :$$

H <sup>(ch)</sup> has been proposed to model the magnetic properties of the spin-Peierls compound CuGeO<sub>3</sub>. The non-frustrated dimerized Heisenberg chain (= 0) models the magnetic behavior<sup>8</sup> of (VO)<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>. Ca<sub>9</sub>La<sub>5</sub>Cu<sub>24</sub>O<sub>41</sub> contains doped spin-chains and undoped spin-ladders<sup>7</sup>, described by H <sup>(lad)</sup>.

M ethod -O urgoalistom ake connection between the m icroscopic transport-properties of the low dimensional m odels presented above and the experimental measurements of therm al conductivity mentioned in the introduction.

The therm al conductivity is defined as the response of the energy-current density  $\frac{1}{K}$  to a thermal gradient  $\frac{1}{K} = rT$  and it has units of  $[] = \frac{W}{K m^{d-2}}$ , where d is the dimension (d = 3 for experiments). The specic c form of the energy-current associated with a given ham iltonian is determined by the continuity equation for the energy density,  $H_{-x} + r = \frac{1}{K} = 0$ , which leads via  $r = \frac{1}{K} = \frac{1}{K+1} = \frac{1}{K} = cto^9$ 

$$[\mathbb{H}_{x};\mathbb{H}_{x+1}] + [\mathbb{H}_{x};\mathbb{H}_{x+2}] + [\mathbb{H}_{x-1};\mathbb{H}_{x+1}] = \frac{\mathrm{ih}}{\mathrm{c}} J_{x}^{\mathrm{E}};$$

where c is the lattice constant along the chain-direction.

In the absence of applied magnetic elds spin-inversion symmetry holds and the Kubo formula for  $= \lim_{n \to \infty} (!) reduces to^4$ 

$$= \lim_{!! 0} \frac{2^{Z_{1}}}{L_{0}} dt e^{t(i! s)} h J^{E}(t) J^{E}i; \qquad (1)$$

where  $J^E = {P \atop x \ \frac{E}{k}}$  is the total energy-current, =  $1 = (k_B T)$  is the inverse tem perature and s ! 0 the usual convergence factor.

W e will exam ine here the possibility of in nite intrinsic therm al conductivity. For such a system heat transport is ballistic and energy is transported without dissipation. As an example we consider the XXZ chain (H  $^{(ch)}$  with = 0 = and a spin-anisotropy). The total them all current commutes with the ham iltonian in this case<sup>5</sup> and the current-current correlation function is therefore time-independent. Eq. (1) reduces then to the static expectation value (! = 0) =  ${}^{2}h(J^{E})^{2}i=(Ls)$ , a quantity which can be evaluated by B ethe-A nsatz<sup>10</sup>.

In generalwe have  $[J^E; H] \in 0$ , but the therm altransport will be ballistic if

$$^{\text{(th)}} = \frac{2}{Z L} \sum_{\substack{m \text{;} n \neq E_n = E_m}}^{X} e^{E_m} \operatorname{Im} j J^E j n i^2 \qquad (2)$$

is nite in the therm odynam ic lim it L ! 1. The therm al conductivity =  $^{(th)}$ =s then diverges for s ! 0; as it does for the XXZ chain.

In reality, spin-ham iltonians like H  $^{\rm (ch)}$  and H  $^{\rm (lad)}$  are coupled to an external environment, e.g. to phonons or impurities. Here we consider the case where this coupling is small. This coupling will then result in a nite external lifetime = 1=s for the eigenstates of the spin-m odel (which is assumed to be energy independent in Eq. (1)). U sing the relation =  $v_{\rm s}$  in between the externalmean-free path , the spin-wave velocity  $v_{\rm s}$  and , we propose

$$= {}^{(\text{th})} = {}^{(\text{th})} \frac{1}{v_s}$$
(3)

to hold for the therm al conductivity .

Let us discuss the relation of Eq. (3) to the usual phenom enological form  $ula^{13}$  (here in one dimension)

where  $\alpha_V$  is the specic heat. When applied in order to analyze experimental data, the microscopic equation (3) will in general yield di erent values for the magnetic mean-free path . On the other hand, we might expect Eq. (4) to hold at low temperature for the Heisenberg-chain in the gapless phase, when the Luttinger-liquid quasiparticles are wellde ned and a Boltzm ann approach is justified. Consequently we expect  ${}^{(th)} = v_s \quad \alpha_V v_s$  in this case, i.e. we expect  ${}^{(th)} = \alpha_V \quad v_s^2$  in the limit T ! 0. All three quantities in this equation ( ${}^{(th)}, \alpha_V$  and  $v_s$ ) can be computed by Bethe-Ansatz for the XXZ-chain. One nds that this equation is exact<sup>10</sup> in the limit T ! 0.

N um erics – The computation of  $^{\rm (th)}$  (T) dem and s the whole spectrum of the ham iltonian, restricting the m aximum lattice size and a careful nite-size analysis is required. In general one nds, e.g. for the XXZ-m odel for which the exact B ethe-A nsatz result is know  $n^{10}$ , that the

<sup>(th)</sup> in chains with odd (even) num ber of sites chains is a upper (lower) bound of the exact result. The value of

<sup>(th)</sup> (T) decreases (increases) for chains with odd (even) number of sites when the lattice is enlarged. This observation has led us to consider the average

$$^{(th)}(L_{e}) = \frac{L_{0}^{(th)}(L_{0}) + L_{1}^{(th)}(L_{1})}{L_{0} + L_{1}}$$
 (5)

of nite-size data, where  $L_0 = 2i$  and  $L_1 = 2i + 1$  and  $L_e = (L_0 + L_1)=2$ . We nd that <sup>(th)</sup> ( $L_e$ ) converges to the therm odynam ic lim it som ew hat faster than taking the lim it for even (or odd) number of sites only, see Fig. (1). This average technique is rem iniscent of the boundary-condition integration technique<sup>11</sup> for exact diagonalization studies.

The situation is similar when boundary conditions are changed from periodic to anti-periodic and for the ladders when the number of rungs changes from odd to even. We also nd that (5) produces excellent results when applied to the speci c heat, as illustrated in Fig. (2), where we compare the exact diagonalization data for ladders with Q uantum -M onte-C arb results<sup>12</sup>.

R esults -A fter these technical rem arks we discuss now the exact diagonalization results for the tem perature dependence of  $^{\rm (th)}$ . The general features in all the models studied are: (i) A nite value of  $^{\rm (th)}$  at any nite tem – perature that does not vanish when the extrapolation to in nite lattice size is taken. (ii) A single maximum  $^{\rm (th)}_{\rm max}$  at an interm ediate tem perature  $T_{\rm max}$  () smaller than the maximum in the speci c heat  $T_{\rm max}$  (C $_{\rm v}$ ). (iii) The high tem perature regime follows in general the law C (L)=T $^2$ , as expected from Eq. (2).

The results for H <sup>(lad)</sup> are presented for  $J_2 = 2J_k$  in Fig. (2). We notice that the results for the speci c heat are already converged nicely, albeit the small e ective chain length used. We believe that the results for <sup>(th)</sup> shown in Fig. (2) to be accurate to about 10%, enough to allow a detailed analysis of the experimental data which we willperform further below. In the inset of Fig. (2) we present a blow up for the data for <sup>(th)</sup> at low temperatures for L = 3;:::;7. We note the system atic increase of <sup>(th)</sup> with increasing L for the odd values L = 3;5;7, indicating a nite value in the therm odynam ic limit. The even values L = 4;6 seem to constitute upper bounds to <sup>(th)</sup>.

In Fig. (3) we present the results for H  $^{(ch)}$ , = 0.35 and = 0. We have also studied the dimerized phase with > 0 and found sim ilar behaviors. The maximum value of  $^{(th)}$  is nearly size-independent for L=8-14. The raise of  $^{(th)}$  with increasing system size L (as indicated by the arrows in Fig. (3)) in the low-tem perature regime, is consistent with the notion of a nite  $^{(th)}$  in the therm odynam ic limit.

The way nite size chains approach the L ! 1 limit is almost identical for frustrated chains and the exactly solvable = 0 case, as even plied by the sizedependence for the prefactor of the leading  $1=T^2$ -term presented in the inset of Fig. (3), showing the reliability of the nite size analysis. We can conclude that the frustration produces a substantial drop in the extrapolated nite value of the prefactor.

A nalysis -C om parison with experimental results for the thermal conductivity  $^{(exp)}$  can be made using the dimensional analysis:

$$(exp) = \frac{k_B J}{hc} \frac{N_c c^3}{abc} - \frac{J c}{hv_s} \sim (th)$$
 (6)

where the quantities in the brackets are dimensionless. ~<sup>(th)</sup> is the dimensionless therm al conductance (2) which we will evaluate by exact diagonalization. a, b and c are the lattice constants (the chains run along the c direction) and N<sub>c</sub> is the number of chains per unit cell. Note that  $\frac{J_{c}}{c} = \frac{J_{c}}{hv_{s}} = \frac{J}{h}$  and (6) can be used to extract the lifetime (in units of the coupling-constant J) directly by comparison with the experimental <sup>(exp)</sup>. We now

analyze the experimental data<sup>7</sup> for Ca<sub>9</sub>La<sub>5</sub>Cu<sub>24</sub>O<sub>41</sub>. A quantitative good description of the magnetic excitations in La<sub>9</sub>La<sub>5</sub>Cu<sub>24</sub>O<sub>41</sub> can be obtained by H <sup>(lad)</sup> with the inclusion of an additional ring-exchange term <sup>14</sup> (a 15% correction) and J<sub>2</sub> ' J<sub>k</sub><sup>14;15</sup>. Here we disregard the possible ring-exchange term and use J J<sub>2</sub> = J<sub>k</sub> = 832:6K, which we extracted by tting the magnetic contribution<sup>7</sup> <sup>(exp)</sup> (T) by 444.2=(1.0 + 0.093) exp(419:6=T) + (T=156:4)<sup>2</sup>) (a very good t at all temperatures, see inset of Fig. (4)). The gap for the isotropic H eisenberg ladder is<sup>16</sup> = 0.504 J<sub>2</sub>, which leads for Ca<sub>9</sub>La<sub>5</sub>Cu<sub>24</sub>O<sub>41</sub> to J = 419:6=0.504K = 832:6K.

U sing the appropriate lattice constants and N<sub>c</sub> = 14 (rungs per unit cell<sup>17</sup>) we used Eq. (5) and (6) for 2 6 and 2 7 ladders in order to extract the spin-environm ent relaxation time , see Fig. (4). We nd for the lifetime (in units of the coupling-constant J):  $\frac{(T)h}{J}$ , 7  $\frac{725}{T} + \frac{561}{T}^2$ . The assumption of a weak spin-environm ent coupling entering Eq. (3) is therefore justi ed in the experim ental relevant tem perature regime. The lifetime is, to give an example, 132 times larger than the coupling constant J at T = 150 K, leading to an external broading of the energy levels of only 1=132 = 0:76%, in units of J.

To estimate the elective mean-free path we use  $(T) = v_s(T)$  (T), were we have used for  $v_s$  the thermalexpectation value of the magnon-velocity within the independent-triplet model:

$$v_s = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{Z}{dk} u^0(k) n(u(k)) = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{Z}{dun(u)}$$

where  $Z = {}^{R} dk n ("(k))$  is the partition function and n ("(k)) = 3 = (exp ("(k) + 3). W e approximated the one $magnon dispersion "(k) by <math>2 {}^{"2} (k) = ({}^{2} + {}^{2}_{2}) + ({}^{2}_{2})$ 

<sup>2</sup>)  $\cos(k)$ . For  $J_2 = J_k$  we have<sup>16</sup> that <sup>2</sup> 3.8 . In the low-temperature limit  $v_s$  (I) T holds and the mean-free path (I) is therefore less divergent at low-I than the relaxation time (I) see Fig. (4). At very low temperature we expect in purity-scattering to become relevant and (I) to plateau-o.

D iscussion -0 ur results for the mean-free length (T) for  $Ca_9La_5Cu_{24}O_{41}$  obtained by the microscopic form ula (3) are substantially smaller than the one obtained using (4). At T = 100K Hess et al<sup>7</sup> estimated a large 3000A, in contrast to our result (100) 176A. This is, in a certain sense, surprising, since the elective

obtained from (4) m ight be thought to contain additional spin-spin scattering. P hysically, the reason for our smaller mean-free path stems from the fact that <sup>(th)</sup> (T) peaks at substantially smaller temperatures than  $q_r$  (T), see Fig. (3). We note, that all excitations contribute to  $q_r$  on an equal footing, but that magnetic excitations near the bottom of the one-m agnon band seem to contribute dom inantly to the therm al conductivity, leading to a substantial reduction of the temperature where <sup>(th)</sup> (T) is maximal with propert to  $q_r$  (T).

 $^{\rm (th)}$  (T ) is maximal, with respect to  $c_{\rm V}$  (T ).

C onclusions - W e have presented a m icroscopic approach of them al transport in quasi one-dimensional spin m odels. For several models we nd indications for a diverging them alconductivity. A nite them alconductivity is obtained when couplings to external degrees of freedom are taken into account with the relaxation-time approximation. We have analyzed recent experiments for spin-ladder compounds and found only weak spin-environment coupling for the ladder compounds, which decreases fast with decreasing temperature. Our estimates for the mean-free length turned out to be substantially smaller than previous estimates using simple phenomenological form ulas. These results highlights the importance of m icroscopic theories for transport in quasi one-dimensional quantum -spin system s.

A cknow ledgm ents - This work was supported by the DFG.We thank T.Lorenz, A.Klumper, B.Buchner, W.Brenig and G.S.Uhrig for discussions and for sending us their data. J.V.A. also thanks A.Muramatsu and F.M ila for stimulating discussions.

- <sup>1</sup> For an overview see F.Bonetto, JL.Lebow itz and L.Rey-Bellet, arX ivm ath-ph/0002052 (2000).
- <sup>2</sup> C.Giardina, R.Livi, A.Politi and M.Vassalli, Phys.Rev. Lett. 84, 2144 (2000).
- $^3$  R.Khomeriki, arX iv cond-m at/0112067 (2001).
- <sup>4</sup> D L.Huber, Prog.Theo.Phys.39, 1170 (1968); D L.Huber and J.S. Sem ura, Phys. Rev. 182, 602 (1969).
- <sup>5</sup> X.Zotos, F.N aef, and P.P relovsek, Phys.Rev.B 55, 11029 (1997).
- <sup>6</sup> A.V.Sologubenko,K.Gianno,H.R.Ott,U.Ammerahland A.Revcholevschi, Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 2714 (2000).
- <sup>7</sup>C. Hess, C. Baumann, U. Ammeral, B. Buchner, F. Heidrich-Meissner, W. Brenig and A. Revcholevschi, Phys. Rev. B 64 184305 (2001).
- <sup>8</sup> A W .G arrett, S E .N agler, D A .Tennant, B C .Sales2 and T.Bames, Phys.Rev.Lett. 79, 745 (1997).
- <sup>9</sup> F. Heidrich-Meisner, A. Honecker, D.C. Cabra and W. Brenig, cond-m at/0208282.
- <sup>10</sup> A.K lum per and K.Sakai, J.Phys.A 35, 2173 (2002).
- <sup>11</sup> C.Gros, Z.Phys.B 86, 359 (1992).

- <sup>12</sup> J.V.A lvarez and C.G ros.Eur.Phys.J.B, 15, 641 (2000)
- <sup>13</sup> H W . A shcroft and N D . M erm in, \Solid State P hysics", H olt-Saunders (1976).
- <sup>14</sup> M. Matsuda, K. Katsum ata, R.S. Eccleston, S. Brehm er and H.-J.Mikeska, Phys. Rev. B 62, 8903 (2000)
- <sup>15</sup> M. W indt, M. Gruninger, T. Nunner, C. Knetter, K P. Schmidt, G.S. Uhrig, T. Kopp, A. Freimuth, U. Am – m erahl, B. Buchner, and A. Revcolevschi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 127002 (2001).
- <sup>16</sup> C. Knetter, K P. Schm idt, M. Gruninger and G.S. Uhrig Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 167204 (2001).
- <sup>17</sup> E M . M oC arron, M A . Subram anian, J.C. C alabrese and R L.Harlow, M ater. Res. Bull. 23, 1355 (1988).



FIG.1. (th), as de ned by Eq. (2), for the Heisenberg-chain as a function of temperature. Inset: Data for L = 5:::14, in comparison with the Bethe-Ansatz result<sup>10</sup> (full line). Main panel: Results using Eq. (5), with  $L_{eff} = (L_0 + L_1)=2$ . We note, that the position of the maximum in (th) can be determined condently with the averaging procedure.



FIG.2. Temperature dependence of <sup>(th)</sup> and the dimensionless speci c heat for ladders with twisted boundary conditions and  $J_2 = 2J_k$ . Both m agnitudes are computed using the average procedure (5) described in the text. The speci c heat for a 2 100-ladder computed with QMC is plotted for comparison (squares). Inset <sup>(th)</sup> for L= 3,5,7 (from bottom up) and L = 4;6 (from top down) in the low-temperature region.



FIG.3. (th) and dimensionless speci c heat for the frustrated H eisenberg chain with = 0.35 and di erent lattice sizes L= 8,10,12,14, the arrows indicate increasing L. Inset : F inite size analysis (L= 6...14) of the high tem perature residue C (L) de ned as (th) = C (L)=T<sup>2</sup> at T J for di erent values of .



FIG. 4. (T) (right-scale) and (T) (left-scale) for  $Ca_9La_5Cu_{24}O_{41}$  extracted using Eq. (6). The experimental data by Hess et al.<sup>7</sup> is shown in the inset (lled circles) together with an analytic t (solid line) discussed in the text.