

On Keller Theorem for Anisotropic Media

Leonid G. Fel

School of Physics and Astronomy, Raymond and Beverly Sackler Faculty of Exact Sciences

Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

e-mail: lfel@post.tau.ac.il

(November 10, 2021)

Abstract

The Keller theorem in the problem of effective conductivity in anisotropic two-dimensional (2D) many-component composites makes it possible to establish a simple inequality $\epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k}) / \epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k}^1) > 1$ for the isotropic part $\epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k})$ of the 2nd rank symmetric tensor b_{ij}^e of effective conductivity.

Pacs: 73.50.Jt, 72.15.Gd, 72.80.Tm, 03.50.Kk

The extension of the Keller theorem [1] in the problem of effective conductivity in the infinite 2D two-component composites on the many-component case [2] poses a new question on the duality relation for the 2nd rank symmetric tensor b_{ij}^e of effective conductivity in anisotropic media. It is related to the restrictions imposed on the linear invariant of b_{ij}^e which is called an isotropic part $\epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k})$ of effective conductivity. Recently the perturbation theory for the infinite periodic three-component 2D checkerboard with two-fold rotation lattice symmetry was developed [3] where the coincidence of $\epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k})$ with solution $B(\mathbf{k})$ of Bruggeman Eqn was established up to the 6-th order term. This fact is curious because it gives grounds to think that Effective Medium Approximation (EMA) describes exactly $\epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k})$ in this certain structure. Here we will discuss this conclusion.

Let us define the isotropic part of conductivity tensor

$$\epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } b_{ij}^e(\mathbf{k}); \quad k = 1; 2; \dots; n; \quad (1)$$

which is an invariant scalar with respect to the plane rotation and recall the Keller theorem for the principal values b_e^{xx} , b_e^{yy} of diagonalized matrix b_e^{ij} for 2D n-component composite

$$\begin{aligned} b_e^{xx}(\mathbf{k}^1; \mathbf{k}^2; \dots; \mathbf{k}^n) / b_e^{yy}(\mathbf{k}^1; \mathbf{k}^2; \dots; \mathbf{k}^n) &= 1; \\ b_e^{yy}(\mathbf{k}^1; \mathbf{k}^2; \dots; \mathbf{k}^n) / b_e^{xx}(\mathbf{k}^1; \mathbf{k}^2; \dots; \mathbf{k}^n) &= 1; \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Both (1) and (2) make us possible to derive a simple inequality for $\epsilon_{is} = \epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k}^1) / \epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k})$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{is} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(2 + b_e^{xx}(\mathbf{k}) / b_e^{xx}(\mathbf{k}^1) + b_e^{yy}(\mathbf{k}) / b_e^{yy}(\mathbf{k}^1) \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(2 + \frac{b_e^{xx}(\mathbf{k})}{b_e^{yy}(\mathbf{k})} + \frac{b_e^{yy}(\mathbf{k})}{b_e^{xx}(\mathbf{k})} \right) \geq 1; \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the only isotropic media $b_e^{xx} = b_e^{yy}$ corresponds to the equality in (3). At the same time another isotropic invariant $\epsilon_{is} = \det b_{ij}^e(\mathbf{k})$ satisfies the duality relation

$$\epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k}) \epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k}^1) = 1;$$

The EMA theory of the infinite 2D n-component isotropic composite has its consequence the Bruggeman Eqn [4]


$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k B(\mathbf{k})}{k + B(\mathbf{k})} = 0; \quad (4)$$

which necessarily leads to the duality relation

$$B(\mathbf{k}^1) B(\mathbf{k}) = 1 \quad (5)$$

that reflects both the conformal invariance of the Maxwell Eqns in 2D isotropic composite and S_n -permutation invariance of the n-colour tessellation of the plane. The latter means that ϵ_{is} can satisfy the Bruggeman Eqn only for isotropic S_n -permutation invariant media: $b_e^{xx} = b_e^{yy}$; $b_e^{xy} = 0$ in any reference frame x, y, g .

The infinite periodic 2D three-component checkerboard was considered in [3] for symmetrically related partial conductivities ($\epsilon_1 = 1$; $\epsilon_{2,3} = 1$).



Such structure doesn't possess an isotropy of the 2nd rank conductivity tensor b_e^{ij} that follows from the simple crystallographical consideration [5] as well as from the straightforward calculation [3] of the non-diagonal term $b_e^{xy} / \epsilon_{is}^2$. Therefore $\epsilon_{is}(\mathbf{k})$ for this structure can not satisfy the Bruggeman Eqn (4) even if its coincidence with $B(\mathbf{k})$ reached the 6th term in the perturbation theory.

-
- [1] J. B. Keller, J. Math. Phys. 5, 548 (1964)
 - [2] L. G. Fel, V. Sh. Machavariani and D. J. Bergman, J. Phys. A : Math. Gen., 33, 6669 (2000)
 - [3] I. M. Khalatnikov and A. K. Kamenshchik, JETP 91, 1261 (2000)
 - [4] D. A. G. Bruggeman, Ann. Physik (Leipzig) 24, 636, (1935); R. Landauer, in "Electrical Transport and Optical Properties of Inhomogeneous Media", Eds. J. C. Garland and D. B. Tanner, AIP Conf. Proceed. No. 40, 2, (1978)
 - [5] C. Hermann, Zs. Kristallogr. 89, 32 (1934)