M eron-C luster Approach to System s of Strongly Correlated E lectrons

S.Chandrasekharan^a, J.Cox^b, J.C.Osborn^a and U.-J.W iese^{byc}

 ^a D epartm ent of P hysics, B ox 90305, D uke U niversity, D urham, N orth C arolina 27708
 ^b C enter for T heoretical P hysics, Laboratory for N uclear Science and D epartm ent of P hysics, M assachusetts Institute of Technology, C am bridge, M assachusetts 02139
 ^c Institute for T heoretical P hysics, B em U niversity, C H -3012, Sw itzerland

April 14, 2024

Abstract

Numerical simulations of strongly correlated electron systems su er from the notorious ferm ion sign problem which has prevented progress in understanding if systems like the Hubbard model display high-tem perature superconductivity. Here we show how the ferm ion sign problem can be solved completely with meron-cluster methods in a large class of models of strongly correlated electron systems, some of which are in the extended Hubbard model fam ily and show s-wave superconductivity. In these models we also not that on-site repulsion can even coexist with a weak chem ical potential without introducing sign problems. We argue that since these models can be simulated e ciently using cluster algorithms they are ideal for studying many of the interesting phenomena in strongly correlated electron systems.

Current address University of Utah, Salt Lake City, USA

1 Introduction

Strongly correlated electrons are am ong the most interesting condensed matter system s. In particular, the doping of anti-ferrom agnetic cuprate layers leads to hightem perature superconductivity. Understanding the dynam ics of strongly correlated electron system s is very challenging because perturbative analytic techniques fail for system s ofm any strongly coupled degrees of freedom . On the other hand, num erical simulation methods are applicable in these cases. Unfortunately, numerical simulations of models involving ferm ions are far from trivial. A standard technique is to linearize four-ferm ion interactions by the introduction of bosonic elds [1]. Then the ferm ions are integrated out, leaving behind a ferm ion determ inant which acts as a non-local e ective action for the bosonic elds. In some cases, for example, in undoped cuprate layers at half-lling, the ferm ion determ inant is positive and can be interpreted as a probability for the bosonic eld con gurations. Then standard in portance sampling techniques can be applied to the bosonic theory. Still, due to the non-locality of the e ective action, such simulations are very time consum ing. In other cases of physical interest in particular for doped cuprates away from half-lling | the ferm ion determ inant may become negative and can hence not be interpreted as a probability for the bosonic con qurations. When the sign of the ferm ion determ inant is included in measured observables, the uctuations in the sign give rise to dram atic cancellations. As a consequence, the relative statistical errors of observables grow exponentially with the volume and the inverse tem perature of the system. This makes it in possible in practice to simulate large system s in the low-temperature limit. In particular, the ferm ion sign problem prevents num erical simulations of the Hubbard model away from half-lling and is the m a pr stum bling block against understanding high-tem perature superconductivity with numerical methods.

Dealing with the ferm ion sign problem after the ferm ions have been integrated out is incredibly complicated since the resulting bosonic elective action is non-local. Before integrating them out, ferm ions are usually described by anti-commuting G rassm ann variables which are practically in possible to simulate directly. Here we propose a di erent strategy. Instead of working with G rassm ann coherent states, we form ulate the ferm ionic path integral in the Fock state basis of the Hilbert space. Then a ferm ion con guration is described in terms of bosonic occupation numbers which de ne ferm ion world-lines. In this case, the bosonic occupation numbers interact locally. However, besides the positive bosonic Boltzm ann weight, there is a ferm ion permutation sign that results from the Pauli principle. Two ferm ions that interchange their positions during the Euclidean tim e-evolution give rise to a m inussign. In general, the ferm ion world-lines which are periodic in Euclidean time de ne a permutation of ferm ion positions. The sign of this permutation is the ferm ion sign. The resulting sign problem is often more severe than the one associated with the ferm ion determ inant. For example, in the world-line form ulation a sign problem arises for the Hubbard model even at half-lling, while the ferm ion determ inant is

positive in that case.

Fortunately, in the Fock state basis the sign problem can be completely elim innated, at least in a large (but still restricted) class of models. This was instidem onstrated for a system of spinless lattice fermions in [2, 3] by rewriting the partition function in terms of the statistical mechanics of closed boops with non-negative Boltzmann weights. Loops with a certain topology, referred to as merons, do not contribute to the partition function. A local algorithm was constructed in the loop space which avoided meron-clusters and thus solved the sign problem. It is well known that spinless fermions can be converted to relativistic staggered fermions by introducing carefully chosen phase factors with every fermion hop [4]. Using this strategy, the solution to the fermion sign problem with spinless fermions has been exploited to extensively study the critical behavior of a second order chiral phase transition in the universality class of the Ising model [5, 6, 7].

Here we extend these successful techniques to ferm ions with spin and, in particular, to system s in the Hubbard model fam ily. U sing a speci c example, we show how one can build models so that the sign problem is completely solved. For technical reasons related to the details of the cancellation of signs, the method does not apply to the standard Hubbard model Ham iltonian. Additional term s must be included. Still, the modi cations do not a ect the symmetries of the problem and we believe that the physics of some of the models discussed here is qualitatively sim ilar to the standard Hubbard model. It should be pointed out that there is no reason to concentrate on the standard Hubbard model except for the simple form of its H am iltonian. The fact that for similar H am iltonians our method can completely elim inate the sign problem and yield a very e cient ferm ion algorithm which is not the case for the standard Hubbard model is reason enough to replace the standard Hubbard model by a modi ed Ham iltonian. A fter all, we want to focus on understanding the general physical phenom ena underlying high tem perature superconductivity not necessarily on the details of one particular model H am iltonian. Interestingly, in the modi ed model the sign problem can be elim inated even in the presence of an on-site repulsion at a nite chemical potential as long as a certain inequality is satis ed.

1.1 The Ferm ion Sign Problem

Let us rst discuss the nature of the ferm ion sign problem . We consider a ferm ionic path integral $$_{\rm v}$$

$$Z_{f} = \sum_{[n]}^{X} Sign[n] exp(S[n])$$
(1.1)

over con gurations of occupation numbers $n \in \mathbb{R}$ with a Boltzm ann weight whose m = n itude is exp(S[n]) and sign is Sign[n] = 1. Here S[n] is the action of a corre-

sponding bosonic model with partition function

$$Z_{b} = \exp(S[n]):$$
 (1.2)

A ferm ionic observable O [n] is obtained in a simulation of the bosonic ensemble as

$$hO i_{f} = \frac{1}{Z_{f}} \sum_{[n]}^{X} O [n]Sign[n]exp(S[n]) = \frac{hO Signi}{hSigni}$$
(1.3)

The average sign in the simulated bosonic ensemble is given by

$$hSigni = \frac{1}{Z_{b}} X_{[n]} Sign[n]exp(S[n]) = \frac{Z_{f}}{Z_{b}} = exp(V f):$$
(1.4)

The expectation value of the sign is exponentially small in both the volum eV and the inverse temperature because the di erence between the free energy densities $f = f_f f_b$ of the ferm ionic and bosonic systems is always positive. Hence, the ferm ionic expectation value hO i_f | although of order one | is measured as the ratio of two exponentially sm all signals which are very hard to extract from the statistical noise. This is the origin of the sign problem. We can estimate the statistical error of the average sign in an ideal simulation of the bosonic ensemble which generates N completely uncorrelated con gurations as

$$\frac{s_{\text{ign}}}{hS_{\text{ign}i}} = \frac{\frac{q}{P_{\text{N}}} \frac{1}{P_{\text{N}}} \frac{hS_{\text{ign}i}^{2}}{P_{\text{N}}} = \frac{\exp\left(V_{\text{f}}\right)}{P_{\text{N}}}; \quad (1.5)$$

The last equality results from taking the large V limit and using $\text{Sign}^2 = 1$. In order to determ ine the average sign with su cient accuracy one needs on the order of N = exp(2 V f) m easurem ents. For large volum es and sm all tem peratures this is in possible in practice.

In the meron-cluster approach one solves the sign problem in two steps. In the rst step one analytically re-writes the partition function using new variables such that it is possible to exactly cancel all negative weight con gurations with con gurations of positive weights. This group of con gurations then does not contribute to the partition function. The remaining con gurations are guaranteed to be positive. Thus, in the new variables, one e ectively obtains Boltzm ann weights with Sign = 0;1. At this stage, despite the fact that all negative signs have been elim - inated, only one half of the sign problem has been solved since an algorithm that naively generates con gurations. Thus, it is is portant to introduce a second step in which one avoids con gurations that have been canceled analytically. In practice it is often useful to allow these zero-weight con gurations to occur in a controlled manner since these con gurations may contribute to observables. In a numerical algorithm a local Metropolis decision ensures that contributions of 0 and 1 occur with sin ilar probabilities. This solves the sign problem completely.

1.2 The M eron-C luster M ethod

The central idea behind the meron-cluster method is to express the partition function, which is originally written as a sum over weights of ferm ion occupation number con gurations, as a sum over weights of con gurations which contain both ferm ions and new bond variables. M athematically this means

$$Z_{f} = \sum_{[n]}^{X} Sign[n]exp(S[n]) = \sum_{[n,b]}^{X} Sign[n;b]exp(S[n;b])$$
(1.6)

where the bond variables b carry information about whether two lattice sites are connected or not. A cluster is de ned as a set of connected lattice sites whose

ip exchanges occupied and empty sites, i.e., the occupied sites on that cluster are emptied and the originally empty sites now become occupied. This step of rewriting the partition function is well known and has been used in earlier attempts of constructing ferm ion cluster algorithms as discussed in [8] and [9]. The recent progress results from the observation that the sign problem contained in a partition function of the form (1.6) is completely solvable if the magnitude W [n;b] and the sign Sign [n;b] of the Boltzm ann weight satisfy three requirements:

- 1. The magnitude of the Boltzmann weight exp(S[n;b]) does not change when any cluster is ipped.
- 2. The e ect of a cluster-ip on the sign of the Boltzmann weight Sign [n;b] is independent of the orientation of all other clusters.
- 3. Starting from any con guration [n;b], it must be possible to ip clusters and reach a reference con guration [n_{ref};b], which is guaranteed to have a positive Boltzm ann weight.

In addition, a formula for the change in the sign of a conguration due to a clusterip has been derived recently [10]. Using this formula and other tricks it is usually possible to satisfy the set two properties for any given model. Restrictions in the class of solvable models arise due to the inability to satisfy the third property listed above, i.e., the existence of a reference conguration. However, we have been able to construct useful reference congurations for a variety of models.

The essential consequence of the three basic properties necessary for the m eroncluster approach to work is that clusters can be characterized by their e ect on the sign. Clusters whose ip changes the sign are referred to as m erons. Clearly a con guration with a m eron-cluster contributes zero to the partition function after a partial re-sum m ation over cluster- ips is perform ed¹. A llcon gurations without any m erons contribute positively to the partition function. Thus the partition function can be

¹This partial re-sum mation over cluster- ips is often referred to as an improved estimator.

rewritten in term s of positive sem ide nite Boltzm ann weights, i.e., Sign = 0;1. In order to avoid the zero-weight con gurations, a re-weighting method that elim inates con gurations containing multiple meron-clusters is included. In order to perform this re-weighting, all observables must also be measured using improved estimators. Fortunately, this is possible for most quantities of physical interest. U sually, physically interesting observables receive non-zero contributions also from con gurations containing m erons. For example, vacuum condensates receive contributions from the one-meron sector whereas observables derived from certain two-point correlation functions receive contributions from zero- and two-meron con qurations only. Four-point correlation functions also receive non-zero contributions from four-m eron con gurations. Fortunately, one is usually not interested in multi-point correlation functions and for most purposes one can completely eliminate the con gurations with more than two merons. This is exactly what happens in the re-weighting step of the meron-cluster algorithm. In this paper we do not discuss the re-weighting step although this is an essential part of the complete solution of the sign problem. W e assume that once all negative signs are eliminated, one can design a re-weighting step that does not generate exponentially long auto-correlation times.

A lthough the three requirements for solving the sign problem with the meroncluster method are somewhat restrictive, a large class of H am iltonians for strongly interacting fermions is consistent with them. For example, in the case of spinless fermions it is necessary to include a certain amount of nearest-neighbor fermion repulsion [2] or a large chemical potential [3] depending on the hopping term. A swe will see, similar restrictions arise for fermions with spin. In particular, the standard H ubbard model H am iltonian cannot be treated with our method, while slightly modi ed models in the H ubbard model family can. In this paper we construct a large class of SU (2) spin symmetric H am iltonians for spin one-half fermions with nearest-neighbor interactions to which the meron-cluster method can be applied. The numerical results for one such model related to swave superconductivity have been discussed elsewhere [11].

The meron-cluster idea was st developed for a bosonic model with a complex action the 2-d 0 (3) model at non-zero vacuum -angle [12]. The term meron was introduced rst to denote half-instantons. Indeed the meron-clusters in the 2-d 0 (3) model are half-instantons [12]. The instanton number is the integer-valued topological charge Q 2 \mathbb{Z} , which gives rise to a complex phase exp(i Q) that contributes to the Boltzmann factor. At = the phase factor reduces to a sign exp (i Q) = $(1)^{Q}$, which distinguishes between sectors with even and odd topological charges. In the 2-d 0 (3) m odel the m eron-clusters carry half-integer topological charges. W hen such a cluster is ipped, its topological charge changes sign and hence the total topological charge of the con guration changes by an odd integer. Thus, the phase factor $(1)^{Q}$ changes sign when a meron-cluster is ipped. Sim – ilarly, a meron-ip in the ferm ion cluster algorithm changes the sign of a ferm ion world-line con quration. Interestingly a \mathbb{Z} (2) topological number can be assigned

to each ferm ionic con guration. Any con guration with Sign = 1 is topologically trivial because it represents an even permutation of ferm ions. A con quration with Sign = 1 is topologically distinct, because an odd permutation cannot be changed into an even one by a continuous deform ation of ferm ion world-lines. In this sense, 1 are instantons. Flipping any meron changes the con qurations with Sign = ferm ion sign and hence unwinds the instanton. In two spatial dimensions one can extend the analogy to the 2-d 0 (3) model even further. Then particles can exist with any statistics, characterized by a parameter that varies between = 0 for bosons and = for ferm ions. For anyons the ferm ion sign is replaced by a complex phase factor exp (i H), where H 2 Z is the integer-valued Hopf number. In that case the m erons are half-H opf-instantons.

The m erons are not just of algorithm ic interest. In fact, they are physical objects that allow us to understand the topology of the ferm ion world-lines and hence the origin of the ferm ion sign. The m erons e ectively bosonize a ferm ionic theory. W hen a ferm ionic m odel is form ulated in term s of bosonic occupation num bers which interact locally, the ferm ion sign still induces non-local topological interactions. Hence, the resulting theory is not really bosonized. The m erons, how ever, decom pose the global topology of the ferm ion world-lines into m anageable contributions that are local on the scale of the correlation length. This allows us to simulate ferm ions alm ost as e ciently as bosons.

1.3 Organization of the Paper

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the essential ideas behind the meroncluster approach are illustrated for the simple case of spinless fermions. We discuss how the three properties necessary for the complete solution to the sign problem, discussed in section 1.2, place restrictions on the class of models that are solvable with the meron-cluster algorithm. In section 3 we build a class of models of fermions with spin which are solvable using meron-cluster methods. We also discuss improved estimators for some physical quantities useful for the study of superconductivity that receive contributions from zero- and two-meron con gurations and present some data from a recent numerical simulation. We also show how one can extend these models by adding new term sto the Ham iltonian that appear to violate the properties necessary for the meron-cluster method to work but do not introduce sign problem s. In particular, we show how a repulsive Hubbard model becomes solvable in the presence of a chemical potential. Section 4 contains a discussion of the e ciency of meron-cluster algorithm s. Finally, in section 5 we end with some conclusions.

2 Meron-Cluster Solution for Spinless Fermions

Let us illustrate the essential steps that yield solutions to sign problems in the meron-cluster approach using the example of spinless ferm ions. This is essentially a combination of results rst discussed in [2, 3] and [10]. This will serve as a preparation for the main subject of this paper | namely ferm ions with spin.

2.1 Ferm ion Path Integral in Fock State Basis

W e consider ferm ions hopping on a d-dimensional cubic lattice of volume $V = L^d$ sites with periodic or anti-periodic spatial boundary conditions. The ferm ions are described by creation and annihilation operators c_x^V and c_x with standard anti-commutation relations

$$fc_{x}^{y};c_{y}^{y}g = 0; fc_{x};c_{y}g = 0; fc_{x}^{y};c_{y}g = x_{y}:$$
(2.1)

Following Jordan and W igner [13] we represent these operators by Paulim atrices

$$c_{x}^{y} = {}^{3}_{1} {}^{3}_{2} ::: {}^{3}_{1} {}^{+}_{1} {}^{+}_{1}; c_{x} = {}^{3}_{1} {}^{3}_{2} ::: {}^{3}_{1} {}^{+}_{1} {}^{+}_{1}; n_{x} = {}^{y}_{x} c_{x} = \frac{1}{2} ({}^{3}_{1} {}^{+}_{1} {}^{+}_{1});$$
(2.2)

where

$$_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i & 2 \\ 1 & i & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{bmatrix} i & j \\ 1 & m & 1 \end{bmatrix} = i_{m} \quad ijk \quad 1^{k}:$$
 (2.3)

Here 1 labels the lattice point x. The Jordan-W igner representation requires an ordering of the lattice points. For example, one can label the point $x = (x_1; x_2; ...; x_d)$ by $l = x_1 + x_2L + ... + x_dL^{d-1}$. It can be shown that the physics is completely independent of the ordering. Further, the Jordan-W igner representation works in any dimension.

Instead of considering the most general model that can be solved using the meron-concept, we restrict ourselves to a class of H am iltonians that conserve particle number. From the discussion below it will become clear how to extend the ideas presented here to other H am iltonians while maintaining the ability to solve the associated sign problem. W ith this in mind we focus on a H am ilton operator of the form x

$$H = \sum_{x,i}^{X} h_{x,i}; \qquad (2.4)$$

which is a sum of nearest-neighbor H am iltonians h_{x;i} given by

$$h_{x;i} = \frac{t}{2} (c_x^y c_{x+\hat{i}} + c_{x+\hat{i}}^y c_x) + U (n_x - \frac{1}{2}) (n_{x+\hat{i}} - \frac{1}{2}): \qquad (2.5)$$

which couples the ferm ion operators at the lattice sites x and $x + \hat{i}$, where \hat{i} is a unit-vector in the i-direction. Next we decompose the Ham ilton operator into 2d term s

$$H = H_1 + H_2 + :::+ H_{2d};$$
(2.6)

with

$$H_{i} = \sum_{\substack{x = (x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{d}) \\ x_{i} \text{ even}}}^{X} h_{x;i} H_{i+d} = \sum_{\substack{x = (x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{d}) \\ x_{i} \text{ odd}}}^{X} h_{x;i}$$
(2.7)

N ote that the individual contributions to a given H $_{\rm i}$ commute with each other, but two di erent H $_{\rm i}$ do not commute. U sing the Trotter-Suzuki form ula we express the ferm ionic grand canonical partition function as

$$Z_{f} = \operatorname{Trfexp} [(H N)]g$$

$$= \lim_{M \downarrow 1} \operatorname{Tr} \exp [(H_{1} \frac{1}{2d}N)] \exp [(H_{2} \frac{1}{2d}N)] ::: \exp [(H_{2d} \frac{1}{2d}N)]^{M} :$$
(2.8)

Here N = ${}^{P}_{x} n_{x}$ is the particle number operator and is the chemical potential. We have introduced M Euclidean time-slices with = =M being the lattice spacing in the Euclidean time-direction. We insert complete sets of ferm ion Fock states between the factors exp[($H_{i} = \frac{1}{2d}N$)]. Each site is either empty or occupied, i.e. n_{x} has eigenvalue 0 or 1. In the Jordan-W igner representation this corresponds to eigenstates ju and ju of $\frac{3}{1}$ with $\frac{3}{1}$ ju = ju and $\frac{3}{1}$ ju = ju. The transfer m atrix is a product of factors

$$\exp\left[\begin{array}{cccc} (h_{x;i} & \frac{1}{2d}(n_x + n_{x+3}))\right] = \exp\left[\begin{array}{cccc} \frac{U}{4} + \frac{1}{2d}\right] \\ & 0 & \exp\left[\begin{array}{cccc} \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{1}{2d}\right] & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & \cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & \sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & \cosh\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & 0 & A \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & \exp\left[\begin{array}{cccc} \frac{\mu}{2} & \frac{1}{2d}\right] \end{array}\right]$$

which is a 4 4 matrix in the Fock space basis $\frac{1}{2}$ 01, $\frac{1}{2}$ 01 and $\frac{1}{2}$ 01 of two sites x and x + $\hat{1}$. Here = $\frac{3}{1+1}$ $\frac{3}{1+2}$ $\frac{3}{1+2}$ $\frac{3}{1+2}$ $\frac{3}{1+2}$ is a string of Pauli matrices running over consecutive labels between 1 and m, where 1 is the smaller of the labels for the lattice points x and x + $\hat{1}$ and m is the larger label. Note that is diagonal in the occupation number basis.

The partition function is now expressed as a path integral

$$Z_{f} = \sum_{[n]}^{X} Sign[n]exp(S[n]);$$
 (2.10)

over con gurations of occupation numbers n(x;t) = 0;1 on a (d + 1)-dimensional space-time lattice of points (x;t). The magnitude of the Boltzmann factor,



Figure 1: A typical ferm ion world-line con guration in one spatial dimension with periodic boundary conditions. The product of factors from each plaquette is negative for this con guration since the two ferm ions exchange their positions with each other.

is a product of space-time plaquette contributions with

$$\exp(s[0;0;0;0]) = \exp[\frac{U}{2} + \frac{U}{2d}];$$

$$\exp(s[0;1;0;1]) = \exp(s[1;0;1;0]) = \cosh(\frac{t}{2});$$

$$\exp(s[0;1;1;0]) = \exp(s[1;0;0;1]) = \sinh(\frac{t}{2});$$

$$\exp(s[1;1;1;1]) = \exp[\frac{U}{2} - \frac{U}{2d}];$$
(2.12)

A llthe other Boltzm ann factors are zero, which in plies several constraints on allowed con gurations. Note that we have dropped the trivial overall factor exp $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{U}{4} + \frac{1}{2d} \end{bmatrix}$ in eq.(2.9). The sign of the Boltzm ann factor Sign [n] also is a product of space-time plaquette contributions sign [n (x;t);n (x + 1;t);n (x;t+1);n (x + 1;t+1)] with

$$sign [0;0;0;0] = sign [0;1;0;1] = sign [1;0;1;0] = sign [1;1;1;1] = 1;$$

sign [0;1;1;0] = sign [1;0;0;1] = : (2.13)

It should be noted that the non-local string of Paulim atrices gets contributions from all lattice points with labels between l and m . This would make an evaluation

of the ferm ion sign rather tedious. A loo, it is not a priori obvious that Sign [h] is independent of the arbitrarily chosen order of the lattice points. Fortunately, there is a sim ple way to compute Sign [h] which is directly related to the Pauli principle and which is manifestly order-independent. In fact, Sign [h] has a topological meaning. The occupied lattice sites de ne ferm ion world-lines which are closed around the Euclidean tim e-direction. O fcourse, during their Euclidean tim e-evolution ferm ions can interchange their positions, and the ferm ion world-lines de ne a permutation of particles. The Pauli principle dictates that the ferm ion sign is just the sign of that permutation. W hen one works with anti-periodic spatial boundary conditions, Sign [h] receives an extra m inus-sign for every ferm ion world-line that crosses the boundary. Figure 1 shows a typical con guration in one spatial dimension along with examples of contributions to the Boltzm ann weight arising from local spacetim e plaquettes.

2.2 Observables in Fermionic Fock Space

A variety of observables of physical interest take on a simple form in Fock space. Typically the expectation value of an operator 0 is given by

hO
$$i_f = \frac{1}{Z_f} X_{[n]} O [n] Sign [n] exp (S [n]):$$
 (2.14)

For example, the total particle number relative to half-lling

N [n]
$$\frac{V}{2} = \frac{X}{x}$$
 n (x;t) $\frac{1}{2}$; (2.15)

which is conserved and hence the same in each time-slice, and its disconnected susceptibility *

$$_{\rm N} = \frac{1}{V} N \frac{V}{2} {}_{\rm f}^{2^+}$$
 (2.16)

are both operators that are diagonal in the occupation number basis. O ther quantities of physical interest are the staggered occupation

$$O[n] = \sum_{x,t}^{X} (1)^{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_d} n(x;t) \frac{1}{2}; \qquad (2.17)$$

and the corresponding susceptibility

$$_{0} = \frac{1}{V} h 0^{2} i_{f} h 0 i_{f}^{2} :$$
 (2.18)

W e can also measure the winding number W $_{i}$ [n] around the spatial direction i

$$W_{i}[n] = \prod_{\substack{p=0 \ x=(x_{1},x_{2},\dots,x_{d}) \\ x_{i} \text{ even}; \ t= 2dp+i \ 1}}^{M_{X} \ 1} \frac{1}{L} \prod_{\substack{p=(x_{1},x_{2},\dots,x_{d}) \\ x_{i} \text{ even}; \ t= 2dp+i \ 1}}^{n} (x_{i}t_{i})_{p} (x_{i})_{p} (x_$$

$$\begin{array}{c} [n (x,t) n (x+\hat{i},t) n (x,t+1) n (x+\hat{i},t+1)]; [0;1;1;0] \\ X & 1 \\ x & 1 \\ x_{1} \text{ odd}; t = 2dp + d + i 1 \end{array}$$

$$[n (x,t) n (x+\hat{i},t) n (x,t+1) n (x+\hat{i},t+1)]; [1;0;0;1] \\ n (x,t) n (x+\hat{i},t) n (x,t+1) n (x+\hat{i},t+1)]; [0;1;1;0] \end{array}$$

This counts 1 for a plaquette con guration [1;0;0;1], i.e., for a ferm ion hopping in the positive i-direction, and 1 for [0;1;1;0], i.e., for a ferm ion hopping in the opposite direction. As a result, $W_{i}[n]$ counts how m any ferm ions w rap around the i-direction during their Euclidean time-evolution. Again, one can de ne a corresponding susceptibility

$$W_{i} = hW_{i}^{2}i_{f}$$
: (2.20)

0

W e will use a similar quantity as an order parameter for superconductivity in models of fermions with spin. It measures the response of the system to a twist in the spatial boundary conditions. In the in nite volume limit the system feels the spatial boundary only in a phase with long-range correlations.

Observables like the two-point G reen function de ned by

+

$$G(x;t;y;t^{0}) = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}^{n} \exp[(t t^{0})H]c_{x} \exp[(t t^{0})H]c_{y}^{v} \exp[t^{0}H]}{\operatorname{Tr} f \exp[[H]g}$$
(2.21)

are quite useful to extract the spectral inform ation of H . These expectation values of non-diagonal operators can also be obtained like those of the diagonal operators using

$$G(x;t;y;t^{0}) = \frac{1}{Z_{f}} \sum_{[n^{0}]}^{X} \operatorname{Sign}[n^{0}] \exp(S[n^{0}]): \qquad (2.22)$$

where the con gurations $[n^0]$ that contribute are di erent from the con gurations that contribute to the partition function due to the violation of ferm ion number at the space-time sites (x;t) and $(y;t^0)$. In order to allow for these violations it is convenient to introduce two new time-slices at t and t^0 . The factors exp ($S[n^0]$) and Sign $[n^0]$ can be calculated in the same way as before on all the time-slices except on the two slices where ferm ionic creation and annihilation operators are introduced. At those two slices the ferm ion occupation number changes appropriately and the Jordan-W igner representation can be used to gure out the extra sign factor coming from the string of $_3$ associated with ferm ionic creation and annihilation operators. Interestingly, as discussed in [14], once the path integral is re-written in term s of cluster variables, it is straightforward to keep track of these new con gurations along with the con gurations that contribute to the path integral and hence such observables can also be measured.

2.3 Cluster Decomposition of the Partition Function

Up to now we have derived a path integral representation for the ferm ion system in terms of bosonic occupation numbers and a ferm ion sign factor that encodes Ferm i statistics. The system without the sign factor is bosonic and is characterized by the positive Boltzmann factor exp (S[n]). Here the bosonic model turns out to be a quantum spin system with the Ham iltonian

$$H = \sum_{x;i}^{X} [t(S_x^{1}S_{x+\hat{1}}^{1} + S_x^{2}S_{x+\hat{1}}^{2}) + US_x^{3}S_{x+\hat{1}}^{3}] \qquad X S_x^{3}; \qquad (2.23)$$

where $S_x^i = \frac{1}{2} \frac{i}{1}$ is a spin 1=2 operator associated with the lattice site x that was labeled by 1. The case U = t corresponds to the isotropic anti-ferrom agnetic quantum H eisenberg m odel, while U = 0 represents the quantum XY-m odel. The chem ical potential plays the role of an external m agnetic eld.

It is wellknown that the partition function for the above quantum spin m odel can be re-written in terms of cluster variables. This cluster representation is at the heart of the the loop-cluster algorithm [15, 16] that is used to simulate the quantum spin m odel very e ciently. Interestingly, the meron-cluster algorithm for the ferm ionic m odel is also based on a similar cluster decomposition of the partition function. The essential di erences come from the ferm ion sign factor and are encoded in the topology of the clusters. Once the connection between the ferm ion permutation sign and the topology of the clusters is understood, the cluster algorithm for the quantum spin system can be modiled easily to deal with the ferm ionic model.

Let us rst discuss the essential steps in the cluster decom position of the partition function of the quantum spin model. The main idea is to take a conguration of quantum spins and re-write the weight as a sum over weights of congurations with spins and bonds, such that the magnitude of the weight of the conguration does not change when the set of spins connected by bonds are ipped. For the problem at hand this decomposition can be accomplished at every plaquette. For example, the Boltzm ann weights of each plaquette given in eq.(2.12) can be written as

$$\exp \left(\begin{array}{c} s[n(x;t);n(x + \hat{i};t);n(x;t+1);n(x + \hat{i};t+1)] \right) = \\ x \\ exp \\ s[n(x;t);n(x + \hat{i};t);n(x;t+1);n(x + \hat{i};t+1);b] ; (2.24) \\ b = A, B, C, D \end{array}$$

where b = A; B; C; D represent four types of bond break-ups. The Boltzmann weights exp (s[n;b]) can be arbitrary as long as they satisfy eq.(2.24). Here we choose

$$\exp(s[0;0;0;0;A]) = \exp(s[1;0;1;0;A]) = \exp(s[0;1;0;1;A]) = \exp(s[1;1;1;A]) = W_A = \frac{1}{2} \exp(\frac{U}{2} + \frac{U}{2d} + \exp(\frac{t}{2};1;1;1;A]) = W_A = \frac{1}{2} \exp(\frac{U}{2} + \frac{U}{2d} + \exp(\frac{t}{2};1;1;0;B]) = \exp(s[0;0;0;0;B]) = \exp(s[1;0;0;1;B]) = \exp(s[0;1;1;0;B]) = \exp(s[0;1;0;1;B]) = \exp(s[0;1;1;0;B]) = \exp(s[0;1;1;0;B])$$

$$\exp(s[1;1;1;1;B]) = W_{B} = \exp(\frac{U}{2} \sinh(\frac{1}{2d});)$$

$$\exp(s[1;0;0;0;C]) = \exp(s[1;0;0;1;C]) = \exp(s[0;1;1;0;C]) = \exp(s[1;1;1;1;C]) = W_{C} = \frac{1}{2} \exp(\frac{U}{2} - \frac{1}{2d}) \exp(\frac{t}{2};)$$

$$\exp(s[1;0;1;0;D]) = \exp(s[0;1;0;1;D]) = \exp(s[0;1;1;0;D]) = \exp(s[1;0;0;1;D]) = \exp(s[1;0;0;1;D]) = \frac{1}{2} \exp(\frac{t}{2}) \exp(\frac{U}{2} + \frac{1}{2d};)$$

$$(2.25)$$

to be the only non-zero weights. The above equations are shown pictorially in qure 2. If we interpret the spin states as ferm ion occupation numbers, clearly W [n;b] satis es the rst property discussed in section 12. We will now assume 0 and t + = dU, so that all the Boltzm ann weights exp(s[n;b]) of that spin and bond con qurations are positive. However, notice that there is an extra negative sign for each plaquette with con guration [1;1;1;1;B]. Such negative signs must be considered separately as a contribution to the sign of a given spin and bond con quration. Explicitly this is given by $(1)^{N_B}$ where N_B is the number of plaquettes of the type [1;1;1;1;B]. Generally, such extra sign factors must be avoided since they can lead to sign problem s. However, since we anyway have to dealwith the non-local ferm ion signs, which we have suppressed until now, we will allow for such extra negative sign factors. As we will see below, these local sign factors can som etim es be helpful in canceling sign factors that arise due to ferm ion permutations.

Based on the decomposition given in eq.(2.24) the original partition function of the ferm ionic model can be written as

$$Z_{f} = \sum_{[n,b]}^{X} Sign[n;b] exp(S[n;b]); \qquad (2.26)$$

a sum over con gurations of occupation numbers n(x;t) = 0;1 and bond variables b = A;B;C;D on each plaquette. The sign Sign [n;b] is the product of the original ferm ion permutation sign due to the occupation numbers and $(1)^{N_B}$. The new con gurations naturally connect neighboring sites through the bonds that live on each individual space-time interaction plaquette as represented pictorially in gure 2. A sequence of connected sites de ness a cluster. Since each bond on a plaquette connects two sites, every cluster is a simple closed loop. Thus we have written the partition function of a ferm ionic model in terms of the statistical mechanics of closed interacting loops with not necessarily positive Boltzm ann weights. A librough we have illustrated this using a particular model, it is straightforward to repeat the above steps for any model. W hen a cluster is ipped, the occupation numbers of all the sites on the cluster are changed from n(x;t) to 1 n(x;t). In other words, a cluster- ip interchanges occupied and empty sites. The weights given in eq.(2.25) guarantee that the magnitude of the weight of a connected when



Figure 2: The pictorial representation of the decomposition of plaquette weights of ferm ion occupation number congurations in terms of weights of bonds and ferm ion occupation numbers.



Figure 3: A typical con guration of ferm ion occupation numbers and bonds in one spatial dimension.

a cluster is ipped. A typical con guration in one spatial dimension is represented schematically in gure 3.

2.4 M eron-C lusters and R eference C on gurations

Let us now consider the e ect of a cluster- ip on the sign factor. In the exam ple considered, the sign of a given con guration of spins and bonds arises from two factors. One is the permutation of ferm ion world-lines which is independent of the bond con guration and the other is due to plaquettes of type [l;1;1;1;B] each of which contributes a negative sign to the Boltzm ann weight. O bviously, a cluster- ip either changes the sign of a con guration or not. In general, the e ect of the ip of a speci c cluster on the ferm ion sign depends on the orientation of the other clusters. For example, a cluster whose ip does not change the sign now, may very well change the sign after other clusters have been ipped. In other words, in general the clusters a ect each other in their e ect on the con guration sign was discovered [10]. The relevant inform ation is encoded by an integer which can be determ ined by starting at an arbitrary point on the loop-cluster and traversing around it in

either direction and ipping the sites as they are encountered. As we go around the loop, we denote the number of horizontal bonds (D -type bonds) encountered while entering an occupied site from an empty site (before they are ipped) by N_h, the number of cross-bonds (B - or C -type bonds) traversed while the other cross-bond on the sam e plaquette connects two occupied sites by N_x, and the tem poralwinding of the cluster by N_w. Then the cluster- ip changes the ferm ion permutation sign if and only if

$$N = N_{h} + N_{w} + N_{x}$$
 (2.27)

is even. For details of how this can be derived we refer the reader to [10]. A similar formula can be derived for the signs associated with the plaquettes of the type [1;1;1;1;B]. In this case, again as we traverse the loop-cluster and ip the sites, if N_{xB} is the number of B-type cross-bonds encountered when the other cross-bond connects two occupied sites, then the cluster- ip changes the sign associated with the plaquettes of the type [1;1;1;1;B] if N_{xB} is odd. U sing this form ula we discover that in the present model the e ect of a cluster- ip on the sign of a con guration in general depends on the orientation of other clusters. In particular, if two clusters cross each other in an odd num ber of C-type cross-bonds then the ip of one cluster has an e ect on whether the other cluster-ip changes the con guration sign or not. Interestingly, once we forbid C -type cluster break-ups, i.e. when $W_{\rm C} = 0$, the clusters have a remarkable property with far reaching consequences: each cluster can be characterized by its e ect on the ferm ion sign independent of the orientation of all the other clusters. We refer to clusters whose ip changes Sign [h;b] as merons, while clusters whose ip leaves Sign [n;b] unchanged are called non-m erons. The ip of a meron-cluster permutes the ferm ions and changes the topology of the ferm ion world-lines. Notice that the B-type cross-bonds are still allowed thanks to the extra negative signs that arise due to signs from [1;1;1;1;B] plaquettes. Thus we have been able to ensure the st two properties discussed in section 12, provided we satisfy the constraints 0, t + = d U and $W_c = 0$ which implies t = U=d.

W hen the cluster- ips a ect the sign factor independently, it is easy to average analytically over all the cluster- ips. Such an average over cluster- ips for a given bond con guration is referred to as an improved estimator in the language of cluster algorithms. We will discuss improved estimators of some observables in the next section. A veraging the sign over cluster- ips, the ferm ionic partition function can be re-written as

$$Z_{f} = \sum_{[n,b]}^{X} \overline{\text{Sign}[b]} \exp(S[n;b]); \qquad (2.28)$$

where

$$\overline{\text{Sign}[b]} = \frac{1}{2^{N_{c}}} X \text{Sign}[n;b]: \qquad (229)$$

Thus Sign[b] = 0 if at least one of the clusters is a meron. In order to solve the sign problem it is important to eliminate con gurations with meron-clusters from the Monte Carlo sampling. Unfortunately, this alone does not guarantee that the

contributions from the zero-m eron sector will always be positive, although it may alleviate the sign problem considerably. With no merons in the con guration it is clear that the sign of a con guration remains unchanged under cluster-ips, but one could still have Sign [n;b] = 1. In order to solve the sign problem completely it is necessary to show that con gurations with no merons are always positive. This takes us to the third property discussed in section 1.2, the need for a reference con guration with a positive weight. Interestingly, there exists a class of models where this is achievable. It is then easy to show that Sign [b] = 1 in the nonmeron sector. This solves the sign problem completely. Thus the ferm ionic partition function is obtained as a sum over weights of con gurations in the zero-meron sector,

$$Z_{f} = \sum_{\substack{k=1\\ k \in \mathbb{N}}}^{X} 2^{N} W [k]; \qquad (2.30)$$

where W [b] is obtained as a product of local weights W $_{\rm A}$, W $_{\rm B}$ and W $_{\rm D}$.

There are at least two types of reference con gurations that arise for spinless ferm ions. For example, in addition to setting $W_c = 0$, if we eliminate the B-type bonds completely by also setting $W_{\rm B} = 0$, the remaining cluster break-ups have a remarkable property. They guarantee that sites inside a cluster obey a pattern of staggered occupation, i.e. either the even sites (with $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_d$ even) within the cluster are all occupied and the odd sites are all empty or vice versa. This quarantees that the clusters can be ipped such that one reaches the totally staggered con quration in which alleven sites at every tim e-slice are occupied and allodd sites are empty. In this half-lled con guration all ferm ions are static, no ferm ions are permuted during the Euclidean time-evolution. Further, since the model has no other sign factors, we know that Sign $[n_{ref};b] = 1$. Since any con guration can be turned into the totally staggered con guration by appropriate cluster-ips, property three is indeed satis ed. The condition W $_{\rm B}$ = 0 and W $_{\rm C}$ = 0 leads to the restriction = 0 and t = U. This leads to an interesting interacting spinless ferm ion m odel. A variant of this model has been studied in [2, 5, 6, 7] where it has been shown that the model can be used to study the spontaneous breaking of a Z (2) symmetry.

The second reference con guration is obtained by setting $W_D = 0$ along with $W_C = 0$. In this case we see that all sites in a given cluster have the same occupation number, i.e., all are either empty or all are occupied. This means that all clusters can be ipped such that one reaches a con guration where all sites are empty. Since there are no ferm ions at all, there is no ferm ion permutation sign in this con guration. Further, there are no negative signs because of plaquettes of the form [1;1;1;1;B], since all sites are empty and [0;0;0;0;B] is positive. Therefore the con gurations with no merons necessarily have a positive sign. The condition $W_C = 0$ and $W_D = 0$ leads to the restriction U = 0 and t = -d with 0. This model leads to free non-relativistic ferm ions and has been discussed in [3].

Figure 4 shows a typical con guration and the associated reference con guration for each of the two classes discussed above. The reference con gurations are closely



Figure 4: Figure (a) shows a typical ferm ion and bond con guration without crossbonds and (b) shows the corresponding reference con guration which has a positive sign. Figures (c) and (d) show sim ilar con gurations for the case without horizontal bonds.

connected with the ground state properties of each model. The staggered reference con guration naturally describes theories with spontaneously broken ground states whereas the totally empty con guration leads to free non-relativistic ferm ions. It is possible to include a limited form of additional interactions without destroying any of the useful properties in these two classes of theories. However, it would be interesting to nd new reference con gurations which can lead to interesting physics. O fcourse, there are a variety of non-translationally invariant reference con gurations which could describe electronic properties of certain crystalline structures. These models m ay be worth exploring. A swe will show below, novel reference con gurations also arise when spin is introduced.

2.5 Building-Blocks of Cluster M odels

The results of the last two sections can be used to synthesize simple rules that help in building models of spinless fermions which automatically satisfy the rst two properties of them eron-cluster approach, i.e. the weight of a conguration of clusters does not change under a cluster-ip and the elect on the sign of a conguration due to a cluster-ip is independent of all other clusters. In the models constructed above we started with four types of bond interactions namely the A-, B-, C- and D-types as shown in the gure 5. Each of these interactions can be associated with an operator acting on the two-site Hilbert space. For example, a plaquette with A-type (vertical) bonds gives the same positive weight to all diagonal elements. Hence A-type bonds are equivalent to the unit matrix. Similarly, the B-, C- and D-type bonds are equivalent to operators tabulated in table 1. The ferm ionic nature of the creation and annihilation operators are encoded in the form ula of eq.(2.27) which is used to gure out the relative sign of congurations when clusters are ipped. Using eq.(2.27) along with the weights of the building-blocks, we found that



Figure 5: Building-blocks of the two-site interactions discussed in the text.

Bond-type	Transfer M atrix
A	1
В	$c_x^y c_y + c_y^y c_x = n_x = n_y + 1$
С	$c_x^y c_y + c_y^y c_x + 2(n_x - 1=2)(n_y - 1=2) + 1=2$
D	$c_x^y c_y + c_y^y c_x = 2 (n_x = 1=2) (n_y = 1=2) + 1=2$

Table 1: The two-site building-blocks of gure 5 and their transfer matrix contributions.

type C bonds are forbidden as they violate the required property that cluster- ips should have an independent e ect on the sign. Thus the A-, B- and D-type bonds provide the basic building-blocks for constructing H am iltonians which satisfy the two necessary requirements of the meron-cluster approach. We only need to ensure the existence of a reference con guration. This restriction then forbids models which simultaneously allow B- and D-type bonds. Thus, we not two types of solvable models discussed above. It is easy to construct the H am iltonian of these models using the corresponding building blocks. For example, them odel with A- and B-type bonds leads to the two-site transferm atrix $T_{xy} = W_A + W_B [c_x^y c_y + c_y^y c_x - n_x - n_y + 1]$,

which in the limit ! 0 yields $T_{xy} = 1 + [\xi c_y + c_y^y c_x n_x n_y + 1]$. Since all two-site interactions contribute equally to the full H am iltonian, $H = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$

$$h_{xy} = (c_x^y c_y + c_y^y c_x) + n_x + n_y$$
(2.31)

up to additive and multiplicative constants. Sim ilarly the model obtained with A - and D -type bonds leads to

$$h_{xy} = (c_x^y c_y + c_y^y c_x) + 2 (n_x - 1=2) (n_y - 1=2):$$
 (2.32)

Thus, one can construct the H am ilton operator once the building-blocks are chosen in terms of the bond break-ups on an elementary plaquette. Later, we will use this procedure to construct models of fermions with spin. In eq. (2.31) and eq. (2.32) we have chosen to normalize the hopping term and set t = 1. In the remainder of this article we will continue to adopt this normalization for convinence.

2.6 Improved Estimators

Based on the previous discussion it is clear that the partition function can be written entirely in terms of cluster variables as

$$Z_{f} = \sum_{[b];zero m eron}^{X} 2^{N_{c}} W [b]$$
(2.33)

where N_c is the total number of clusters and the sum is over cluster congurations without meron-clusters. Similarly, it is possible to nd expressions for observables in the language of clusters. For example, consider the deviation of the average occupation number of a conguration from half-lling which is dened as

$$n = n \qquad \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{x}^{X} n_{x} \qquad \frac{1}{2}$$
 : (2.34)

C learly it must be possible to sum over x belonging to a particular cluster C 2 [b] rst and then sum over all possible clusters. If we de ne

$$_{\rm C} = \sum_{\rm x2C}^{\rm X} (n_{\rm x} \ 1=2);$$
 (2.35)

it is easy to see that $n = (1=V)^{P}_{c}$. Performing a partial average over ips of fermionic occupation numbers belonging to various clusters one nds that this average is non-zero only in the one-meron sector and in this sector

$$h \operatorname{ni}_{cluster ips} = \frac{1}{V} C_{m eron}$$
 (2.36)

where $C_{m eron}$ is to be calculated for the meron-cluster which is ipped into the reference con guration. Thus the complete answer turns out to be

hni=
$$\frac{1}{Z_{f}} X \xrightarrow{C_{m eron}} 2^{N_{c}} W$$
 [b]: (2.37)

In the case of spinless ferm ion m odels discussed above, it is easy to see that $_{\rm C}$ is equal to the tem poral winding of the cluster C up to a factor of 2. For the m odel described by the H am iltonian of eq.(2.32), h ni = 0 since there is no contribution from the single-m eron sector to the partition function. Sim ilar improved estimators for the chiral condensate [6] and susceptibility [5] can be constructed. In section 3.3 we will consider improved estimators for the susceptibilities relevant for the study of superconductivity.

3 Models of Fermions with Spin

There are m any ways to construct m odels of ferm ions with spin such that the three properties necessary for the m eron-cluster m ethod to work, as discussed in section 12, are satis ed. The m ost general approach is to start from the H am ilton operator of interest and proceed with the same steps as discussed in the earlier section. If the H am ilton operator is a sum of only two-ferm ion interactions, then nothing qualitatively new emerges since it is possible to consider the spin degree of freedom as two \spatial" layers representing up and down spins. A llon-site interactions that can occur between the two spin layers can be introduced on a separate time-slice. However, it is now possible to nd new reference con gurations which are physically m eaningful and new m odels can be constructed using them.

On the other hand, it is natural to allow four-\site" interactions which connect nearest spatial neighbors and the two spin layers. In this case new situations not considered in the previous sections can arise. As an example, consider the transfer matrix element given in gure 6. A though such four-site interactions have not been considered earlier, they can be thought of as two correlated two-site interactions. For example, the shown interaction can arise either due to a \correlated" ferm ion hop which conserves spin or a \correlated" spin- ip. These two alternatives are shown on the right-hand side in the same gure. If we assume that these new interactions arise from such correlated two-site interactions, then it is possible to use the technology developed for spinless ferm ions. Here we will take this approach and consider m odels with four-site interactions.

Instead of trying to nd the most general solvable model, we again restrict ourselves to a class of models that can be built with simple correlated two-site interactions. In the next section we will enum erate some of the simplest correlated two-site interactions and in the following section we will build one of the simplest solvable models which has the symmetries of the Hubbard model.



Figure 6: Con gurations with four-site interactions can arise as correlated twosite interactions in di erent ways. The two possible interactions result in di erent ferm ion permutation signs.





3.1 Building-Blocks of a Cluster M odel

Let us not discuss the simplest building-blocks for constructing models of fermions with spin that can be solved using meron-cluster techniques. In the case of spinless fermions, these building-blocks were discussed in section 2.5. There it was shown how these elementary blocks satisfy two of the necessary properties and how one can build models with a reference con guration that ensures that all the criteria of solvability are met. We also discussed how it is possible to nd the Ham ilton operator for a model that is solvable using the meron-cluster approach. In the case of fermions with spin the various bond break-ups (E;F;G;H;I;J) which conserve spin are shown pictorially in gure 7. In table 2 the transferm atrix elements associated with each of the building-blocks is tabulated. Using the building-blocks it is possible to nd models which satisfy all the three required properties of solvability discussed in section 12. As an illustration we construct a Hubbard-type model below.

Bond-type	Transfer M atrix
E	$[c_{x^{\#}} c_{y^{\#}} + c_{y^{\#}} c_{x^{\#}} n_{x^{\#}} n_{y^{\#}} + 1]$
	$[c_{x}"^{y}c_{y}" + c_{y}"^{y}c_{x}" n_{x}" n_{y}" + 1]$
F	$C_{x\#}^{Y}C_{y\#} + C_{y\#}^{Y}C_{x\#} = n_{x\#} = n_{y\#} + 1$
G	$c_{x}"^{y}c_{y}" + c_{y}"^{y}c_{x}" n_{x}" n_{y}" + 1$
Н	$[c_{x\#}^{Y}C_{y\#} + c_{y\#}^{Y}C_{x\#} 2(n_{x\#} 1=2)(n_{y\#} 1=2) + 1=2]$
	$[c_x "^y c_y" + c_y"^y c_x" 2 (n_x" 1=2) (n_y" 1=2) + 1=2]$
I	$c_{x^{\#}} c_{y^{\#}} + c_{y^{\#}} c_{x^{\#}} = 2 (n_{x^{\#}} = 1=2) (n_{y^{\#}} = 1=2) + 1=2$
J	$c_x r_y c_y r_y + c_y r_y c_x = 2 (n_x - 1=2) (n_y - 1=2) + 1=2$

Table 2: The operators associated with the building-blocks of spin conserving H am iltonians shown in gure 7.

3.2 A Hubbard-Type M odel

The H ubbard m odel is one of the sim plest m odels used to describe superconductivity. In particular, it is believed that the 2-dimensional repulsive H ubbard m odel m ay describe the physics of high- T_c cuprate superconductors [17]. The H am ilton operator of the H ubbard m odel is given by

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X & n & & & o \\ & C_{xs}^{y}C_{ys} + C_{ys}^{y}C_{xs} \\ & < xy > ; s = "; # \\ & + & U & n_{x"} & \frac{1}{2} & n_{x\#} & \frac{1}{2} \\ & & x & & x \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.1)

This model has a global SU (2) spin symmetry whose generators are given by

$$S^{+} = \sum_{x}^{X} c_{x}^{y} c_{x\#}; \quad S = \sum_{x}^{X} c_{x\#}^{y} c_{x"}; \quad S_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x}^{X} (n_{x"} - n_{x\#})$$
(3.2)

and a global SU (2) pseudo-spin symmetry at = 0 whose generators in two dimensions are given by

$$J^{+} = \sum_{x}^{X} (1)^{x_{1}+x_{2}} c_{x}^{y} c_{x\#}^{y}; \quad J = \sum_{x}^{X} (1)^{x_{1}+x_{2}} c_{x\#} c_{x"}; \quad J_{3} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x}^{X} (n_{x"} + n_{x\#} - 1)$$
(3.3)

The chem ical potential breaks the pseudo-spin symmetry to a U (1) ferm ion number symmetry. Superconductivity is a result of the spontaneous breaking of this U (1) symmetry. In two dimensions, due to the Mermin-Wagner theorem, it is believed that this symmetry breaking must follow the Kosterlitz-Thouless predictions [18]. For U < 0 the theory is expected to show swave superconductivity at low temperatures [19, 20]. Then the model can be form ulated such that there is no sign problem in traditional quantum M onte C arb algorithms. However, due to the di culty of these conventional ferm ion algorithms the determ ination of the critical temperature



Figure 8: A typical reference con guration for the Hubbard-type model described in the text.

is still controversial [21]. The repulsive model with U > 0, on the other hand, is more interesting but su ers from a sign problem away from half-lling [1].

Variants of the Hubbard model are equally interesting. For example, the t-J model has also been extensively studied. Here we refer to such models as Hubbard-type models. Let us now construct one such model which is solvable using meroncluster techniques. The model is based on the four-site transfer matrix elements E and H shown in gure 7. In this model the clusters are identical in the spin-up and the spin-down layers and any con guration of clusters can be ipped into a con guration which is identical in the two layers. Such a con guration always has a positive sign and can hence play the role of a reference con guration. A typical reference con guration is shown in gure 8. Interestingly, unlike in the spinless ferm ion case, the reference con guration is non-static and not unique. This leads to interesting physical consequences. A nother feature of the model is that there are always an even number of meron-clusters: if the cluster in the spin-up layer is a meron then so is the cluster in the spin-down layer.

U sing the operators of table 2 the nearest-neighbor H am ilton operator for the model turns out to be

$$h_{xy} = [c_{x\#}{}^{y}c_{y\#} + c_{y\#}{}^{y}c_{x\#} 2(n_{x\#} 1=2)(n_{y\#} 1=2) + 1=2]$$

$$[c_{x\#}{}^{y}c_{y\#} + c_{y\#}{}^{y}c_{x\#} 2(n_{x\#} 1=2)(n_{y\#} 1=2) + 1=2]$$

$$[c_{x\#}{}^{y}c_{y\#} + c_{y\#}{}^{y}c_{x\#} n_{x\#} n_{y\#} + 1]$$

$$[c_{x\#}{}^{y}c_{y\#} + c_{y\#}{}^{y}c_{x\#} n_{x\#} n_{y\#} + 1]: (3.4)$$

which leads to the H am ilton operator H = P_{xy} h_{xy}. The operator given in eq.(3.4) can be simplified to [22]

$$h_{xy} = (c_{xs}{}^{y}c_{ys} + c_{ys}{}^{y}c_{xs}) (n_{xy} \quad 1) (n_{xy} \quad 3) + 2 (S_{x} \quad S_{y} + J_{x} \quad J_{y})$$

where we have used the de nition $n_{xy} = n_{x"} + n_{x\#} + n_{y"} + n_{y\#}$. The operators S_x and J_x are given by the expressions that depend on the site x inside the sum m ation signs in eq.(3.2) and (3.3).

The symmetries of the Ham ilton operator given in eq.(3.5) can easily be found. It is interesting to note that the model is invariant under the SU (2) spin symmetry and the U (1) ferm ion number symmetry. Further for = 0 the U (1) symmetry enhances to the full SU (2) pseudo-spin symmetry. A lthough unconventional, the physics of thism odel is closely related to that of the usual attractive H ubbard m odel. In particular, it was found recently that this model shows s-wave superconductivity even at half-lling [11]. Later we will see that it is possible to add a chemical potential and an on-site attractive interaction to the above Ham iltonian without introducing sign problem s. Further, when = 0, it is possible to include an on-site repulsion between the ferm ions of opposite spin even in the presence of a chemical potential as long as a certain inequality is satis ed. It is interesting to investigate if this \repulsive" m odel can be a useful candidate for high-T_c superconductivity.

3.3 Improved Estimators

Since the model satis es all the properties of the meron-cluster approach, its partition function can be expressed purely in terms of clusters,

$$Z = \sum_{[b];zero m eron}^{X} 2^{2N c} W [b]; \qquad (3.6)$$

where N_c is the number of clusters on each spin layer. Since the clusters are identical we get an extra factor of two as compared to eq.(2.30). This novel way of re-writing the partition function involves a partial re-summation over cluster-ips and can be extended to other observables as well. We discussed how the deviation of the occupation number from half-lling can be expressed in this new language in section 2.6.

Let us consider observables relevant for s-w ave superconductivity. A n in portant observable is the s-w ave pair susceptibility

$$P_{L} = \frac{1}{VZ} \int_{0}^{Z} dt Tr \exp[(t) + p) \exp[t] (p^{+} + p) (3.7)$$

with $p^+ = {}^P_x c_{x^{\#}}^y c_{x^{\#}}^y$ the pair creation and $p = (p^+)^y$ the pair annihilation operators. An improved estimator for this susceptibility is easy to construct using the results of [14]. In particular, one can show that non-zero contributions to the two-point correlations arise only if the creation and annihilation operators occur on the sam e cluster. Further, in the case of the pair susceptibility it is also important that the clusters these operators reside on have been ipped to be identical. The contribution is then proportional to the sum of all possible creation and annihilation points along the cluster. This just gives a factor of the size of the cluster squared. A veraging over the di erent possible cluster- ips gives for the zero-m eron sector

$$hP_{L}i_{chuster ips;zero m eron} = \frac{1}{N_{t}^{2}V} \sum_{c} \frac{1}{2}C_{J}^{2}; \qquad (3.8)$$

where f j is the size of cluster C. In the two-m eron sector we get

$$hP_{L}i_{cluster ips,twomeron} = \frac{1}{N_{t}^{2}V}\frac{1}{2}f_{meron}f: \qquad (3.9)$$

Note that in the two-m eron sector there are two identical meron-clusters: one in the spin-up and one in the spin-down layer.

A nother observable relevant to superconductivity is the helicity m odulus [23, 24] which is de ned in terms of the winding number as

$$= \frac{T}{8}hW_{x}^{2} + W_{y}^{2}i: \qquad (3.10)$$

Here W_x (W_y) is the total number of ferm ions winding around the spatial boundary in the x- (y-) direction. To measure W_x we need to exam ine each interaction plaquette crossing the boundary in the x-direction. We count +1 for each ferm ion (up or down spin) that hops across the plaquette in the forward direction, 1 for each that hops in reverse and 0 otherwise. This winding for a plaquette can then be easily expressed in terms of the occupation numbers of the sites touching the plaquette. Consider rst just the up spin layer. The winding number on a plaquette that originates from the point x;t and goes in the forward i-direction for one spin layer is

$$W_{x,t;i} = \frac{1}{2} n_{x,t} + n_{x+\hat{i},t+1} n_{x+\hat{i},t} n_{x+\hat{i},t+1}$$
(3.11)

We can also replace each n above with n 1=2 without changing the results to make the values more symmetric. Now every site counts as 1=4 (on a single spin layer) depending on its occupation number and where it is located on the boundary plaquette. Each cluster can then be assigned a spatial winding number which is just the sum of the weights associated with each point on the cluster. F lipping a cluster inverts all the occupation numbers and thus changes the sign of the spatial winding number for that cluster. The total winding number in the x-direction is then a sum over all clusters and both spin layers

$$W_{x} = \int_{c}^{X} W_{x}^{c"} + W_{x}^{c\#} :$$
(3.12)

A fler squaring this we average over all cluster- ips. In the zero-m eron sector one obtains

In the two-m eron sector we get

$$hW_{x}^{2}i_{\text{cluster ips;two meron}} = 2jW_{x}^{C_{\text{meron}}} jW_{x}^{C_{\text{meron}}\#} j = 2(W_{x}^{C_{\text{meron}}})^{2}: \qquad (3.14)$$

A gain, the two-m eron sector consists of one m eron-cluster in the spin-up layer and one m eron-cluster in the spin-down layer both of which are identical.

U sing sim ilar procedures it is also possible to calculate other observables like the spin susceptibility which is important to detect magnetic transitions, the d-wave pair susceptibility which is important for high- T_c superconductivity, and various other two-point correlation functions which are of interest. In principle, it is also possible to calculate higher-point correlation functions. However, these will become increasingly noisy and would require more statistics.

3.4 Kosterlitz-Thouless Transitions

As discussed above, the attractive Hubbard model has been studied extensively as a toy model for swave superconductivity [20, 21]. It is expected that below a critical temperature transportation of ferm ion number through the bulk becomes easy, leading to superconductivity (or more appropriately super uidity since the symmetry is not gauged in the model). In higher dimensions this is related to the spontaneous breaking of the U (1) ferm ion number symmetry. In two dimensions, since this is forbidden due to the M erm in-W agner theorem, superconductivity occurs due to the K osterlitz-T houless (K-T) phenomena [18].

A variety of striking predictions exist for a K-T transition. For example, at temperatures above the superconducting transition temperature T_c , the pairing susceptibility de ned in eq.(3.7) should reach a constant for large enough volumes. As T_c is approached from above this constant should diverge as exp (a= $T_T T_c$). Below T_c one should be in a phase with long-range correlations such that the nite-volume pair susceptibility diverges as

$$P_{L} / L^{2} (T)$$
: (3.15)

The critical exponent equals 1=4 at T_c and continuously changes to zero as the tem perature approaches zero. Unfortunately, none of these have ever been con rm ed using conventional algorithm s even in the attractive H ubbard m odel which does not su er from a sign problem in the conventional form ulation.

W e propose that the model given in eq.(3.5) is useful for studying the qualitative physics of the attractive H ubbard model since it provides a computational advantage. To illustrate this, recently the nite temperature phase transition in the model



Figure 9: Pair susceptibility as a function of L.

with = 1 was studied [11]. In this case, the Ham ilton operator can be re-written as

$$H = \frac{X \quad h X}{(C_{x,s} Y C_{y,s} + C_{y,s} Y C_{x,s})} (1 \quad 3n_{xy} + n_{xy}^{2})$$

$$(x_{y}) \quad s = ",#$$

$$4 \quad n_{x"} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad n_{x\#} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad n_{y"} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad n_{y\#} \quad \frac{1}{2}$$

$$+ \quad 4 \quad [S_{x} \quad S_{y} + \mathcal{J}_{x} \quad \mathcal{J}_{y} \quad \mathcal{J}_{x}^{3} \mathcal{J}_{y}^{3}]$$

$$4 \quad n_{x"} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad n_{x\#} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad (3.16)$$

The spin symmetry and the conservation of ferm ion number is obvious. Further, there is an on-site attraction between electrons of opposite spins like in the attractive Hubbard model. In gure 9 we show results for the pair susceptibility as a function of the spatial size L which demonstrate that the transition in this model indeed belongs to the K-T universality class.

The helicity modulus is also a useful observable to establish the K-T universality class. It can be shown that it satis as the nite size scaling form

$$\frac{1}{T} = 2 + \frac{q}{A} (T) \operatorname{coth}^{q} \overline{A} (T) \log (L=L_0 (T)) ; \qquad (3.17)$$

with A $(T_c) = 0$ [24]. The helicity modulus has also been measured using the im – proved estimator discussed earlier and the results further con m the predictions of K osterlitz and Thouless. M ore details can be found in R ef. [11].

3.5 Inclusion of a Chemical Potential

Until now we have only considered models which can be written in terms of clusters that satisfy the three requirements necessary for the meron-cluster techniques to be applicable. However, in certain cases it is possible to relax these stringent requirements and construct models which still have no sign problem. Let us now demonstrate this by extending the Hubbard-type model discussed in section 3.2. We add a single-site term of the form

$$H^{0} = \sum_{x}^{X} U n_{x''} \frac{1}{2} n_{x\#} \frac{1}{2} + (n_{x''} + n_{x\#} 1) :$$
(3.18)

It is easy to see that U induces an on-site repulsion or attraction like in the Hubbard model and is just a chemical potential. We have already discussed that in the absence of this single-site term, the partition function of the model is given by

$$Z = \sum_{[b];zero m eron}^{X} 2^{2N c} W [b]; \qquad (3.19)$$

where the origin of 2^{2N} can be traced to the four ips of the two identical clusters in the spin-up and the spin-down layer. Further, the reference con guration, obtained when both clusters are ipped identical to each other is guaranteed to be positive. It is straightforward to argue that the above single-site term modiles the factor 2^{2N} to Y II

$$2 \exp \frac{U}{2d} \frac{U}{4} S_c \cosh \frac{U}{2d} c \quad 2 \exp \frac{U}{2d} S_c \quad (3.20)$$

where S_c is the size of the cluster and $_c$ is the number of time-slices times the tem poral winding number of the cluster. The negative sign should be taken for a meron-cluster.

For the sign problem to remain solved it is important that the factor given in eq.(3.20) remains positive. Clearly, this is the case when U $\,$ 0 for any $\,$. Interestingly, since the size of the cluster S_c is always greater than or equal to $_c$, it is possible to show that even when U > 0 and $\,$ U=2 the factor remains positive. This means that we have found a repulsive Hubbard-type model in which it is possible to add a limited chemical potential before the sign problem kicks in. A lihough it is likely that the interesting physics of high-T_c superconductivity is beyond this region, it appears worthwhile to study this novelm odel in this region of parameter space where the sign problem remains solved. It is likely that something interesting can be learned there. Finally, in the U ! 1 limit one recovers the Heisenberg anti-ferrom agnet. Anti-ferrom agnetism is known to be a part of the high-T_c phase diagram.

4 E ciency of the M eron-C luster A lgorithm

Representing the partition function in terms of the statistical mechanics of clusters interacting locally has the obvious advantage that num erical simulations can become e cient. In this section we compare the e ciency of the meron-cluster algorithm with that of conventional ferm ion algorithm s.

The e ciency of a num erical simulation method can be characterized by the computational cost (the computer time) that one must invest in order to reach a given num erical accuracy. O byiously, the cost depends on the d-dim ensional spatial volum $e V = L^d$, where L is the number of lattice points per direction. In addition, one usually discretizes the Euclidean time-direction of extent = M into M timesteps of size , such that the computational cost increases as one approaches the time continuum limit ! 0. Besides these two obvious dependences, typically also depends on the spatial correlation length via a dynamical exponent z that characterizes the severity of critical slowing down. Further, even if is sm all, the correlation length t= in the time-direction becomes large in units of the temporal lattice spacing when one approaches the time continuum limit. Hence, there is another dynam ical critical exponent zt that characterizes the corresponding slow ing down. A ltogether, the total com putational cost is typically given by

$$/ L^{x} (=) [c^{z} + c^{0} (t^{z} +)^{z_{t}}]:$$
(4.1)

Standard local algorithm s for bosonic system s | for example, the M etropolis algorithm | have z; zt 2. This makes it di cult to simulate systems close to a critical point, i.e. for large, or close to the time continuum limit, i.e. for small . Local over-relaxation algorithm s can be ne-tuned to reach z; z 1. E cient non-local cluster algorithms, on the other hand, are far superior because they can reach z;z+ 0. A loorithm s for bosonic system s typically require a computational e ort that increases linearly with the space-time volume, i.e. x = d and y = 1. For simulations of ferm ionic systems this is typically not the case, even when there is no sign problem . U sually, one integrates out the ferm ions and simulates a bosonic theory with the ferm ion determ inant de ning a non-local e ective action. The standard ferm ion algorithm that is most popular in simulations of strongly correlated electron systems [1] computes the determ inant of a large matrix of a size proportional to the spatial volume. This requires a computational e ort proportional to the spatial volume cubed, i.e. x = 3d, even when there is no sign problem, and hence

$$/ L^{3d} (=) [c^{2} + c^{0} (t^{2} + c^{2})]:$$
(4.2)

A modi cation of this algorithm proposed in [25] has x = 2d. It should be noted that ferm ion algorithms that have originally been developed for QCD simulations have also been applied to strongly correlated electron systems without a sign problem. The Hybrid M onte C arb algorithm [26] that was used in [21] has

$$/ L^{5d=4} (=)^{5=4} [c + c_{t}^{0} =];$$
 (4.3)

and the multi-boson algorithm [27] used in [25] has

$$/ L^{d} (=) [c + C_{t}^{0}] =]:$$
 (4.4)

Here we have taken the optim istic point of view that one can, at least in principle, reach $z_i z_t$ 1 by ne-tuning these algorithms. Their computational cost has a much better large volume behavior than the standard algorithm, but they are not necessarily superior on the presently studied moderate size lattices.

W hen there is a sign problem, the computational cost of the above algorithm s increases even exponentially | not just as a power | in the space-time volume. As discussed before,

$$/ \exp(2 V f);$$
 (4.5)

such that form ally x = y = 1. In practice, this means that system s with a severe sign problem can simply not be simulated. The meron-cluster algorithm allows us to dealwith the sign problem extrem ely e ciently. In particular, the computational cost increases only linearly with the space-time volume, such that x = d and y =1. Still, this does not necessarily mean that we can reach z 0 as in cluster simulations of bosonic models. This is due to the re-weighting step in the meroncluster algorithm . In this step the clusters are locally reconnected such that multim eron con gurations are never generated. For example, a non-m eron-cluster m ay be decom posed into two m eron-clusters only if this does not increase the totalm eron num ber beyond two. Deciding if a new ly generated cluster is a meron naively requires a computational e ort proportional to , such that z 1 for the meron-cluster algorithm. However, with recent in provem ents in the implementation we have been able to reduce this e ort to only grow with log(). A nice feature of the meroncluster algorithm is that | like other cluster algorithm s | it can be implemented directly in the Euclidean time continuum [28]. This completely eliminates all time discretization errors and all -factors in the computational cost. The total computer time that is required to reach a given numerical accuracy with the meron-cluster algorithm is hence given by

/
$$L^{d}$$
 [c^z + c⁰(_t = $j^{z_{t}}$]; (4.6)

and it is likely that $z_i z_t = 0$. This is better than standard m ethods even when there is no sign problem, and exponentially better than any alternative m ethod when there is a severe sign problem.

5 D irections for the Future

In this article we have outlined the essential steps that lead to a novel form ulation of ferm ionic lattice theories. The essential idea behind the new approach is to nd a cluster representation of the partition function. We have shown that in this approach new models can be formulated for which the sign problem can be completely eliminated. Further, although the clusters them selves are non-local they interact locally and carry information about two-point correlations. This makes them especially attractive for numerical simulations. Given the diculty in the numerical treatment of fermionic theories, this alternative approach turns out to be very useful.

There are many interesting questions that remain unanswered in the case of strongly correlated electron systems. Among these the physics of competing ground states that may exist in high-T_c materials as the doping concentration is increased is one of the most challenging. The model we have constructed appears to have many interesting features that are relevant for this type of questions. This includes antiferrom agnetism and s-wave superconductivity at the two extremes of the parameter ranges. It would be interesting if this model shows d-wave superconductivity or striped phases in some intermediate range of parameters. Most importantly, the new Hubbard-type Ham ilton operator is well suited for numerical work in a large region of parameter space. It would be interesting to study this model in this range. As a rst step a mean eld analysis may be useful.

The superconductor-insulator transition is another interesting eld of research that the present progress may shed some light on [29]. The attractive Hubbard model with disorder has recently been used to study this type of quantum phase transition [30]. We believe that the Ham ilton operator of eq. (3.5) with disorder is an alternative model for this purpose. This is possible because the sign problem remains solved in the presence of disorder. Further, since the algorithm for the new model is expected to be much more e cient, it may be possible to go to much smaller temperatures.

It would be interesting to nd extensions of the present work to elds like nuclear physics and to nano-science applications like quantum dots. It is easy to construct models with four layers representing spin and isospin which naturally describe the physics of nucleons. It would be useful to construct these explicitly so that we can be sure that the relevant symmetries can be maintained. Extensions of the cluster techniques to models in the continuum is another useful direction. Preliminary work shows that the non-relativistic free fermion model of eq.(2.31) can be extended to the continuum. It would be interesting if this approach can be useful in studying physics of many electrons enclosed within boundaries as in quantum dots.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work is supported in part by funds provided by the U S.D epartm ent of Energy (D Ω E.) under cooperative research agreem ents DE-FC 02-94ER 40818 and DE-FG -

96ER 40945, the National Science Foundation under the agreement DMR-0103003 and the European Community's Human Potential Programme under HPRN-CT-2000-00145 Hadrons/Lattice QCD, BBW Nr. 99.0143.

References

- S.R.W hite, D.J.Scalapino, R.L.Sugar, E.Y.Loh, J.E.Gubernatis and R. T.Scalettar, Phys. Rev. B40 (1989) 506.
- [2] S.Chandrasekharan and U.-J.W iese, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 3116.
- [3] S.Chandrasekharan, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 83-84 (2000) 774.
- [4] L.Susskind, Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 3031.
- [5] S. Chandrasekharan, J. Cox, K. Holland and U.-J. Wiese, Nucl. Phys. B576 (2000) 481.
- [6] S.Chandrasekharan and J.C.Osborn, Phys. Lett. B496 (2000) 122.
- [7] J.Cox and K.Holland, Nucl.Phys. B583 (2000) 331.
- [8] U.-J.W iese, Phys. Lett. B 311 (1993) 235.
- [9] B.Ammon, H.G.Evertz, N.Kawashima, M.Troyer and B.Frischmuth, Phys. Rev. B58 (1998) 4304.
- [10] S.Chandraækharan and J.Osborn, Springer Proc. Phys. 86, 28 (2000).
- [11] S.Chandrasekharan and J.C.Osborn, arX iv cond-m at/0109424.
- [12] W.Bietenholz, A.Pochinsky and U.-J.Wiese, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 4524.
- [13] P. Jordan and E.W igner, Z. Phys. 47 (1928) 631.
- [14] R. Brower, S. Chandrasekharan and U. J. Wiese, Physica A 261 (1998) 520.
- [15] H.G. Evertz, G. Lana and M. Marcu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 875.
- [16] H.G. Evertz, The loop algorithm, in Numerical Methods for Lattice Quantum Many-Body Problems, ed.D.J.Scalapino, Addison-Wesley Longman, Frontiers in Physics.
- [17] See D.J. Scalapino and S.R.W hite, cond-m at/0007515, for a recent review.
- [18] J.M. Kosterlitz and D.J. Thouless, J. Phys. C 6 (1973) 1181; J.M. Kosterlitz, J. Phys. C 7 (1974) 1046.

- [19] R.T. Scalettar et. al, Phys. Rev. Lett 62 (1989) 1407.
- [20] A.M oreo and D.J.Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66 (1991) 946.
- [21] R. Lacaze, A. Morel, B. Petersson and J. Schroeper, Eur. Phys. J. B2 (1998) 509.
- [22] J.C.Osborn, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 94 (2001) 865.
- [23] E.L.Pollock and D.M. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. B36 (1987) 8343;
 H.W eber and P.M innhagen, Phys. Rev. B37 (1988) 5986.
- [24] K.Harada and N.Kawashima, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67 (1998) 2768.
- [25] P. Sawicki, Nucl. Phys. B 515 (1998) 665.
- [26] S. Duane, A. D. Kennedy, B. J. Pendleton and D. Roweth, Phys. Lett. B195 (1987) 216.
- [27] M. Luscher, Nucl. Phys. B418 (1994) 637.
- [28] B.B.Beard and U.-J.W iese, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 5130.
- [29] A.Goldman and N.Markovic, Physics Today, November 1998.
- [30] R.T. Scalettar, N. Trivedi and C. Huscroft, Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) 4364.