

Magnetophoresis of neutral particles in AC electric field.

A. A. Ovchinnikov

Max Planck Institute for Physics of Complex Systems, Dresden.

Joint Institute of Chemical Physics, Moscow.

It has been shown that under joint action of DC magnetic and AC electric fields the neutral particles (atoms, molecules, nano-size particles etc.) nearby interface move with permanent velocity along the surface. The physical reason for such transport is due to an essentially non-linear dynamics of particles on the surface. The driving force depends on non-linear dynamical properties of polarisation of the particles and a coupling between the polarisation and the position of the particles on the surface. An evaluation of the particle velocity as function of the external AC electric and magnetic fields is given. This effect leads to an appearance of a DC neutral current in a layer of dielectric liquid deposited on metallic surface in the permanent magnetic and the AC electric fields. The conditions for an observation of this current are discussed.

PACS: 05.45.-a, 34.50.Dy, 33.80.Ps, 42.50.Vk

The directed transport of particles under action of AC driving force is now well established phenomenon in various non-linear systems. Most detailed this transport has been studied for one-dimensional motion of particle in the space-periodic potential $U(x)$ and a time-periodic force having general form $F(t) = F_0 \cos \omega t + F_1 \cos(2\omega t + \phi)$. (See review [1] and recent works [2-5].) Main physical reason for the directed motion of the particles is connected with the so called latent asymmetry of driving force $F(t)$. Though the time average of $F(t)$ is zero the time average $\overline{F^3(t)}$ doesn't vanish and depends on ϕ . Non-linearity of the system with potential $U(x)$ leads to mixing of harmonics such a way that average velocity is not zero and directed along $\overline{F^3(t)}$.

The similar phenomenon which we call non-linear Hall effect has been described in [6]. It turns out that in metals and semiconductors the joint action of permanent magnetic and an AC electric fields leads to arising of DC surface electric current. The physical reason for such a current is due to essentially non-linear dynamics of electronic liquid in the surface layer. Consider dynamics of elec-

tronic gas in skin layer of metal under an influence of external AC electric field $E_0(t) = E_0 \cos \omega t$ directed perpendicularly to the surface of the metal (along z axis) and in the magnetic field H . The electronic gas vibrates perpendicularly to the surface. Due to the nonlinearity of these vibrations a normal to the surface velocity $v(z;t)$ and density of electron gas $n(z;t)$ are periodic functions of time with frequency ω having all overtones:

$$v = v_1(z) \cos(\omega t + \phi_1) + v_2(z) \cos(2\omega t + \phi_2) + \dots$$

$$n = n_0 + n_1(z) \cos(\omega t + \phi_3) + n_2(z) \cos(2\omega t + \phi_4) + \dots$$

The above amplitudes and phases of harmonics as functions of z are a subject of calculations. The Lorentz forces induced by magnetic field lead to tangential vibration of electronic gas with amplitude which is proportional to this magnetic field. Corresponding tangential velocity $u(z;t)$ has the same form as $v(z;t)$ with its own amplitudes and phases. The density of tangential current is $j(z;t) = en(z;t)u(z;t)$. The time averaging of $j(z;t)$ over period gives the DC component of the current.

The aim of this paper is to show that the analogous neutral current arises if a neutral (instead of

a charged liquid dielectric particle deposited on metallic surface.

Let us consider an adatom or a molecule on the metallic surface under action of the periodic electric field $E_0(t) = E_0 \cos(\omega t)$ directed perpendicularly to the surface. An induced z-component of the dipole moment $d(t)$ of the particle is also a periodic function of time. Due to anharmonicity the $d(t)$ has all possible overtones and generally can be written as

$$d(t) = d_0 + d_1 \cos(\omega t + \phi_1) + d_2 \cos(2\omega t + \phi_2) + \dots \quad (1)$$

where d_0 being a dipole moment of the particle adsorbed on the surface and $d_1; d_2; d_3$ are the induced dipole moments of different order with respect to the external electric field $E_0(t)$. The phases ϕ_i are defined by an inner dynamics of the particle. In a magnetic field H directed along the surface (axis y) the tangential Lorentz force $F_L(t)$ acting on the particle can be expressed as

$$F_L(t) = \frac{1}{c} H \dot{d} \quad (2)$$

Here c is a light velocity. Then the Newton-Langevin equation for tangent velocity v of the particle could be written as following

$$m \frac{dv}{dt} = F_L(t) - \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - v + f_r(t) \quad (3)$$

where m is a total mass of the particle, $U(x)$ is a space periodic potential which could be chosen in simplest form $U(x) = U_0 \sin(x/a)$ (a is a lattice constant). Last term of r.s. of Eq.(3) is a damping originated either from a finite conductivity of the metal or from the Stokes friction of the particle if the latter moves in a liquid. The function $f_r(t)$ in (3) is a random force with a spectrum of a white noise included into (3) in order to give a Maxwell distribution in a thermal equilibrium.

The Equation (3) has been intensively studied last decade in both classical and quantum regimes [1-5]. In over-damping regime Eq.(3) can be analysed analytically. In this case Eq.(3) is equivalent

to the diffusion equation for a density of probability $w(x;t)$

$$\frac{\partial w(x;t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{1}{T} F_L(t) w + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} w \quad (4)$$

where T is a temperature of the system and $D = \frac{1}{T}$. In reality $F_L(t) = a \cos(\omega t)$ (weak external electric and magnetic fields). Density of current $j(x;t)$ is equal to

$$j(x;t) = D \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{T} F_L(t) w + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} w$$

and the particle velocity averaged over a period T_0 is

$$v = \frac{1}{T_0} \int_0^{T_0} j(x;t) dx dt$$

Usually the lattice potential $U(x)$ is also very small, $U_0 = T \ll 1$. In a steady state regime $w(x;t)$ is a periodic function of time with a frequency ω and a space periodic function with period a . So, the $w(x;t)$ can be expressed via a Fourier set as

$$w(x;t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} w_n(t) e^{inx/a} \quad (5)$$

where $w_0 = 1/a$ by definition and

$$w_n(t) = w_{-n}(t)$$

. At very small F_L and U_0 one can neglect all components $w_n(t)$ except $w_0, w_{\pm 1}$. Then the equation for $w_1(t)$ has the following form

$$\frac{dw_1}{dt} = \frac{D}{a^2} w_1 + i \frac{F_L(t) D}{a T} w_1 + i \frac{U_0 D}{2 a^2 T}$$

The periodic solution of this equation can be readily found in a form

$$w_1(t) = \frac{U_0 D}{2 a^3 T} i \exp \left(\frac{D}{a^2} t \right) + i Q(\omega; t) \quad (6)$$

$$Q(\omega; t) = \frac{e^2 D H}{a^2 T c} [d(t) - d(t - \tau)]$$

where function $d(t)$ has to be taken from (1). Making use an expansion of the exponent of integrand

up to the third order with respect to magnetic field H one arrives to the following expression for the average velocity of particle

$$v = \frac{U_0^2 D^2}{4a^3 T^2} \frac{D H}{T a c} \frac{d_1^2 d_2}{(D = a^2)^2 + 4!^2} \sin' \varphi_2 (!) \quad (7)$$

For quantitative estimation of v one has to find $d_1 (!)$; $d_2 (!)$ and a phase $\varphi_2 (!)$ considering non-linear dynamics of induced dipole moment of particle deposited on metallic surface in external AC electric field $E_0(t)$. This problem has been studied in all details in [7] and here we give only the results of this consideration. The simplest non-linear equation for the normal component of the dipole moment $d(t)$ has the following view

$$d(t) + !_0^2 d(t) + k_3 d^2(t) + (e^2 E_0 =) \cos ! t \quad d(t) = 0 \quad (8)$$

where $!_0$ is an eigenfrequency of the dipole oscillator, m is its mass, k_3 is a coefficient connected with third order polarisability of the particle and τ is an inverse relaxation time. The latter can be taken from the Raman spectroscopy data for this particle on the surface of the metal [7]. Roughly it can be estimated as $\tau = e^2 / (a^3 \sigma_0)$. Here σ_0 is a conductivity of the metal. The simple analyses of the (8) at small frequency of the external field ($! \ll !_0$) gives

$$d_1 = \frac{E_0 e^2}{!_0^2}; \quad d_2 = \frac{d_1^2 k_3}{!_0^2}; \quad \varphi_2 (!) = \frac{!}{!_0^2} - 1;$$

Thus, the mean velocity of the particle v is proportional to the H^3 and E^4 . Choosing the reasonable parameters for all physical values in (7) one can see that estimated mean velocity v is too small to give an observable effect.

However, there exists another mechanism of an appearance of mean velocity which gives v linearly depending on the magnetic field H .

First of all consider the dynamics of the dipole particle on the surface of metal. The potential function $U(z; x; d)$ of the particle depends on three

coordinates $z; x$ and d . Here z is a distance between centre of mass of the particle and the surface of the metal; x is a coordinate of the particle along the surface and d is a z component of the dipole moment of the particle. The $U(z; x; d)$ can be written as a sum of four components: $U_1(z)$, $U_2(z; d)$, $U_3(d)$ and $U_4(z; x; d)$

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^4 U_i \quad (9)$$

where $U_1(z)$ includes a Van der Waals attraction and an exchange repulsion forces between the surface and the physically adsorbed particles. The $U_2(d; z)$ is a dipole-metal interaction which can be taken as dipole-dipole interaction of the particle dipole and its mirror image in a metal. Thus, we have approximately

$$U_2(z; d) = \frac{d^2}{8z^3} \quad (10)$$

where ϵ is a dielectric constant. The $U_3(d)$ is a potential function determining an inner dynamics of the particle dipole. And finally, the $U_4(z; x; d)$ is a potential function which couples the normal (to the surface) motion with a tangent coordinate x of particle. If we neglect an atomic structure of a surface this function also can be neglected. So, further for simplicity we choose $U_4(z; x; d) = 0$. The quantitative descriptions of all these functions are given in many textbooks and monographs (see [7]). For our aim we limit ourselves by an expansion of $U(z; x; d)$ in vicinity of the equilibrium positions z_{eq} and equilibrium dipole moment d_{eq} . Further z and d denote deviations of these coordinates from their equilibrium values.

In this approximation the $U(z; x; d)$ can be rewritten as following

$$U(z; x; d) = \frac{1}{2} d^2 !_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} m z^2 !_p^2 + \frac{1}{3} z d^3 + \frac{1}{2} d^3 + \frac{1}{3} d^2 z + \frac{1}{3} z d^2 + \frac{1}{4} z^3 \quad (11)$$

Here $!_0$ and $!_p$ are corresponding eigenfrequencies and m and m are the mass of the dipole oscillator and the mass of the particle, respectively.

Due to the large difference between ϵ_0 and m the anharmonic terms are small and can be treated perturbatively. The Newton equations of motion of the particle in external magnetic and AC electric fields have the following form

$$m \ddot{z} = -m \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \phi(z; d) \quad (12)$$

$$\ddot{d} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \phi(z; d) + e^2 E_0 \cos \omega t \quad (13)$$

$$m \dot{x} = \frac{dH}{dc} \phi(z; d) \quad (14)$$

The first term in r.s. of (14) is the Lorentz force discussed above. Generally, the damping coefficient $\phi(z; d)$ is a function of both dipole moment of the particle d and the position z of the particle with respect to the surface of the metal. The motion of the dipole on the vacuum-metal interface induces the electric current inside of the metal. The density of this current depends also on d and z . A resistivity to this current is just the mechanism of the damping. The detailed calculation of the function $\phi(d; z)$ is a quite complicated electrodynamic problem discussed in [7]. However, for qualitative estimation of it we can use a rough dimension consideration. Taking into account that $\phi(d; z)$ proportional to specific resistivity of the metal we arrive to the following expression

$$\phi(d; z) = \frac{e^2}{z_{eq}^3} \left(1 + \frac{z}{z_{eq}} \right) + \frac{d}{d_{eq}} \left(2 + \dots \right) \quad (15)$$

where $\sigma_0(z)$ is a conductivity of metal. The α_1, α_2 are coefficients of an expansion of $\phi(d; z)$ with respect to z and d which we choose of order of unit. Below we shall see that most important for the effect is coefficient α_1 , a sign of which determines the sign of the mean velocity of the particle. As a rule, $\alpha_1 > 0$.

At solution of equations (12-14) we use two approximations. First of all, the anharmonic terms

were treated perturbatively. The second, we consider the frequency of the external electric field ω much less than the eigenfrequency of the dipole oscillator ($\omega \ll \omega_0$). However, the ω can be compared with ω_p , the eigenfrequency of vibration of the adsorbed particle on the metal.

Thus, the equation (13) can be solved adiabatically. Omitting the simple perturbative calculations we get

$$\bar{v} = \frac{2H E_0^2 \alpha_1}{2c z_{eq} [m^2 (\frac{1}{z_p^2} - \frac{1}{\omega^2})^2 + \frac{2}{\omega_0^2}]} \quad (16)$$

Here α_1 is a linear polarisability of the particle which is determined from a quantum calculation. Similarly to the non-linear Hall current [6] the mean velocity \bar{v} depends linearly on the magnetic field H and quadratically on an amplitude of external AC electric field. The frequency dependence of \bar{v} has the resonance character reaching the maximum at $\omega = \omega_p$, the eigenfrequency of an oscillation of the particle adsorbed on metal surface. At very small ω the effect trends to zero quadratically. So, the effect is quite similar to the nonlinear Hall effect described in [6], but the current of the particle is the neutral one.

At resonance frequency in magnetic field of order of 1000 Gauss and electric field of order of 1000 V/cm a value \bar{v} can reach a few centimetre per second. At this estimation we took for conductivity of metal the value of order of 10^4 S/cm. For more exact estimation one needs to define quantitatively all parameters of the system adsorbed particle-surface of the metal, which is quite complicated task having in mind our limited knowledge of the system.

This consideration can be readily expanded to the case of the nano-size dielectric particles deposited on metal surface. The size of the particle comes into the main formula (16) the following way. First of all note that the polarisability and mass of the particle are proportional to their volume. The $\frac{1}{z_p^2}$ is diminishing as $1/m$. The de-

depends on the radius of the particle R as $\Gamma \propto R^4$. Taking into account all these dependences we can conclude that at resonance frequency $\omega = \omega_p$ the \bar{v} will increase quadratically with the increasing of the particle radius.

If instead of the selected particle adsorbed on the surface we consider the layer of a dielectric fluid on the surface the described effect leads to an appearance of a surface current I the value of which can be estimated as

$$I = \bar{v} \Gamma l \quad (17)$$

where Γ is a density of the liquid, and l is a width of the surface layer which typically is about 100 Å for dielectric liquid like a water.

One can give two suggestions for observation of the effect. First of all, the Brownian motion of nano-size particles adsorbed on a transient metallic surface in permanent magnetic and AC electric fields has to be a directed one. So, it will be interesting to repeat Perrin-like experiment in these conditions. Secondly, if we take a cylindrical condenser with a fluid dielectric media in a magnetic field directed along the main axis of the cylinder then the tangent flow of fluid could be observable. The intriguing possibility arises if we choose as dielectric medium a superfluid liquid. Corresponding estimations for \bar{v} will be published elsewhere.

The main obstacle for observation of this effect seems to be connected with an absorption of ultrahigh frequency electromagnetic field into material and its warming up. On the other hand, at low (or ultra-low) temperature the absorption and warming up in AC electric field could be made small enough for such observation.

Author thanks RFFI Grant N 00-15-97334 for support, M Ya Ovchinnikova for help in numerical calculations and S Flach for numerical discussions on related problems.

- [1] F Julicher, A Ajdari and J Prost, *Rev Mod Phys.* 69,1369 (2000).
- [2] K N Alekseev et al. *Phys Rev Lett.* 80,2669 (1998).
- [3] M Griboui and P Hanggi, *Physics Reports* 304, 229 (1998).
- [4] O Yevtushenko, S Flach, Y Zolotoryuk and A A Ovchinnikov, *Europhys. Lett.* 54,141 (2001). Flach S., Yevtushenko O. and Zolotonuk Y., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84,2358 (2000).
- [5] S Scheidl, V M Vinocur, *cond-mat/0201008*
- [6] A A Ovchinnikov, *cond-mat/0110616*
- [7] A Liebsch, *Electronic Excitations at Metal Surfaces*, Plenum Press, NY and London, 1997.