

NMR in the normal and in the superconducting state of MgB_2 and comparison with AlB_2

S. H. Baek,¹ B. J. Suh,² E. Pavarini,³ F. Borsa,^{1,3} R. G. Barnes,¹ S. L. Bud'ko,¹ and P. C. Canfield¹

¹*Department of Physics and Astronomy and Ames Laboratory, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011*

²*Department of Physics, the Catholic University of Korea, Puchon, 420-743, Korea*

³*Department of Physics "A. Volta" and Unita' INFM di Pavia, Via Bassi 6, I27100 Pavia, Italy*

(Dated: March 22, 2002)

¹¹B NMR measurements have been performed in ¹¹B enriched MgB_2 powder samples in external fields of 0.813, 1.55, 4.7 and 7.2 T both in the normal phase and in the superconducting phase. A previously unreported dipolar Pake doublet has been observed in the quadrupole perturbed NMR spectrum. The Knight shift can thus be accurately determined by narrowing the line with the Magic Angle Spinning (MAS) technique. Results of Knight shift (K) and relaxation rates ($1/T_1$) for both ¹¹B and ²⁷Al nuclei are reported also for AlB_2 . The comparison of the data in the two compounds shows the dramatic drop of the density of states at the boron site in AlB_2 with respect to MgB_2 . The experimental values for K and $1/T_1$ are in most cases in good agreement with the theoretical values obtained from first principles calculations. The recovery of the nuclear magnetization below T_c in random powder samples is non-exponential due to the anisotropy of the upper critical field. The exponential drop of $1/T_1$ in the superconducting phase observed by Kotegawa et al. is confirmed here but not the coherence peak.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Nf, 74.70.Ad

I. INTRODUCTION

After the discovery of superconductivity¹ in MgB_2 with $T_c \sim 39$ K and subsequent observation of boron isotope effect^{2,3} confirming that MgB_2 is a phonon-mediated BCS superconductor, much effort have been devoted to this intermetallic compound up to date due to its remarkably high T_c among BCS superconductors.

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) is a suitable microscopic tool to investigate the electronic structure in the normal state, the structure of the gap and the flux line lattice in the superconducting state.⁴ This justifies the large number of studies by both ¹¹B and ²⁵Mg NMR in MgB_2 which have already appeared in the literature.^{5,6,7,8,9,10,11}

Regarding the ¹¹B NMR, there is substantial agreement about the measurements of the quadrupole coupling constant ν_Q and about the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate, $1/T_1$, in the normal phase. On the other hand there is considerable controversy concerning the ¹¹B Knight shifts in the normal phase and about the temperature dependence of $1/T_1$ in the superconducting phase. In the present paper, we report new results for the ¹¹B NMR spectrum in the normal phase and an accurate determination of the Knight shift by using the Magic Angle Spinning (MAS) technique. We also find an explanation for the discrepancies in the results of $1/T_1$ below T_c reported by different authors, based on the strong anisotropy of the upper critical field in MgB_2 .

Since NMR in metals probes the density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level, we have performed measurements of both ¹¹B and ²⁷Al NMR in AlB_2 in order to compare the DOS in the two compounds. Finally the experimental results for Knight shifts and relaxation rates in both MgB_2 and AlB_2 have been compared with *ab*-

initio calculations.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS AND SAMPLE PREPARATION

MgB_2 crystallizes in the hexagonal AlB_2 type structure, which consists of alternating hexagonal layers of Mg atoms and graphite-like honeycomb layers of B atoms. Powder samples were prepared with the method described in Ref. [2]. X-ray powder diffraction measurements confirmed the hexagonal unit cell of MgB_2 .^{1,2} Magnetization measurements done at $H = 2.5$ mT yield a transition temperature $T_c = 39.2$ K with a shielding volume fraction close to 100 %.^{2,12,13} We have investigated several samples from different batches of polycrystalline ¹¹B enriched MgB_2 in order to check the reproducibility of the data. Also we have performed measurements in samples from the same batch both in bulk and in powder ground to different particle size. No substantial differences were observed in the NMR measurements in the different samples. The onset of superconductivity was also determined by monitoring the detuning of the NMR circuit occurring at the irreversibility temperature, T_{irr} . This type of measurement corresponds to probing the temperature dependence of the radio frequency (rf) surface resistance.⁵ Thus, as the magnetic field is increased the transition region broadens due to the dissipation associated with flux line motion below T_c , and at 7.2 T no detuning can be observed although the magnetization measurements indicate a $T_c = 23$ K at 7 T.⁵ The transition temperature at 4.7 T was found to be $T_c = 27.5$ K and at 1.55 T, $T_c = 34$ K.

¹¹B and ²⁷Al NMR and spin-relaxation measurements were performed with home built Fourier transform (FT)

pulse spectrometers operating at variable frequencies. The $\pi/2$ radio frequency (rf) pulse length was typically $6 \mu\text{s}$. The Magic Angle Spinning (MAS) experiment was performed with a home built spinning probe with a maximum spinning frequency of about 10 kHz.

III. ^{11}B NMR IN MgB_2 IN THE NORMAL STATE

The ^{11}B NMR spectrum in MgB_2 powder samples is complicated by the simultaneous presence of first and second order quadrupole interactions, anisotropic Knight shift and a previously unnoticed dipolar splitting which is particularly evident in ^{11}B isotopically enriched samples. In the following we analyze the different spectral features, a necessary step in order to extract reliable NMR parameters.

A. Quadrupole interactions

The complete ^{11}B NMR spectrum was determined in a 7.2 T external field by performing the Fourier transform of half of the solid echo following a $(\pi/2)_0 - \tau - (\pi/2)_{90}$ pulse sequence. In order to cover the whole spectrum three separate spectra were recorded at resonance frequencies centered at the three lines and added together. The result is shown in Fig. 1. Each rf pulse has a bandwidth of about 100 kHz. Since the spectrum is the result of irradiation at only three different frequencies, the parts of the spectrum in between the peaks may be distorted but this does not affect the conclusions. The separation of the symmetric satellite lines is due to first and second order quadrupole interactions. For spin $I = 3/2$, with electric quadrupole moment Q and for an axially symmetric electric field gradient tensor (EFG) with maximum component q the separation is¹⁴

$$\Delta\nu = \nu_Q(3\cos^2\theta - 1) \quad \text{with } \nu_Q = \frac{e^2qQ}{2h} \quad (1)$$

where θ is the angle between the principal axis of the EFG tensor and the applied field H_0 .

In a powder pattern the two singularities in the satellite distribution occur at $\theta = 90^\circ$. Thus from the spectrum in Fig. 1 we obtain $\nu_Q = 835 \pm 10$ kHz. The quadrupole coupling constant is almost temperature independent as shown in the inset of Fig. 1. A small increase in the quadrupole coupling constant with decreasing temperature was observed in ^{111}Cd perturbed angular $\gamma\gamma$ -correlation (TDPAC) experiments⁶ and is consistent with the effect of lattice vibrations.¹⁵

In the presence of second order quadrupole effects the central line transition is shifted. For axially symmetric EFG this results in a powder pattern with two singularities separated by

$$\delta\nu = \frac{25}{48} \frac{\nu_Q^2}{\nu_L} \quad (2)$$




FIG. 1: Room-temperature ^{11}B NMR spectrum for MgB_2 powder sample showing both the central line transition and the two singularities of the distribution of satellite transitions. The inset shows the temperature dependence of the quadrupole coupling constant derived from the spectrum.

where ν_L is the nuclear Larmor frequency. Because of the inverse Larmor frequency dependence in Eq. 2 the two singularities can be resolved only at low magnetic field as shown in Fig. 2(a). At lower fields (1.55 and 0.813 T), the second order quadrupole splitting is well resolved and each singularity is split into a doublet. If one takes the middle point of each doublet, the distance between singularities is in good agreement with that calculated from Eq. 2 and the value of ν_Q determined from the separation of the satellite lines i.e. $\delta\nu = 32.7$ kHz at 0.813 T [see Fig. 2(b)]. One unexpected feature is that the two singularities are each split into a field independent doublet. At higher fields (4.7 and 7.2 T), the second order quadrupole splitting is negligible and only one Pake doublet is shown.

B. Dipolar doublet

As shown in Fig. 2(a) at high fields, where the second order quadrupole effects become negligible, the ^{11}B NMR spectrum is formed by a doublet. At low fields one can clearly resolve two doublets, one for each singularity in the quadrupole powder pattern. The splitting is temperature and field independent and results from the nearest neighbor nuclear dipolar interaction of ^{11}B nuclei in the planar honeycomb lattice structure. In such a structure each ^{11}B nucleus is strongly coupled to three nearest neighbors resulting in three equivalent Pake pairs.¹⁶ A single dipolar pair gives rise to a Pake doublet in the NMR spectrum with frequencies:

$$\nu_{\pm} = \nu_L \pm \nu_D(3\cos^2\theta' - 1) \quad (3)$$




FIG. 2: (a) Central line transition of ^{11}B NMR spectrum for powder sample of MgB_2 at several representative magnetic fields. (b) Spectrum at 0.813 T. The distance between the middle point of each doublet corresponds to the second order quadrupole splitting, $\delta\nu$.

with

$$\nu_D = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\gamma\mu}{2\pi a^3} \quad (4)$$

where θ' is the angle formed by the magnetic field with the vector joining the two interacting nuclei, μ is the nuclear magnetic moment and a is the internuclear distance.

In the presence of three interacting pairs one has to sum over the different angles and take the powder average including the second order quadrupole interaction and the anisotropic Knight shift interaction. The situation was analyzed previously in connection with measurements in intermetallic compounds of the C32 (AlB_2) structure.¹⁷ The conclusion was reached that in the C32 structure the resonance line in the presence of all the above interactions can be described in terms of the angle θ formed by the magnetic field and the c axial symmetry axis perpendicular to the B plane. Thus it was predicted that the $\theta = 90^\circ$ singularity in the quadrupole pattern should be split into two lines separated by $\Delta\nu_{90} = 3\nu_D$ while the intermediate angle singularity should be split into two lines separated by $\Delta\nu_1 = 2\nu_D$. From the inspection of Fig. 2(b) one can see that the ratio of the two splittings is in reasonable agreement with the above prediction. Furthermore, by using in Eq. 4 $\gamma = 13.66 \times 2\pi$ MHz/T, $\mu = I\gamma h/2\pi$ and the measured value $\nu_D = 4.00 \pm 0.50$ kHz (at 0.813 T), one obtains the B-B nearest neighbor distance $a = 1.72 \pm 0.08$ Å in agreement with the known value of 1.782 Å.

C. Knight shift

In order to determine the Knight shift, both isotropic and anisotropic parts, measurements should be made at very high magnetic fields ($H \gg 10$ T) where the second order quadrupole effects are negligible, and the




FIG. 3: Magic angle spinning (MAS) experiment in MgB_2 powder sample at 4.7 T. Spinning frequencies are shown at the right side of the figure.

anisotropic Knight shift may be inferred from the asymmetric broadening of the NMR line.¹⁷ Since our measurements are limited to a maximum field of 7.2 T no measurable anisotropic Knight shift could be detected. The isotropic Knight shift, K , is measurable but very small. Its measurement is further complicated by the dipolar splitting discussed above. In order to obtain a reliable value of K we performed a magic angle spinning (MAS) experiment¹⁸ at room temperature in a field of 4.7 T. As shown in Fig. 3, the dipolar splitting is totally removed for a spinning frequency of 8 kHz as well as part of the dipolar broadening and of the quadrupole broadening. From the resulting narrow line we obtain $K = 80$ ppm with respect to a reference solution of NaBH_4 . If the Knight shift is referred to the BF_3 solution, which is the compound used by chemists as the “zero chemical shift”,¹⁹ one obtains $K = 40 \pm 10$ ppm.

D. Nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate

For the case of saturation of the central line of the ^{11}B NMR spectrum with a single rf pulse (or short sequence of pulses) and for magnetic relaxation mechanism, the recovery of the nuclear magnetization after a time t following saturation is given by:²⁰

$$\frac{M(\infty) - M(t)}{M(\infty)} = 0.1 \exp(-2Wt) + 0.9 \exp(-12Wt) \quad (5)$$

where we define the nuclear spin lattice relaxation rate as $1/T_1 = 2W$. The results for the temperature dependence of $1/T_1$ are shown in Fig. 4. As can be seen, a field independent linear temperature dependence is observed in the normal phase yielding $T_1 T = 170$ sK.




FIG. 4: Temperature dependence of $1/T_1$ for ^{11}B in MgB_2 at external fields 1.55, 4.7 and 7.2 T. The line is the Korringa law with $T_1T = 170$ sK. The three arrows indicate the superconducting transition temperatures at each field which are 23, 27.5 and 34 K respectively. In the inset, $(T_1T)^{-1} 10^3$ is plotted against temperature.

IV. ^{11}B AND ^{27}Al NMR IN AlB_2

The room temperature ^{11}B NMR spectrum on the powder sample of AlB_2 is very similar to the one shown in Fig. 1 for MgB_2 . From the separation of the satellite lines one derives a quadrupole coupling frequency $\nu_Q = 540 \pm 10$ kHz somewhat smaller than the one in MgB_2 . The central transition linewidth at room temperature is about 19 kHz and it hides the dipolar splitting discussed for the case of MgB_2 due to the stronger dipolar interaction with the ^{27}Al nuclei present in AlB_2 . By spinning the sample at 10 kHz we obtain a MAS NMR line 2 kHz wide. The Knight shift value with respect to a reference solution of BF_3 measured from the MAS spectrum is found to be $K = -10 \pm 5$ ppm. Finally, the $1/T_1$ measurements as a function of temperature shown in Fig. 5 yield a Korringa law with $T_1T = 1400$ sK i.e. almost one order of magnitude greater than in MgB_2 .

The room temperature ^{27}Al ($I = 5/2$) NMR spectrum in AlB_2 is composed of a central transition line 23 kHz wide and a poorly-resolved powder pattern originating from the two satellite pairs over a spectral distribution of about 200 kHz. From the fit of the NMR spectrum to a computer simulated spectrum with a Gaussian dipolar width of 7.5 kHz one can derive a quadrupole coupling constant $\nu_Q = 80 \pm 10$ kHz. It is assumed that the electric field gradient has axial symmetry as for the ^{11}B site. The Knight shift was measured from the position of the central line transition (which has a negligible second order quadrupole broadening). The Knight shift with respect to a AlCl_3 aqueous solution is $K = 880 \pm 20$ ppm.

The ^{27}Al $1/T_1$ results as a function of temperature are shown in Fig. 5 together with the ^{11}B results. The linear




FIG. 5: Comparison of $1/T_1$ for ^{11}B and for ^{27}Al in AlB_2 powder sample. T_1T for ^{27}Al is 400 times smaller than the value for ^{11}B .

temperature dependence yields for ^{27}Al $T_1T = 3.5$ sK which is 400 times smaller than the value for ^{11}B .

The various NMR parameters for both MgB_2 and AlB_2 are summarized in Tab. I. The constant S listed in Tab. I is given by $S = (\gamma_e/\gamma_n)^2 \hbar / (8\pi^2 k_B)$ and the Korringa ratio R is defined as $R = K^2 T_1 T / S$.

V. COMPARISON OF THEORY AND EXPERIMENTS IN MgB_2 AND AlB_2

In order to understand the microscopic origin of the relaxation, and thus also the differences between AlB_2 and MgB_2 , a comparison between experimental and *ab-initio* calculated values of Knight shifts and relaxation rates is highly desirable. Recently, first principles calculation of the relaxation rates^{21,22} and the Knight shifts²¹ were performed for MgB_2 . In Ref. [22] the relaxation rates were calculated for AlB_2 as well. In the present work we calculate K and $1/T_1T$ for AlB_2 by using the method described in Ref. [21], and compare the results for MgB_2 and AlB_2 . Our calculations are based on density functional theory (DFT) in the local density approximation (LDA). We adopt the tight binding linear-muffin-tin-orbital (LMTO) method²³ in the atomic-spheres-approximation (LMTO47 Stuttgart code). The density of states matrix (Eq. 2 of Ref. [21]) and the partial density of states, N_L , (with $L = lm$, where l is the orbital angular momentum quantum number, and $m = -l, \dots, l$) were calculated by using the linear tetrahedron method. We found that the results already converged very well with a mesh of 481 irreducible \mathbf{k} points. In order to obtain accurate wavefunctions at the Fermi level, the linear partial wave expansion was performed with $\epsilon_\nu \equiv \epsilon_F$, where ϵ_F is the Fermi level and ϵ_ν the expansion energy. Further details about the method employed can be found

	MgB ₂		AlB ₂	
	²⁵ Mg [Ref. 7]	¹¹ B	²⁷ Al	¹¹ B
K (ppm)	242 ± 4^a	$+40 \pm 10^b$	$+880 \pm 20^c$	-10 ± 5^b
T_1T (sK)	1090	170	3.5	1400
S (sK)	7.03×10^{-5}	2.57×10^{-6}	3.88×10^{-6}	2.57×10^{-6}
R	0.95	0.102 ± 0.05	0.7 ± 0.03	$0 - 0.12$
ν_Q (kHz)	222 (1.5)	835 (10)	80 (10)	540 (10)

TABLE I: Summary of the various NMR parameters for both MgB₂ and AlB₂. The Korringa ratio, R , is defined as $R = K^2 T_1 T / S$. Results for ²⁵Mg NMR were taken from Ref. [7].

^aReference solution MgCl₂

^bReference solution BF₃

^cReference solution AlCl₃

in Ref. [21].

In Tab. II and Tab. III, we show the calculated K and $1/T_1T$. The results for MgB₂ are taken from Ref. [21].

Let us discuss first the case of MgB₂. The ¹¹B orbital relaxation rate is about 3 times larger than the dipole-dipole, and about 10 times larger than the Fermi-contact term. As explained in Ref. [21], the reason is the following. In MgB₂ the B p_σ and B p_π bands are all at the Fermi level: the partial density of states $N_{p_{x,y}}$ (σ bands) and N_{p_z} (π bands) are, respectively, $N_{p_x} = N_{p_y} \sim 0.035$ states/eV/spin/B, and $N_{p_z} \sim 0.045$ states/eV/spin/B. On the contrary, there are very few B s electrons close to ϵ_F ($N_s \sim 0.002$ states/eV/B). An approximate formula for the ratio between the Fermi-contact and the orbital/dipole-dipole coupling constants is given by²¹

$$F = \frac{2}{3} \frac{|\phi_s(0)|^2 N_s}{\sum_{l>0} \langle r^{-3} \rangle_l N_l}. \quad (6)$$

Here $\phi_l(r)$ is the l radial solutions of the Schrödinger equation at energy ϵ_ν and $N_l \equiv \sum_{m=-l}^{m=l} N_{lm}$. In addition $\langle r^{-3} \rangle_l \equiv \int (|\phi_l(r)|^2 / r^3) r^2 dr$ and $\phi_s(0) \equiv \phi_{l=0}(r=0)$, where $r=0$ is the position of the nucleus. In the case of B it was found²¹ $F \sim 0.35$. Thus F is considerably smaller than 1, and the Fermi contact interaction (which usually dominates over the orbital and dipole-dipole mechanisms) gives only a small contribution to the relaxation rate. Moreover, it was found²¹ that $N_{p_x} = N_{p_y} \sim N_{p_z}$. For a model Hamiltonian which includes only B p orbitals and for which $N_{p_x} = N_{p_y} = N_{p_z} = N_p/3$, one can show analytically²¹ that the ratio between orbital/dipole-dipole relaxation rate is about 3.3. This explains the numerical results obtained for B in MgB₂.

The case of ²⁵Mg is different: the ratio F is considerably larger than one ($F \sim 5$) and thus the Fermi contact interaction is expected to dominate. Tab. III shows that this actually happens: the orbital and the dipole-dipole terms are much smaller than the Fermi contact contribution.

With a Stoner enhancement factor of about 1.33 (calculated ab-initio in Ref. [21]), the following results were found for the total Knight shifts: $K(\text{Mg}) \sim 361/341$ ppm

and $K(\text{B}) \sim 21/37$ ppm. For the relaxation time, it was shown²¹ that the Stoner enhancement factor is about 1.6. Thus the total relaxation times are: $T_1T(\text{Mg}) \sim 625$ sK and $T_1T(\text{B}) \sim 169$ sK. These results are in quite good agreement with experimental data.

Let us now discuss the case of AlB₂. In this compound the B p_σ bands are fully occupied, and only B p_π bands are at the Fermi level. In addition $|\phi_s(0)|^2/4\pi \sim 2.87 a_0^3$ and $N_s \sim 0.003$ states/eV/spin/B, $\langle r^{-3} \rangle_{11} \sim 1.45 a_0^3$ and $N_p \sim 0.0216$ states/eV/spin/B. With these numbers we find $F \sim 2.3$; terms with $l > 1$ give a small contribution to F , because the radial integrals decrease quickly when l increases and because, in the case of B, N_l is very small for $l > 1$. Thus F is considerably larger than 1, and the Fermi contact interaction is the dominant mechanism of relaxation for ¹¹B (see Tab. III). The same happens for ²⁷Al, for which we find $|\phi_s(0)|^2/4\pi \sim 2.96 a_0^3$ and $N_s \sim 0.0362$ states/eV/spin, $\langle r^{-3} \rangle_{11} \sim 1.74 a_0^3$ and $N_p \sim 0.0325$ states/eV/spin/B, and therefore $F \sim 16$.

In order to understand better the numerical results for AlB₂, we calculate analytically the contact shift and relaxation rates for a model Hamiltonian which includes only B s and Al s states. Within this model, the Knight shift is given by $K \sim \mu_B^2(4/3)|\phi_s(0)|^2 N_s$, and the relaxation rate can be obtained from the Korringa relation. We find $K(\text{Al}) \sim 645$ ppm, $K(\text{B}) \sim 52$ ppm and $1/T_1T(\text{Al}) \sim 107 \cdot 10^{-3}/(\text{sK})$, $1/T_1T(\text{B}) \sim 1.05 \cdot 10^{-3}/(\text{sK})$, in very good agreement with the first principles values of Tab. II and Tab. III.

The *ab-initio* total Knight shifts (Tab. II) are $K(\text{Al}) \sim 644/647$ ppm and $K(\text{B}) \sim 42/66$, and the total relaxation times (Tab. III) are $T_1T(\text{Al}) \sim 9$ sK and $T_1T(\text{B}) \sim 602$ sK. The agreement between first principle results and experimental data is quite good for Al. In the case of B the calculated relaxation time is about 2 times smaller than the experimental data. Similar results were found in Ref. [22]. This discrepancy could suggest that, in the case of AlB₂, LDA tends to slightly overestimate the Fermi-contact interaction at B nucleus.

Finally, we point out that in AlB₂ the density of states in the B plane is strongly reduced with respect to MgB₂. The reason is that in AlB₂ the σ bands are well below

MgB ₂	dipole (xy)	dipole(z)	orbital	Fermi contact	core	Total (xy/z)	Experiment
B	-4	8	0	27	-7	16/28	40 ± 10
Mg	5	-10	0	260	3	271/256	242 ± 4
AlB ₂	dipole (xy)	dipole(z)	orbital	Fermi contact	core	Total (xy/z)	Experiment
B	-8	16	0	61	-11	42/66	-10 ± 5
Al	1	-2	0	660	-15	644/647	880 ± 20

TABLE II: Knight shifts in ppm in MgB₂ and AlB₂ (without Stoner factor). The label $\alpha = x, y, z$ indicates the direction of the external magnetic field. Results for MgB₂ are taken from Ref. [21].

MgB ₂	dipole	orbital	Fermi-contact	core	Total	Experiment
B	0.8	2.6	0.28	0.02	3.7	5.9
Mg	0.01	0.02	1.0	0.0001	1.0	0.92
AlB ₂	dipole	orbital	Fermi-contact	core	Total	Experiment
B	0.086	0.132	1.4	0.04	1.66	0.71
Al	0.115	0.370	105	0.05	105	286

TABLE III: Relaxation rates in $10^{-3}/(\text{sK})$ in MgB₂ and AlB₂ (without Stoner factor). Results for MgB₂ are taken from Ref. [21].

ϵ_F , while in MgB₂ they cross the Fermi level. We find $N_{p_x} + N_{p_y} \sim 0.0046$ and $N_{p_z} \sim 0.017$ states/eV/spin/B, while for MgB₂ $N_{p_x} + N_{p_y} \sim 0.07$ and $N_{p_z} \sim 0.045$ states/eV/spin/B was found.²¹

VI. ¹¹B NMR IN MgB₂ IN THE SUPERCONDUCTING PHASE

Although the main emphasis of the present paper is on the electronic properties of MgB₂ in the normal phase, it is worthwhile to present and discuss here the ¹¹B NMR results obtained in the superconducting phase mostly to point out the limitations incurred in the NMR experiments in polycrystalline samples.

Regarding the Knight shift, one can conclude that no meaningful measurements of K can be performed below T_c in a powder sample. In fact the Knight shift is very small compared to the line broadening due to the magnetic field distribution of the flux line lattice.⁸ Furthermore the correction for the shift due to the diamagnetic shielding in the superconducting phase cannot be estimated accurately in a powder sample as a result of the distribution of shapes of the particles e.g. distribution of demagnetization factors.

The temperature dependence of $1/T_1$ below T_c allows in principle to obtain information about the pairing symmetry and the structure of the superconducting gap.^{24,25} In fact the ratio of the relaxation rates in the superconducting phase and the normal phase, $(1/T_{1s})/(1/T_{1n})$, is related to the density of states in the superconducting phase and should decrease below T_c either exponentially or with a power law depending on the pairing symmetry and/or the structure of the gap.⁹ As can be seen in Fig. 4 a decrease of the relaxation rate below T_c can be




FIG. 6: $1/T_1$ results for ¹¹B in a powder and in a sintered bulk sample of MgB₂ below T_c .

observed in the data taken in an external field of 1.55 T but not in the data at 4.7 T and 7.2 T. The explanation for this is easily found in the strong anisotropy of the upper critical field, H_{c2} . The powders utilized in the present experiment have $H_{c2}^{max}/H_{c2}^{min} = \gamma \approx 6$ whereby the maximum critical field pertains to the particles with the field in the ab plane.^{26,27} In a detuning experiment one detects the superconducting transition of the particles which have the higher upper critical field (see arrows in Fig. 4). On the other hand in the NMR experiment the stronger signal arises from the particles which are oriented in such a way as to have the lower upper critical field. This is a consequence of the strongly reduced rf penetration length and consequently reduced NMR signal, in the superconducting particles. Thus the results




FIG. 7: The plot of the ratio of $1/T_1$ in the superconducting phase and the normal phase against T/T_c . The short and long components of the relaxation rate at 4.7 T were obtained by fitting the data to Eq. 5 with two different values of W .

in Fig. 4 for fields of 4.7 T and 7.2 T pertain mostly to the particles which remain in the normal phase down to helium temperature. It should be noted that the results in a loose powder and in a polycrystalline bulk (sintered) sample are the same as shown in Fig. 6.

Our results need to be reconciled with the ^{11}B NMR data in MgB_2 by Kotegawa et al.⁹ where it is reported that $1/T_1$ decreases exponentially below T_c in powder samples for all field values from 1.35 T up to 4.42 T with a tiny coherence peak just below T_c . First it is noted that the samples used in Kotegawa's experiments could have a much smaller anisotropy of the upper critical field. Recent resistivity measurements in MgB_2 single crystals yield an anisotropy ratio of the upper critical field of only 2.7.²⁸ A second factor is that our measurements were done by fitting the first 90 % of the recovery of the nuclear magnetization which yields the short component only of the relaxation. On the other hand the results reported by Kotegawa et al. were obtained by fitting the recovery of the magnetization with two components and assuming that the long component only is the one pertaining to the superconducting particles.²⁹ By following the same procedure i.e. by fitting the recovery of the nuclear magnetization with Eq. 5 with two different values of W we find the results shown in Fig. 7. The long component does indeed agree with Kotegawa's data at high field (4.7 T) and with our low field data (1.55 T) thus confirming the validity of the conclusions regarding the structure of the gap.⁹ However, the distribution of critical fields due to the random orientation of the particles in a powder sample introduces large errors in the evaluation of $1/T_1$ particularly close to T_c since the recovery of the magnetization is the superposition of curves, Eq. 5, with different W values. Therefore it is very difficult to infer the presence of a coherence peak in $1/T_1$ just below

T_c as claimed by Kotegawa et al.⁹ It is noted that from the data in the inset of Fig. 4 and Fig. 7 there appears to be an enhancement of $(T_1T)^{-1}$ over the Korringa value of the normal phase starting at T_c and extending to low temperature. This effect, which is barely outside the experimental error, is not presently understood. One may speculate that the measured $1/T_1$ in Fig. 4 is an average of the relaxation of nuclei in particles in the normal phase and particles in the superconducting phase with H perpendicular to the ab plane in which the relaxation is enhanced by the presence of flux lines.⁴ More detailed measurements as a function of magnetic field are needed to elucidate this point.

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

From the analysis of the ^{11}B NMR spectrum in the normal phase of isotopically enriched MgB_2 , we have found evidence for a field independent splitting of the line due to the nuclear dipolar interaction (Pake doublet). By averaging out the dipolar interaction with Magic Angle Spinning, we have obtained reliable values for the Knight shifts for ^{11}B in both MgB_2 and AlB_2 . Both the decrease of K and more so of $(T_1T)^{-1}$ for ^{11}B in AlB_2 with respect to MgB_2 is in qualitative agreement with a drastic drop of the DOS at the Fermi level at the B site in AlB_2 . The ab-initio calculated values in Tab. II and III are in excellent agreement with the experimental ones in MgB_2 provided the theoretical results are multiplied by a Stoner enhancement factor of 1.33 for the Knight shift and 1.6 for the relaxation rates.

For the case of AlB_2 the ab-initio calculated values are in good agreement with the experiments only for the ^{27}Al data considering that the theoretical results in Tab. II and III are still to be multiplied by the Stoner factor. However, for the B site in AlB_2 the theoretical values for both K and $(T_1T)^{-1}$ are a factor of two bigger than the experimental values indicating that the LDA calculations overestimate the Fermi contact interaction at the B nuclear site.

Regarding the superconducting phase in MgB_2 , we conclude that it is very difficult to obtain reliable information for the NMR parameters (particularly the relaxation rates) from polycrystalline samples due to the random orientation with respect to the applied field and the strong critical field anisotropy. In particular, we could confirm qualitatively the exponential drop of $1/T_1$ vs T below T_c reported by Kotegawa et al.⁹ but we could not detect any enhancement of $1/T_1$ just below T_c (coherence peak).

One issue which remains to be investigated is the effect on the nuclear relaxation rate of the flux line lattice and flux line fluctuations in the superconducting phase which was detected qualitatively in the enhancement of T_1T below T_c (see the inset of Fig. 4) but which would require aligned powder samples or single crystals to be investigated quantitatively.

Acknowledgments

We thank J. K. Jung for his help in the beginning stage of the experiment, P. Carretta and Tabak for sharing some unpublished data on different samples and V. Antropov for useful discussions. Ames Laboratory

is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Iowa State University under Contract W-7405-Eng-82. This work at Ames Laboratory was supported by the Director for Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Science. One of authors (B.J.S.) acknowledges the support by KOSEF via electron Spin Science Center at POSTECH.

-
- ¹ J. Nagamatsu, N. Nakagawa, T. Muranaka, Y. Zenitani, and J. Akimitsu, *Nature* **410**, 63 (2001).
 - ² S. L. Bud'ko, G. Lapertot, C. Petrovic, C. E. Cunningham, N. Anderson, and P. C. Canfield, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 1877 (2001).
 - ³ D. G. Hinks, H. Claus, and J. D. Jorgensen, *Nature* **411**, 457 (2001).
 - ⁴ A. Rigamonti, F. Borsa, and P. Carretta, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **61**, 1367 (1998).
 - ⁵ J. K. Jung, S. H. Baek, F. Borsa, S. L. Bud'ko, G. Lapertot, and P. C. Canfield, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 012514 (2001).
 - ⁶ A. V. Tsvyashchenko et al., *Solid State Comm.* **119**, 153 (2001).
 - ⁷ M. Mali, J. Roos, A. Shengelaya, H. Keller, and K. Conder, *Cond-Mat/0111022 v2* (2001).
 - ⁸ G. Papavassiliou, M. Pissas, M. Fardis, M. Karayanni, and C. Christides, *Cond-Mat/0107511* (2001).
 - ⁹ H. Kotegawa, K. Ishida, Y. Kitaoka, T. Muranaka, and J. Akimitsu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 127001 (2001).
 - ¹⁰ A. Gerashenko, K. Mikalhev, S. Verkhovskij, T. D'yachova, A. Tyutyunnik, and V. Zubkov, *Cond-Mat/0102421* (2001).
 - ¹¹ H. Tou, H. Ikejiri, Y. Maniwa, T. Ito, T. Takenobu, K. Prassides, and Y. Iwasa, *Cond-Mat/0103484* (2001).
 - ¹² D. K. Finnemore, J. E. Ostenson, S. L. Bud'ko, G. Lapertot, and P. C. Canfield, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2420 (2001).
 - ¹³ P. C. Canfield, D. K. Finnemore, S. L. Bud'ko, J. E. Ostenson, G. Lapertot, C. E. Cunningham, and C. Petrovic, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2423 (2001).
 - ¹⁴ A. Abragam, *Principles of Nuclear Magnetism* (Academic Press, London, 1961).
 - ¹⁵ F. Borsa and A. Rigamonti, *J. of Magnetic Resonance* **20**, 232 (1975).
 - ¹⁶ G. E. Pake, *J. Chem. Phys.* **16**, 327 (1948).
 - ¹⁷ D. R. Torgeson, R. G. Barnes, and R. B. Creel, *J. Chem. Phys.* **56**, 4178 (1972).
 - ¹⁸ E. Fukushima and S. B. W. Roeder, *Experimental Pulse NMR* (Addison-Wesley Pub. Co, 1981).
 - ¹⁹ T. P. Onak, H. Landesman, R. E. Williams, and I. Shapiro, *J. Phys. Chem.* **63**, 1533 (1959).
 - ²⁰ E. R. Andrew and D. P. Tunstall, *Proc. Phys. Soc. London* **78**, 1 (1961).
 - ²¹ E. Pavarini and I. I. Mazin, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 140504(R) (2001).
 - ²² K. D. Belashchenko, V. P. Antropov, and S. N. Rashkeev, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 132506 (2001).
 - ²³ O. K. Andersen, Z. Pawlowska, and O. Jepsen, *Phys. Rev. B* **34**, R5253 (1986).
 - ²⁴ L. C. Hebel and C. P. Slichter, *Phys. Rev.* **113**, 1504 (1959).
 - ²⁵ L. C. Hebel, *Phys. Rev.* **116**, 79 (1959).
 - ²⁶ S. L. Bud'ko, V. G. Kogan, and P. C. Canfield, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 180506(R) (2001).
 - ²⁷ F. Simon et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 047002 (2001).
 - ²⁸ S. Lee, H. Mori, T. Masui, Y. Eltsev, A. Yamamoto, and S. Tajima, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **70**, 2255 (2001).
 - ²⁹ Y. Kitaoka, *Private communication*.