M om entum -R esolved Tunneling into Fractional Quantum Hall Edges

 $U.Zulicke_{\mathbf{r}}^{1} E.Shim shoni_{\mathbf{r}}^{2}$ and $M.Governale^{1}$

¹ Institut fur Theoretische Festkorperphysik, Universitat Karlsruhe, D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany ² Department of Mathematics and Physics, University of Haifa at Oranim, Tiwon 36006, Israel (Dated: April 14, 2024)

Tunneling from a two{dimensional contact into quantum {Halledges is considered theoretically for a case where the barrier is extended, uniform, and parallel to the edge. In contrast to previously realized tunneling geometries, details of the microscopic edge structure are exhibited directly in the voltage and magnetic{ eld dependence of the dierential tunneling conductance. In particular, it is possible to measure the dispersion of the edge{magnetoplasmon mode, and the existence of additional, sometimes counterpropagating, edge{excitation branches could be detected.

PACS num bers: 73.43 Jn, 73.43 Cd, 71.10 Pm

The quantum Hall (QH) e ect[1] arises due to incompressibilities developing in two {dim ensional electron system s (2D E S) at special values of the electronic sheet density n_0 and perpendicular magnetic eld B for which the = 2 $hcn_0 = \dot{r}eB$ j is equal to an integer or certain fractions. The microscopic origin of incompressibilities at fractional is electron (electron interaction. Laughlin's trial{wave{function approach [2] successfully explains the QH e ect at = 1,p1=(p+1)where p is a positive even integer. Our current m icroscopic understanding of why incompressibilities develop at many other fractional values of the lling factor, e.g., m = (m p + 1) with nonzero integer m θ m ;p based on hierarchical theories [3, 4, 5].

The underlying microscopic mechanism responsible for creating charge gaps at fractional implies peculiar properties of low (energy excitation in a nite quantum (Hall sample which are localized at the boundary [6]. For = $_{m,p}$, m branches of such edge excitations [7, 8, 9, 10] are predicted to exist which are realizations of strongly correlated chiralone { dim ensional electron system s called chiralLuttinger liquids (LL). Extensive experim entalefforts were undertaken recently to observe LL behavior because this would yield an independent con mation of our basic understanding of the fractional QH e ect. In all of these studies [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16], current {voltage characteristics yielded a direct m easure of the energy dependence of the tunneling density of states for the QH edge. This quantity generally contains information on global dynam ic properties as, e.g., excitation gaps and the orthogonality catastrophe, but lacks any m om entum resolution. Power{law behavior consistent with predictions from LL theory was found [11, 12, 15] for the edge of QH systems at the Laughlin series of lling factors, ie., for = 1,p. However, at hierarchical lling factors, ie., when = $_{m,p}$ with j_{m} j > 1, predictions of LL theory are, at present, not supported by experim ent [13, 14]. This discrepancy inspired theoretical works, too num erous to cite here, from which, however, no generally accepted resolution em erged. Current experim ents[16] suggest that details of the edge potential may play a crucial




FIG. 1: Schem atic picture of tunneling geom etry. Two mutually perpendicular two-dim ensional electron systems are realized, e.g., in a sem iconductor heterostructure. An external magnetic eld is applied such that it is perpendicular to one of them (2D ES?) but in-plane for the other one (2D ESk). When 2D ES? is in the quantum Hall regime, chiral edge channels form along its boundary (indicated by broken lines with arrows). Where they run parallel to 2D ESk, electrons tunnel between edge states in 2D ES? and plane-wave states in 2D ESk with the same equantum number py of momentum component parallel to the barrier. Experimentally, the dierential tunneling conductance dI=dV is measured.

rôle. New experiments are needed to test the present microscopic picture of fractional(QH edge excitations.

Here we consider a tunneling geometry that is particularly well-suited for that purpose, see Fig. 1, and which has been realized recently for studying the integer QH e ect in cleaved-edge overgrown semiconductor heterostructures[17]. In contrast to previous experiments, it provides a momentum {resolved spectral probe of QH edge excitations[32]. With both the component of canonical momentum parallel to the barrier and energy being conserved in a single tunneling event, strong resonances appear in the differential tunneling conductance dI=dV as a function of the transport voltage and

applied magnetic eld. Similar resonant behavior for tunneling via extended uniform barriers has been used recently [18, 19, 20, 21] to study the electronic properties of low {dimensional electron systems. It has also been suggested as a tool to observe spin {charge separation in Luttinger liquids [22] and the interaction {induced broadening of electronic spectral functions at single-branch QH edges [23]. Here we not that the number of resonant features in dI=dV corresponds directly to the number of chiral edge excitations present. Edge {magnetoplasmon dispersion curves can be measured and power laws related to LL behavior be observed. Momentum {resolved tunneling spectroscopy in the presently considered geometry thus constitutes a powerful probe to characterize the QH edge microscopically.

To compute the tunneling conductances, we apply the general expression for the current obtained to lowest order in a perturbative treatment of tunneling [27]:

$$I(V) = \frac{e}{h^2} \sum_{k_1, n : X} f_{k_k, n : X} f^{\frac{Z}{2}} \frac{d^{"}}{2} fn_F (") \quad n_F (" + eV)g$$

$$A_k$$
 (K_k ;") $A_?$ (n;X;" + eV): (1)

Here A $_k$ and A $_?$ denote single-electron spectral functions for 2D E S_k and 2D E $S_?$, respectively. (See Fig.1). We use a representation where electron states in the rst are labeled by a two (dimensional wave vector [33] $k_k=(k_y\,;k_z)$, while the quantum numbers of electrons in 2D E $S_?$ are the Landau (level index n and guiding (center coordinate X in x direction. We assume that 2D E S_k is located at x=0. The sim plest form of the tunneling matrix element $t_{k_x\,;n\,;X}$ rejecting translational invariance in y direction yields

$$t_{k_{1}, :n:X} = t_{n}(X) (k_{y} k) ;$$
 (2)

where k $X=^{v^2}$ with the magnetic length $^{\mathsf{v}}=^{\mathsf{p}}$ $\frac{\mathsf{p}}{\mathsf{hc}=\mathsf{jeB}}$ j. The dependence of t_n (X) on X results form the fact that an electron from $2\mathsf{D} \, \mathsf{E} \, \mathsf{S}_2$ occupying the state with quantum number X is spatially localized on the scale of 'around $\mathsf{x}=\mathsf{X}$. The overlap of its tail in the barrier with that of states from $2\mathsf{D} \, \mathsf{E} \, \mathsf{S}_k$ will drop precipitously as X = 'gets large. Finally, n_F (") = $[\mathsf{exp}\,("=k_B\,\mathsf{T}\,)+1]^{-1}$ is the Ferm i function. In the following, we use the expression A_k (K_k ;") = 2 (" $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{k}_k}$) which is valid for a clean system of noninteracting electrons[34]. Here $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{k}_k}$ denotes the electron dispersion in $2\mathsf{D} \, \mathsf{E} \, \mathsf{S}_k$.

The spectral function of electrons in $2D \to S_2$ depends crucially on the type of $Q \to S_2$ this layer. At integer , when single {particle properties dominate and disorder broadening is neglected, it has the form

$$A_{?}(n;X;")$$
 $A_{n}(k;") = 2$ (" E_{nk}); (3)

where E_{nk} is the Landau{level dispersion. Strong correlations present at fractional alter the spectral properties of edge excitations. In the low{energy limit, it




FIG. 2: Spectral functions for two{branch hierarchical fractional{Q}H edges at bulk lling factor 2=3 [panel a)] and 2=5 [panel b)], where the charged (edge{m agnetoplasm on) m ode is assumed to be left{m oving. a) We show $A_{2=3}^{(0)}$ (q;") $A_{2=3}^{(1)}$ (q;") for a xed value of q. Note the similarity with the spectral function of a spinless Luttinger liquid [24, 25]. The only dierence is that, in our case, velocities of right{m oving and left{m oving plasm on m odes are not equal. b) $A_{2=5}^{(0)}$ (q;") $A_{2=5}^{(1)}$ (q;") at xed q. It is rem iniscent of the spectral function for a spinful LL exhibiting spin{charge separation [25, 26] but diers due to the absence of any algebraic divergence at v_nq .

is possible to linearize the lowest{Landau{level dispersion around the Ferm i point k_F . At the Laughlin series = 1=(p+1) and for short-range interactions present at the edge, the spectral function was found [9, 28] to be

$$A_{\frac{1}{p+1}}(q;") = \frac{z}{p!} \frac{q}{2 = L_v}^p (" rhv_q):$$
 (4)

Here q k k_F , r= distinguishes the two chiralities of edge excitations, L_y is the edge perimeter, v_e the edge {m agnetoplasm on velocity, and z an unknown normalization constant. The power{ law prefactor of the {function in Eq. (4) is a manifestation of LL behavior.

The main focus of our work is the sharp QH edge at hierarchical lling factors. Here we provide explicitly the momentum {resolved spectral functions for =

 $_{2,p}$ [35]. M icroscopic theories[7, 9] predict the existence of two Fem i points k_{Fo} and k_{Fi} which correspond to outer and inner single-branch chiral edges of Q H u-ids at Laughlin (series lling factors $_{0}$ = 1=(p 1) and $_{i}$ = 1=[(2p 1) (p 1)], respectively. The negative sign of $_{i}$ indicates that the inner edge m ode is counterpropagating. We have used standard bosonization methods[29] applied to fractional(Q H edges[9] for the calculation of the spectral functions. As these have not been obtained before, we brie y discuss their main features here.

A coording to LL theory, the existence of two Ferm i points gives rise to a discrete in nite set of possible electron tunneling operators at the edge. This is because an arbitrary number N of fractional (QH quasiparticles with charge equal to e $_{\rm o}$ can be transferred to the inner edge after an electron has tunneled into the outer one [9]. Each of these processes gives rise to a separate contribution to the electronic spectral function at the edge which is of the general form

$$A_{2;p}^{(N)}(q;") = \frac{2z}{\binom{N}{1}\binom{N}{2}\binom{N}{2}} \frac{L_{y}=2h}{jv_{1}v_{2}j} \frac{\binom{N}{1}+\binom{N}{2}}{jv_{1}v_{2}j} rhv_{1}qj^{\binom{N}{2}-1}j" rhv_{2}qj^{\binom{N}{1}-1}$$

$$f (rhv_{1}q ") (" rhv_{2}q) + (" rhv_{1}q) (rhv_{2}q ")g: (5)$$

Here $q=k=k_F^{(N)}$, where $k_F^{(N)}=k_{F\circ}-N_{-\circ}$ (k_{F\circ}-k_{Fi}). The velocities $v_1 > v_2 > 0$ of normal{mode edge{density} uctuations and the exponents ${}^{(N)}_{1;2}$ depend strongly on m icroscopic details of the edge, e.g., the self-consistent edge potential and inter{edge interactions. We focus here on the experimentally realistic case when inner and outer edges are strongly coupled and the normal modes correspond to the familiar[30] charged and neutraledge-density waves [36]. In this lim it, we have [30, 31] $O(\log [L_v='])$, $v_2 = v_n$ O(1) (where c and n denote charged and neutral, respectively), and the exponents assum e universal values: $\binom{(N)}{1} = \binom{p}{1} = 1=2$, $\binom{(N)}{2} = \binom{(N)}{n}$ $(2N 1)^2=2$. Note that exponents are generally larger than unity except for N = 0; 1 where $_{2}^{(N)}$ = 1=2. In the latter case, an algebraic singularity appears in the spectral function. This is illustrated in Fig. 2. Such divergences will be visible as strong features in the di erential tunneling conductance; see below. Contributions to the spectral function for all other values of N do not show such divergences and will give rise only to a featureless background in the conductance.

W ith spectral functions for $2D E S_?$ at hand, we are now able to calculate tunneling transport. We focus is not the case when $2D E S_?$ is in the QH state at = 1. For realistic situations, the differential tunneling conductance dI=dV as a function of voltage V and magnetic eld B will exhibit two lines of strong maxima whose positions in V {B space are given by the equations

$$E_{0kv} = "_{F?} ; (6a)$$

$$E_{0k_{Fk}} = \mathbf{v}_{F?} + eV$$
 : (6b)

 $2D ES_{?;k}$ are denoted by " $_{F?;k}$. Eqs. (6) can be used to extract the lowest{Landau{level dispersion E_{0k} from maxim a in the experimentally obtained dI=dV, thus enabling microscopic characterization of real Q H edges.

When $2D E S_2$ is in a QH state at a Laughlin {series lling factor $_{1,p}$, it supports a single branch of edge excitations just like at = 1, and the calculation of the di erential tunneling conductance proceeds the same way. The major di erence is, however, the vanishing of spectral weight at the Fermi point of the edge; compare Eqs. (3) and (4). This results in the suppression of maxima described by Eq. (6a), while those given by Eq. (6b) remain. The intensity of the latter rises along the curve as a power law with exponent p.

Finally, we discuss the case of hierarchical lling factors 2,p which are expected to support two branches of edge excitations. To be speci c, we consider lling factors 2=3 and 2=5. In both cases, there are many contributions to the spectral function and, hence, the di erential tunneling conductance. However, only two of these exhibit algebraic singularities. It turns out that these singularities give rise to either a strong maximum or a nite step in the di erential tunneling conductance, depending on the sign of voltage. (See Fig. 3). The strong maximum results from a logarithm ic divergence that occurs when eV = $hv_{\text{c}}\,(k_{\text{F}}^{\,(\!N\,\,)}\,$ $k_{\text{F}\,k})\,.$ Both the maximum and the step edge follow the dispersion of the charged edge {m agnetoplasm on m ode and would therefore enable its experim ental investigation. Most importantly, however, the two spectral functions with singularities exhibit them slightly shifted in guiding (center, i.e., k direction by an amount $(k_{Fo} k_{Fi})$. Hence, two maxima and a double{step feature should appear in the dierential tunneling conductance whose distance in magnetic { eld direction will be a measure of the separation of inner and




FIG. 3: G ray {scale plot of singular contributions to the differential conductance for tunneling into the two{branch Q H edge at lling factor 2=3. A qualitatively similar plot is obtained for lling factor 2=5. N ote the strong maximum rising as a power law for negative bias, which is continued as a step edge for positive bias. Its position in the eV { $_{\rm N}$ plane follows a line whose slope corresponds to the edge{m agnetoplasm on velocity $v_{\rm c}$. To obtain the plot, we have linearized the spectrum in 2D E S $_{\rm k}$ and absorbed the m agnetic{ eld dependence into the parameter $_{\rm N}$ = $k_{\rm F}^{({\rm N}\,)}$ k $_{\rm F\,k}$. As there are two such singular contributions to dI=dV with N = 0;1 which have dierent $_{\rm N}$, a doubling of resonant features shown in this plot would be observed experimentally.

outer edges. Observation of this doubling would yield an irrefutable con rm ation of the expected multiplicity of excitation branches at hierarchical Q H edges.

In conclusion, we have calculated the di erential conductance form on entum {resolved tunneling from a 2D E S into a Q H edge. Maxim a exhibited at =1 follow two curves in V {B parameter space whose expression we give in terms of the lowest{Landau{level dispersion. Their explicit form enables edge{dispersion spectroscopy. At Laughlin{series lling factors, LL behavior results in the suppression of one of these maxima and characteristic power{law behavior exhibited by the other one. The multiplicity of edge modes at hierarchical lling factors corresponds directly to the multiplicity of maxima in the di erential tunneling conductance.

We thank M.G rayson and M.Huber for many useful discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by DFG Grant No.ZU 116 and the DIP project of BMBF.UZ.enjoyed the hospitality of Sektion Physik at LMUM unchen when nishing this work.

- [4] B.I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1583 (1984).
- [5] J.K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 199 (1989).
- [6] A. H. MacDonald, in Mesoscopic Quantum Physics, ed. by E. Akkermans et al. (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995).
- [7] A.H.MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 220 (1990).
- [8] X.G.Wen, Phys. Rev. B 41, 12838 (1990).
- [9] X.G.Wen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 6, 1711 (1992).
- [10] X.G.W en, Adv. Phys. 44, 405 (1995).
- [11] F.P.M illiken, C.P.Umbach, and R.A.W ebb, Solid State Comm.97,309 (1996).
- [12] A.M. Chang, L.N. P fei er, and K.W. W est, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2538 (1996).
- [13] M.Grayson, D.C.Tsui, L.N.Pfei er, K.W.West, and A.M.Chang, Phys.Rev.Lett.80, 1062 (1998).
- [14] A.M. Chang, M.K. Wu, C.C. Chi, L.N. P fei er, and K.W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 143 (2001).
- [L5] M .G rayson, D .C .T sui, L .N .P fei er, K .W .W est, and A .M .C hang, Phys.Rev.Lett.86, 2645 (2001).
- [16] M . Hilke, D . C . T sui, M . G rayson, L . N . P fei er, and K . W . W est, Phys. R ev. Lett. 87, 186806 (2001).
- [17] M . Huber et al., Physica E , in press (2002); and private com munication .
- [18] J. P. Eisenstein, L. N. P fei er, and K. W. W est, Appl. Phys. Lett. 58, 1497 (1991).
- [19] B.Kardynalet al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3802 (1996).
- [20] E.E.V dovin et al., Science 290, 122 (2000).
- [21] O.M. Auslaender et al., Science 295, 825 (2002).
- [22] A.A Lland, C.H.W. Bames, F.W. J.Hekking, and A.J. Schoeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1203 (1999).
- [23] U .Zulicke and A .H .M acD onald, Phys.Rev.B 54, R8349
 (1996).
- [24] V.M eden and K.Schonham m er, Phys.Rev.B 46, 15753
 (1992).
- [25] J. Voit, Phys. Rev. B 47, 6740 (1993).
- [26] M. Fabrizio and A. Parola, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 226 (1993).
- [27] G. D. Mahan, Many-Particle Physics (Plenum Press, New York, 1990).
- [28] J. J. Palacios and A. H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 118 (1996).
- [29] J. von Delff and H. Schoeller, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 7, 225 (1998).
- [30] C. L. Kane and M. P. A. Fisher, in Perspectives in the Quantum HallE ects, ed. by S. Das Sarm a and A. Pinczuk (Wiley, New York, 1997).
- [31] U. Zulicke, A. H. MacDonald, and M. D. Johnson, Phys. Rev. B 58, 13778 (1998).
- [32] Tunneling from a three(dim ensional contact into a QH edge, measured in Refs. 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, cannot resolve momentum even with perfect translational invariance parallel to the edge. The latter is destroyed anyway, in real samples, by dopant(induced disorder in the bulk contact. See also a related tunneling spectroscopy of parallel QH edges by W. Kang et al., Nature (London) 403, 59 (2000).
- [33] Here we neglect magnetic $\{$ eld $\{$ induced subband mixing in 2D E S_k . While this can be straightforwardly included, it will typically result in small quantitative changes only.
- [34] B roadening due to scattering from disorder or interactions can be straightforwardly included and does not change the main results of our study.
- [35] Generalization to jnj > 2 is possible but does not add qualitatively new physical insight.
- [36] Expressions for the general case will be given elsewhere.

^[1] R.E.Prange and S.M.Girvin, eds., The Quantum Hall E ect (Springer, New York, 1990), 2nd ed.

^[2] R.B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1395 (1983).

 $[\]ensuremath{\beta}\xspace$ F.D.M.Haldane, Phys.Rev.Lett.51,605 (1983).