Optim al quantum pumps have a vanishing transm ission coe cient

Anton Alekseev

University of Geneva, Section of Mathematics, 2-4 rue du Lievre, c.p. 240, 1211 Geneve 24, Switzerland Uppsala University, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Box 803, S-75108, Uppsala, Sweden (January 2002)

PACS num bers: 73.23.-b, 72.10.-d

In a recent Letter, A vron et. al [1] introduced a notion of optim al quantum pumps. These are adiabatic quantum pumps which work without dissipation. In particular, they produce neither entropy nor noise. In the present Comment we show that in the absence of magnetic eld optimal quantum pumps always have a vanishing transmission coe cient. Such quantum pumps do not make use of Quantum Mechanics since all tunneling or interference e ects are banned by vanishing of the transmission coe cient. We leave it as an outstanding question whether genuine optimal quantum pumps with nonvanishing transmission coe cient can be constructed by making use of the magnetic eld.

Recall that a quantum pump is a mesoscopic device attached to two (or more) reservoirs by means of ideal quantum wires. All reservoirs have the same chemical potential, and when the pump is not at work no charge transfer occurs between reservoirs. In the scattering approach pioneered by Buttiker et al. [2] and developed in [3,4] a quantum pump connected to n reservoirs is described by the scattering matrix at the Fermi energy S_{kl} where the indices k and llabel the outgoing and incoming channels, respectively. The matrix S_{kl} is always unitary, $S_{kl}^{1} = S_{lk}$. As the pum p operates, the scattering m atrix changes with period , S(t+) = S(t). In practice, this is usually achieved by applying an alternating voltage to som e gates in side the m esoscopic device [5]. The net current pum ped into the channelk at the moment t is given by formula (eqn. (3) of [1]),

$$J_{k} = \frac{ie}{2} (\theta_{t} S S^{y})_{kk} = \frac{ie}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{X^{n}} (\theta_{t} S_{kl}) S_{lk}^{y}$$
 (1)

For the noise production one gets (eqn. (10) of [1]),

$$N_k = \frac{he^2}{24^2} \frac{X}{\frac{16}{k}} j(\theta_t S S^y)_{k1} \hat{j}^2$$
: (2)

In the absence of magentic eld, B=r A=0, one can always choose a gauge in which the vector potential A vanishes. Then, the Schrodinger equation which governs the motion of electrons through the pump has real coe cients since all the terms which contain i are proportional to A. This implies that taking a complex conjugate of a solution yields again a solution. Choosing the incoming and outgoing wave functions complex conjugate to each other (this corresponds to turning e^{ikx} to e^{ikx}) one obtains an extra symmetry of the scattering

m atrix $S_{kl}^{\ 1} = S_{kl}$. Together with unitarity this implies that the scattering matrix is symmetric.

For sim plicity, we consider quantum pumps with two external reservoirs. In this case, the general form of a unitary symmetric scattering matrix is given by formula,

$$S = \begin{cases} a & b \\ b & c \end{cases}$$

where b is the transm ission amplitude, and a and c = a b = b are re-ection amplitudes. The matrix $\mathfrak{G}_t S S^y$ is anti-Hem ritian,

$$\theta_t S S^y = ix_1 \quad y$$

 $y \quad ix_2$

The requirem ent that 0_tS is sym m etric yields yc+ y a = $i(x_2 x_1)b$. Form ula (2) now reads,

$$N_{1;2} = \frac{he^2}{24^2} \dot{Y}_1^2 - \frac{h}{6} \frac{T}{1 T} J^2;$$
 (3)

where $T=\int_0^2 f$ is the transm ission coe cient and $J=(J_1-J_2)=2=e(x_2-x_1)=4$ is the net current between the reservoirs. We conclude that in the absence of magnetic eld an optimal quantum pump $(N_{1;2}=0)$ is only possible if T=0. A surprising denominator (1-T) in formula (3) accounts for the fact that a transparent quantum pump with T=1 cannot transfer charge between reservoirs, and in this case J=0.

A cknow ledgem ents. I am grateful to M . Buttiker, $J.P.E\,ckm$ ann and $G.M.G\,raf$ for the discussion which initiated this work and for their valuable comments. I greatly appreciate remarks by $V.C\,heianov\,and\,L.S\,adun$.

^[1] JE.Avron, A.Elgart, G.M.Grafand L.Sadun, Optimal Quantum Pumps, to be published in Phys.Rev.Lett.

^[2] M. Buttiker, H. Thomas, A. Prêtre, Phys. Lett. A 180 (1993) 364, Z. Phys. B 94 (1994) 133.

^[3] P.W. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. B 63 (2001) 121303.

^[4] M. Moskalets, M. Buttiker, Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 201305.

^[5] M. Switkes, C. M. M. arcus, K. Canpm an and A. G. Gossard, Science 283 (1999) 1907.