Spectroscopic signatures of spin-charge separation in the quasi-one-dim ensional organic conductor TTF-TCNQ

R. Claessen,¹ M. Sing,¹, U. Schwingenschlogl,¹ P. Blaha², M. Dressel³, and C. S. Jacobsen⁴

² Institut fur Physikalische und Theoretische Chemie, Technische Universitat Wien, A-1060 Wien, Austria

³1. Physikalisches Institut, Universitat Stuttgart, D-70550 Stuttgart, Germany

⁴D epartm ent of P hysics, Technical U niversity of D enm ark, D K -2800 Lyngby, D enm ark

(December 27, 2021)

The electronic structure of the quasi-one-dimensional organic conductor TTF-TCNQ is studied by angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES). The experimental spectra reveal signicant discrepancies to band theory. We demonstrate that the measured dispersions can be consistently mapped onto the one-dimensional Hubbard model at nite doping. This interpretation is further supported by a remarkable transfer of spectral weight as function of temperature. The ARPES data thus show spectroscopic signatures of spin-charge separation on an energy scale of the conduction band width.

PACS num bers: 71.20 Rv, 79.60 Fr, 71.10.-w

The Fermiliquid (FL) concept is a central paradigm of solid state physics. It describes the low-lying electronic excitations of m etals in terms of renorm alized and only weakly interacting quasiparticles. Recently, various materials have been discovered whose unusual low-energy properties signal a breakdown of the quasiparticle picture. The theoretically best established case of non-FL physics is that of the interacting one-dimensional (1D) electron gas [1]. Here the quasiparticle picture fails due to a decoupling of charge and spin degrees of freedom, leading to the emergence of a new generic many-body quantum state commonly referred to as Luttinger liquid. Precise results have been obtained for its spectral properties at very low excitation energies [1,2]. Recently, several theoretical studies [3,4,5,6,7] have pointed out that in the case of strong local C oulom b interaction signatures of spin-charge separation m ay also be observable at high energies on the scale of the conduction band width.

Experimentally, the search for Luttinger liquid signatures in quasi-1D metals has so far been directed at their low-energy spectral behavior. For example, virtually all quasi-1D metals studied by angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES) display a characteristic suppression of spectral weight near the Ferm i level [8,9]. However, this nding alone is not su cient as manifestation of generic non-FL physics. Therefore, an unam biguous and direct spectroscopic identication of spin-charge separation in a 1D metal is still lacking.

In this Letter we present ARPES results on the electronic structure of the quasi-1D organic conductor TTF - TCNQ (tetrathiafulvalene tetracyanoquinodim ethane) which revealsigni cant discrepancies from a conventional band structure description. We demonstrate that the TCNQ -related part of the experimental spectra can be consistently mapped onto the 1D Hubbard model at -

nite doping and thus re ects signatures of spin-charge decoupling. This interpretation is further supported by the observation of a tem perature-dependent transfer of spectralweight over an energy scale of the band width.

TTF-TCNQ is an organic charge transfer salt whose anisotropic properties can be understood from its monoclinic crystal structure [10,11], depicted in Fig.1. P lanar TTF and TCNQ molecules form separate linear stacks along the crystallographic b direction. Molecular orbitals of -symmetry overlap with those of neighboring molecules stacked above and below. The covalent bonding along b is maximized by a rotation of the molecular planes about the a-axis. A charge transfer of 0.59 electrons per molecule from TTF to TCNQ drives both types of stacks metallic. The conductivity along b is up to three orders of magnitude larger than perpendicular to it, making TTF-TCNQ a truly quasi-ID metal. This is also related by a Peierls instability occuring at $T_P = 54$ K.

There is substantial experimental evidence that C oulom b interaction plays an essential role in the electronic structure of TTF-TCNQ [11,12]. The e ect of strong electronic correlations can approximately be described by the single-band 1D H ubbard m odel, with the on-site (i.e. intram olecular) C oulom b energy U and the hopping integral t as parameters. Various properties of TTF-TCNQ have successfully been analysed within this approach [11]. There is general agreem ent that for TTF-TCNQ the ratio U=4t 1, with the unperturbed bandwidth 4t 0.5 eV from experiment and band theory [11,12].

ARPES essentially measures the electron rem ovalpart of the spectral function A (k;!) [13]. The experiments were partly performed at the U2/FSGM undulator beam – line of BESSY I in Berlin, using an Omicron AR 65

¹Experim entalphysik II, Universitat Augsburg, D-86135 Augsburg, Germ any

spectrom eter [14]. Spectra on the tem perature dependence were taken at our hom e lab using H e I radiation from a rare gas discharge lam p. In both cases the energy and m om entum resolution amounted to 60 m eV and 0.07 A⁻¹, respectively. All data were taken above the P eierls transition. C lean surfaces parallel to the ab-plane were obtained by in situ cleavage of the crystals at a base pressure of < 10⁻¹⁰ m bar. Surface quality was checked by x-ray photoem ission [15]. All data presented here were m easured before notable radiation-induced surface dam – age occurred.

The ARPES spectra measured along the b axis are shown in Fig. 2 and display pronounced dispersive behavior. Its existence and periodic symmetry indicates a long-range surface order consistent with the bulk periodicity along b. Spectra measured perpendicular to b are dispersionless (not shown here) as expected for a 1D metal. Our data are in excellent agreement with those of Zwick et al. [16] but partly display more spectral detail.

W e now turn to a detailed discussion of the dispersions in Fig.2. At zero m om entum (k = 0) we can clearly identify two peaks at 0.19 and 0.54 eV below E_F . W ith increasing wavevector the intensity dip between them gets sm eared out leading to a broad intensity distribution between 0.15 and 0.6 eV, until at $k = 0.16 A^{-1}$ again two peaks are resolved. This peculiar behavior is consistent with a splitting of the 0.54 eV peak at k = 0 into two separate structures, one moving to higher binding energy (labeled d in Fig. 2) and one (b) dispersing towards the Ferm i level, until it eventually m erges with the low binding energy peak a leading to the intense structure near the Fermi level at k $0:16:::0:24 \text{ A}^{-1}$. For even higher m om enta a weak structure c m oves back again from the Ferm i level and displays a dispersion symmetric about $k = 0.87 \text{ A}^{-1}$, corresponding to the Brillouin zone edge (Z-point [17]). Simultaneously, structure d disperses to higher binding energy and eventually becom es obscured by peak c. For wavevectors in the next zone a sym metryrelated weak shoulder d⁰ is observed.

C bese to k = 0.24 A 1 spectral features a, b, and c reach their closest approach to the Ferm i level. W e identify this position as Ferm i vector, even though no actual Ferm i edge is observed as already noted by Zw ick et al. [16]. R ather, the intensity is suppressed alm ost linearly right down to the Ferm i energy. W e thus obtain a Ferm i surface nesting vector of $2k_{\rm F}$ = 0.48 $\,$ 0.06 A 1 , in good agreem ent with the modulation vector of the P eierls state [11].

Fig.3(a) displays the ARPES spectra as gray-scale plot of their negative second energy derivative in the (E;k)plane, which enhances the visibility of the spectral structures and their dispersion. Also shown is our density functional band calculation [18], which yields two pairs of nearly degenerate bands attributed to the TCNQ chains and TTF chains, respectively. The qualitative behavior of experimental structures a, b, and c is found to be in good correspondence with band theory. Peaks a and b are thus attributed to the TCNQ chains, while c is assigned to the TTF stacks. Quantitatively we nd how ever that the experimental bandwidths exceed those of the calculation by a factor of 2. The fact that band theory is otherwise in good agreement with bulk properties indicates that at the surface, i.e. in the topm ost molecular layers probed by ARPES, the hopping integralt and therefore the bandwidth is renorm alized. One may speculate that this e ect is caused by a molecular surface relaxation; it is how ever of little relevance for the following discussion.

A much more serious problem of band theory is its failure to account for experimental feature d. An interpretation in terms of a surface state seems tempting, but is in conict with the observed Fermi vector: The additional charge of a completely occupied surface state would severely a ect the delicate charge balance between the TTF and TCNQ bands and shift the surface Fermi vector notably from its bulk value, which is not observed. An alternative explanation of d as um klapp in age of the TTF band induced by long-ranged Peierls uctuations [19] is ruled out due to the lack of other evidence of backfolding in the data.

In view of the strong correlation e ects encountered in other properties of TTF-TCNQ we now compare the ARPES dispersions to the electron removal spectra of the 1D Hubbard model at nite doping, schematically depicted in Fig. 3(b). The (photo)hole generated by rem ovalofan electron decays into two collective excitations with separate dynamics, a spinon characterized by a spin quantum num ber and a spinless holon carrying the charge [1]. As a consequence the electron rem oval spectrum consists of a broad continuum determ ined by the phase space available for spinon-holon decomposition, indicated by the gray-shaded region in Fig. 3(b). However, dispersive singularities may appear (solid lines in Fig. 3(b)) for certain decompositions of the real hole. For exam ple, the low binding energy singularity arises from hole fractionalization into a holon bound to the Ferm i level and a propagating spinon; it therefore directly re ects the dispersion of a bare spinon, whose bandwidth scales with the exchange integral J. It is therefore referred to as "spinon" branch. The "holon" branch corresponds to a spinon pinned to the Ferm i level and a propagating holon. It crosses the Ferm i level at $k_{\rm F}$ 2k_F [3,7]. From its energy m in im um at k_F up to $3k_F$ (referred to as "shadow band" in Ref. [3]) it looses strongly in intensity until it becomes barely distinguishable from the di use background. Initially this spectral behavior was derived for the strong coupling $\lim it (U >> 4t)$ of the 1D Hubbard-model [3,20]. Very recently it has been shown that it also holds for moderate interaction strengths of U 4t as relevant for TTF-TCNQ [6,7].

Comparing Figs. 3(a) and (b) one nds a surprisingly good agreement of the TCNQ-related ARPES disper-

sions with the Hubbard model spectrum. The experimental structures a and b closely resemble those of the theoretical spin and charge branches, respectively [21]. Furthermore, the as yet unidenti ed structure d can be well accounted for as the "shadow band" of the Hubbard model, at least for not too large k-vectors. Its upwards dispersion beyond $k_{\rm F}\,$ and its eventual $3k_{\rm F}\,$ -crossing are not observed, probably due to the theoretically predicted loss of weight at larger k and the interfering TTF band. W ithin the Hubbard model interpretation the experimental dispersions thus give direct spectroscopic evidence of spin-charge separation in TTF-TCNQ.

The Hubbard model interpretation of the experim ental dispersions is further supported by the temperature dependence of the ARPES spectra m easured at $k_{\rm F}$, shown in Fig. 4. W ith increasing temperature from 60 to 260 K the spectra reveal a dram atic shift of intensity from the low binding energy peak (a/b) to structure d at 0.75 eV. The e ect is fully reversible and conserves the total spectral weight within experimental accuracy. The strong tem perature dependence was already reported in Ref. [16], but the weight conservation had been overlooked there due to a di erent intensity normalization [22]. A weight transfer over an energy scale so much larger than $k_B T$ cannot be accounted for by conventional electron-phonon coupling or the e ect of Peierls uctuations. On the other hand, calculations of the tem perature-dependent spectrum of the tJ m odel at quarter lling predict a redistribution of spectral weight on a scale of t already for tem peratures $k_B T << t$ [4], exactly as observed in our data. A similar tem perature dependent weight transfer has recently been reported for ARPES spectra of the 1D Mott-Hubbard insulator Na0:96V205 [23] but without a resolution of individual "spinon" and "holon" peaks.

In sum m ary, the unusual behavior of the ARPES spectra of TTF-TCNQ is consistent with the 1D Hubbard modelat nite doping, thus re ecting spectral signatures of spin-charge separation over an energy scale of the conduction band width. We note however that the nearly linear spectral on set at low energies is not reproduced by the simple Hubbard model. C lose to $E_{\rm F}\,$ the density of states of an interacting 1D m etal is expected to follow a E E j power law behavior. W ith only on-site interactions the Hubbard model yields 1=8 [1], at variance with the ARPES data. Exponents of up to 1 becom e possible for an extended Hubbard model including nearest and next-nearest neighbor interactions [24]. Based on the strong $2k_F$ correlations in TTF-TCNQ also the Luther-Em ery (LE) m odel has been suggested to provide an adequate description of its low-energy spectrum [25]. W hether the extended Hubbard model or the LE model are compatible with the observed high-energy behavior is presently unknown and calls for further theoretical work.

We thank S. Hao, Th. Finteis, C. Janowitz, and G. Reichardt for technical support at BESSY, and

JM P.Carmelo, A.Kampf, A.Muramatsu, K.Penc, and J.Voit for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the DFG (CL 124/3-1 and SFB 484) and the BMBF (Grant 05SB 8T SA 2).

- [1] J.Voit, Rep. Prog. Phys. 58, 977 (1995).
- [2] V.Meden and K.Schonhammer, Phys. Rev. B 46, 15753 (1992).
- [3] K. Penc, K. Halberg, F. M ila, and H. Shiba, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1390 (1996).
- [4] K. Penc, K. Hallberg, F. M ila, and H. Shiba, Phys. Rev. B 55, 15475 (1997).
- [5] J.M.P.Camelo, N.M.R.Peres, and P.D.Sacramento, Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 4673 (2000).
- [6] D. Senechal, D. Perez, and M. Pioro-Ladriere, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 522 (2000).
- [7] J. M. P. Carmelo, J. M. B. Lopos dos Santos, L. M. Martelo, and P. D. Sacramento, to be published.
- [8] M. Grioni and J. Voit, in Electron Spectroscopies Applied to Low-D im ensional Materials, edited by H. Stamberg and H. Hughes (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2000), Vol. 1.
- [9] G.H.Gweon et al, J.E lectron Spectrosc. Rel. Phen. 117-118, 481 (2001).
- [10] T.J.K istenm acher, T.E.Phillips, and D.O.Cowan, Acta Cryst.B 30, 763 (1974).
- [11] S. Kagoshima, H. Nagasawa, and T. Sambongi, Onedimensional conductors (Springer, Berlin, 1987), and references therein.
- [12] J.B.Torrance, Y.Tom kiew icz, and B.D.Silverm an, Phys. Rev.B 15, 4738 (1977).
- [13] S. Hufner, Photoem ission Spectroscopy (Springer, Berlin, 1995).
- [14] C. Janowitz et al., J. Electron Spectrosc. Rel. Phenom. 105, 43 (1999).
- [15] R.Claessen et al., physica B, in print.
- [16] F.Zwick et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 2974 (1998).
- [17] Our Z-point is identical to the Y-point of Ref. [16].
- [18] obtained with the W IEN 97 code (Vienna University of Technology 1997). [Improved version of P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, P. Sorantin, and S.B. Trickey, Comput. Phys. Commun. 59, 339 (1990)].
- [19] J.Schafer et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 196403 (2001).
- [20] J.Favand et al, Phys. Rev. B 55, R 4859 (1997).
- [21] In this interpretation the doublet character of the TCNQ bands predicted by band theory is assumed to be unresolved in the ARPES spectra.
- [22] Our spectra are norm alized to incoming photon ux, which incidentally leads to an alignment of the spectra for binding energies > 1.3 eV, independent of tem perature.
- [23] K.Kobayashiet al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 82, 803 (1999).
- [24] A.K.Zhuravlev and M.I.Katsnelson, Phys. Rev. B 64, 033102 (2001).
- [25] J.Voit, Eur. Phys. J.B 5, 505 (1998).



FIG.1.Crystal structure of TTF-TCNQ.



FIG.2. A ngle-resolved photoem ission spectra measured for wavevectors k along the Z direction (h = 25 eV, T = 61 K). The thin lines indicate the dispersion of the spectral features.



FIG.3. (a) G ray-scale plot of the ARPES dispersions (see text for details). Also shown are the conduction bands obtained from density-functional band theory. (b) Schematic electron rem oval spectrum of the 1D H ubbard m odel at nite doping (band lling n < 2=3).



FIG. 4. Temperature-dependence of the spectrum at $k = k_F$. Note the dramatic transfer of weight from low to high binding energy with increasing temperature.