Symmetry-breaking instability in a prototypical driven granular gas

Evgeniy Khain and Baruch Meerson

Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

Sym m etry-breaking instability of a laterally uniform granular cluster (strip state) in a prototypical driven granular gas is investigated. The system consists of sm ooth hard disks in a two-dimensional box, colliding inelastically with each other and driven, at zero gravity, by a \them al" wall. The lim it of nearly elastic particle collisions is considered, and granular hydrodynam ics with the Jenkins-R ichm an constitutive relations is employed. The hydrodynam ic problem is completely described by two scaled parameters and the aspect ratio of the box. M arginal stability analysis predicts a spontaneous sym m etry breaking instability of the strip state, sim ilar to that predicted recently for a di erent set of constitutive relations. If the system is big enough, the marginal stability curve becomes independent of the details of the boundary condition at the driving wall. In this regime, the density perturbation is exponentially localized at the elastic wall opposite to the therm al wall. The short- and long-wavelength asym ptotics of the marginal stability curves are obtained analytically in the dilute lim it. The physics of the sym m etry-breaking instability curves are obtained analytically in the dilute lim it. The physics of the sym m etry-breaking instability is discussed.

PACS num bers: 45.70.Q j

G ranular m aterials play an important role in industrial applications, geophysics and astrophysics. They are also of a great general interest to physicists, as each of the \phases" of granularm aterials: solid, liquid and gas have unusual properties that distinguish them from their classic (atom ic) counterparts [1, 2]. W e will consider granular gas (or rapid granular ow) and focus on a variant of clustering instability, a striking tendency of granular gases to form dense clusters. Clustering instability was rst discussed in the context of a freely "cooling" granular gas [4, 5, 6]. Follow ing these works, related clustering phenom ena were investigated in driven granular gases as well, both in experiments [7, 8] and in particle simulations [9, 10, 11].

Granular clustering results from energy losses by inelastic collisions, and it is a manifestation of thermal condensation instability, also encountered in other elds, for example in gases and plasm as that cool by their own radiation [12]. Since the discovery of the clustering instability, the valid ity of granular hydrodynam ics (see R ef. [13] for a review) has been under scrutiny [3]. In contrast to the clustering in a freely "cooling" granular gas, where one deals with a com plex tim e-dependent process, steady states are achievable in driven granular system s. O ne of the sim plest settings of this type is driving the granulate by a side wallat a zero gravity. Therefore, an ensemble of inelastically colliding hard spheres, con ned in a box and driven by one or two \therm al" walls has served as a prototypical driven granular system [7, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17]. Steady states of this system have served as test beds for granular hydrodynam ics and its violations. The rst analysis of this system in the physical literature was performed, in one dimension, by Kadano et al. [9]. The nearly elastic particles were constrained to move on a straight line with energy input from the boundaries. Particle simulations [9] showed that, for typical initial conditions, the system evolves to a state where the particles are separated into two groups. A lm ost all particles form a cluster in a sm all region of space, where they move with very sm all velocities, while a very few remaining particles move with high velocities. C learly, this steady state cannot be described by granular hydrodynam ics (actually, by any coarse-grained theory). Therefore, the results of K adano et al. [9] brought into question the validity of granular hydrodynam ics in general.

This question was addressed in two subsequent theoretical works [10, 11] that dealt with sim ilar systems in two dimensions. Esipov and Poschel [11] investigated an ensemble of nearly elastic hard disks in a circular box with the circum ference serving as therm al wall. Grossman et al. [10] considered a rectangular box, one side of which served as thermal wall. Particle simulations [10, 11] showed granular clusters: dense and \cold" regions of granulate developing away from the therm alwall. In term s of the coarse-grained particle density, these steady-state clusters had simple shapes: azin uthally uniform (circular state) [11] and laterally uniform (strip state) [10]. Grossman et al. also showed that, for nearly elastic collisions, the strip state is describable by a steady-state solution of granular hydrodynam ic equations. The empiric constitutive relations suggested by G rossm an et al. used simple interpolations between the low-density lim it, where the constitutive relations are derivable system atically [18], and high-density lim it where, close to the dense packing, free volum e argum ents can be used.

The results of R efs. [10, 11] show ed that the anom aly observed in the one-dimensional setting [9] does not persist in higher dimensions. Clustered states qualitatively similar to those of G rossman et al. were observed in experiment of K udrolliet al. [7] who investigated a system of spherical particles in a box, rolling on a smooth sur-

face and driven by a rapidly vibrating side wall. The num ber of particles served as the control param eter in Ref. [7]. A dense cluster of the strip type was observed when the number of particles was big enough, in much the same way as in Ref. [10]. The basic physics of the strip state is simple and can be explained by the follow ing hydrodynam ic argum ent. Because of the inelastic collisions the particle random motion slows down (that is, the granular tem perature decreases) with the increase of the distance from the driving wall. To maintain the m om entum balance, the granular density should increase with this distance. W hen the total num ber of particles is big enough (the rest of param eters being the sam e), the density contrast becom es large, and the enhanced density region away from the driving wall is observed as the strip state.

The prototypical system exhibits many interesting phenom ena of non-hydrodynam ic nature. These include inelastic collapse [11, 15], possible lack of scale separation [10], non-Gaussianity in the particle velocity distribution [10, 16], norm alstress di erence and pressure nonuniform ities [14], etc. For nearly elastic collisions, however, granular hydrodynam ics was shown to yield an accurate quantitative description in the dilute lim it [14], and reasonably accurate description form oderate and high granular densities [10]. Of course, the nearly elastic lim it is quite restrictive form ost of realistic granular ows. Still, this lim it is conceptually important just because granular hydrodynam ics can be used there. G ranular hydrodynam ics has a great predictive power and helps to identify in portant collective phenom ena (shear ow s and vortices, shocks, di erent modes of clustering ow setc.) that are di cult, if not im possible, to identify and predict in the language of individual particles. Once identied, these phenom ena can then be investigated in experim ent and simulations in more general (not necessarily hydrodynam ic) form ulations.

Therefore, granular hydrodynam ics provide a leadingorder approach to a big ensem ble of nearly-elastically colliding grains. This approach has been taken recently by Livne et al. [17] who employed granular hydrodynam ics for a stability analysis of the strip state described above. The analysis revealed a spontaneous symmetry-breaking instability of the strip state with respect to perturbations along the strip. Well within the instability region, the clustered states with broken symmetry, found by a num erical solution of the steady state hydrodynam ic equation, are strongly localized in the lateral direction: most of the particles are located in dense \islands" [17]. These results indicate that the prototypical system can show a non-trivial behavior even in the leading-order, hydrodynam ic lim it. Indeed, this system s can be put into the list of pattern-forming systems far from equilibrium [19].

The present work focuses on a more detailed stability analysis of this system. Our rst objective is to check to what extent the symmetry-breaking instability predicted in Ref. [17] is sensitive to the constitutive relations. Livne et al. [17] em ployed the em piric relations suggested by Grossman et al. [10]. Here we shall use the better known Jenkins-Richman (JR) relations [20]. W hile the relations of G rossm an et al. are m ore accurate for high densities (even including those close to the dense packing limit), the JR relations should work better at low and interm ediate densities. W e shall see, how ever, that the marginal stability curves, obtained with these two sets of relations, are not much di erent from each other. This implies that the symmetry-breaking instability is robust. Our second objective is to get more insight into the marginal stability problem and, where possible, to obtain analytic results. W e shall show that the marginal problem is equivalent to an eigenvalue problem of quantum mechanics. An important nding here is a universalbehavior of the marginal stability curves in the lim it when the density perturbations are strongly localized at the elastic wall opposite to the therm al wall. In the dilute limit, we obtain analytically the short- and longwavelength asymptotics of the marginal stability curves and density eigenfunctions. We also give the physical interpretation to the symmetry-breaking instability and to the density borders of the instability region.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2 we form ulate the m odel and brie y describe the strip state: the simplest steady state of the prototypical system. Section 3 presents marginal stability analysis of the strip state and compares the results obtained for two di erent sets of constitutive relations. More results on marginal stability, including som e analytic results in the dilute lim it, are presented in Sec. 4. Section 5 includes a discussion and sum mary.

II.PROTOTYPICAL SYSTEM AND STRIP STATE

The prototypical driven granular system in two dim ensions include inelastically colliding hard disks of diam eterd and mass m = 1, moving in a box with dimensionsLy. The gravity force is zero. Collisions of disks Lx with the walls x = 0; y = 0 and $y = L_y$ are assumed elastic. The wall $x = L_x$ is "therm al" wall: upon collision a particle is assigned a random velocity taken from a Gaussian ensemble with temperature T₀. Energy input at the therm alw allbalances the energy dissipation due to inter-particle collisions, so the system can reach a steady state. W e shall param eterize the inelasticity of the particle collisions by a constant norm al restitution coe cient r and work in the nearly elastic limit: 1 r² 1. In this lim it, the Navier-Stokes granular hydrodynam ics is expected to be su ciently accurate in a system with a big num ber of particles and sm all K nudsen num ber. The possible steady states of the system are described by the

steady state versions of the m om entum and energy balance equations:

$$p = const and r (rT) = I;$$
 (1)

where p is the granular pressure, T is the granular tem – perature, is the thermal conductivity and I is the rate of energy losses by collisions. We assume that the number density n is not too big: $n=n_c = 0.5$, where $n_c = 2 = (\overline{3}d^2)$ is the (nexagonal) dense packing density. This assumption enables us to employ the constitutive relations derived by Jenkins and R ichm an [20]. For the steady state problem, the required constitutive relations include the equation of state p = p(n;T) and relations for and I in terms of n and T.

Let us introduce scaled coordinates: $r=L_x$! r. In the new coordinates the box dimensions are 1 , where = $L_y=L_x$ is the aspect ratio. Introducing the normalized inverse density $z(x;y) = n_c = n(x;y)$, one can rewrite the energy balance equation in Eq. (1) in term s of z(x;y):

$$r$$
 (F (z) $r z$) = Q (z); (2)

where F(z) = A(z)B(z),

$$A(z) = \frac{G^{1} + \frac{9}{16} + \frac{2}{36}^{2}}{z^{1-2}(1+2G)^{5-2}};$$

$$B(z) = 1 + 2G + \frac{p}{3}\frac{z(z+\frac{p}{16})}{(z-\frac{p}{2})^{3}};$$

$$Q(z) = \frac{6}{(1+2G)^{3-2}};$$

$$G(z) = \frac{p}{2^{p}3}\frac{z^{\frac{7}{2}}}{(z-\frac{p}{3})^{2}};$$
(3)

and = $(2 = 3) (1 r) (I_{\star}=d)^2$. Notice that, for an arbitrary smallbut nite inelasticity 1 r, the dimensionless parameter can be made arbitrary large, if the system size L_x is large enough. The parameter diers from the parameter L used by Livne et al. [17] only by a numerical factor of order unity. Of most interest are regimes where 1, see below.

The boundary conditions for Eq. (2) are determ ined by the properties of the particle wall interactions. At the elastic walls x = 0, y = 0 and y = we should prescribea zero norm alcomponent of the heat ux. In term softheinverse density <math>z we have $r_n z = 0$ at these three walls. Here index n denotes the gradient component norm al to the wall. The constant temperature at the \therm al" wall x = 1 yields the condition (2x (x = 1; y)) = (0, y) = 0. To m ake the form ulation of the problem complete, one m ore condition is needed. In experiment or particle simulations, the number of particles N is xed. This yields a norm alization condition:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{dx dy}{z(x;y)} = f; \qquad (4)$$

where $f = hni=n_c$ is the area fraction of the grains and $hni = N = (L_x L_y)$ is the average number density of the grains.

Equations (2)-(4) and the boundary conditions make a complete set. One can see that the governing param – eters of this system are the scaled parameters , f and . If the system is in nite in the y-direction, only two governing parameters: and f remain. Notice that the steady-state density distributions are independent of the wall temperature T_0 , in contrast to the similar problem with gravity, where the gravity acceleration, combined with T_0 and the (nite) system size in the direction of gravity, would make an additional governing parameter.

The laterally uniform steady state (strip state) corresponds to the one-dimensional (y-independent) solution z = Z(x). It is described by the equations

$$(\mathbf{F} \mathbf{Z}^{0})^{0} = \mathbf{Q}; \mathbf{Z}^{0} \mathbf{\dot{j}}_{=0} = 0;$$

and $\mathbf{Z}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f};$ (5)

where primes stand for the x derivatives. For the strip state, the boundary condition at the wall x = 1 drops out. This implies, in particular, that the density pro le of the strip state is independent of the exact nature of the driving wall (therm alor vibrating wall) [21]. This degeneracy of the strip state is caused by the character of particle interaction: the hard-core potential does not introduce any characteristic energy [11]. Notice that, instead of prescribing the grain area fraction f, one can prescribe the inverse density $Z = Z_0$ at x = 0. This condition, combined with the no-ux condition at x = 0de nes a Cauchy problem for Z (x). Solving the Cauchy problem, one can then compute, from the last equation in Eq. (5), the respective value of f. At xed , there is a one-to-one correspondence between Z $_{\rm 0}$ and f . Therefore, an alternative param eterization of the strip state is given by the scaled numbers $and Z_0$. We shall see below that the same property keeps (and can be conveniently used) in the marginal stability problem . Figure 1 shows a typical example of the scaled density pro le $n(x)=n_c$ of the strip state obtained by solving Eqs. (5) num erically.

III. IN STABILITY OF THE STRIP STATE: M ARG INAL STABILITY CURVES

In general, the strip state is only one of the possible solutions of Eq. (2). Because of its nonlinearity, Eq. (2) m ay have additional solutions satisfying the same boundary conditions. When exist, these additional solutions are truly two-dimensional: the translational symmetry along y is broken. An important class of these solutions bifurcate supercritically from the strip state [17]. Therefore, close to the bifurcation point, these solutions can be found by linearizing Eq. (2) around the strip state.



FIG. 1: A n example of the scaled density pro le n (x)=n_c of the strip state for the JR constitutive relations. The governing parameters are $= 10^4$ and f = 0.0342.

A similar analysis was performed in Ref. [17] for the constitutive relations of G rossm an et al. [10]. In the fram ework of a time-dependent hydrodynam ic form ulation, this analysis corresponds to marginal stability analysis of the strip state with respect to sm all perturbations along the strip [17].

Substituting $z(x;y) = Z(x) + k(x) \cos ky$ and linearizing Eq. (2) with respect to the small correction $k(x) \cos ky$, we obtain:

$$\frac{Q_Z}{F} + k^2 = 0:$$
 (6)

Here $(x) = F(x)_{k}(x)$, functions F and Q are evaluated at z = Z(x), and subscript Z m eans the z derivative evaluated at z = Z(x). The boundary conditions are

$$^{0}(x = 0) = 0 \text{ and } (x = 1) = 0$$
: (7)

Equation (6) coincides with the Schrödinger equation for an even wave function (x) of a particle in the potential well

$$U(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{Q_z}{F} \text{ if } \dot{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{j} < 1;$$

+1 otherwise: (8)

The quantity k^2 serves as the energy eigenvalue. The energy levels in the potential (8) are always discrete, and there is an in nite number of them. However, as the wave number k should be real, only negative or zero energy levels are admissible. At xed values of and f, the potential (8) admits at most one such energy level. The absence of negative energy levels in plies that, in the vicinity of the strip state, there are no steady states different from it. The presence of a negative energy level corresponds to a \weakly two-dimensional" steady state, bifurcating from the strip state. We shall exploit the quantum -m echanical analogy more fully in Sec. 4. Here we report some numerical results. Figure 2 shows the m arginal stability curves: the curves k = k(f) at di erent values of , com puted num erically. In these com putations, the parameter was taken large enough. The strip state is unstable below the respective curve and stable above the curve. Notice that, at xed , the instability is possible only within a nite interval of f: $f_1() < f < f_2()$. The same property was reported in Ref. [17] for another set of constitutive relations. We shall give a physical explanation to this nding in Sec. 4. Notice (see also Ref. [17]) that, at large , the highdensity stability border f_2 is quite sm all. The curves in Fig. 2 are actually plotted in scaled coordinates: k ¹⁼² versus f¹⁼². It can be seen that, in the scaled coordinates, all the curves exit from the same point of the horizontal axis f $^{1=2}$. In addition, the maxima of all the curves are equal. These observations will be also explained in Sec. 4.



FIG. 2: M arginal stability curves for di erent values of , plotted in scaled coordinates: k $^{1=2}$ versus f $^{1=2}$. For a xed the strip state is stable above the respective curve and unstable below the curve. The values of are: 10^4 (solid line), 2:5 10^4 (dashed line), 5 10^4 (dotted line) and 10^5 (dash-dot line).

If the system is in nite in the lateral direction, = 1, while and f are xed, a whole continuum spectrum of wave numbers between k = 0 and k = k (;f) is unstable. Both in experiment, and in numerical simulations is nite. In this case k becom es discrete because of the boundary conditions: k = m =, where m = 1;2;:::For each m we can nd the critical value of the aspect (let us call it $_{\rm m}$) such that for > ratio m the strip state looses stability with respect to the m-m ode. Obviously, $m = m_1$, and 1 is the lowest critical value for the symmetry-breaking instability. Figure 3 shows $_1$ as a function of f for dierent values of . For xed and f, the strip state is unstable above the curve. It is seen from Fig. 3 that, in order to observe the symmetry-breaking instability, one does not need to

5

work with very large aspect ratios : it is su cient if the system is big enough, so that parameter is su ciently large.



FIG. 3: The critical aspect ratio $_1$ for the symmetrybreaking instability as a function of f for di erent values of . For a xed , the strip state is stable below the respective curve and unstable above the curve. The parameters are: $_1 = 10^4$ (solid line), $_2 = 2.5 \ 10^4$ (dashed line), $_3 = 5 \ 10^4$ (dotted line) and $_4 = 10^5$ (dash-dot line).

To what extent is the symmetry-breaking instability sensitive to the precise form of the constitutive relations? W e com pared the m arginal stability curves = 1 (f) for di erent values of with the respective curves [17] found for the constitutive relations of G rossm an et al. [10]. A typical example of this comparison is shown in Fig. 4. One can see that, qualitatively, the results are the sam e: the both curves describe a sym m etry-breaking instability at a critical value of the aspect ratio that depends on the area fraction. In both cases, there are sharp low - and high-density borders of instability region. Therefore, we can conclude that the instability is robust and does not require a very special form of the constitutive relations. On the other hand, there is a noticeable (about 15%) di erence in the exact positions of the marginal stability curves, so the instability provides a good quantitative test for constitutive relations of granular hydrodynam ics.

IV . M ORE RESULTS ON M ARG IN AL STABILITY

In this Section we investigate the marginal stability problem in more detail and obtain some analytic results in the dilute lim it.

A.Localization and universality

Let us characterize the strip state by the scaled param – eters and Z_0 and introduce a di erent rescaling of the



FIG. 4: The critical aspect ratio $_1$ (f) for the sym m etrybreaking instability as computed for the constitutive relations of G rossm an et al. [10] (dash-dotted line) and of JR [20] (dotted line). P aram eter = 11;094.

coordinate: $x = x^{1=2}$. In term s of the original, physical coordinate x_{ph} the new rescaling is independent of the system size:

$$x = -\frac{2}{3} - \frac{(1 - r)^{1-2} x_{ph}}{d}$$
:

Equations (5) for the strip state become

$$(\mathbf{F} Z^{0})^{0} = Q$$
; $Z(\mathbf{x} = 0) = Z_{0}$
and $Z^{0}(\mathbf{x} = 0) = 0$; (9)

while the eigenvalue problem (6) and (7) reads

$$\frac{Q_{Z}}{F} + k^{2} = 0; \quad {}^{0}(x = 0) = 0$$

and $(x = {}^{1=2}) = 0:$ (10)

Now the primes denote the derivatives with respect to x, while k = k ¹⁼² is the new scaled wave number. Like the coordinate x, the new scaled wave number k is independent of L_x :

$$k = \frac{3}{2} \frac{^{1=2}}{(1 r)^{1=2}} \frac{k_{ph} d}{r};$$

where k_{ph} is the physical wave number. The problem (9) and (10) is determined by two parameters: Z_0 and . However, enters the rescaled equations only in one place: in the last boundary condition in Eq. (10) where it determines the scaled system size. If the wave function (x) is strongly localized in the potential well U (x) (correspondingly, the negative energy level is su ciently deep), the results for k and (x) become independent of at su ciently large . Indeed, in this case one can safely move the boundary $x = 1^{-2}$ to in nity. It is in portant that, in this case, the exact form of the boundary condition at the driving wall becomes insigni cant, leading

only to exponentially sm all corrections [21]. This universal \localization regime" was discovered in Ref. [17] that em ployed a di erent set of constitutive relations. The criterion for localization can be obtained from the requirem ent that the localization length (which is of order k^{-1}) be much smaller than the (scaled) system size 1=2. In the physical units it corresponds to the short-wavelength lim it of the bifurcating solution: $k_{ph}L_x$ 1. To ful ll this criterion, k should be far enough from the borders of the instability interval, where k vanishes. In the next subsection we will work in the dilute lim it and rewrite this criterion in term softhe governing param eters of the problem.

Figure 5 shows the marginal stability curves $k = k (Z_0)$ for di erent values of , obtained num erically. Instead of the borders $f_1()$ and $f_2()$ of the instability interval in term s of parameter f, the respective borders in term s of parameter Z_0 appear. One can see that, for large values of , the marginal stability curves coincide in a wide region of Z₀ not too close to the borders of the instability interval. This region corresponds to strong localization. Figures 6-8 show the form of the potential (8) and the negative energy level k^2 in three characteristic cases (in these gures we returned to the rescaling of the coordinates and wavenum ber by the system size L_x). Figure 6 corresponds to the region of param eters where the energy level is deep and eigenfunction is localized. Figures 7 and 8 correspond to the parameter regions close to the low - and high-density borders of the instability, respectively. There is no localization here. Notice the qualitative change in the form of the potential near the high-density stability border. Figure 9 shows the respective eigenfunctions in these three cases.

B.M arginal stability borders and physics of the in stab ility

The low - and high-density stability borders $f_1 \left(\right. \right)$ and f_2 () (or respective borders in terms of Z_0) are determ ined by the zero-eigenvalue (k = 0) solution of Eq. (10). This solution can be found if we know the strip solution $Z(x;Z_0)$ of Eq. (9). Indeed, it is easy to check that function $_0(\mathbf{x}) = F @Z = @Z_0$ is a solution of Eq. (10) with k = 0, satisfying the boundary condition 0(x = 0). Em ploying the second boundary condition $(x = 1^{-2}) = 0$ we obtain $@Z_1 = @Z_0 = 0$, where $Z_1 = Z (x = {}^{1=2}; Z_0)$. For a given , this equation is an algebraic equation for Z_0 . Our num erical results in ply that this equation has only two solutions corresponding to the low-and highdensity instability borders. The instability borders have a clear physical meaning which sheds light on the physics of the instability. Let us consider the granular pressure p = nT (1 + 2G) [20] of the strip state, and introduce a



0.06

FIG.5: M arginal stability curves for dierent values of $\ ,$ plotted in coordinates k = k ¹⁼² versus Z₀. For a xed the strip state is stable above the respective curve and unstable below the curve. The inset shows the splitting of the curves near the high-density stability border. The values of are: 10^4 (solid line), 2:5 10^4 (dashed line), 5 10^4 (dotted line) and 10^5 (dash-dot line).



FIG.6: An example of the negative energy level E = k^2 ' 83:01 (dashed line) in the potential U (x) (solid line) in the regime of localization. The parameters are $= 2.5 \ 10^4$ and $Z_0 = 6$.

scaled pressure

$$P = \frac{p}{n_c T_0} = \frac{1 + 2G}{Z} \frac{T}{T_0}$$
:

AsP is independent of the coordinates, we can compute it at the therm alwallx = 1^{2} . Here T = T₀ and Z = Z₁, so we arrive at

$$P = \frac{1 + 2G(Z_1)}{Z_1} = P(;;f):$$



FIG.7: An example of the negative energy level $E = k^{2}$, 0:155 (dashed line) in the potential U (x) (solid line) in the absence of localization. The parameters $= 2:5 \ 10^{4}$ and $Z_{0} = 75$ correspond to the region close to the low-density stability border.



FIG. 8: A nother example of the negative energy level E = k^2 ' 4:1 (dashed line) in the potential U (x) (solid line) in the absence of localization. The parameters = 2:5 10^4 and $Z_0 = 2.3$ correspond to the region close to the high-density stability border.

Now let us compute the derivative <code>@P=@f</code> at a constant :

$$\frac{\text{@P}}{\text{@f}} = \frac{\text{@P}}{\text{@Z}_1} \frac{\text{@Z}_1}{\text{@Z}_0} \frac{\text{@Z}_0}{\text{@f}} :$$

O ne can easily check that the rst and third multipliers in the right hand side of this relation are always negative. Therefore, the sign of @P = @f is determined by the sign of $@Z_1 = @Z_0$. As we have seen, the marginal stability borders are determined by equation $@Z_1 = @Z_0 = 0$. Therefore, the steady-state pressure has its extrem um points points exactly at the points f_1 and f_2 . Figure 10 shows an example of the dependence P = P (f) at a constant for the strip state, found numerically. One can see, that P is a decreasing function of f within the instability interval $(f_1; f_2)$, and an increasing function of f outside



FIG.9: Eigenfunctions (x) corresponding to the eigenvalues shown in Figs. 6 (strong localization, solid line), 7 (dash-dot line) and 8 (dashed line). The eigenfunctions are (arbitrarily) norm alized so that (0) = 1.

the interval. The physical interpretation of these results is clear. The presence of the anom alous (falling) part of the P (f) curve indicates instability, and it is caused by the destabilizing role of collisional heat losses [5, 12]. W e can say that, on the interval $(f_1; f_2)$, the granulate has negative lateral compressibility. At $f < f_1$ the heat losses are too sm all to cause instability. The presence of the high-density border f_2 is caused by the nite-density corrections to the constitutive relations (that is, by the nite size of the particles). This is in contrast to radiative condensations in gases and plasm as, where such a stabilizing e ect would be absent. Now consider a small density modulation of the strip state with a very long wavelength: k ! 0. For this perturbation, the stabilizing e ect of the lateral heat conduction vanishes, and the negative com pressibility makes the strip state unstable on the interval $(f_1; f_2)$. For a non-zero k, the lateral heat conduction has a stabilizing e ect. Therefore, a density modulation with too a short lateral wavelength should be stable, as Fig. 2 indeed shows.

C.Dilute lim it

In this subsection we shallwork in the dilute lim it and derive several analytic results. We shall see that, at large , the dilute lim it faithfully reproduces the low-density parts of the marginal stability curves.

1. Strip state and marginal stability problem

In the dilute lim it, Z 1, Eq. (9) for the strip state becomes

$$(Z^{3=2})^{0} = 3Z^{1=2}; (11)$$



FIG.10: The scaled steady-state granular pressure P versus the grain area fraction f for the strip state. The two circles correspond to the marginal stability borders f_1 and f_2 . The e ective lateral compressibility of the gas is negative on the interval (f_1 ; f_2). Parameter = 10^4 .

where the primes denote the x derivatives. The boundary conditions are $Z^{0}(x = 0) = 0$ and $Z(x = 0) = Z_{0}$, where Z_{β} is related to f and by the normalization condition $_{0}^{0} Z^{-1} dx = f^{-1=2}$. The solution of this problem is elementary:

$$x = \frac{Z_0}{2} \operatorname{arccosh}^{p} + \frac{p}{2};$$
 (12)

where $= Z = Z_0$ and

$$Z_{0} = \frac{2^{1=2}}{f^{1=2} + \frac{1}{2} \sinh(2f^{1=2})} :$$
 (13)

(Returning for a moment to the old rescaling of the coordinate, $x_{ph}=L_x$! x, one can see that the density pro le (12) is determined by a single parameter: = f¹⁼².) Eq. (10) for (x) = $(3=2) Z^{1=2}$ (x) k (x) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{2} = 0;$$
 (14)

where $= k^2 Z_0^2$, and = (x) is given, in an implicit form, by Eq. (12). The boundary conditions for Eq. (14) remain the same as in Eq. (10). As (x) is a monotonic function of x, we can change the independent variable in Eq. (14) from x to . The resulting equation for () is

$$4(1) \quad {}^{00}+2 \quad {}^{0}+(1 \quad {}^{2}) = 0; \quad (15)$$

where the primes now denote the derivatives. The function () is defined on the interval 1 $_1$, where $_1 = Z_1 = Z_0 = \cosh^2$. One boundary condition is $(= _1) = 0$ to which we may add an arbitrary normalization condition (= 1) = 1. An additional boundary condition, $^0(= 1) = (1) = 2$, can be found from Eq. (15) itself, after substituting there = 1. This

eigenvalue problem includes a single parameter , while serves as the eigenvalue.

We have been unable to solve Eq. (15) analytically for a general . Still, several in portant asymptotics can be obtained.

2. Zero-energy state and stability border f_1

For k = 0 Eq. (15) can be solved analytically:

$$(;k=0)$$
 $_{0}()=$ $p-p-1$ arccosh ; (16)

In other words, we impose a zero eigenvalue k=0 and nd the low-density stability border $f_1=f_1$ () from the boundary condition $_0$ ($_1$) = 0. We obtain an algebraic equation $\cot(_1) = _1$ for $_1 = f_1 \ ^{1=2}$. Its solution is $_1 = 1:19968: ::.$ This result explains why all m arginal stability curves shown in Fig.2 depart (alm ost) from the same point at the low-density side. Figure 11 compares the scaled quantity $f_1 \ ^{1=2}$ at di erent , found num erically from Eq. (6), with this analytic prediction (a constant). The agreement is very good for large . As goes down, f_1 increases and the dilute approximation starts to deteriorate.



FIG. 11: The scaled low-density stability boundary $_1 = f_1^{1=2}$ at di erent $^{1=2}$ as found num erically from Eq. (6) (circles). Solid line shows the analytical result $_1 = 1:19968:::$ obtained in the dilute lim it.

Figure 12 compares the analytic result for the zeroenergy eigenfunction $_0(x)$, given by Eqs. (16) and (12), with a num erical solution of Eq. (6) for $= 10^4$. The coordinate x in Fig. 12 is rescaled by L_x . The analytic and num eric results are obtained for slightly di erent values of f_1 (see Fig. 11). One can see that the agreement is excellent.



FIG.12: The zero-energy eigenfunction $_0$ (x) computed numerically from Eq. (6) (circles) and given analytically by Eqs.(16) and (12) (solid line). The parameters are $= 10^4$, $f_{1,num} = 0.0124$ (circles) and $f_{1,nunl} = 0.0120$ (solid line).

3. Short-wavelength lim it: localization and universality

In the short-wavelength lim it the system boundary $= 1 = \cosh^2$ can be moved to in nity. This requires a strong inequality = $f^{1=2}$ 1. In this lim it, the eigenvalue problem (15) does not include any param eter. The eigenvalue should therefore be a num ber of order of unity, hence $k = A = Z_0$, with constant A of order of unity. The constant can be found num erically: A ' 0:525. This simple result represents the low-density lim it of the \universal" marginal stability curve, corresponding to strong localization. Figure 13 shows this asymptotics for $= 10^5 \cdot 0$ ne can see excellent agreem ent for large enough Z_0 , but not too close to the higher- Z_0 (low-density) instability border. Near the instability border becom es of order of unity, and localization breaks down.



FIG.13: The marginal stability curve for $= 10^5$, plotted in coordinates k = k $^{1-2}$ versus Z_0 (solid line) and two dilute-lim it asymptotics: the dilute-lim it part $k = A = Z_0$ of the (\universal") short-wavelength curve (dotted line) and the long-wavelength asymptotics (24) (dashed line).

Returning to the parameters % k=0 and f, and to the wave number k = $k_{ph}\,L_x$, we can rewrite the asymptotics k = A =Z $_0$ as

$$k = \frac{A}{2} f^{1=2} + \frac{1}{2} \sinh(2f^{1=2}) : \qquad (17)$$

This asymptotics is shown in Fig. 14.



FIG.14: Marginal stability curves for di erent values of , plotted in coordinates k versus $= f^{1=2}$. For a xed , the strip state is stable above the respective curve and unstable below the curve. The values of are: 10^4 (solid line), 2:5 10^4 (dashed line), 5 10^4 (dotted line) and 10^5 (dash-dot line). A lso shown is the dilute-lim it asymptotics (17) of the universal marginal stability curve.

The asymptotics (17) is valid when $= f^{1=2}$ 1. It is easy to check that this criterion coincides, in the dilute limit, with the localization criterion k¹ $^{1=2}$ discussed in Sec. 4A. On the other hand, the parameter should not be too large, so that the dilute limit condition Z_0 1 is still satisfied, see Eq. (13). These two criteria can be rewritten as a strong double inequality for f:

$$\frac{1}{1=2}$$
 f $\frac{\log(8^{1=2})}{2^{1=2}}$;

that can be satis ed only for extrem ely large .

4. Long-wavelength lim it: perturbation theory

C lose to the low-density stability border, f fi $f_1 = 1:19968:::$ ¹⁼² we can assume that 1 and solve Eq. (15) perturbatively. In the physical units, this strong inequality corresponds to the long-wavelength lim it: $k_{ph}L_x$ 1. In its turn, the dilute lim it requires 1. We substitute in Eq. (15) () = 0() + (), where $_0$ is given by Eq. (16). Neglecting the ²-term in Eq. (15), we obtain

$$4(1) ^{00} + 2 ^{0} + = ^{2} _{0}();$$
 (18)

The norm alization and boundary conditions at = 1 are (=1) = 0 and $^{0}(=1) = 1=2$, respectively. The latter condition follows from Eq. (18) itself. Equation (18) can be solved analytically. W ith the account of the two boundary conditions we obtain

$$() = \frac{1}{8} _{2} () + _{2} () I_{1} () _{1} () I_{2} (); \quad (19)$$

where $_1() = (1)^{1/2}$ and $_2() = 2_0()$. If and I₂ are indenite integrals:

$$I_1 = \frac{2}{G_2 W} \frac{1}{G_2 W} d$$
 and $I_2 = \frac{2}{G_2 W} \frac{2}{G_2 W} d$; (20)

where $G_1 = {}^2_{0} = 4$; $G_2 = (1)$, $W = {}_1_{2}^{0}_{1}_{1}_{2}^{0}$ and the primes denote the derivatives. Integrals I_1 and I_2 can be evaluated in elementary functions, but the results are too cumbersome to be presented here. The additional boundary condition [at $= Z_1 = Z_0$ 1] reads (1) = 0(1) + (1) = 0 which yields

$$() = _{0} (_{1}) = (_{1});$$
 (21)

where $_1 = \cosh^2$. At the low-density stability border $f = f_1$ we have $= _1 = 1:19968::::$ In the perturbative treatment, one should expand () near $= _1$ up to the linear term $_1$. The zero-order term vanishes, and we obtain

$$() = \frac{2 (1)}{2 (1) I_2 (1)} (1) :$$

In the physical variables we have

$$k_{ph}L_{x} = \frac{1=2}{Z_{0}(1)} \qquad \frac{2}{2} (1) (1) \qquad \frac{1=2}{2} (1)^{1=2} (1)^{1=2}$$
(22)

As Z_0 is proportional to $^{1=2}$, the right hand side of Eq. (22) is actually independent of . Evaluating the integral $I_2(_1)$, we obtain $I_2(_1) = 0$:883381 :::. The nalresult is

$$k_{ph}L_x = 2:5115:...(1)^{1=2}$$
: (23)

A lternatively, we obtain

$$k(Z_{0}) = \frac{1}{Z_{0}(1)} \xrightarrow{3=2} \frac{Z_{0} - Z_{0}(1)}{2I_{2}(1)} \xrightarrow{1=2}$$

= 0:000485 ::: (Z_{0}(1) - Z_{0})^{1=2} : (24)

The asymptotics (24) is depicted in Fig. 13. C lose to the higher-Z₀ (low-density) stability border it shows good agreement with the marginal stability curve found numerically.

V.SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We determined the criteria for the spontaneous symmetry-breaking instability of the laterally uniform granular cluster (strip state) in a prototypical driven granulargas. W orking in the lim it of nearly elastic particle collisions and low orm oderate densities, we employed granular hydrodynam ics with the Jenkins-Richm an constitutive relations [20]. The instability of the strip state can be interpreted in terms of negative compressibility of the granulate in the lateral direction. An important lim it is found, when the marginal stability curves are independent of the details of the boundary condition at the driving wall. In this regime the density perturbation is exponentially localized at the elastic wall opposite to the driving wall. W orking in the dilute lim it, we obtained som e analytic asym ptotics of the m arginal stability curves.

The results of this work show that the symmetrybreaking instability predicted in Ref. [17] is robust and does not require very special constitutive relations. The marginal stability curves obtained in this work are quite sim ilar to those obtained earlier [17] for a di erent set of constitutive relations (see Fig. 4). There are some quantitative di erences, how ever. Therefore, the instability provides a sensitive test to the accuracy of constitutive relations.

This work was focused on the criteria of instability of the strip state. In systems su ciently long in the lateral direction, instability occurs in a whole range of wave numbers k (below the respective marginal stability curve). Correspondingly, multiple steady state solutions with di erent k are possible. In a laterally in nite system, these solutions are periodic in the lateral coordinate. A nite system selects a nite number of wavelengths [17]. An important issue that was not addressed in this work is selection: what is the wavelength of the resulting symmetry-broken cluster in an in nite, or long enough, system? The selection has dynam ical nature; this important issue is addressed elsewhere [22].

Recently, the predicted sym metry-breaking instability has been observed in particle simulations [23]. We hope it will be investigated in experiment, too. The experimental setting can be of the type used by K udrolliet al. [7, 16]: a system of steel spheres, rolling on a smooth surface and driven by a rapidly vibrating side wall. The present work (see also Ref. [17]) provides the region of parameters where the instability can be observed. An im – portant issue is to eliminate the static friction between the particles and surface that occurs far enough from the driving wall. In experiment, this is achieved by slightly inclining the system, so that a very small gravity appears [7, 16]. As the result, the strip state moves down, tow and the driving wall [7]. The model problem investigated in the present work does not include gravity. We expect, how ever, that the sym m etry-breaking instability should persist for a non-zero gravity. In fact, a similar instability has already been observed in particle simulations of a dilute two-dimensional granular bed uidized by a rapidly vibrating bottom plate [24]. Under conditions of the simulations [24] there was no direct, mechanical coupling between the bottom plate vibration and collective granular motions. Therefore, the vibro uidized system, investigated in Ref. [24], is sim ilar (though not identical) to the model system driven by a therm alwall. As gravity introduces an additional scaled parameter, the phase diagram of this type of systems should be more com plicated. For example, it is already known that, at some values of the scaled parameters, steady \therm al" convection (steady state of a di erent type) develops both in vibro uidized systems [24, 25] and in systems driven by a \therm al" wall [26, 27]. G ranular hydrodynam ics will be instrum ental in delineating the phase diagram s of these systems in the lim it of nearly elastic collisions.

Finally, when inelasticity of the particle collisions is not small, the normal stress di erence, possible lack of scale separation and non-G aussianity in the velocity distribution m ay become important. The potential role of these e ects in the symmetry-breaking instability should be the subject of further investigations.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

W e are very grateful to P.V. Sasorov and J.P.Gollub for useful discussions. This research was supported by the Israel Science Foundation, founded by the Israel A cadem y of Sciences and Hum anities.

- [1] H M .Jaeger, S R .N agel, and R P.Behringer, Rev. M od. Phys. 68, 1259 (1996); Physics Today, April 1996, p. 32.
- [2] J. Duran, Sands, Powders and Grains. An Introduction to the Physics of Granular Materials. (Springer, New York, 2000).
- [3] L.P.Kadano , Rev.M od.Phys 71, 435 (1999).
- [4] M A. Hopkins and M Y. Louge, Phys. Fluids A 3, 47 (1991).

- [5] I. Goldhirsch and G. Zanetti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1619 (1993).
- [6] S.M cN am ara and W R.Young, Phys. Rev. E 53, 5089 (1996).
- [7] A. Kudrolli, M. W olpert, and J.P. Gollub, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1383 (1997).
- [8] JS.O lafeen and JS.U mbach, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4369 (1998).
- [9] Y. Du, H. Li, and L.P. Kadano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1268 (1995).
- [10] E L.G rossm an, T.Zhou, and E.Ben-Naim, Phys.Rev. E 55, 4200 (1997).
- [11] S.E. Esipov and T. Poschel, J. Stat. Phys. 86, 1385 (1997).
- [12] B.Meerson, Rev.Mod.Phys. 68, 215 (1996).
- [13] C.S.Cam pbell, Annu.Rev.Fluid Mech. 22, 57 (1990).
- [14] J.J. Brey and D. Cubero, Phys. Rev. E 57, 2019 (1998).
- [15] J.Tobochnik, Phys.Rev.E 60, 7137 (1999).
- [16] A.Kudrolli and J.Henry, Phys. Rev. E 62, R1489-R149 (2000).
- [17] E. Livne, B. M eerson, and P.V. Sasorov, Phys. Rev. E 65, 021302 (2002).
- [18] J.J. Brey and D. Cubero, in Granular Gass, edited by T. Poschel and S. Luding (Springer, Berlin, 2001), pp. 59-78; I. Goldhirsch, ibid, pp. 79-99.
- [19] M L. Cross and PL. Hohenberg, Rev. M od. Phys. 65, 851 (1993).
- [20] J.T. Jenkins and M W . Richm an, Phys. Fluids 28, 3485 (1985).
- [21] This statement is true only for a certain class of boundary conditions: those specifying either the heat ux, or the granular temperature at the boundaries. For vibro uidized systems, the boundary conditions of this type are usually obtained under two conditions. First, the vibration amplitude of the driving plate should be much less than the mean free path of the granulate near the driving plate. Second, the vibration frequency should be much higher than the particle collision rate near the plate.
- [22] E.Livne, B.M eerson and P.V. Sasorov (in preparation).
- [23] T.Schwager, B.Meerson and T.Poschel (in preparation).
- [24] P. Sunthar and V. Kum aran, Phys. Rev. E 64, 041303 (2001).
- [25] R D. W ildm an, JM. Huntley, and D J. Parker, Phys. Rev.Lett. 86, 3304 (2001).
- [26] R.Ram rez, D.Risso, and P.Cordero, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1230 (2000).
- [27] X. He, B. Meerson and G. Doolen, Phys. Rev. E 65, 0203XX (R) (2002).