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#### Abstract

W e study spin dependent electron transm ission through one-and two-dim ensional curved waveguides and quantum dots w ith account of spin-orbit interaction. W e prove that for a transm ission through arbitrary structure there is no spin polarization provided that electron transm its in isolated energy subband and only two leads are attached to the structure. In particular there is no spin polarization in the one-dim ensional w ire for which spin dependent solution is found analytically. $T$ he solution dem onstrates spin evolution as dependent on a length of $w$ ire. $N$ um erical solution for transm ission of electrons through the two-dim ensional curved waveguides coincides w ith the solution for the one-dim ensionalw ire if the energy of electron is within the rst energy subband. In the vicinity of edges of the energy subbands there are sharp anom alies of spin ipping.


PA C S num bers: $72.10 .-\mathrm{d}, 72.25 . \mathrm{b}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

The electron spin precession phenom ena at zero m agnetic eld induced by a variable spin-orbit interaction (SOI) in 2DEG system $s$ was rstly proposed by D atta and $D$ as $\left[\left[_{1}^{1}\right]\right.$ as a way for the realization of the spin transistor. For this, the spin precession is controlled via the R azhba SO I associated w ith the interface electric eld present in the GaA s heterostructures that contains the 2D EG channel [2]

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{SO}}=\sim\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{x}} & \mathrm{y} & \hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{y}} & \mathrm{x} \tag{1}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

The reason of spin precession is that the spin operators do not com $m$ utate $w$ th the SO I operator what leads to the spin evolution for electron transport. In particular the SO I has a polarization e ect on particle scattering processes [1] $\overline{1}]$ and this e ect was considered for di erent


Them ost sim ple case of the stripe geom etry $w$ th the x -axis along the stripe and the z -axis perpendicular to the stripe gives the follow ing transform ation of spin state after transm ission

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1  \tag{2}\\
& 0
\end{aligned}, \quad \begin{aligned}
& \cos =2 \\
& \sin =2
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{[12}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~L} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $L$ is a length of the stripe. Therefore, the $R$ azhba SO I induces a spin precession of the transm itted electrons. N otice that the spin precession is energy independent. This result is valid if the con nem ent energy $\sim^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~d}^{2}$, where d is a w idth of the stripe, is m uch larger than the spin-splitting energy induced by the SO_I, and therefore, the intersubband $m$ ixing is negligible $[9]$. For the strong SO I the spin rotation angle becom es to be the Ferm i energy depend for ballistic transport of electrons in the quasione-dim ensionalw ires and stripes ${ }^{[6]}$,

W hatever the R azhba SO I leads to the spin precession in the $(x ; z)$ plane. H ere we consider sim ilar phenom ena for electron transm ission through the curved w aveguide and quantum dots. The $m$ ain di erence betw een the straight waveguide and curved one is that the spin rotation is given by two angles.

Next, we nd out conditions under which there is no a spin polarization of transm itted electrons. W e im ply that a ow of incident electrons have no spin polarization. By the spin polarization we consider the $m$ ean spin < >; = x;y;z averaged over the electron ow. In particular for the transm ission through quantum dot we show a principal role of the third lead for the spin polarization.

## II. THESP $\mathbb{I N}-\mathrm{ORB}$ IT $\mathbb{I N} T E R A C T I O N$ IN THE IN HOMOGENEOUS TWO-DIMENSIONALCASE

W ewrite the total Ham iltonian of a con ned 2D EG as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m} \frac{@}{@ x^{2}}+\frac{\varrho}{@ y^{2}}+V(x ; y)+V_{S O} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(x ; y)$ is the lateralcon ning potential. Follow ing to $M$ oroz and B ames [GI] we assum e that the SO I operator $V_{S O}$ is form ed by three contributions

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{SO}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{SO}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{SO}}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{SO}}:
$$

The rst $V_{S O}$ is related to the R azhba SO I (핀), in which the SO I constant proportional to the $m$ acroscopic interface-induced electric eld is considered as constant. $T$ he second contribution $V_{S O}$ to the SO I com es from the electric eld $E(x ; y)$ related to the con ning potential.

In order to derive the second contribution to the SO I we begin w th general description of SO I [10 $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$

$$
V_{S O}=\frac{e}{4 m^{2} c^{2}} \quad(E \quad \hat{p})+\frac{i \sim}{2} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & E) \tag{5}
\end{array}\right.
$$

For microscopic electric eld E the second term in ( $\bar{F}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) equals zero. H ow ever for $m$ odel cases of the con ning potentialV $(x ; y)$ the electric eld can violate an equality $r \mathrm{E}=0$. In this case the second term in (5) is necessary to provide a herm iticity of the total SO I operator.

Fora 2D EG con ned at sem iconductor heterostructure interface we can reduce the $z$-coordinate perform ing average over electron wave function $0(z)$ strongly localized along the $z$-direction

$$
\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{SO}}\right)^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dz}{ }_{0}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{SO}} \quad 0(\mathrm{z}):
$$

A s a result we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{S O}=\quad{ }_{z}\left(E_{x} \hat{p}_{y} \quad E_{y} \hat{p}_{x}\right) \quad E_{z}\left(x_{x} \hat{p}_{y} \quad{ }_{y} \hat{p}_{x}\right) \\
& \frac{i}{2} \sim=\frac{@ E_{x}}{@ y} \quad \frac{@ E_{y}}{@ x} \quad \frac{i}{2} \sim \\
& y \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere electric eld com ponents are considering in a $m$ eaning of integral $(\bar{G})$ and depend on $x ; y$ only.

For particular case of straight $w$ ire directed along the y -axis w th the lateral con ning potential $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{x})$ we obtain from ( $\overline{1}$ ) the expression given by $M$ oroz and $B$ ames (form ula (5) in $\left[\frac{1}{[1 / 1}\right)$. They used a parabolic approxim ation for the con ning potential. Here we consider a popular hard w allapproxim ation and im ply the follow ing con ning potential

$$
U(x)=\begin{array}{ll}
0 \text {; if } & \dot{x} \dot{j}<d=2 ; \\
U_{0} ; \text { if } & \dot{x} \dot{j} \quad d=2
\end{array}
$$

Then substituting the electric eld $E_{x}=U^{0}(x)$ into ( $\overline{7}_{1}$ ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{S O}(x)=\sim k \quad{ }_{z} \operatorname{sign}(x) U_{0} \quad(x \quad d=2): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\dot{k j} j>d=2$ we have from the Schrodinger equation the follow ing solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{C} \exp \frac{\mathrm{p} \frac{\left.\mathrm{Em} \mathrm{(U}_{0} \quad \mathrm{E}\right)}{\sim} \mathrm{x}}{\sim} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the norm alization constant. U sing a property of delta function that a di erence betw een derivatives of the wave function at the right and left of the delta function is obeying to $\left.\quad{ }^{0}(d=2)\right)=2 m k_{z} U_{0} \quad(d=2)$ we have from $\bar{q}_{1}$ ) that

$$
\left.{ }^{0}(d=2)\right)!0
$$

for $U_{0}!1$. Therefore in the hard wall approxim ation an e ect of the second contribution $V_{\text {so }}$ lim its to zero.

N ext, for num erical com putation of the transm ission through the sem iconductor heterostructure we assum e a connection at least to tw o electrodes in which there is no
the SO I. T hen we can specify the electron state by quantum numbers, the num ber of the energy subband $n$ and spin projection $=z$. This assum ption implies that far from waveguides or quantum dots the SO I constant equals zero in the electrodes. Neglecting by real space behavior of the $m$ icroscopic electric eld at the edge of the heterestructure we assum e that the eld is directed norm al to the plane of the heterostructure everyw here and has a stepw ise behavior at the edges. A s a result we obtain the stepw ise behavior for the R azhba SO I constant. Such a m odelwas used by Hu and M atsuyam a [ $\left.\bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. Sim ilar to $\left[\bar{T}_{1}\right)$ we obtain that the third contribution to the SO I takes the follow ing form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{SO}}=\sim^{2} \frac{i}{2} \mathrm{y} \frac{@}{@ \mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{x} \frac{@}{@ y}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. THE TRANSM ISSION THROUGH B ILLIARD W ITH THE SOI

In this section we prove that the SO I gives no spin polarization for electron transm ission through arbitrary billiards ifenergy of incident electron belongs to the rst energy subband. In dim ensionless form the stationary Schrodinger equation has the follow ing form

$$
r^{2}+v_{S O} \quad=\quad ; \quad=\begin{align*}
& u_{1}(x ; y)  \tag{11}\\
& u_{2}(x ; y)
\end{align*}:
$$

Here $=E=E_{0} ; \mathrm{E}_{0}=\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~L}^{2}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{S O}=\quad i \times \frac{@}{@ y} \quad i_{y} \frac{@}{@ x} \quad \frac{i}{2} \quad y \frac{@}{@ x} \quad \times \frac{@}{@ y} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

L is a characteristic scale of the system, $=2 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~L}$ is the dim ensionless SO I constant. C orrespondingly in Eqs (111) and (12 $1 \underline{1}$ ) coordinates $x ; y$ are also dim ensionless.

Let $S$ be an area of the structure under consideration which involves a billiard the SO I and leads as show $n$ in Fig. ${ }_{1}^{11}$.

Let denote a boundary which crosses input lead and output one at 1 and 2 respectively. W e suppose that there is no spin-orbit interaction in the leads, i.e $=0$ at $\quad ; i=1 ; 2$. At the rest of boundary we imply the D irichlet boundary conditions for solution of Schrodinger equation (11) $j=0$. A s the scale $L$ we take $L=d$.

Therefore we can write solution in the electrodes as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { m; }{ }^{0} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$



F IG .1: Schem aticalview oftw o-dim ensionalbilliard with tw o attached leads. D ashed area show sa region w ith the SO I. T he area $S$ has boundary which crosses input lead and output one at 1 and 2 respectively.
where $j>$ is the spin states de ned spin projection along som e axis, say, the $z$-axis. T he energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=k_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{n}^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=1 ; 2 ;::$ : num erates a num ber of the energy subbands.

Introduce com plex derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ \mathrm{z}}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{@}{@ x} \quad i \frac{@}{@ y} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

we w rite the Schrodinger equation (1211) as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ z @ z}+\frac{1}{4} \quad u_{1}+\frac{-}{2} \frac{u_{2}}{@ z}+\frac{u_{2}}{4} \frac{@}{@ z}=0 ; \\
& \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ z @ z}+\frac{1}{4} \quad u_{2} \quad-\frac{@ u_{1}}{2} \quad \frac{u_{1}}{4} \frac{\varrho}{@ z}=0 ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $u_{1} ; u_{2}$ are the com ponents of the spin state. A ssum e that there is auxiliary degenerated state $w$ ith com ponents $\mathrm{v}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{2}$. In particular, it m ight be the K ram ers degenerated state. $T$ hen, for these tw o states the $G$ reen form ula follow $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{S}^{Z}(u \text { v } v u) d S={ }^{I} u \frac{@ v}{@ n} \quad v \frac{@ u}{@ n} d l \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here n is an exterior nom al to the boundary .
From the Schrodinger equation we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{v}_{2} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ \mathrm{z@} \mathrm{z}}+\frac{1}{4} \quad \mathrm{u}_{1}+\frac{-}{2} \mathrm{v}_{2} \frac{\varrho \mathrm{u}_{2}}{@ \mathrm{z}}+\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{v}_{2} \frac{\varrho}{@ z}=0 ; \\
& \mathrm{u}_{2} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ \mathrm{z@}}+\frac{1}{4} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{1}+\frac{-}{2} \mathrm{u}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{2}}{@ \mathrm{z}}+\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{v}_{2} \frac{@}{@}=0 \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{u}_{1} \quad \frac{@^{2}}{@ \mathrm{z@} \mathrm{z}}+\frac{1}{4} \quad \mathrm{v}_{2} \quad-\mathrm{u}_{1} \frac{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}{@ \mathrm{z}} \quad \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{v}_{1} \frac{@}{@ \mathrm{z}}=0 ; \\
& \mathrm{v}_{1} \quad \frac{@^{2}}{@ \mathrm{z@} \mathrm{z}}+\frac{1}{4} \quad u_{2} \quad \overline{2}^{\mathrm{v}_{1}} \frac{@ \mathrm{u}_{1}}{@ z} \quad \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{v}_{1} \frac{@}{@ z}=0: \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

C om bining each couple of equations in (1) $\overline{1} \bar{\prime})$ we obtain
$\mathrm{V}_{2} \frac{\varrho^{2} \mathrm{u}_{1}}{@ \mathrm{z@} \mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{u}_{2} \frac{@^{2} \mathrm{v}_{1}}{\varrho \mathrm{z@} \mathrm{z}}+\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2}+\mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\varrho\left(\mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}\right)}{\varrho \mathrm{z}}=0 ;$
$u_{1} \frac{@^{2} v_{2}}{@ z @ z}+v_{1} \frac{@^{2} u_{2}}{@ z @ z}+\frac{1}{4}\left(u_{1} v_{2}+u_{2} v_{1}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{@\left(u_{1} v_{1}\right)}{@ z}=0:$
Extracting the second equation from the rst one in (19) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{2} \frac{\varrho^{2} u_{1}}{@ z @ z} \quad u_{1} \frac{@^{2} v_{2}}{@ z @ z}+u_{2} \frac{@^{2} v_{1}}{@ z @ z} \quad v_{1} \frac{@^{2} u_{2}}{@ z @ z} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{@\left(u_{1} v_{1}\right)}{@ z}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{@\left(u_{2} V_{2}\right)}{@ z}=0: \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Integration of this equation over the billiard area $S$ w ith use of the $G$ reen form ula $\left.(1]_{1}\right)$ gives the follow ing

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { I } \\
& v_{2} \frac{@ u_{1}}{@ n} \quad u_{1} \frac{@ v_{2}}{@ n} \quad d l+\quad u_{2} \frac{\varrho v_{1}}{@ n} \quad v_{1} \frac{@ u_{2}}{@ n} \quad d l \\
& +{ }_{s}^{Z} \frac{@\left(2 u_{1} v_{1}\right)}{@ z} d S+{ }_{s}^{Z} \frac{@\left(2 u_{2} v_{2}\right)}{@ z} d S=0: \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since at either $u_{1}=0 ; v_{1}=0$, or $=0$, the last two integrals in (21) equal zero and form ula (21) can be rew ritten as follow $s$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X Z } \\
& \mathrm{v}_{2} \frac{\varrho \mathrm{u}_{1}}{@ \mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{u}_{1} \frac{\varrho \mathrm{v}_{2}}{@ \mathrm{n}} \\
& i=1 ; 2 \quad i \\
& \text { X Z } \\
& + \\
& i=1 ; 2 \quad i  \tag{22}\\
& \mathrm{u}_{2} \frac{\varrho \mathrm{v}_{1}}{\varrho \mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{v}_{1} \frac{\varrho \mathrm{u}_{2}}{\varrho \mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{dl}=0:
\end{align*}
$$

This formula is su cient to establish som e symm etry rules between ingoing and outgoing states. Let us consider the rst-channeltransm ission for $<4^{2}$. In order to ignore evanescent m odes we w ill consider that boundaries i cross the leads far from the scattering region as shown in Fig. ${ }_{1}^{1 / 1}$. Let electron incidents from the input lead being com pletely spin polarized up. It $m$ eans that for the incident state $\left[\begin{array}{l}{\left[13 \mathbf{I}^{\prime}\right)} \\ j\end{array}>=\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right.$. We denote the corresponding state interior the structure $S$ as $u_{1 "}(x ; y)$
$u_{2 "}(x ; y)$$\quad$ which is used as the $u$-solution in Eq. (2-2). C orrespondingly $\begin{aligned} & u_{1 \#}(x ; y) \\ & u_{2 \#}(x ; y)\end{aligned}$ denotes the $v$-solution in Eq. (22') for the case ofelectron incidenting $w$ ith spin polarized down.We suppose that the boundaries 1 and 2 cross the leads norm ally the leads and the x-axis is parallel to the leads. H ence the norm aln is parallel to the x-axis. Then from (13) at the boundary 2 which crosses the output lead we obtain the follow ing relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ f}{@ n}=i k_{1} f \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where function $f$ refers to all components $\mathrm{u}_{1} ; \mathrm{u}_{2 "} ; \mathrm{u}_{1 \#} ; \mathrm{u}_{2 \#}$.
. These relations allow to exclude the boundary 2 from (22'). At the boundary 1 which crosses the input lead we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\varrho u_{1 "}}{@ n}=i k_{1} u_{1 "} \quad 2 i k_{1} \sin (y) ; \\
\frac{\varrho u_{2 "}}{@ n}=i k_{1} u_{2 "} ; \\
\frac{\varrho u_{1 \#}}{@ n}=i k_{1} u_{1 \#} ; \\
\frac{\varrho u_{2 \#}}{@ n}=i k_{1} u_{2 \#} \quad 2 i k_{1} \sin (y): \tag{24}
\end{array}
$$

W e im ply here that the origin of the $x ; y$ coordinate system is at the_boundary 1 . Substituting the relations


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
z & \left(u_{1 "} \quad u_{2 \#}\right) \sin (y) d y=0: ~
\end{array}
$$

Since at the boundary 1

$$
u_{1 "}=a_{1 "}(x) \sin (y) ; u_{2 \#}=a_{2 \#}(x) \sin (y):
$$

we obtain from (2-5)

$$
u_{1 "}=u_{2 \#}:
$$

Thus from (13) in follow s that am plitudes of the re ection

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n ;} ; r_{\# ; \#}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we take that the state $\begin{aligned} & u_{1} \\ & u_{2}\end{aligned}=\begin{aligned} & u_{1 "} \\ & u_{2 "}\end{aligned}$ coincides $w$ ith the state $\begin{aligned} & v_{1} \\ & v_{2}\end{aligned}$ in (22). Then equation (22) simpli es as follow s

$$
\begin{gathered}
X \quad Z \\
i=1 ; 2
\end{gathered} \quad u_{2} \frac{@ u_{1}}{@ n} \quad u_{1} \frac{@ u_{2}}{@ n} \quad d l=0:
$$

Substituting into this form ula relations (241) we obtain
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 i k \quad u_{2} n \sin (y) d y=0: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It gives us that $u_{2 "}=0$ or according to (113 $\left.\bar{B}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right) r_{n \#}=0$. A lso sim ilarly we obtain that $u_{1 \#}=0$ at the boundary

1. Thus we can w rite the second symmetry rule for re ection am plitudes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n ; \#}=r_{\# ;}=0: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From sym m etry rules ( $\mathbf{2}^{-1}$ ) and $(\underline{2} \overline{-})$ and from the current preservation it follow s that the transm ission probabilities

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\underbrace{X}_{0} \text { 土; 驺 }=T \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

do not depend on the spin polarization of incident electron.
$T$ illnow we considered incident waves as spin polarized along the $z$-axis at the boundary 1 . Let now consider a ow of incident electrons which have no averaged spin polarization. In particular we can present that half of electrons have the incident state with spin up and half of electrons have the incident state w ith spin down. Let us consider corresponding transm itted waves at the boundary 2 . W e prove that for a transm ission through the billiard w ith two attached leads there is no averaged spin polarization, i.e. $<\quad>=0 ;=x ; y ; z$ if electron incidents being spin unpolarized in the rst energy subband. A s previously w e take the incident state in the form (13) and $w$ rite the states in leads as

$$
j n>=\begin{align*}
& u_{1 "}  \tag{30}\\
& u_{2 "}
\end{aligned} \quad ; \quad j \#>=\begin{aligned}
& u_{1 \#} \\
& u_{2 \#}
\end{align*}
$$

where the arrow $s$ up and dow $n$ indicate that electron incident with spins up and down. We take in the $G$ reen formulas (222) the rst function $u$ as $j ">$ and the second function $v$ as $\hat{y}_{Y} \hat{C}{ }_{j}{ }^{\prime}>$ where $\hat{C}$ m eans a complex conjugation. It $m$ eans that the second function is the K ram ers degenerated state. H ence

Let us calculate integral (2-2). At a boundary 1 crossing the input lead the integral equals zero since in the input lead_ $u_{2}=0 ; v_{1}=0$. The second contribution into integral (22 $2_{1}^{\prime}$ ) relates to the boundary 2 crossing the output lead. Ū sing transm itted solution (131) one can write Eq. (22) as follow s

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{v}_{2} \frac{\varrho u_{1}}{\varrho n} \quad u_{1} \frac{\varrho v_{2}}{@ n} \quad d l+\quad u_{2} \frac{\varrho v_{1}}{@ n} \quad v_{1} \frac{@ u_{2}}{@ n} \quad d l \\
=2 i k_{2}^{I}\left(v_{2} u_{1} \quad u_{2} v_{1}\right) d y=0:
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore $u_{1} v_{2}=u_{2} v_{1}$, or in term $s$ of notations ( $3 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{1} " \mathrm{u}_{1 \#}=\mathrm{u}_{2} " \mathrm{u}_{2 \#}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (322) it obviously follow s

M oreover relation (2-9) im plies that

From these tw o relations one can obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{j}_{2 "} j=j j_{1 \#} \dot{j} j \mathfrak{u}_{1 "} j=j j_{2 \#} j: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{1 "} \mathrm{u}_{2 "}=\mathrm{u}_{1 \#} \mathrm{u}_{2 \#}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 2: Spin polarization of electrons transm itted through the three term inal quantum dot versus energy of electron in the rst energy subband. A $n$ inset above show $s$ a geom etry of the structure.

M ean values of spin components in corresponding states ( 3 d ${ }^{2}$ ) are the follow ing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <x>{ }_{n}=\operatorname{Re}\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{u}_{2 n}\right) ; \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}}>{ }_{n=}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{U}_{2 n}\right) \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& <x_{\#}>_{\#}=\operatorname{Re}\left(u_{1 \#} u_{2 \#}\right) ; \quad y_{\#}>_{\#}=\operatorname{Im}\left(u_{1 \#} u_{2 \#}\right) \text {; } \\
& <z_{\#}=j u_{1 \#}{ }^{2} \quad j u_{2 \#} j \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \mathbf{- 1})-(\overline{3} \overline{5})$ give rise to

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\quad>_{n}=<\quad>_{\#} ;=x ; y ; z ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the spin polarizations are exactly opposite in sign fortransm ission ofelectrons incidenting in corresponding spin polarized states.

Thus, for the transm ission through any billiard w ith the SO I w ith two attached leads the spin polarization does not exist if the ow ofelectrons incidents in the rst energy subband and have no spin polarization. A lso, if there is no intersubband transm issions $t_{n \mathrm{n}} ; 0=0 ; \mathrm{m}$ $n$, the spin polarization equals zero for arbitrary energy. It takes place approxim ately, for exam ple, for adiabatic structures sim ilar to curved waveguides (section V ). H ow ever in a vicinity of edges of the energy subbands ${ }^{2} n^{2}$ the SO I gives rise to intersubband $m$ ixing. A s a result we obtain in num erical calculations strong spin polarization near the edges. M oreover, if the billiard is connected to three or $m$ ore leads, the spin polarization of transm itted electrons exists even for the transm ission in the rst energy subband. The e ect of the third lead is dem onstrated in $F$ ig. the spin transistor com plim entary to the w ay proposed by $D$ atta and $D$ as [ [1] 11 . The spin polarization oftransm itted electrons can be govemed by a value of connection of the third lead with the quantum dot. T he most sim ple way is to apply local electric eld in the vicinity of the connection which im plies potentialbarrier closing the connection of the dot w th the third lead.


F IG . 3: Schem atical view of one-dim ensional curved w ire.
IV. THEONEDIMENSIONALCURVED W IRE

A m odelin which only the single channel transm ission takes place is the one-dim ensional w ire. Therefore for a transm ission through the one-dim ensional w ire of any form the SO I can not give rise to the spin polarization. H ow ever this $m$ odel is interesting by that allow $s$ to nd spin evolution analytically. A case of straight $w$ ire $w$ as
 consisted of a segm ent of circle $w$ ith radius $R$ attached to in nite straight one dim ensionalw ires as show $n$ in $F$ ig.各。

We take a length of the segm ent as $L={ }_{0} R$ and $a$ position coordinate as $s=R$. The Ham iltonian of the w ire has the follow ing form [12

$$
\begin{gather*}
H=\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m R^{2}} \mathbb{F} ; \\
\mathscr{H}=\frac{@}{i \varrho}+\frac{-}{2}\left(y \cos +x_{x} \sin \right)^{2} \frac{2}{4} ; \tag{37}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $=2 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{R}$ is the dim ensionless SO I constant. Since $\left[J_{z} ; H\right]=0$ where $J_{z}=i \frac{@}{@} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad z$, a particular solution of the stationary Shcrodinger equation 1 j $>=$ $j>$ has the follow ing form [14, 13, 14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
j>=\frac{A e^{i}}{B e^{i(1)} \quad:} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The param eter de nes the dim ensionless wave num ber as $k==R$ and is arbitrary until the boundary conditions are im posed. Substituting the state (3) into the Shcrodinger equation one can obtain the follow ing relation betw een the energy of electron and the wave num ber

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\quad{ }^{2}\right)\left(\quad(\quad 1)^{2}\right) \quad{ }^{2}(\quad 1=2)^{2}=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 4: The energy spectrum de ned by form ula ( $\binom{-1}{(1)}$ for = 1 . Values of corresponded to clockw ise m ovem ent of electron along the curved w ire are show $n$ by thick points.
which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(\quad 1=2)^{2}+1=4+j \quad 1=2 \sum_{j} \overline{{ }^{2}+1=4} ; \quad=1: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectrum ( $\left.4 \bar{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is shown in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} \overline{4}$.
For xed energy Eq.(4d) gives four solutions for the wave number. It is well known that [3, transm ission through potentialpro le a re ection is negligibly sm all if the characteristic length of inhom ogeneity much exceeds the wave length (adiabatic regim e). For our case we assum e that the radius of curvature of the $w$ ire is $m$ uch larger in com parison w ith the electron $w$ ave length. So we can ignore the re ection for electron trans$m$ ission through the quasi one-dim ensional w aveguide.

Since there is no re ection for transm ission through the one-dim ensional waveguide we need only those values of the wave num ber which correspond to clockw ise m ovem ent of electron in the w aveguide. W e denote its as $1 ; 2$ shown in Fig . $\overline{4}$. In what follows we use the follow ing relation betw een 1 and 2 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=2 \quad 1=P \overline{1+2^{2}}: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore generalsolution of the Shcrodinger equation for the electron transm ission w ithout re ection can be w rilten as follow s

$$
j()>=\begin{align*}
& X  \tag{42}\\
& =1 ; 2
\end{aligned} e^{i(1=2)} U()^{A} \begin{aligned}
& A \\
& B
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 \mathrm{p} \overline{1+{ }^{2}} \\
& \text { i } \\
& 1 \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i}} \frac{{ }^{2}}{1+2^{2}}  \tag{43}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{A}_{2} \\
\mathrm{~B}_{2}
\end{array}=0 ; \\
& 1+\mathrm{p} \overline{1+{ }^{2}} \\
& \text { i } \\
& 1+\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i}} \overline{1+2^{2}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{A}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~B}_{1}
\end{array}=0: \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

Them atrix U( ) has the follow ing form

$$
U()=\begin{array}{cc}
\exp (i=2) & 0  \tag{45}\\
0 & \exp (i=2)
\end{array}:
$$

Evolution of the electron state (42') as length $s=R$ of the curved w ire can be presented as

$$
\begin{align*}
& j()>=e^{i(1+21)=2} U() \\
& \begin{array}{cc}
\exp (i) & 0 \\
0 & \exp \binom{i}{i} \\
j(0)>
\end{array} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
=\begin{array}{ll}
A_{2} & A_{1}  \tag{47}\\
B_{2} & B_{1}
\end{array}:
$$

From Eqs $(\overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{3})$ and $\left(4_{4}^{4} \overline{4}\right)$ we can rew rite $\left(\overline{4} \overline{T_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$ as follow s

$$
=\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{A}_{2} & \mathrm{~B}_{2}  \tag{48}\\
\mathrm{~B}_{2} & \mathrm{~A}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A_{2}}{B_{2}}=\frac{p^{i}}{\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (4-6) can be presented as j ( ) > $=T(1) j(0)>$ which show sthat the unitary $m$ atrix $T$ ( ) has a m eaning of the transfer one. Since the state $j()>$ is spinor one the $m$ atrix $T$ ( ) corresponds to rotation $m$ atrix for transport of electron along the wire. In general case the rotation $m$ atrix is given by the Euler angles $(1 ; ~ ; ~) ~[1[1 .+]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\prime ; ~ ; ~)=e^{i^{\prime} \frac{1}{2} z} e^{i \frac{1}{2} y} e^{i \frac{1}{2} z}: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he rotation $m$ atrix $R$ has the follow ing form

$$
\mathrm{R} \text { (' ; ; ) }
$$

$$
=\quad \begin{align*}
& \quad \exp \left(i \frac{1}{2}\left(r^{\prime}+\right)\right) \cos \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} i\left(r^{\prime}\right)\right) \sin \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{align*} \quad: \quad \begin{array}{ll}
\exp \left(i \frac { 1 } { 2 } \left(r^{\prime}\right.\right. & )) \sin \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)
\end{array} \quad \exp \left(\frac{1}{2}\left(r^{\prime}+\right)\right) \cos \left(\frac{1}{2}\right),
$$

In order to nd the Euler angles let us consider to which rotation corresponds $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\exp (i & 0  \tag{52}\\
0 & \exp (i) \\
i
\end{array}
$$

If the $m$ atrix were unit, the $m$ atrix

$$
\left.\begin{array}{cc}
\exp (i & 0 \\
0 & \exp (i
\end{array}\right)
$$

would correspond to the rotation by the angle $=2$ around the $z$-axis. Them atrix in (520 gives rise to the clockw ise rotation around the $x$-axis by the angle which satis es to the follow ing equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{A}_{2}}{\mathrm{~B}_{2}}=\cot (=2) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}}=\frac{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i}}}{1+\mathrm{p}^{2}}: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 5: A fragm ent of two-dim ensional curved w ire with width $d=1$.

In order to ful $l l$ this equation we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime==2 ; \quad \cot \frac{-}{2}=\overline{1+2^{2}} \quad: \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angle is rem aining unde ned. Below we put $=0$. Let uschoose new axis $z^{0}$ in the $(y ; z)$ planew ith the angle as the angle betw een the $z$-axis and $z^{0}$-axis. $T$ hus, the full rotation $m$ atrix consists of the antiglockw ise rotation around the the $z^{0}$-axis by the angle $\overline{1+{ }^{2}}$ and the clockw ise rotation by the angle around the $z$-axis. The last statem ent follow $s$ from the $m$ atrix $U()$ in Eq. (4 (4) ) A know ledge of the evolution of the spin state (46) as dependent on the length $R$ of the curved waveguide gives us a possibility to calculate in particular evolution of the spin com ponents. The result of calculation is shown in $F$ ig. G by squares, triangles and circles for spin com ponents $z_{z} ;{ }_{x}$ y respectively. A s seen the results of num erical com putation for the two-dim ensional curved waveguide are surprisingly close to present onedim ensionalm odel.

## V. THETWO-D IMENSIONALCURVED W AVEGUIDE

For consideration of the two-dim ensional curved waveguide we introduce the curved coordinate system (s;u) $\left.\left.[\overline{1} \overline{1},]_{1}\right]_{1}\right]$ where $s$ is the coordinate of central line along of the w aveguide shown in $F$ ig. . 5 .

W e express the H am iltonian of the waveguide in dim ensionless form by follow ing way

$$
\mathrm{H}=\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~d}^{2}}\left(\mathscr{F}_{0}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{SO}}\right) ;
$$

where

$$
\mathscr{P}_{0}=\quad=g^{1=2} \frac{@}{@ s} g^{1=2} \frac{@}{@ s} g^{1=2} \frac{@}{@ u} g^{1=2} \frac{@}{@ u} ; \text { (55) }
$$

and $d$ is a width of the waveguide. In what follow s we consider a segm ent of the tw o-dim ensional ring w ith constant curvature $=1=\mathrm{R}$ attached to straight leads w ith the sam e w idth as shown in $F$ ig. segm ent we can w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=a(s) \quad u b^{0}(s) \\
& y=b(s)+u a^{0}(s) \\
& a(s)=\quad R \cos (s=R) ; b(s)=R \sin (s=R) \\
& g^{1=2}=1+u \quad(s)=\frac{u+R}{R} \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith (s) as a curvature of the curved waveguide which is taken below constant. T he SO I takes the follow ing form at the curved part of the w aveguide

$$
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{SL}}=
$$

At the leads we assum e that there is no the spin-orbital interaction $(=0)$ as well as $=0 ; 9^{1=2}=1$.
$T$ he Shcrodinger equation

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{H} \quad " \\
\#
\end{array}=\quad \text { " }
$$

w ith the total $H$ am iltonian as $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}$ o takes the follow ing form

$$
\begin{align*}
& g^{1=2} \frac{@}{@ s} g^{1=2} \frac{\varrho^{@}}{@ s}+g^{1=2} \frac{@}{@ u} \quad g^{1=2} \frac{@ n^{\prime}}{@ u} \\
& +{ }^{n} \quad e^{i s=R} \frac{@ \#}{@ u}+i g{ }^{1=2} \frac{@ \#}{@ s}=0 ; \\
& g^{1=2} \frac{@}{@ s} g^{1=2} \frac{@ \#}{@ s}+g^{1=2} \frac{@}{@ u} \quad g^{1=2} \frac{@}{@ u} \\
& +\#^{+} e^{i s=R} \frac{@ "}{@ u} \quad \text { ig }{ }^{1=2} \frac{@ "}{@ s}=0 \text {; } \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

The solutions of Eqs ( $\overline{5} \bar{B}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) which satisfy to the $D$ irichlet boundary conditions ( $u=1=2$ ) can be presented as


$$
\begin{align*}
& n(u ; s)=x_{n=1}^{X_{n}} A_{n}(s) \sin (n(u+1=2)) \\
& \#(u ; s)=A_{n=1}^{X_{n}}(s) \sin (n(u+1=2)): \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
X_{n=1}^{X_{m}} \mathbb{L}_{m n} A_{n}^{\infty}(s)+P_{m n} A n_{n}(s) \quad e^{i} s_{m n} A_{\# n}(s)
$$

$$
\left.i e^{i} s_{R_{m n}} A_{\# n}^{0}(s)\right]=\left[(m)^{2} \quad\right] A_{n m}
$$

$X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \mathbb{L}_{m n} A_{\# n}^{\infty}(s)+P_{m n} A_{\# n}(s)+e^{i s} Q_{m n} A n_{n}(s)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.i e^{i s} R_{m n} A_{"_{n}}^{0}(s)\right]=\left[(m)^{2} \quad\right] A_{\#_{m}} \text { : } \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we introduced the follow ing notations

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{m n}=2^{Z_{1=2}} \frac{\sin (m(u+1=2)) \sin (n(u+1=2))}{(1+u)^{2}} d u ; \\
P_{m n}=2 n_{1=2}^{1=2} \frac{\sin (m(u+1=2)) \cos (n(u+1=2))}{1+u} d u ; \\
R_{m n}=2_{1=2}^{Z_{1=2}^{1=2}} \frac{\sin (m(u+1=2)) \sin (n(u+1=2))}{1+u} d u ; \\
Q_{m n}=2 n_{1=2}^{Z_{1=2}} \sin (m(u+1=2)) \cos (n(u+1=2)) d u: \tag{61}
\end{gather*}
$$




S

## VI. N U M ERICALRESULTS

In num erical practice we solve the system of $E$ qs ( 6 ( d ) and (6II) taking a nite num ber ofw aveguidem odes. This num ber of $m$ odes $w$ as controlled by the norm alization condition that sum of the total re ection probabilities and the total transm ission ones is to be equaled to unit. The spin components < > were calculated at the attached outgoing straight electrode in which we assum ed there is no the spin-orbit interaction by follow ing form ula

In $F$ ig' are not shown. Fig. 1 show s evolution of the spin com ponents ( $\left.{ }^{2} \mathbf{6} 2 \overline{1}\right)$ versus the longitudinal coordinate $s$.

It is sunprising that for energy of incident electron far from the edge of energy subband the spin evolution alm ost coincides with the one-dim ensional curved wire shown in Fig. 'íl by squares, triangles and circles. In Fig. ${ }_{1}^{17}$ (a) the energy dependence of the spin com ponents are shown which dem onstrates rem arkable phenom enon of spin ipping at the edge of the second energy subband $\mathrm{E}_{2}=(2)^{2} \quad 39: 4$. It is interesting that increasing of region w ith the SO I by increasing of length curved waveguide or increasing of the spin-orbit constant leads to double ipping of electron spin for transm ission through the

FIG. 6: The spin components as dependent on the length $s$ of a curved tw o-dim ensional w aveguide. T he result of calculation based on the state (46) for the curved one-dim ensional $w$ ire is show $n$ by squares $(\bar{z})$, triangles ( $x$ ) and circles ( $y$ ). $T$ he radius of $w$ ire $R=d$ where $d$ is the $w$ idth of $w$ aveguide. The dim ensionless spin-orbit constant $=2 \mathrm{~m}$ d equals unit. (a) The dim ensionless energy $=25$ (the rst channel transm ission) and (b) $=39: 25$ (near an edge of the second subband).
waveguide as show $n$ in F ig. $\overline{7}_{1}$ (b) . This phenom enon is a consequence of the intersubband $m$ ixing by the SO I as it was discussed in section III.

Therefore one can expect strong deviation of the curved two-dim ensional waveguides from the onedim ensional one for the spin evolution near edges of the subbands ${ }^{2} \mathrm{n}^{2}$. In fact, one can see from F ig. ITin (b) that for energy of incident electron E $4^{2}$ the spin evolution w ith length of the curved waveguide is strongly deviates from the case of one-dim ensional curved w ire.
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FIG.7: The spin com ponents as dependent on the energy of incident electron for (a) $0=90^{\circ}$-curved waveguide and (b) $0=180^{\circ}$ one $=1$.
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