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#### Abstract

W e study a pseudogap region of the $m$ ixed boson ferm ion system using a recent form ulation of the renorm alization group technique through the set of in nitesim al unitary transform ations. Renorm alization of ferm ion energies gives rise to a depletion of the low energy states (pseudogap) for tem peratures $\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{T}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{which}$ foreshadow S appearance of the pairw ise correlations w ith their long range phase coherence being m issed. W ith a help of the ow equations for boson and ferm ion operators we analyze spectral weights and nite life tim es of these quasiparticles caused by interactions.


$M$ any experim entalm easurem ents ofe.g. the angle resolved photoem ission (ARPES), tunneling spectroscopy (STM), speci cheat asw ellas the opticaland $m$ agnetic characteristics (see for an overview 1] 1] point at a presence ofpseudogap in the norm alphase spectrum of underdoped cuprate superconductors. It is believed that a proper description of th is phenom enon would be crucial for understanding of the HTSC m echanism.
$M$ odel of $i$ inerant ferm ions coupled via the charge exchange interaction to boson particles 2 ] fror exam ple of bipolaronic origin, has been show $n$ to posses such a pseudogap in the norm alphase ferm ion spectrum. Its occurrence has been obtained on a basis of the selffonsistent perturbation theory [3], diagrammatic expansion [4] and also by solving the dynam ical m ean eld equations for this $m$ odel $w$ ithin the non-crossing approxim ation (NCA) 合. Unfortunately, these techniques have not been able to study a superconducting phase of the $m$ odel, so evolution of the pseudogap into the true superconducting gap could not be investigated. Only very recently we have reported som e prior results for the boson ferm ion (BF) model using the ow equation $m$ ethod 6] where superconducting gap and norm al phase pseudogap could be treated on equal footing. Both gaps originate from the sam e source (from the exchange interaction betw een ferm ions and bosons) but they are di erently scaled against a m agnitude of th is interaction and the total concentration of charge carriers. Still the $m$ ost intriguing question conceming the evolution from the pseudo-to the superconducting gap is poorly understood due to technical di culties (it is a very tough problem to solve the ow equations for $2+$ dim ensionalsystem). Som e attem pts in this direction are currently under consideration.

In this paper we address another im portant issue conceming life time e ects of the quasiparticles. The high resolution ARPES data [] reveal that norm al phase of underdoped cuprates has the $m$ arginal Ferm i liquid type properties where the quasiparticle weight and life tim e are very sensitive to tem perature. $W$ e want to check how the life tim es of ferm ions and bosons depend on tem perature $T$ and $m$ om entum using the BF $m$ odel scenario.

F low equation for any arbitrary operator, say $\hat{A}(1)$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \hat{A}(\mathbb{1})}{d l}={ }^{h}{ }^{\wedge}(\mathrm{l}) ; \hat{A^{\prime}}(\mathbb{1}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\wedge}$ is a generating operator of the continuous canonical transform ation. The initial BF model H am iltonian consists of the free part

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{0}={ }_{k ;}^{X}\left("_{k} \quad\right) \hat{e}_{k}^{y} \hat{C}_{k}+{ }_{q}^{X}\left(E_{q} \quad 2\right) \hat{b}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{y}} \hat{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{q}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the interaction

In order to decouple ferm ion from boson subsystem $s$ we have previously chosen in a form [\$]
w ith $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{q}}=\eta_{k}^{\#}+"_{q} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q}} \cdot \mathrm{U}$ sing the general ow equation 11 we transform ed the H am ilton operator $\hat{H}$（1）so that in the lim it l！ 1 the charge exchange interaction got elim inated $v_{k ; q}(1)=0$ ．

In a course of the continuous canonicaltransform ation the initialboson and ferm ion operators change according to the follow ing ow equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \hat{b}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{l})}{\mathrm{dl}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k} ; q \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{l}) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k} ; q \mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{l}) \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \#(\mathrm{l}) \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{qk} ; "}(\mathrm{l})} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilar equations can be derived for their herm itean con jugates $\hat{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{l}), \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k} ; \boldsymbol{\prime}}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{l})$ ．By inspecting 国）we notice that in itial boson annihilation operator is coupled to the electron pair operator $\theta_{k ; \#}(1) \epsilon_{q} k ; "(1)$ ．Thereofone should in a next step determ ine a corresponding ow equation for the nite mom entum pair operator，how ever this process w ill never end （like equations ofm otion for the $G$ reens functions）．T here w ould be the higher and higher order product of operators getting involved into the di erential ow equations．

Follow ing the other w orks using such continuous canonical transform ation 自］－10］we term inate the ow equations by postulating some（physical）A nsatz．In a context of the BF m odel it seem s natural to postulate the follow ing decom positions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{C}_{\mathrm{k}} ;(\mathrm{l})=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{l}) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \quad+{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{l}) \hat{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \quad ; \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{b}_{q} \quad \hat{b}_{\mathrm{q}}(l=0)$ and $\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \quad \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \quad(\mathrm{l}=0)$. The initial $l=0$ values are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{k}}(0)=1 ; & \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{q}}(0)=0 ; \\
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{q}}(0)=1 ; & \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{q}}(0)=0: \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

U nknow $n$ param eters $Y_{q ; k}(1), B_{k ; q}$（1）can be found directly from the ow equations（5），（6）

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d B_{k ; q}(l)}{d l}=q_{q ; k}(l) v_{q ; k}(l) X_{q+k}(l) A_{q}(l)  \tag{10}\\
& \frac{d Y_{q ; k}(l)}{d l}={ }_{k ; q k}(l) v_{k ; q k}(l) A_{k}(1) A_{q k}(l): \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

There is no unam biguous way for determ ining the other $m$ issing coe cients $A_{k}(1), X_{q}$（1）because w ith the Ansatz （7，8）the ow equations still do not close．In what follow s bellow we determ ine these param eters using the constraint

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{h}{\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{q}}(1) ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{q}^{0}}^{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{l})}{ }_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{q}^{0} ;  \tag{12}\\
& \text { n } \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hese statistical com $m$ utation／antioom $m$ utation relations yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{k}(1)^{2}+{ }^{X} B_{k ; q}()^{2}\left(f_{q ; \#} \quad b_{k+q}\right)=1 ;  \tag{14}\\
& X_{q}\left(\mathcal{l}^{2}+{ }_{k}^{X} Y_{q ; k}\left(l^{2}\left(1 \quad f_{k ; \#} \quad f_{q k ; "}\right)=1:\right.\right. \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere $f_{k} ;{ }^{\prime} b_{q}$ denote the Ferm i and B ose distribution functions．They appear after introducing the norm al ordered form $s$ for operators left of the com $m$ utation（12）and anticom $m$ utation（13）when substituting（7）．

W e solved num erically a set of the coupled equations 10，11，14，15）sim ultaneously w ith the additional ow equations for $"_{k}(l) E_{k}(l)$ and $v_{k ; q}(l)$ given in the Ref．目］．Initially，for $l=0$ ，we assum ed：a）the one dim ensional tight binding
dispersion ${ }_{k}(l=0)=2$ tcosk, $\left.b\right)$ localized bosons $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{l}=0)=\quad \mathrm{B}$ and c$)$ localexchange interaction $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{l}=0)=\mathrm{v}$. For the sake of com parison $w$ th the previous studies of this $m$ odel we used $D=4 t \quad 1, \quad$, $=0: 6, v=0: 1$ and total concentration of carries xed to be 1.


FIG.1. M om entum dependence of the ferm ion coe cient $A_{k}(1)$ for three tem peratures $T=0: 01,0: 02$ and $0: 03$ (in units of the in itial ferm ions bandw idth). N ote a strong renom alization of the coe cient $A_{k}$ around the characteristic $m$ om entum $k$.

In gure 1 we plot the $m$ om entum dependence of $A_{k}$ coe cient for $l=1$ (when ferm ion subsystem is decoupled from the boson one). C onsiderable variation of the param eter $A_{k}$ from its initial value (being 1) can be observed for such $m$ om enta which are located near $k$, 0:89. A $s$ discussed in the $R$ ef. [G] this situation corresponds to the resonant scattering processes $w$ hen $"_{k}+"_{k}=B$. It is worth $m$ entioning that for $n_{\text {tot }}=1$ the Ferm im om entum approaches this $k$ from bellow when tem perature decreases. W e could think of the coe cient $A{ }_{k}$ as a m easure of the spectral weight for ferm ions. The $m$ issing part $\mathfrak{j} \quad A_{k} j$ is transfered tow ards som e com posite ob jects ( m ixtures of ferm ions and bosons or ferm ion pairs). Taking into account the fact that for a decreasing tem perature $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ shifts closer and closer to $k$, we shall thus observe a dim inishing spectral weight of ferm ions. This is in agreem ent with interpretation of the ARPES experim ental results 团].



FIG.2. M om entum dependence of the boson coe cients $X_{q}(1)$ and ${ }_{k} Y_{q ; k}(1)$ for the sam e tem peratures as in gure 1. $T$ he $m$ ost e cient renorm alization ofboson coe cients occurs near $q \quad 2 k$.

For a com pleteness we ilhustrate also the resulting ( $1=1$ ) values of the boson coe cients given in ( D. P aram eter $X_{q}$ show sonly a sm alldeviation from its in itial value 1 . This tim e such a change is $m$ ost e ective forboson $m$ om enta close to 2 k . It is exactly the sam e region of the B rillouin zone where the e ective boson dispersion show s a kink (see gure 3).
Them issing part of the boson spectralw eight is transferred to the ferm ion pairs, as given by the A nsatz (7). B ottom gure 2 shows that ${ }_{k} Y_{q ; k}$ (which roughly $m$ easures the ferm ion pairs spectral weight) changes a lot for $q \quad 2 k$. A gain, if we recall that for a decreasing tem perature $k_{F}!k$ we can conclude that there is an increasing probability of nding $m$ ore and $m$ ore ferm ion pairs, even though our system is not in the superconducting phase. This is one of possible ways for observing the precursor e ect.


FIG.3. E ective boson dispersion $E_{k}(l=1)$ as measured from 2 level for the sam eset of tem peratures as in gure 1. R ecall that in itially (for $l=0$ bosons were localized.

In conclusion we studied evolution of ferm ion and boson operators in the BF model. D uring a continuous transfor$m$ ation which elim inated the exchange interaction we observe transform ation of the initial ferm ion and boson particles into the com posite ob jects. In particular we nd that ferm ion (boson) spectralw eights at $k_{F} \quad\left(2 k_{F}\right)$ are reduced when tem perature decreases. $T$ his e ect is in an agreem ent with the recent interpretation of the ARPES m easurem ents for underdoped B i2212 cuprates. To clarify a problem of the quasiparticles life tim e we need a m ore detailed study of the correlation functions. Such an analysis is currently under investigation and the results w ill be published elsew here.
[1] T.T im usk and B. Stratt, Rep. Prog. Phys. 62, 61 (1999).
[2] J. R anninger and S. R obaszkiew icz, Physica B 135, 468 (1985).
[3] J. R anninger, JM . R ob in and M .Eschrig, P hys. R ev. Lett. 74, 4027 (1995); P.D evillard and J. R anninger, P hys. R ev. Lett. 84, 5200 (2000).
[4] H.C.Ren, Physica C 303,115 (1998).
[5] J M. R obin, A . R om ano and J. R anninger, P hys. Rev. Lett. 81, 2756 (1998).
[6] T.D om anskiand J.Ranninger, Phys. Rev.B 63, 134505 (2001).
[7] M R.Norm an, A.K am inski, J.M esot and J.C.C am puzano, Phys. Rev.B 63,140508 (2001).
[8] S.K ehrein and A.M ielke, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 6, 90 (1997).
[9] M . R agaw itz and F.W egner, Eur. Phys. J.B 8, 9 (1999).
[10] W . H ofstetter and S. K ehrein, Phys. Rev.B 63, 140402 (2001).

