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#### Abstract

The basic features of the slow relaxation phenom enology arising in phase ordering processes are obtained analytically in the large N m odel through the exact separation of the order param eter into the sum of them al and condensation com ponents. The aging contribution in the response function ag $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ is found to obey a pattem ofbehavior, under variation ofdim ensionality, qualitatively sim ilar to the one observed in Ising system s. There exists a critical dim ensionality ( $\left(\mathrm{d}=4\right.$ ) above which ag $\left(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ is proportional to the defect density $D(t)$, while for $d<4$ it vanishes more slow ly than $D(t)$ and at $\mathrm{d}=2$ does not vanish. A s in the Ising case, this behavior can be understood in term s of the dependence on dim ensionality of the interplay between the defect density and the e ective response associated to a single defect.


PACS: 05.70 Ln, $64.60 . \mathrm{Cn}, 05.40 . \mathrm{a}, 05.50 .+\mathrm{q}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

The phase ordering processes rom agnets) below the critical point provide a sim pli ed fram ew ork for the study of slow
relaxation phenom ena. In particular, aging and the o equilibrium deviation from the uc-

 arising in these processes originates from the w ide separation in the tim e scales of fast and slow variables. Referring to the $m$ ore intuitive case of a dom ain form ing system, in the late stage of phase ordering the order param eter can be assum ed to be the sum oftw o statistically independent com ponents [/i-1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; t)=(x ; t)+(x ; t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the rst describing equilibrium therm al uctuation within dom ains and the second o equilibrium uctuations due to interface m otion. From '(i) follow s rather straightforw ardly the split of the autocorrelation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(t ; t_{\mathrm{w}}\right)=G_{\text {st }}\left(t \quad t_{\mathrm{w}}\right)+G_{\mathrm{ag}} \frac{t}{t_{\mathrm{w}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{\text {st }}\left(t \quad t_{f}\right)$ is the stationary tim e translation invariant (T T I) contribution due to and $G_{a g}\left(t=t_{w}\right)$ the aging contribution due to the o -equilibrium degrees of freedom. A sim ilar structure show s up in the linear response at the tim et to an extemal random eld sw itched on at the earlier tim e $t_{w}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t ; t_{w}\right)=\text { st }\left(t \quad t_{w}\right)+\text { ag }\left(t ; t_{w}\right): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the stationary contribution st $\left(t \quad t_{t}\right.$ ) satis es the equilibrium FDT w ith respect to $G_{\text {st }}\left(t \quad t_{w}\right)$, while ag $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ is the 0 equilibrium extra response due to the presence of the


$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ag }\left(t ; t_{N}\right) \quad I(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I(t) \quad L^{1}(t)$ and $L(t) \quad t^{=z}$ is the typicaldom ain size with $z=2$ for non conserved order param eter $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1], ~ a s ~ w e ~ s h a l l ~ a s s u m ~ e ~ i n ~ t h e ~ f o l l o w ~ i n g . ~ A ~ m ~ o r e ~ p r e c i s e ~ f o r m ~ u l a t i o n ~ o f ~ t h ~ i s ~}\end{array}\right.$ behavior is through the scaling relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ag }\left(t ; t_{w}\right)=t_{w}^{a} \wedge \frac{t}{t_{w}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the exponent $\mathrm{a}=1=2$. The im plication is that ag $\left(t ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ is negligible in the asym ptotic reginetw! 1 .

M otivated by analytical results $\left[\begin{array}{ll}[1], 1,1] \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ for the one dim ensional Ising $m$ odel which do not $t$ in the above schem $e$, recently we have undertaken a detailed study of the behavior of the response function under variation of space dim ensionality for a system with a scalar order param eter $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{4} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. On the basis of num erical sim ulations for discrete Ising spins and approxim ated analytical results for continuous spins, we have arrived to a picture for the behavior of ag $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ which modi es considerably the one presented above. This is best understood by introducing the notion of the e ective response due to a single interface and de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ag }\left(t ; t_{W}\right)=I(t) \text { eff }\left(t ; t_{W}\right): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, if ( $(\overline{\overline{4}})$ were to hold, eff $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ ought to be a constant. Instead, we have found that for an Ising system this is the case only for $d>3$, while for $d<3$ there is the power law grow th

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{eff}\left(t ; t_{w}\right) \quad(t \quad t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th num erical values for the exponent com patible w th $=(3 \mathrm{~d})=4$. At $\mathrm{d}=3$ the power law is replaced by logarithm ic grow th, prom oting $d=3$ to the role of a critical dim ensionality. This result is interesting for two reasons. The rst concems the $m$ echanism of the response and the role of the o -equilibrium degrees of freedom. The pow er law '(i) reveals that for $d<3$ the aging com ponent of the response does not originate trivially just from the polarization of interfacial spins, but a m ore com plex phenom enon producing large scale optim ization of the position of dom ains w ith respect to the extemal eld is at work. The second regards the overall behavior of $\mathrm{ag}\left(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$. Putting together ( $(\overline{-1})$ and ( the exponent a in (5), acquires a dependence on dim ensionality

$$
a=\begin{align*}
& 8  \tag{8}\\
& \sum_{\gtrless}^{8} \frac{1}{2} ; d>3 \\
& \geqslant \frac{d 1}{4} ; d<3
\end{align*}
$$

show ing that $\lim _{\mathrm{t}_{w}!1}$ ag $\left(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ does not vanish asd! 1 . This indicates that $d=1$ plays the role of a low er criticaldim ensionality, where the o equilibrium response becom es persistent. An interesting consequence of this phenom enon is that the connection between static and dynam ic properties [ $[\underline{Z} \bar{q}]$, which holds for $d>1$, is invalidated at $d=1$. It should be added that in order to have a phase ordering process in $d=1$ therm al uctuationsw ithin dom ains m ust be suppressed [ī].

A s stated previously, the picture sum $m$ arized above has been established on the basis of a combination of exact results for the $d=1$ Ising $m$ odel, num erical results for Ising system swith d > 1 and approxim ate analytical results for continuous spin system s . It is, then, interesting to test how general is the picture. A s a step in this direction, we have considered the large N m odel where exact analytical calculations can be carried out tī1 $T$ he slow relaxation properties of the large $N$ or equivalent $m$ ean eld $m$ odels, arising in the
 beyond previous results since we m anage to reproduce exactly and analytically the scenario outlined for Ising system s . W e show that in the large N m odel one can m ake explicitly the separation (1-1) of the order param eter into the sum of tw o independent com ponents which are responsible of the stationary and aging contributions in (2) . Z . Then, we carry out analytically
 defect density for the large N m odel, we derive by analogy w ith ( $(\underset{-1}{ })$ the e ective response per defect and we nd a behavior, as dim ensionality is varied, qualitatively sim ilar to the one established for scalar system s .

The paper is organized as follow s . In Section '言 the large N model is de ned and the $m$ ain static properties are reviewed. In Section the solution of the equation of $m$ otion is presented and the analytical form of the autocorrelation function is obtained in quenches at and below the critical point. In Section "īN" the splitting of the order param eter into
independent com ponents satisfying the requirem ents above described is carried out. In Section 'V̄', the behavior of the integrated response function is considered in relation to the - equilibrium deviation from the FD T.F inally, concluding rem arks arem ade in SectioniTV.

## II. M ODELAND STATIC PROPERTIES

W e consider the purely relaxational dynam ics of a system with a non conserved order param eter govemed by the Langevin equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{\sim}(x ; t)}{@ t}=\frac{H[]}{\sim(x ; t)}+\sim(x ; t) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sim\left(1 ;:: ;{ }_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ is an N -com ponent vector, $\sim(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})$ is a gaussian white noise w ith expectations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { そ } h \sim(x ; t) i=0 \\
& \text { そ } h \quad(x ; t) \quad\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right) i=2 T \quad ; \quad(x \quad \text { ef })(t \quad \text { \& }) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and $T$ is the tem perature of the them al bath. In the dynam ical process of interest the system is in itially prepared in the in nite tem perature equilibrium state $w$ ith expectations

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8^{\gtrless} h^{\sim}(x) i=0 \\
& \geqslant h \quad(x) \quad\left(x^{0}\right) i=\quad ; \quad\left(x \quad \frac{x}{x}\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and at the time $t=0$ is quenched to a lower nal tem perature $\mathrm{T} . \mathrm{T}$ he ham iltonian is of the $G$ inzburg-Landau form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H[]]={ }_{v}^{Z} d^{d} x \frac{1}{2}(r \sim)^{2}+\frac{r}{2} \sim_{2}^{2}+\frac{g}{4 N}\left(\sim^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $r<0, g>0$ and $V$ is the volum e of the system. In the large $N$ lim it the equation of $m$ otion for the Fourier transform of the order param eter $\sim(\tilde{K})={ }_{v}^{R} d^{d} x^{\sim}(x) \exp (i \tilde{K} \quad x)$ takes the linear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{@^{\sim}(\mathbb{k} ; t)}{@ t}=\left[\mathbb{k}^{2}+I(t)\right]^{\sim}(\widetilde{k} ; t)+\sim \widetilde{k} ; t\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
& \text { § } h \sim \widetilde{k} ; t) i=0 \\
& \stackrel{\gtrless}{:} h \quad(\widetilde{k} ; t) \quad\left(\tilde{K}^{0} ; t^{0}\right) i=2 T_{F} \quad ; V_{\tilde{k}+\mathfrak{k}^{0} ; 0} \quad(t \quad \&) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and the function of time

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t)=r+\frac{g}{N} h^{2}(x ; t) i \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ ust be determ ined self-consistently, w ith the average on the right hand side taken both over them alnoise and initial condition. If the volum e $V$ is kept nite the system equilibrates in a nite tim e $t_{q}$ and the order param eter probability distribution reaches the $G$ ibbs state

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{e q}[\tilde{K}(\widetilde{K})]=\frac{1}{Z} e^{{\frac{1}{2 T_{F} V}}^{P}}{ }_{k}^{\left(k^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{\sim}(\tilde{k}) \sim(\tilde{k})} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the correlation length de ned by the equilibrium value of I (t) through

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=r+\frac{g}{N} h^{\sim 2}(x) i_{e q} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th h eq standing for the average taken w ith (1] $\overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{G}})$.
In order to analyze the properties of $\mathrm{P}_{\text {eq }}[\sim(\mathbb{K})]$ it is necessary to extract from ( dependence of ${ }^{2}$ on $T$ and $V$. Evaluating the average, the above equation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=r+\frac{g}{V}_{k}^{x} \frac{T_{F}}{k^{2}+2}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of this equation is well known $n$ [1] and here we sum $m$ arize the $m$ ain features. Separating the $\widetilde{K}=0$ term under the sum, for very large volum e we $m$ ay rew rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=r+g T_{F} B\left(2^{2}\right)+g \frac{T_{F}}{V{ }^{2}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left({ }^{2}\right)=\lim _{v!1} \frac{1}{V}{ }_{k}^{x} \frac{1}{k^{2}+2}=\frac{z}{(2)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} k}{k^{2}+e^{\frac{k^{2}}{2}}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

regularizing the integralby introducing the high $m$ om entum cuto. . The function $B(x)$ is a non negative $m$ onotonically decreasing function $w$ ith the $m$ axim $u m$ value at $x=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(0)=\frac{z}{(2)^{d}} \frac{d^{d} k}{e^{\frac{k^{2}}{2}}}=(4)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{2}{d \quad 2}{ }^{d 2}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

By graphical analysis one can easily show that ( H ow ever, there exists the critical value of the tem perature $T_{C}$ de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r+g T_{C} B(0)=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that for $T_{F}>T_{C}$ the solution is independent of the volum e, while for $T_{F} \quad T_{C}$ it depends on the volum e. U sing

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x)=(4)^{\frac{d}{2}} X^{\frac{d}{2}} 1 e^{\frac{x}{2}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{d}{2} ; \frac{x}{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(1 \frac{d}{2} ; \frac{x}{2}\right)$ is the incom plete gam $m$ a function, for $0<\frac{T_{F} T_{c}}{T_{C}} \quad 1$ one nds (A ppendix I) $\quad \frac{T_{F} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}}$ ) where $=1=2$ for $\mathrm{d}>4$ and $=1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{d} & 2) \text { for } \mathrm{d}<4 \text {, w th logarithm ic } ~\end{array}\right.$ corrections for $d=4$. At $T_{C}$ one has $V$ with $=1=4$ for $d>4$ and $=1=d$ for $d<4$, again w ith logarithm ic corrections for $d=4$. Finally, below $T_{C}$ one $n d s{ }^{2}=\frac{M^{2} V}{T_{F}}$ where $M^{2}=M_{0}^{2} \frac{T_{C} T_{F}}{T_{C}}$ and $M_{0}^{2}=r=g$.

Let us now se what are the im plications for the equilibrium state. As (Ī్̄̄) show s the individual Fourier com ponents are independent random variables, gaussianly distributed w th zero average. The variance is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} h^{\sim}(\mathfrak{K}) \sim(\widetilde{K}) i_{\mathrm{eq}}=V C_{\mathrm{eq}}(\widetilde{K}) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{eq}}(\widetilde{k})=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{k}^{2}+{ }^{2}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the equilibrium structure factor. For $T_{F}>T_{C}$, all $\widetilde{k} m$ odes behave in the sam eway, w th the variance grow ing linearly w ith the volum e. For $T_{F} \quad T_{C}$, instead, ${ }^{2}$ is negligible w ith respect to $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ except at $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}}=0$, yielding
where a is a constant (A ppendix I). This produces a volum e dependence in the variance of the $\mathbb{K}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ode grow ing faster than linear. Therefore, for $T_{F} T_{C}$ the $\mathbb{K}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ode behaves di erently from all the other modes with $\widetilde{K} \in 0$. For $T_{F}<T_{C}$ the probability distribution (1] $\overline{1} \overline{-1})$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {eq }}[\sim(\widetilde{K})]=\frac{1}{Z} e^{\frac{\sim^{2}(0)}{2 M^{2} V^{2}}} e^{\frac{1}{2 T_{\mathrm{F}} V}}{ }^{P} K^{k^{2} \sim(\tilde{K}) \sim(\tilde{K})} \quad: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, crossing $T_{C}$ there is a transition from the usualdisordered high tem perature phase to a low tem perature phase characterized by a macrosoopic variance in the distribution of the $\widetilde{K}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ode. $T$ he distinction betw een this phase and the m ixture ofpure states, obtained below $T_{C}$ when $N$ is kept nite, has been discussed elsew here' $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{14} 1\right]$.
$W$ e shall refer to this transition as condensation of uctuations in the $\widetilde{K}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ode. In order to gain a better insight it is convenient to go back to real space, splltting the order param eter into the sum of the two independent com ponents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(x)=\sim+\sim(x) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim=\frac{1}{V} \sim(\tilde{k}=0) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(\mathbb{X})=\frac{1}{V}_{\underset{K}{ } \in 0}^{X} \sim(\tilde{K}) e^{i \mathbb{K} x}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{e q}[\sim(x)]=P(\sim) P[\sim(x)] \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(\sim)=\frac{1}{\left(2 M^{2}\right)^{N}=2} e^{\frac{\tau^{2}}{2 M^{2}}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

show sthe form ation of the condensate below $T_{C} w$ th the $m$ acroscopic variance $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{hr}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\text {eq }}=$ $M^{2}$ while

$$
\begin{equation*}
P[\sim(x)]=\frac{1}{Z} e^{\frac{1}{2 T_{F}}} \underset{v}{R} d^{d} x(r \sim)^{2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

describes therm al uctuations about the condensate. C orrespondingly, the correlation function $G_{\text {eq }}\left(x \quad x^{\prime}\right)=(1=N) h^{\sim}(x) \quad \sim\left(x^{0}\right) i_{\text {eq }}$ Splits into the sum of two pieces

$$
G_{e q}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & x^{0}
\end{array}\right)=G_{T}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & x^{0} \tag{34}
\end{array}\right)+M^{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{T}\left(x \quad x^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{N} h^{\sim}(x) \quad \sim\left(x^{0}\right) i_{e q} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the correlation of therm al uctuations and $M^{2}$ com es from uctuations of the condensate.
 $\left.x^{0}\right)=M^{2}$ show ing the violation of the clustering property of the correlation function due to the breaking of ergodicity in the low tem perature phase. For future reference, notige that from (1 $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ follow $s$ that for $x=x^{0}$ and $T_{F} \quad T_{C}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{e q}(0)=M{ }_{0}^{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which in tum implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{T}(0)=M_{0}^{2} \quad M^{2}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s stated above, w ith a nite $V$ equilibrium is reached fort and $t_{\text {eq }}{ }^{2}$. Hence, in a quench to $T_{F}<T_{C}$ one has $t_{\text {eq }} \quad V$ implying that if the $V$ ! 1 lim it is taken at the beginning of the quench, equilibrium is not reached for any nite time. Since in the follow ing we are interested in the relaxation regin e before equilibrium is reached, we shall take the them odynam ic lim it from the outset. W e are interested to see whether it is possible to carry out the decom position (II) of the order param eter in such a way that $\sim(x ; t)$ eventually evolves into the equilibrium condensate $\sim$ and $\sim(x ; t)$ into the equilibrium therm al uctuations.

D ue to rotational sym m etry, from now on we shall drop vectors and refer to the generic com ponent of the order param eter. The form al solution of $(\underline{1} \overline{1})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(\widetilde{k} ; t)=R(\widetilde{k} ; t ; 0) 0(\widetilde{k})+\int_{0}^{\mathrm{z}} d t^{0} R\left(\widetilde{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right) \quad \widetilde{k} ; t^{0}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0(\mathbb{K})=(\mathbb{k} ; t=0)$ and according to $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ in the $V$ ! 1 lim it

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { श } h_{0}(\mathbb{K}) i=0  \tag{39}\\
& \stackrel{\geqslant}{?} h_{0}(\widetilde{k}) \circ\left(\widetilde{K}^{0}\right) i=(2)^{d}\left(\widetilde{k}+\mathbb{K}^{0}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

The response function is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(\tilde{K} ; t ; t^{0}\right)=\frac{Y\left(t^{0}\right)}{Y(t)} e^{k^{2}\left(t t^{0}\right)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $Y(t)=\exp Q(t)], Q(t)=\begin{gathered}R_{t} \\ 0\end{gathered} d s I(s)$ and $Y(0)=1 . T$ he actual solution is obtained once the function $Y(t)$ is determ ined. In order to do this, notioe that from the de nition of $Y(t)$ follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Y^{2}(t)}{d t}=2^{h} r+g h^{2}(x ; t) i^{i} Y^{2}(t): \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

W riting $h^{2}(x ; t) i$ in term $s$ of the structure factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{2}(x ; t) i=\frac{z}{(2)^{d}} C(\widetilde{k} ; t) e^{\frac{k^{2}}{2}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\widetilde{k} ; t)=R^{2}(k ; t ; 0)+2 T_{F} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} d t^{0} R^{2}\left(\tilde{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Y^{2}(t)}{d t}=2 r Y^{2}(t)+2 g f t+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+4 g T_{F} \int_{0}^{Z} d t^{0} f \quad t \quad e+\frac{1}{2^{2}} Y^{2}\left(t^{0}\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \quad \frac{Z}{(2)^{d}} e^{2 k^{2} x}=(8 x)^{\frac{d}{2}}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

 given by

$$
Y^{2}(t)=\begin{array}{ll}
A_{a} e^{2}{ }^{2} t & ; \text { for } T_{F}>T_{C} \\
A_{C} t^{\prime} & \text {; for } T_{F}=T_{C}  \tag{46}\\
A_{b} t t^{\frac{d}{2}} & ; \text { for } T_{F}<T_{C}
\end{array}
$$

where $!=0$ for $d>4$ and $!=(d \quad 4)=2$ for $d<4$. The values of the constants $A_{a} ; A_{b}$ and $A_{C}$ are listed in A ppendix II.
$T$ his com pletes the solution of the $m$ odel. O nce the response function is know $n$, we $m$ ay go back to ( $\overline{3} \overline{8} \overline{1})$ and take various averages. U sing ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4})$ and ( $(\overline{3} \overline{9})$ ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\tilde{k} ; t) i=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the two tim e structure factor $\left.h(\widetilde{k} ; t)\left(\widetilde{k}^{0} ; t^{0}\right) i=C\left(\widetilde{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right)(2)^{d} \widetilde{k}+\widetilde{k}^{0}\right)$ with $t e$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\widetilde{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right)=R(\widetilde{k} ; t ; 0) R\left(\widetilde{k} ; t^{0} ; 0\right)+2 T_{F} \int_{0}^{t^{0}} d t^{\infty} R\left(\widetilde{k} ; t ; t^{\infty}\right) R\left(\widetilde{k} ; t^{0} ; t^{\infty}\right): \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding real space correlation function $G\left(x \quad x ; t ; t^{0}\right)=h(x ; t)\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right)$ i is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
G\left(x \quad x^{0} ; t ; t^{0}\right) & =\frac{Z}{d x^{\infty} R\left(x \quad x^{0} ; t ; 0\right) R\left(x^{0} \quad x^{\infty} ; t^{0} ; 0\right)} \\
& +2 T_{F} \int_{0}^{Z} d t^{\alpha^{0}} d x^{\infty} R\left(x \quad x^{\infty} ; t ; t^{\infty}\right) R\left(x^{0} \quad x^{0} ; t^{0} ; t^{\infty}\right) \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R\left(x ; t ; t^{0}\right)$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $R\left(\widetilde{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right)$. In the follow ing we will be prim arily concemed w th the autocorrelation function $G\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=G\left(x \quad x=0 ; t ; t^{0}\right) . U \operatorname{sing}$ the de nitions! ( $4 \overline{4} \overline{0})$ and $!(\overline{4}(\overline{5})$ this is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\frac{1}{Y(t) Y\left(t^{0}\right)} £ \frac{t+t^{0}}{2}+\frac{1}{2^{2}}{ }^{!}+2 T_{F}^{z} \int_{0}^{t^{0}} d t^{\infty} f \frac{t+t^{0}}{2} \quad e^{0}+\frac{1}{2^{2}} Y^{2}\left(t^{\infty}\right): \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The behavior of this quantity for di erent naltem peratures and for di erent tim e regim es has been studied in the leterature $[15$

For $T_{F}>T_{C}$ from ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{1})$ follow $s$ that for $t^{0}>t_{e q}=2^{2}$ the autocorrelation function is TTI

$$
\begin{equation*}
G()=G_{e q}(0) e^{\overline{t_{e q}}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{\text {eq }}(0)$ is the equilibrium uctuation above $T_{c}$ given by $\left(\underline{I}_{\left.\underline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)}\right)$, i.e. $G_{\text {eq }}(0)=M_{0}^{2}+\frac{1}{g}{ }^{2}$ and $=t \quad t$

For $T_{F} \quad T_{C}$ the equilibration tim e diverges and there are tw o tim e regim es of interest:
i) short tim e separation: $t^{0}$ ! 1 ; $\overline{t^{0}}$ ! 0
ii) large tim e separation $: t^{0}$ ! 1 ; $\overline{t^{0}}$ ! 1 .

Taking $t^{0}$ large and using ( $\left.\overline{4} \overline{-} \bar{q}\right)$, for $T_{F}=T_{C}$ in these lim its we nd

$$
G\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{8}{\gtrless} M_{0}^{2}\left(c^{2}+1\right)^{1} \frac{d}{2} & , \text { for } \overline{t^{0}}! \tag{52}
\end{array} 0
$$

w ith
and for $T_{F}<T_{C}$

In the latter case we m ay also w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=G_{s t}()+G_{a g} \frac{t^{0}}{t} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{s t}()=\left(\mathbb{M}_{0}^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)\left(2^{2}+1\right)^{1} \frac{d}{2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{a g}(x)=M^{2}{\frac{4 x}{(1+x)^{2}}}_{\#, ~ d \frac{d}{4}}^{(1)} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is ilhustrated in $F$ ig ${ }_{2}^{\prime 1}=1$ which show $s$ the convergence tow ard the form ( $\overline{5} \overline{\bar{S}}$ ) of the exact autocorrelation function $G\left(t ; t^{0}\right)$ obtained by solving num erically the coupled set of equations ( $(\overline{4} \overline{4})$ ) and ( 50

A s explained in the Introduction, this behavior is suggestive of the existence of two variables responsible respectively of the stationary and of the aging behaviors. W e want now to show that in the large $N$ lim it these two variables can be explicitly constructed.

## IV.SPLITTINGOFTHEFILD

The task stated at the end of the previous Section requires the splitting of the order param eter eld into the sum of two independent contributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; t)=(x ; t)+(x ; t) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th zero averages $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}=\overline{(x ; t)}=0$ and autocorrelation functions such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(x ; t)\left(x ; t^{0}\right) i=G_{\text {st }}()  \tag{59}\\
& (x ; t) \quad\left(x ; t^{0}\right)=G_{\text {ag }}\left(t^{0}=t\right): \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to stress the statistical independence of the tw o com ponent elds, we have used the angular brackets for averages over and the overbar for averages over .

For the construction of elds w ith these properties, let us go back to Fourier space. U sing the $\mathrm{m} u$ ltiplicative property of the response function

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(\widetilde{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right) R\left(\widetilde{k} ; t^{0} ; t_{0}\right)=R\left(\widetilde{k} ; t ; t_{0}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $t>t^{0}>t_{0}$ it is easy to show that the form alsolution ( $(\overline{3} \overline{-})$ ) of the equation ofm otion can be rew ritten as the sum oftwo statistically independent com ponents $(\mathbb{k} ; t)=(\widetilde{k} ; t)+(\widetilde{k} ; t)$ w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}} ; t)=R\left(\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}} ; t ; t_{0}\right) \quad\left(\widetilde{k} ; t_{0}\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(\widetilde{k} ; t)={ }_{t_{0}}^{z} d t^{2} R\left(\widetilde{k} ; t_{;} t^{0}\right) \widetilde{k} ; t^{0}\right) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

since for $0 \quad t<t,\left(\widetilde{k} ; t_{0}\right)$ and $(\widetilde{k} ; t)$ are independent by causality. In other words, the order param eter at the tim et is split into the sum of a com ponent $(\mathbb{k} ; t)$ driven by the uctuations of the order param eter at the earlier tim $e \ddagger$ and a com ponent $(\widetilde{k} ; t)$ driven by the therm alhistory between $t_{0}$ and $t$. Let us rem ark that $t_{0}$ can be chosen arbitrarily betw een the intial tim e of the quench ( $t=0$ ) and the observation timet. $W$ ith the particular choige $t_{0}=0$, the component $(\mathbb{K} ; t)$ is driven by the uctuations in the intialcondition $!(\overline{3} 9)$. The com ponent describes uctuations of them al origin while the com ponent, as it will be clear below, if to is chosen su ciently large, describes the local condensation of the order param eter.
 The two tim e structure factor splits into the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\mathbb{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right)=C\left(\tilde{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right)+C\left(\widetilde{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left.(\tilde{k} ; t) \quad\left(\tilde{k}^{0} ; t^{0}\right)=C\left(\widetilde{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right)(2)^{d} \widetilde{k}+\mathbb{k}^{0}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(\mathbb{K} ; t ; t^{0}\right)=R\left(\mathbb{K} ; t_{;} t_{0}\right) R\left(\mathbb{K} ; t^{0} ; t_{0}\right) C\left(\mathbb{K} ; t_{0}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C\left(\mathbb{K} ; t_{0}\right)$ is the equal tim e structure factor at the tim eto. Sim ilarly $h(\widetilde{k} ; t)\left(\mathbb{K}^{0} ; t^{0}\right) i=$ C $\left.\left(\widetilde{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right)(2)^{d} \tilde{k}+\widetilde{K}^{0}\right) w$ th

G oing to real space and setting $x=x^{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)+G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)={\frac{Y^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)}{Y(t) Y\left(t^{0}\right)}}^{Z} \frac{d^{d} k}{(2)^{d}} e^{k^{2}\left(t+t^{0} 2 t_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} C\left(\widetilde{K} ; t_{0}\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=2 T_{F} \underbrace{Z}_{t_{0}} d t^{\infty}{\frac{Y^{2}\left(t^{\infty}\right)}{Y(t) Y\left(t^{0}\right)}}^{Z} \frac{d^{d} k}{(2)^{d}} e^{k^{2}\left(t+t^{0} 2 t^{\infty}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}: \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum ing that $t$ and $t^{0}$ are su ciently larger than $t_{0}$ so that $C\left(\widetilde{k} ; t_{0}\right)$ under the integral


$$
\begin{gather*}
G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\frac{Y^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)}{Y(t) Y\left(t^{0}\right)} f \frac{t+t^{0}}{2} \quad t+\frac{1}{2^{2}} C_{0}  \tag{70}\\
G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\frac{2 T_{F}}{Y(t) Y\left(t^{0}\right)}{ }_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}^{0}} d t^{\infty^{0}} f \frac{t+t^{0}}{2} \quad t^{0}+\frac{1}{2^{2}} Y^{2}\left(t^{\infty}\right) \tag{71}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $C_{0}=C\left(\widetilde{K}=0 ; t_{0}\right)$.
Let us now evaluate these results for short and large tim e separations. In the rst case the dom inant contributions are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=M^{2} \quad\left(M_{0}^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)\left(2^{2} t_{0}\right)^{1} \frac{d}{2} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\left(M_{0}^{2} M^{2}\right)^{h}\left(2^{2} t_{0}\right)^{1} \frac{d}{2}+\left({ }^{2}+1\right)^{\frac{d}{2}^{i}} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the unknown constant $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ entering ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{\mathrm{O}}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)$ has been elim inated im posing that the equilibrium sum rule $G(0)+G \quad(0)=M{ }_{0}^{2}$ be satis ed. The sum of the above contributions is independent of $t_{0}$, as it should, and coincides with ( $\left.\overline{5} \overline{-1}\right)$. Sim ilarly, in the large tim e regim e we nd the $\ddagger$ dependent results

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=M^{2} \quad\left(M_{0}^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)\left(2^{2} t_{0}\right)^{1} \frac{d}{2}^{i "}{\frac{4 t t^{0}}{\left(t+t^{0}\right)^{2}}}^{\# \frac{d}{4}} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\left(M_{0}^{2} \quad M^{2}\right)\left(2^{2} t_{0}\right)^{1} \frac{d}{2}^{"}{\frac{4 t t^{0}}{\left(t+t^{0}\right)^{2}}}^{\# \frac{d}{4}} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $t={ }^{2}$, we see that taking to $t$ from (1̄2

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ₹ } G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=M^{2} \\
& \gtrless^{\geqslant} G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{0}^{2} & M^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & +1
\end{array}\right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

while from ( $\left.\bar{T} \overline{4} \mathbf{I}_{1}\right)$ and ( $(\overline{1} \overline{5})$ follow $s$ the large tim e behavior

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gtrless_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\gtrless} \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=M^{2}{\frac{4 t t^{0}}{}}_{\left(t+t^{0}\right)^{2}}^{i \frac{d}{4}}  \tag{77}\\
& \gtrless^{\gtrless} G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=0:
\end{align*}
$$

C om paring with ( $\overline{5} \bar{q})$ and $\left({ }_{(5)}^{5} \overline{1}\right)$ we can $m$ ake the identi cations

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=G_{\text {st }}() \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=G_{\text {ag }} \frac{t^{0}}{t}: \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

 provide an explicit realization of the decom position ( $5 \overline{0} \bar{\delta})$ satisfying the requirem ents ( $\overline{5} \overline{9})$ and ( $(\overline{6} 0 \overline{-})$. . The physicalm eaning of the tw o com ponents can be readily understood com paring the equal tim e values of ( $7 \overline{-} \overline{-})$, which yield respectively $G \quad\left(t=t^{0}\right)=M^{2}$ and $G \quad\left(t=t^{0}\right)=$
 associated to local condensation of the order param eter $w$ ith uctuations of size $M^{2}$, while the eld $(x ; t)$ describes therm al uctuations. In the case of a system with a scalar order param eter, would be associated to the average value of the order param eter characteristic of a dom ain and to them al uctuations within dom ains',[ [1] 4 . This $m$ akes also clear the origin of the requirem ent to $t$. In order to $m$ ake a separation of variables $w$ th the above physicalm eaning, it is necessary to w ait a tim e to large enough for allm icroscopic transients to have occurred leaving well form ed local equilibrium .

## V.RESPONSEFUNCTION

In the previous Section we have produced the explicit separation of the order param eter into the condensation com ponent and them al uctuations in the quench at $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}<\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$. It is now interesting to see in what relation these com ponents are w ith the linear response function.

If an extemal eld is sw itched on at the time $t_{0}>t_{0}$, the splutting ( $\left.5 \overline{\bar{B}_{1}}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odi es into $\sim_{h}(x ; t)=\sim(x ; t)+\sim_{h}(x ; t)$ where to linear order

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{h}(x ; t)=\sim(x ; t)+{ }_{t_{w}}^{Z} d t^{0^{2}} d x^{0} R\left(x \quad x^{0} ; t ; t^{0}\right) \tilde{h}\left(x^{0}\right) \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $(x ; t) ;(x ; t)$ and $R(x ; t ; t)$ unperturbed quantities. Here, we are interested in the response to a quenched, gaussianly distributed random eld w ith expectations

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
& \text { そ } \left.\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}} \llbracket \mathfrak{n}(x)\right]=0 \\
& \left.\geqslant \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}} \llbracket \mathrm{~h}(x) \mathrm{h}\left(x^{0}\right)\right]=\mathrm{h}_{0}^{2} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & z
\end{array}\right): \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

C om puting the staggered $m$ agnetization from ( $\overline{8} \underline{\underline{0}})$ and averaging over the eld we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.{\frac{1}{\mathrm{Nh}_{0}^{2} V}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~V} d x \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{h}} \overline{\left[_{h}(x ; t)\right.} \check{\mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{x})\right]=\quad\left(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}\right) \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad\left(t ; t_{w}\right)={ }_{t_{w}}^{R_{t}} R\left(t ; t^{0}\right)$ is the integrated response function and $R\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=R(x \quad x=$ $\left.0 ; \mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{t}^{0}\right)$. From ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-1} \overline{1})$ and ( $(\overline{4} \overline{-})$ for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}<\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=\frac{z}{(2)^{d}} R\left(\widetilde{k} ; t ; t^{0}\right) e^{\frac{k^{2}}{2}}=(4)^{\frac{d}{2}}{\frac{t^{0}}{t}}_{t^{\frac{d}{4}}}^{t} \quad e+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\frac{d}{2}}: \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us then write the integrated response function as the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t ; t_{w}\right)=\operatorname{st}\left(t \quad t_{w}\right)+\operatorname{ag}\left(t ; t_{W}\right) \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the stationary com ponent st (t $t_{0}$ ) is de ned by requiring that the equilibrium FDT be satis ed w ith respect to the stationary com ponent ${ }_{[ }^{(7 / \beta)}$ ) of the autocorrelation function, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{F} \text { st }\left(t \quad t_{t}\right)=G \quad(0) \quad G\left(t \quad t_{0}\right): \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforw ard to check that this is veri ed by

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { st }\left(\begin{array}{l}
t_{t}
\end{array}\right) & =(4)^{\frac{d}{2}}{ }^{Z} t t^{t} t \quad e+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\frac{d}{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{T_{F}}\left(M_{0}^{2} M^{2}\right)^{n} 1 \quad\left[{ }^{2}\left(t \quad t_{t}\right)+1\right]^{1} \frac{d}{2}^{\circ}: \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

The aging com ponent then rem ains de ned by the di erence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ag }\left(t ; t_{w}\right)=\left(t ; t_{w}\right) \text { st }\left(t \quad t_{\text {t }}\right) \\
& =(4)^{\frac{d}{2}} t_{W}^{1}{ }^{\frac{d}{2}} x^{\frac{d}{2} 1}{ }_{x}^{Z} d y \quad 1 \quad y+\frac{x}{{ }^{2} t_{w}} \quad y^{\frac{d}{4}} \quad 1 \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x=t_{w}=t$. This show sthat for $d>2$ and any $\quad$ ed value of $x \lim _{t_{w}}!1$ ag $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)=0$ im plying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{t_{w}}!1 \quad\left(t_{;} t_{w}\right)=\operatorname{st}^{(t} \quad t_{W}\right): \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, in the lim it $t_{w}$ ! 1 the equilibrium FDT ( $\left.\overline{8} \overline{-1}\right)$ is satis ed by the whole response function and the plot of ( $\left.t ; t_{W}\right)$ vs G ( $\left.t ; t_{W}\right)$ is linear. Instead, if $\left(t ; t_{W}\right)$ is plotted against the full autocorrelation function ( $\overline{6} \overline{1} \overline{1})$, from ( $\overline{5} \overline{5} \overline{1})$ follow $S$
yielding the behavior ofF ig
For $d=2$ the power of $t_{w}$ in front of the integral disappairs from $\left(\overline{8} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ and the leading contribution fort $\quad 2$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ag }\left(t ; t_{\mathrm{N}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{2 \mathrm{p}_{\overline{\mathrm{x}}}}{1+} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

show ing that the aging contribution to the response does not vanish as $t_{w}!1$. Further$m$ ore, since $T_{C}=0$ for $d=2$, the phase-ordering process requires $T_{F}=0$ and from ( $\left.\overline{6} \overline{-} \overline{1}\right)$ follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=G \quad\left(t ; t^{0}\right)=2 M_{0}^{2} \frac{P_{\bar{x}}}{1+x}: \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elim inating $x$ between ( $(\underline{9} \overline{0})$ and $(\overline{9} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ we get
which gives a param etric plot (Fig. in ${ }_{-1}$ ) qualitatively sim ilar to what one nds in the Ising $m$ odel for $d=1$.

As it was recalled in the Introduction, with a scalar order param eter the behavior of ag ( $t ; t_{w}$ ) under variations of dim ensionality becom es trasparent by introducing the ective response eff $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ associated to a single interface. Them echanism regulating the behavior of ag $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ then is explained through the balance betw een the rate of loss of interfaces as coarsening proceeds, and the rate of grow th of the single interface response given by (ī). The dim ensionality dependence of the exponent in that case is the outcom e [īi] of the com petition betw een the extemal eld and the curvature of interfaces in the drive of interface m otion.

In the large N m odel there are no localized defects and none of the above concepts has direct physical m eaning. N onetheless, the notion of defect density can be extended to the large N case by looking at the behavior of $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{t})$. C onsider the quench to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=0 \mathrm{w}$ here there are no them al uctuations. From '( $4-\overline{1} \bar{\sigma})$, for large tim $\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{Z}$ nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{0}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{N} h^{\sim 2}(x ; t) i \quad t^{1}: \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the system was in the ordered state, w ith the order param eter aligned everyw here, the
 \defects" w ith density

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(t) \quad L^{2}(t) \quad t^{1} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is what one obtains in generalw ith a vector order param eter the e ective response fiunction per defect by the analogue of ${ }_{( }^{\prime}(\bar{\sigma})$ ag $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)=D(t)$ eff $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { eff }\left(t ; t_{W}\right)=t^{2} \frac{d}{2}_{x}^{Z} d y \frac{y^{\frac{d}{4}} 1}{1 \quad y+\frac{1}{2}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \text {. } \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

The behavior of this quantity can be com puted analytically for short and for large time separation obtaining in both cases, apart from a change in the prefactor, a power law behavior as in (気)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { eff }\left(t ; t_{w}\right) \quad(t \quad d) \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
=\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{8}{\gtrless} 0 & \text {, for } d>4  \tag{97}\\
3 & \frac{d}{2}, \text { for } d<4
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { eff }\left(t ; t_{\text {w }}\right) \quad \log ^{h}{ }^{h}\left(t \quad t_{\text {f }}\right)^{i} \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

 ting ( $\overline{9}$ פ一 $)$ for di erent dim ensionalities.

Therefore, the qualitative picture is the sam e obtained in the scalar case [4]. T here exist upper critical dim ensionalities, $d_{U}=3$ for $N=1$ and $d_{U}=4$ for $N=1$ (presum ably for alln > 1), above which eff saturates to a constant value within microscopic tim es. At $d_{u}$ eff grow s logarithm ically, while below $d_{U}$ there is power law grow th. In addition there are low er critical dim ensionalities, $d_{\mathrm{L}}=1$ for $\mathrm{N}=1$ and $d_{\mathrm{L}}=2$ for $\mathrm{N}=1$ (or $\mathrm{N}>1$ ), where the exponent reaches exactly the value such that eff $\left(t ; t_{w}\right) \quad I^{1}(t)$ in the scalar case and eff $\left(t ; t_{w}\right) \quad D^{1}(t)$ in the vectorial case. $N a m$ ely, at $d_{L}$ the grow th of eff $m$ akes up exactly for the loss of interfaces or defects, producing the behavior illustrated in F ig. . 1 .

## VI.CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paperwe have show $n$ that the basic features of the slow relaxation phenom enology arising in phase ordering processes: separation of order param eter, autocorrelation function and linear response function into fast and slow com ponents, can be obtained analytically and exactly in the large $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{odel} .\mathrm{The} \mathrm{behavior} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{ag}\left(t ; t_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ is of particular interest since it displays the sam equalitative pattem ofbehavior under variation of dim ensionality observed in the Ising case. This is a strong indication that this $m$ ight be a generic feature of the slow relaxation in phase ordering processes. In this respect it m ight be w orth to undertake a num erical study of ag ( $t ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$ ) in system $\mathrm{s} w$ ith vector order param eter and n ite N at di erent dim ensionalities.

A com $m$ ent should bem ade about the connection betw een static and dynam ic properties. O ne of the $m$ ost interesting recent developm ents in the study of the o equilibrium deviation from FDT has been the derivation [1] $\overline{\underline{O}}]$ of a link between the response function and the structure of the equilibrium state. A ssum ing that $\lim _{t_{w}}!1 \quad\left(t ; t_{w}\right)=\left(G\left(t ; t_{w}\right)\right)$, i.e. that in the large tim e regim e $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ depends on tim e only through the autocorrelation function, this connection takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(q)=\quad T_{T}{\frac{d^{2} \quad(G)}{d G}{ }^{2}}_{G=q} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(q)$ is the probability that in the equilibrium state the overlap $\frac{1}{N V}{ }^{R}{ }_{v} d x^{\sim}(x){ }^{\sim} \sim^{0}(x)$ between two di erent con gurations $\lceil(x)]$ and $\left[{ }^{0}(x)\right]$ takes the value q. For Ising sys-



$$
P(q)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & M^{2} \tag{100}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in agreem ent with an equilibrium state form ed by the $m$ ixture of pure states. For $d=1$,
 Then, in the large $N \mathrm{~m}$ odelwe should expect ( $(\underline{9} 9)$ to failat m ost for $\mathrm{d}=2$, since that is the case where ag $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ does not asym ptotically vanish. H ow ever, it is not so straightforw ard that ( $\left.{ }_{-1} \overline{-1} 9\right)$, should hold for $d>2$. In fact, as observed above, the behavior of ( $t ; t_{w}$ ) in the $\lim$ it $t_{w}$ ! 1 ford $>2$ is indistinguishable from what one obtains in the Ising case. $N$ am ely,
 when there is a m ixture of ordered pure states. The problem is, as explained in Section 2, that the structure of the low tem perature state in the large N m odel is quite di erent from a m ixture of pure states. This puzzling feature of the large N m odelw ill be investigated in a separate paper.
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## V II.A P P E N D IX I

Solving ( $(\underline{1} \overline{9})$ in the large volum e lim it one nds:
for $0<\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}} \quad 1$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\quad)^{2} \log (\quad)^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}} \quad \text {, for } \mathrm{d}=4: \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $T_{F}=T_{C}$

$$
\begin{array}{rlll} 
& 8 & 8 \frac{M_{0}^{2}}{T_{C}} \frac{d 2}{d 4} & \frac{2}{r} V^{\frac{1}{4}}  \tag{103}\\
= & \quad \text { for } d>4 \\
& \left.\frac{\beta d}{2}\right) T_{C}^{\frac{d}{2} 1} M_{0}^{2} 2 d^{\frac{1}{d}} V^{\frac{1}{d}}, & \text { for } d<4
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[2 \log (\quad)]^{\frac{1}{4}}=\frac{\mathrm{M}_{0}^{2}}{{ }^{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}} \mathrm{~V}^{!\frac{1}{4}} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $d=4$.
VIII.APPENDIX II

The prefactors in ( $\overline{4} \overline{-1})$ are given by
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{b}=\frac{T_{F}+g M_{0}^{2}}{(8)^{\frac{d}{2}} g M_{0}^{2} 1 \frac{T_{F}}{T_{C}}} i_{2}: \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$
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FIG.1. C om parison of the exact autocorrelation function $G\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ (broken line) w ith the sum $G_{s t}\left(t \quad t_{w}\right)+G_{a g}\left(t=t_{w}\right)$ (continous line) for $t_{w}=1 ; 10 ; 10^{2} ; 10^{3}$ increasing from left to right. For $t_{w}=10^{3}$ the two curves are indistinguishable. Param eters of the quench are $d=3 ; T_{F}=T_{C}=2$ and $=1$.


FIG .2. Param etric plot of $T_{F} \quad\left(t ; t_{W}\right)$ vs. $G\left(t ; t_{W}\right)$ in the $\lim$ it $t_{W}!1$ for $d>2$.


FIG.3. Param etric plot of ag $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ vs. $G\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ for $d=2$


FIG.4. P lot of eff $\left(t ; t_{w}\right)$ for $t_{w}=10^{8}$ and $=1$. The dashed lines are power law $s w$ th the corresponding exponent .

