## \Quasiparticle Charge" in Superconductors: E ect of M ott Physics or H idden O rder Param eter ?

Hae-Young Kee and Yong Baek Kim

Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M 5S 1A7

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

The renorm alization factor, dubbed \quasiparticle charge"  $Z_e$ , of the coupling between the supercurrent and quasiparticles was analyzed in the context of high tem perature cuprates in a recent paper by Io e and M illis.[1] They observed that  $Z_e$  in cuprates deviates from the BCS value ( $Z_e = 1$ ), which was interpreted as the proximity e ect near a M ott insulator. Here we show that the deviation from  $Z_e = 1$  can occur, in general, even in the absence of quasiparticle interactions, when the superconducting order coexists with another order parameter with the same internal symmetry. As an example, we compute the coe cient of the linear tem perature dependence of the super uid density when the d-wave superconducting state poexists with the orbital antiferrom agnetic state (d-density wave), and nd that  $Z_e$  varies from 1 = 2 to 1.

PACS num bers: 74.20.-z, 74.25.-q

## I. IN TRODUCTION

The behavior of charge transport in both the norm al and superconducting phases of cuprates is one of the puzzling issues in high temperature superconductors. In a recent paper, Io e and M illis discussed the e ect of proxin ity to a M ott insulator on charge transport in the superconducting state of cuprates. [1] They pointed out that the renorm alization factor, dubbed \quasiparticle charge"  $Z_e$ , of the the coupling between the supercurrent and quasiparticles is renorm alized by quasiparticle interactions and contains useful inform ation about strong correlations near a M ott insulator. [2, 3]

Even though the real quasiparticle charge is not conserved in superconductors and  $Z_e$  is not the conventionally de ned charge, we will still use \quasiparticle charge" to call the renorm alization factor mentioned above for convenience. As pointed out in the past [1, 2, 3],  $Z_e$  appears in the linear temperature dependence of the super uid density in d-wave superconductors (dSC). The low temperature super uid density of d-wave superconductors is given by

$$_{s}(T) = _{s}(0) T;$$
 (1)

where

$$= \frac{(\ln 2)Z_e^2 v_F}{2 v}:$$
 (2)

Here  $v_F$  is the Ferm i velocity and v the gap velocity de ned as  $v = {}_0a = \frac{1}{2}$ , where  ${}_0$  is the maximum value of superconducting order parameter.

The behavior of the super uid density in cuprates has been an important subject of debate. Lee and W en observed that, in cuprates, does not strongly depend on the doping concentration, x, while the zero temperature super uid density is proportional to x.[8, 9] This would be consistent with the phenom enology that the quasiparticles in the superconducting state of cuprates still couples to external electrom agnetic eld in the usual BCS m anner even in the presence of strong correlation near a M ott insulator.[8]On the other hand, two di erent strong coupling approaches to the t-J m odel lead to di erent behaviors of the super uid density. The U (1) m ean eld theory predicts /  $x^2$  as well as  $_{\rm s}$  (0) / x [12], im plying that the \quasiparticle" charge goes to zero as the M ott insulator is approached while the SU (2) gauge theory lead to 0 (1) with the same  $_{\rm s}$  (0).[8, 9]

Later on, M illis et al [11] pointed out that is in general renorm alized by quasiparticle interactions even in the conventionald-wave superconductors. M illis et al further argued that the deviation from the standard BCS value  $Z_{e} = 1$  should be regarded as an evidence of the strong correlation present near a M ott insulator.[11] R ecently, Io e and M illis extracted the values of w and from the angle resolved photoem ission spectroscopy v (ARPES) and the speci cheat data of cuprates, and obtained the value of Z<sub>e</sub> for several samples of cuprates. They found that Z<sub>e</sub> varies from 0:6 to 1 in cuprates, and argued that the deviation from the BCS value ( $Z_e = 1$ ) is due to strong quasiparticle interactions near a M ott insulator.

In this paper, we show that the deviation from the BCS value of Z<sub>e</sub> can also occur within the weak coupling approach without taking into account the quasiparticle interactions, when a superconducting state coexists with another ordered state with the same internal sym metry. In this case, the value of Z<sub>e</sub> contains inform ations about the additional order parameter. As an example, we consider a system where the d-wave superconducting order coexists with the commensurate orbital antiferrom agnetic order (d-density wave).[4] The quasiparticles in this state have the D irac spectrum near the nodes as the case of dSC and the super uid density has the linear tem perature dependence. By computing the coe cient of the linear tem perature dependence of the super uid density, we not that  $Z_e$  in Eq.2 varies from 1=2 to 1. The deviation from the BCS value ( $Z_e = 1$ ) in this case is not due to the quasiparticle interaction, but due to the existence of the additional order parameter. The superuid density of the dSC coexisting with the dDW order was previously studied [13, 14], but the question of the renorm alization factor  $Z_e$  was not addressed. A lthough we studied a speci c system, our results can be applied to more general situation where a superconducting order coexists with another order parameter with the same internal symmetry.

In the next section, we compute the current-current correlation function in the coexisting dSC and dDW states. Those who are not interested in the details can skip the next section and jump to section III. In the third section, we provide the analytical expression of and extract the value of  $Z_e$ . We compare our results with the consequences of other existing theories and discuss further implications in the nal section.

In the phase where the dSC and dDW coexist, the quasiparticles keep the Dirac spectrum as the case of dSC. In particular, when the chem ical potential is zero or < T m in  $(_0; W_0)$ , the low energy nodal spectrum is well described by changing the gap velocity v to  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^2 + \mathbf{v}^2_{W}$  where  $\mathbf{v}_{W} = W_0 \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{2}$  and  $W_0$  is the maximum value of the dDW order parameter. In this case, the gap velocity measured in ARPES will correspond to v . The density of states will be also modi ed, but in a trivial fashion; v is replaced by v . Thus one m ay expect that the coe cient of the linear tem perature dependence of the super uid density is simply given by =  $(\ln 2)v_F = (2 v)$ . If this is the case, we will not be able to extract an independent inform ation about  $v_W$ and practically  $Z_e = 1$ ; experiments will not be able to tell the di erence between the simple dSC state and the coexisting dSC /dDW states. We show below that this is not the case.  $\mathrm{Z}_{\,\mathrm{e}}$  has an independent inform ation about  $v_{\ensuremath{\text{W}}}$  and in general it is not unity. The deviation from  $Z_e = 1$  is in fact due to the existence of the dDW order

We derive the result described above by directly computing the current-current correlation function in a mean-eld theory. We start from the mean-eld Ham iltonian given by

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ k; \end{pmatrix} ( {}_{k} ) ( {}_{k}^{y}; {}_{k}^{y}; {}_{k}^{y}; {}^{k} + {}_{k}^{y} i W_{k} ( {}_{k}^{y}; {}_{k+Q}^{y}; {}_{k+Q}^{y}; {}_{k}^{y}; {}_{k+Q}^{y}; {}_{k}^{y}; {}_{k}^{y}; {}_{k+Q}^{y}; {}_{k}^{y}; {}_{k}; {}_{k}^{y}; {}_{k}^{y}; {}_{k}^{y}; {}_{k}; {}_{k}$$

$$X + k_{k} c_{k}^{y} c_{k}^{y} c_{k}^{y} + h c;; \qquad (3)$$

where

$$_{k} = \frac{t}{2} \left( \cos k_{x} + \cos k_{y} \right); \qquad (4)$$

$$_{k} = \frac{0}{2} \left( \cos k_{x} \cos k_{y} \right); \qquad (5)$$

$$W_{k} = \frac{W_{0}}{2} (\cos k_{x} - \cos k_{y}) :$$
(6)

Here  $_{\rm k}$  and iW  $_{\rm k}$  are the order param eters of the dSC and dDW respectively. Using the Nambu basis de ned as follows,

$${}^{y}_{k} = (c^{y}_{k}, ; c^{y}_{k+Q}, ; c_{k\#}; c_{k Q}, );$$
(7)

the current operator can be written as

$$j (q) = t \sin (k + q = 2) \frac{y}{k} (_{3}I)_{k+q}$$

$$\stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} X_{1} \frac{1}{W_{0}} \sin (k + q = 2) \frac{y}{k} (i_{2} _{3})_{k+q}; (8)$$

where = x;y. Here 's and 's are the Paulim atrices and i j i j.

The super uid density can be computed from the current-current correlation function.[15]

$$_{s}(T) = h K_{x}i \lim_{q! 0} \lim_{l \to 0} x_{x}(q;);$$
 (9)

where h  $K_x$ i is the diamagnetic contribution and  $_{xx}$  (q; ) is the paramagnetic current-current correlation function. The diamagnetic part can be computed as

h 
$$K_x i = \frac{X}{k} + \frac{W}{k} \sin k_x$$
  
 $\frac{-k}{E_{1k}} \tanh \frac{E_{1k}}{2T} + \frac{-k}{E_{2k}} \tanh \frac{E_{2k}}{2T}$  (‡0)

where

$$E_{1k;2k} = q \frac{(k)^{2} + k^{2}}{(k)^{2} + k^{2}};$$

$$k = q \frac{(k)^{2} + k^{2}}{(k)^{2} + k^{2}};$$
(11)

The param agnetic contribution contains the inform ation about Ze and can be obtained from

$$x_{xx} (q; i_{m}) = t^{2}T$$

$$x_{xx} (q; i_{m}) = t^{2}T$$

$$x_{xx} (q; i_{m}) (x_{x} + q_{x})Tr[G(k; i!_{n})(x_{y})] (x_{y}) (x_{$$

where

param eter.

$$G^{1}(k;i!_{n}) = i!_{n}I + {}_{k_{3}3} I_{3} W_{k_{2}}I + {}_{k_{3}1}:$$
(13)

Here m = 2m T and  $!_n = (2n + 1)$  T are the bosonic and ferm ionic M atsubara frequencies. Notice that the cross-term s proportional to tW  $_0$  cancel out each other.

A fler the frequency sum, the correlation function has the following form. The rst term,  $t_{xx}$ , of Eq.12 which comes from the conventional current proportional to t is obtained as follows by taking ! 0 rst and q ! 0 later.

$$t_{xx} = \frac{X}{k} \frac{t^{2} \sin k_{x} \sin (k_{x} + q_{k})}{2} + \frac{A_{1}}{E_{1k}E_{1k+q}} \frac{2W_{k}^{2}}{k} + 1 + \frac{B_{1}}{E_{1k}E_{1k+q}} \frac{f(E_{1k+q}) + f(E_{1k})}{E_{1k+q} + E_{1k}} + 1 + \frac{A_{1}}{E_{1k}E_{1k+q}} + \frac{2W_{k}^{2}}{k} + 1 + \frac{B_{1}}{E_{1k}E_{1k+q}} + \frac{f(E_{1k+q}) + f(E_{1k})}{E_{1k+q} + E_{1k}} + 1 + \frac{A_{2}}{E_{2k}E_{2k+q}} + \frac{2W_{k}^{2}}{k} + 1 + \frac{B_{2}}{E_{2k}E_{2k+q}} + \frac{f(E_{2k+q}) + f(E_{2k})}{E_{2k}E_{2k+q}} + \frac{f(E_{2k+q}) + f(E_{2k})}{E_{2k+q} + E_{2k}} + \frac{f(E_{2k}) + f(E_{2k})}{E_{2k} + F(E_{2k})} + \frac{f(E_{2k}) + f(E_{2k})}{E_{2k} + F(E_{2k})} + \frac{f(E_{2k}) + f(E_{2k})}{E_{2k} + F(E_{2k})} + \frac{f(E_{2k}) + f$$

where  $f(x) = 1 = (e^{x=T} + 1)$  is the Ferm i function and

A <sub>1;2</sub> =	² +	2 k	$W_{k}^{2} + 2$	$\frac{2 \frac{2}{k}}{k};$	
B <sub>1;2</sub> =				K	(15)

On the other hand, the second term,  $\bigvee_{xx}^{W}$ , of Eq.12 com es from the additional contribution to the current due to the existence of the dDW order parameter. A fler taking ! 0 rst and q ! 0 later, we get

$$\begin{split} {}^{W}_{XX} &= \begin{array}{c} X \\ {}^{W}_{0} \frac{2}{\sin (k_{x}) \sin (k_{x} + q_{k})}{2} & 1 + \frac{A_{1}^{0}}{E_{1k}E_{1k+q}} + \frac{2W_{k}^{2}}{2} & 1 + \frac{B_{1}}{E_{1k}E_{1k+q}} & \frac{f(E_{1k+q}) - f(E_{1k})}{E_{1k+q} - E_{1k}} \\ &+ 1 & \frac{A_{1}^{0}}{E_{1k}E_{1k+q}} + \frac{2W_{k}^{2}}{2} & 1 & \frac{B_{1}}{E_{1k}E_{1k+q}} & \frac{f(E_{1k+q}) + f(E_{1k}) - 1}{E_{1k+q} + E_{1k}} \\ &+ 1 + \frac{A_{0}^{0}}{E_{2k}E_{2k+q}} & \frac{2W_{k}^{2}}{2} & 1 + \frac{B_{2}}{E_{2k}E_{2k+q}} & \frac{f(E_{2k+q}) + f(E_{2k}) - 1}{E_{2k+q} - E_{2k}} \\ &+ 1 & \frac{A_{0}^{0}}{E_{2k}E_{2k+q}} & \frac{2W_{k}^{2}}{2} & 1 + \frac{B_{2}}{E_{2k}E_{2k+q}} & \frac{f(E_{2k+q}) + f(E_{2k}) - 1}{E_{2k+q} - E_{2k}} \\ &+ 1 & \frac{A_{0}^{0}}{E_{2k}E_{2k+q}} & \frac{2W_{k}^{2}}{2} & 1 & \frac{B_{2}}{E_{2k}E_{2k+q}} & \frac{f(E_{2k+q}) + f(E_{2k}) - 1}{E_{2k+q} + E_{2k}} \\ \end{array} \end{split}$$

where

$$A_{1;2}^{0} = {}_{k}^{2} {}_{k}^{2} + W_{k}^{2} {}_{k}^{2} - \frac{2 {}_{k}^{2}}{{}_{k}^{2}} :$$
(17)

Therefore, the super und density can be obtained from Eq.9, where  $x_{xx} = {t \atop xx} + {W \atop xx}$ .

## III. VALUE OF THE \QUASIPARTICLE CHARGE" FROM SUPERFLUID DENSITY

At low tem peratures, the leading param agnetic contribution comes from the nodal quasiparticles and we can obtain the low energy quasiparticle dispersion by expanding  $_k$  near , and  $_k$ , W  $_k$  near the node as follows.

$$k = \Psi p_{+};$$

$$k = V p;$$

$$W_{k} = V_{W} p;$$
(18)

where  $p_{+} = (p_x + p_y) = 2$  and  $p = (p_x - p_y) = 2$  with the momentum, p, measured from  $k_F$ . Here,  $v_F = ta = 2$ ,

 $v = {}_{0}a = {}^{p}\overline{2}$ , and  $v_{W} = W_{0}a = {}^{p}\overline{2}$ . When = 0 or < T, the quasiparticle dispersion can be well described by

$$E_{1k;2k}^{2} = v_{F}^{2} p_{+}^{2} + (v^{2} + v_{W}^{2}) p^{2} :$$
 (19)

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}}$  otice that the corresponding density of states has the following form .

N (E) = 
$$\frac{P}{2 v_F} \frac{E}{v^2 + v_W^2}$$
: (20)

Therefore, the speci c heat as well as the measured gap velocity in ARPES will be given by the combination of  $v_W$  and v through  $v^2 + v_W^2$ . Now it is clear that the leading linear temperature dependence of the super-uid density comes from the term s with df  $(E_{1\rm k})$ =d  $(E_{1\rm k})$  factor. The coe cient of the linear temperature dependence, , is obtained as

$$= \frac{\ln 2}{2} \frac{v_{\rm F}}{v^2 + v_{\rm W}^2} \quad 1 \quad \frac{v_{\rm W}^2}{2(v^2 + v_{\rm W}^2)} + O\left(\frac{W_0^2}{t^2}\right) \quad :$$
(21)

C om paring Eq21 and Eq2, and replacing v by the measured gap velocity,  $v^2 + v_w^2$ , we nd

$$Z_{e}^{2} = 1 \frac{v_{W}^{2}}{2(v^{2} + v_{W}^{2})}$$
; (22)

where we neglect  $O(W_0^2 = t^2)$  terms. From the above result, one can easily see that 1=2  $Z_e^2$  1. If  $W_0$  0, then  $Z_e^2$  ! 1=2, while in the opposite case 0  $W_0$  or  $W_0$  ! 0, we get  $Z_e^2$  ! 1. Therefore,  $Z_e$  varies from 1=2 (= 0.71) to 1 which is curiously close to the values in cuprates obtained by Io e and M illis [1].

In the discussion above, we assumed  $\,<\,$  T . In the opposite limit,  $\,>\,$  T, the low energy quasiparticle dispersion is better described by

$$E_{1k}^{2} = (v_F p_+)^2 + (v p_-)^2$$
 (23)

which does not have any inform ation about W  $_{\rm k}$ , the dDW order parameter. This is due to the fact that the quasiparticles recognize the presence of the dDW gap only when their energy scale becomes larger than the chem ical potential. Carrying out the explicit computation of the current-current correlation function, we veried that the coe cient of the linear temperature dependence of the super uid density is given by Eq.2 with  $Z_{\rm e}$  = 1.[14] Therefore, there is a cross-over in the value of  $Z_{\rm e}$  to unity when > T while  $Z_{\rm e}$   $\stackrel{<}{=}$  1 for < T. At the half-lling (=0),  $Z_{\rm e}$  is in general not unity. At any rate, if is smaller than T in the experimentally relevant temperature range, the value of  $Z_{\rm e}$  is given by Eq.22.

## IV. CONCLUSION

In the strong coupling regime, the coupling between the supercurrent and the quasiparticles can be strongly

renorm alized by quasiparticle interactions and lead to the deviation of  $Z_e$  from the BCS value ( $Z_e = 1$ ). Here we show that the deviation from  $Z_e = 1$  can also occur in the weak coupling regime when the superconducting order coexists with an additional order parameter with the sam e internal sym m etry. W e have show n that in the case of the coexisting dSC and dDW states, the \quasiparticle charge" is given by  $Z_e = 1 - \frac{v_W^2}{2(v^2 + v_W^2)}$ when the chemical potential is zero or < T , where  $v_W$  and v are the gap velocities determ ined by the maximum gaps of the  $dD_{W}$  and dSC order parameters. Thus  $Z_{e}$ varies from  $1=\frac{1}{2}$  to 1. The deviation of  $Z_e$  from the unity is a good measure of the additional coexisting order param eter. Our result of Z<sub>e</sub> <del>6</del> 1 is also applicable to the system s where a superconducting order param eter coexists with another order parameter with the same internal sym m etry; e.g., p-w ave superconductor coexisting with p-density wave, although the expression of Z<sub>e</sub> would be di erent for di erent system s.

O ur results suggest that the determ ination of the value of Z<sub>e</sub> alone will not sharply distinguish two possibilities; M ott physics and the existence of a hidden or an additional order parameter. However, if there exists a superconductor far away from a M ott insulator while it exhibits Z<sub>e</sub> < 1, one would strongly suspect that there m ight exist a hidden order parameter. The direct relevance of our results for the coexisting dSC and dDW states to cuprates has to discussed in conjunction with other experimental data and it is beyond the scope of this paper.

A cknow ledgements: This work was supported in part by Canadian Institute for A dvanced Research (H.Y.K. and Y.B.K.) and A lifted P.Sloan Foundation (Y.B.K.).

[1] L.B. Io e and A.J.M illis, cond-m at/0112509

- [2] A.I.Larkin, JETP 14, 1498 (1964).
- [3] A.J.Leggett, Phys.Rev.140, A1869 (1965).
- [4] It was proposed that the d-density wave (dD W) may exist in underdoped cuprates as a \hidden order parameter" and may be responsible for the pseudogap phenom ena. [5, 6, 7]
- [5] S. Chakravarty, B. Laughlin, D. Morr, and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. B 63, 94503 (2001).
- [6] S. Chakravarty and H.-Y. K ee, Phys. Rev. B 61, 14821 (2000).
- [7] The staggered ux phase was rst discussed in I.A eck and J.B.Marston, Phys. Rev. B 37, 3774 (1988)
- [8] P.A. Lee and X.-G. W en, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4111 (1997).
- [9] X.-G. W en and P.A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2193 (1998).
- [10] Y.Uemura et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2317 (1989).
- [11] A.J.M illis, S.M.Girvin, L.B. Io e, and A.I.Larkin,

J.Phys.Chem.Solids 59, 1742 (1998).

- [12] G.Kotliar and J.Liu, Phys. Rev. B 38, 5142 (1988).
- [13] S. Tewari, H.-Y. Kee, C. Nayak, and S. Chakravarty, Phys. Rev. B 64, 224516 (2001).
- [14] Q.H.W ang, J.H.Han, and D.H.Lee, Phys.Rev.Lett. 87,077004(2001); They discussed the behavior of and concluded that it has the same form as that of dSC.W e showed that has a di erent form when = 0 or < T. We believe that their conclusion of diverging as ! 0 is not correct because the upper cuto of the D irac dispersion is given by , thus the linear tem perature dependence occurs only when T < .As goes to zero, the linear tem perature dependence of the super uid density sim ply disappears.
- [15] See for example, J. R. Schrie er, \Theory of Superconductivity" (Benjam in/Cummings, Reading, Massachusetts, 1964).