Finite-size and asymptotic behaviors of the gyration radius of knotted cylindrical self-avoiding polygons

M iyuki K . Shim am ura

Department of Applied Physics, Graduate School of Engineering, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

Tetsuo Deguchi

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
Ochanomizu University, 2-1-1 Ohtsuka,
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan

A bstract

Several nontrivial properties are shown for the mean square radius of gyration R_K^2 of ring polymers with a xed knot type K. Through computer simulation, we discuss both nite-size and asymptotic behaviors of the gyration radius under the topological constraint for self-avoiding polygons consisting of N cylindrical segments with radius r. We not that the average size of ring polymers with a knot K can be much larger than that of no topological constraint. The exective expansion due to the topological constraint depends strongly on the parameter r which is related to the excluded volume. The topological expansion is particularly signicant for the small r case, where the simulation result is associated with that of random polygons with the knot K.

PACS numbers: 36.20.-r, 61.41.+e, 05.40.Fb

E lectronic address: sm iyuki@ exp.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp

I. INTRODUCTION

A ring polymer is one of the simplest systems that have the elect of topological entanglement. The topological state of a ring polymer is given by a knot, and it is is xed after the ring polymer is formed. The entropy of the ring polymer with the is xed knot is much smaller than that of no topological constraint. Thus, there should be several nontrivial properties in statistical mechanics of ring polymers with a is xed topology. Furthermore, some dynamical or thermodynamical properties of ring polymers under topological constraints could also be nontrivial. In fact, various computer simulations of ring polymers with it is xed topology were performed by several groups [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. However, there are still many unsolved problems related to the topological elect, such as the average size of a knotted ring polymer in solution.

In the paper, we discuss how the excluded volume controls the topologicale ect on the average size of ring polymers in good solution. As a model of ring polymers we employ a model of self-avoiding polygons consisting of cylindrical segments with radius r. Through numerical simulation, we investigate the mean square radius of gyration of cylindrical self-avoiding polygons with radius r [13, 14, 15]. By changing the cylinder radius r, we modify the excluded volume excluded volume excluded volume excluded volume excluded self-avoiding polygons for various values of cylinder radius r.

Let us consider the two cases when the radius r is very large or very sm all. When the radius r is very large, the sim ulation should be related to that of the self-avoiding polygons on the lattice [11, 15]. On the other hand, when the radius r is very sm all, it is related to random polygons with a xed topology, as we shall see explicitly through the data. In fact, there is quite an interesting suggestion [16, 17, 18] that under a topological constraint the average size of ring polymers sm if no excluded volume sm should be sm if are sm that of ring polymers sm if the excluded volume, since nontrivial entropic repulsion should be derived from the topological constraint. A coording to the suggestion, the average size of random polygons sm if the trivial knot should be given by sm with respect to the number sm of polygonal nodes, where sm is the exponent of self-avoiding walks. Thus, the sm all sm case of the sm ulation in the paper should be important also in the study of the topologicale ect on random polygons.

The outline of the paper is given in the following. In Sec. II we explain self-avoiding polygons (SAPs) consisting of cylinder segments. We also discuss the elective exponent of the mean square radius of gyration under no topological constraint R^2 . In Sec. III, we discuss various nontrivial in nite-size properties of the mean square radius of gyration R_K^2 for cylindrical SAPs with a given knot type K. The ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ expresses the elective expansion due to the topological constraint. Through the simulation, we not that the topological elect is important particularly in the small rease for cylindrical SAPs. Furthermore, the elective topological expansion is controlled by the parameter r. In Sec. IV, we discuss the asymptotic expansion of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ with respect to the number N. Finally, in Sec. V, we graphically explain the elective expansion of the cylindrical SAPs under the topological constraint, through the graphs in the N. r. plane.

II. CYLINDRICAL SELF-AVOID ING POLYGONS

A. Cylindrical ring-dim erization algorithm and random knots

Let us introduce a model of ring polymers in good solution. We consider self-avoiding polygons consisting of N rigid in penetrable cylinders of unit length and diameter r: there is no overlap allowed for any non-adjacent pairs of cylindrical segments, while next-neighboring cylinders may overlap each other. We call them cylindrical self-avoiding polygons or cylindrical SAPs, for short. The cylinder radius r can be related to the stiness of some stipolymers such as DNAs [6, 14].

In the simulations of the paper, we have constructed a large number of cylindrical SAPs by the cylindrical ring-dimerization method [13]. The method is based on the algorithm of ring-dimerization [4], and very useful for generating long self-avoiding polygons (for details, see Ref. [14]). Here we note that another algorithm is discussed in Ref. [6] for the model of cylindrical SAPs, where self-avoiding polygons of impenetrable cylinders with N < 100 are constructed in association with knotted DNAs [19, 20].

In the cylindrical ring-dimerization method, a statistical weight is given to any self-avoiding polygon successfully concatenated. Thus, when we evaluate some quantity, we take the weighted average of it with respect to the statistical weight. Some details on the statistical weight of successful concatenation is given in Ref. [14]. Hereafter in the paper,

however, we do not express the statistical weight, for simplicity.

Let us describe the processes of our numerical experiments. First, we construct M samples of cylindrical SAPs with N nodes by the cylindrical ring-dimerization method. We put $M=10^4$. Here we note that various knot types are included in the M random samples. Second, we make knot diagrams for the three-dimensional congurations of cylindrical SAPs, by projecting them onto a plain. Then, we calculate two knot invariants $_K$ (1) and $_K$ (K) for the knot diagrams. Third, we select only such polygons that have the same set of values of the two knot invariants, and then evaluate physical quantities such as mean-squared gyration radius for the selected cylindrical SAPs.

The symbol $_{\rm K}$ (1) denotes the determ inant of a knot K , which is given by the A lexander polynom ial (t) evaluated at t = 1. The symbol $_{\rm K}$ (K) is the Vassiliev invariant of the second degree [21, 22]. The two knot invariants are practically useful for computer simulation of random polygons with a large number of polygonal nodes. In fact, it has been demonstrated in Ref. [21] that the Vassiliev invariant v_2 (K) can be calculated not only in polynom ial time but also without using large memory area.

B. Characteristic length of random knotting N $_{\text{c}}$ (r)

For a given knot K, we consider the probability P_K (N;r) that the topology of an N-noded self-avoiding polygon with cylinder radius r is given by the knot type K. We call it the knotting probability of the knot K. Let us assume that we have M_K self-avoiding polygons with a given knot type K among M samples of cylindrical SAPs with radius r. Then, we evaluate the knotting probability P_K (N;r) by P_K (N;r) = M_K =M.

For the trivial knot, the knotting probability $P_{\rm triv}$ (N ;r) for the cylindrical SAPs is given by

$$P_{triv}(N;r) = C_{triv} \exp(N = N_c(r)) :$$
 (1)

Here the estimate of the constant $C_{\rm triv}$ is close to 1.0 [13]. We call $N_{\rm c}(r)$ the characteristic length of random knotting. It is also shown in Ref. [13] that $N_{\rm c}(r)$ can be approximated by an exponential function of r:

$$N_{c} = N_{c}(0) \exp(r)$$
: (2)

The best estimates of the two parameters $N_c(0)$ and are given by $N_c(0) = 292$ 5 and = 43.5 0.6 [13].

For several knots, it is shown [14] that the knotting probability P_K (N;r) of a knot K is given by

$$P_{K} (N;r) = C_{K} \frac{N}{N_{K}(r)} \exp(N = N_{K}(r));$$
 (3)

It is num erically suggested in Ref. [14] that N_K (r) should be independent of $K:N_K$ (r) N_C (r), and also that the constant C_K should be independent of the cylinder radius r.

C. M ean-squared gyration radius with a topological constraint

The mean square radius of gyration R² of a self-avoiding polygon is de ned by

$$R^{2} = \frac{1}{2N^{2}} \sum_{\substack{n,m=1 \\ n \neq m}}^{N^{N}} < (\tilde{R}_{n} \quad \tilde{R}_{m})^{2} > :$$
 (4)

Here \Re_n is the position vector of the nth segment (or the nth node) and < > denotes the ensemble average, which is taken over all possible congurations of the self-avoiding polygon.

Suppose that we have M self-avoiding polygons. Then, we evaluate the mean square radius of gyration R^2 by the sum: $R^2 = P_{i=1}^M R_i^2 = M$, where R_i^2 denotes the gyration radius of the ith SAPs in the given M SAPs.

Let us de ne the m ean square radius of gyration R_K^2 for such self-avoiding polygons that have a given knot type K:

$$R_{K}^{2} = \frac{1}{M_{K}} \sum_{i=1}^{K_{K}} R_{K,ii}^{2};$$
 (5)

where $R_{K;i}^2$ denotes the gyration radius of the ith self-avoiding polygon that has the knot type K. In term s of R_K^2 , R^2 is given by $R^2 = \frac{P}{K}$, R_K^2 , $M_K = M$.

In Fig. 1, the estim ates of the m can square radius of gyration R 2 are plotted against the number N of nodes in a double-logarithm ic scale, for the cylindrical SAPs with r=0.003 and r=0.03. We may con m the standard asymptotic behaviors of the mean-squared gyration radius R 2 in Fig. 1. Here we remark on an elective exponent $_{\rm e}$, which is defined through the power-law approximation: R N $^{\rm e}$. It is shown in [23] that the estimate of the elective exponent $_{\rm e}$ for the cylindrical SAPs with radius r is consistent with that of the cylindrical SAW s with radius r.

III. FIN ITE-SIZE BEHAVIORS OF R_k^2 FOR SOME KNOTS

Let us discuss simulation results on the mean square radius of gyration R_K^2 for the cylindrical SAPs with a knot K and of radius r. For two prime knots (the trivial and trefoil knots) and a composite knot (the double-trefoil knot, $3_1 \mid 3_1$), we have investigated the mean-squared gyration radius R_K^2 under the topological constraint in the range of the number N satisfying 21 N 1001, and for 14 dierent values of cylinder radius r.

The gyration radius R_K^2 can approxim ately given by some power of N. In Fig. 2, double-logarithm ic plots of R_K^2 versus N are given for the trivial and trefoil knots, with two values of cylinder radius: r = 0.003 and 0.03. We see that all the double-logarithm ic plots of Fig. 2 to some straight lines. We note that for other values of cylinder radius r, several double-logarithm ic plots of R_K^2 versus N are explicitly shown in Ref. [23].

With the number N xed , R_K^2 should increase with respect to the radius r for any knot. In Fig. 2, closed squares for r=0.03 are located higher in the vertical direction than closed circles for r=0.003, through the whole range of N both for the trivial and trefoil knots.

A. Ratio $R_{\,\rm K}^{\,2}$ =R 2 and the e ective expansion under the topological constraint

Let us now consider the ratio of R_K^2 to R^2 for a given knot K. If the ratio is larger (sm aller) than 1.0, then the average size of SAPs with the knot K is relatively larger (sm aller) than that of no topological constraint. We say that the SAPs with the knot K is electively more (less) expanded. In Fig. 3, the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ versus the number N is plotted in a double-logarithm is scale for the trivial and trefoil knots. Here, we have depicted only the case of r=0.003 among many sets of the cylindrical SAPs with the 14 dierent values of cylindrical radius.

For the trivial knot, we see in Fig. 3 that the ratio $R_{triv}^2 = R^2$ is greater than 1.0 when N > 50. Thus, the average size of the ring polymers with the trivial knot enlarges under the topological constraint. It gives a typical example of elective expansion.

In Fig. 3, the graph of the trivial knot is convex downwards: the ratio $R_{\rm triv}^2=R^2$ is almost constant with respect to N for small N such as N < 100; for N > 300 the ratio $R_{\rm triv}^2=R^2$ increases with respect to N with a larger gradient, and the graph can be approximated by a power law such as $R_{\rm triv}^2=R^2$ / N $_{\rm e}^{\rm triv}$. Here the symbol $_{\rm e}^{\rm triv}$ denotes the elective exponent

for the trivial knot. We note that the characteristic length $N_c(r)$ is approximately given by 300 for r=0.003. Thus, we may say that the power law behavior is valid for $N>N_c(r)$.

For the trefoil knot, the graph can be approxim ated by a power of N such as $R_{\rm tre}^2$ / N $_{\rm e}^{\rm tre}$ through the range of 100 N 1001. Here the symbol tree denotes the elective exponent of the trefoil knot. In Fig. 3, we note that when N < 100 the ratio $R_{\rm tre}^2 = R^2$ is smaller than 1.0, while it is larger than 1.0 when N > 300. Thus, when N is small, the topological constraint of the trefoil knot gives elective shrinking to ring polymers, while it does not when N is large. For a nontrivial knot K, we expect that the ratio $R_{\rm K}^2 = R^2$ is less than 1.0 when N is small, while it can be larger than 1.0 when N is large.

The properties of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in the last three paragraphs are consistent with the simulation results of Gaussian random polygons [24]. We have found for the random polygons that the double-logarithm ic graph of $R_K^2 = R^2$ versus N is given by a downward convex curve for the trivial knot, while it is given by a straight line for the trefoil knot and also for other several nontrivial knots; for the nontrivial knots investigated, the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ is given by some power of N such as $N_K^2 = R^2$. Thus, there are indeed many important properties valid both for the simulation of the Gaussian random polygons and that of the cylindrical SAPs with a small radius such as $R_K^2 = 0.003$.

The observations derived from Fig. 3 should be valid particularly for $\$ nite-size systems. A dm itting that N is $\$ nite, we can only understand that the Gaussian random polygons and the cylindrical SAPs have the similar topological properties in $\$ common. If we discuss asymptotic behaviors, SAPs and random polygons should be quite dierent. However, if we consider such properties that are valid for $\$ nite N , then they can hold both for SAPs with small excluded volume and random polygons that have no excluded volume.

Let us discuss again the convexity of the graph of the trivial knot, which has been observed in Fig. 3. We consider how the convexity depends on the radius r. In Fig. 4, the graphs of the ratio $R_{\text{triv}}^2 = R^2$ versus N are given in a double-logarithm is scale for four dierent values of cylinder radius r. Then, we see that the graph with r = 0.05 is less convex than that of r = 0.003. Thus, the convexity in the graphs of the elective expansion for the trivial knot should be valid only when cylinder radius r is small.

Let us assume that the convexity of the graphs of $R^2_{\rm triv} = R^2$ for the small r case should correspond to a crossover behavior of $R^2_{\rm triv} = R^2$ with respect to N . Then, the crossover behavior could be related to that of G aussian random knots, which is recently discussed by

Grosberg [18] for Gaussian random polygons. We can discuss the convexity of the double-logarithm ic graph of $R_{\rm triv}^2 = R^2$ versus N, taking an analogy with the crossover of the Gaussian random knots. Thus, we call the convexity of the trivial knot in Fig. 3 the crossover, hereafter in the paper.

For the non-trivial knots investigated, we do not see any crossover in the graph of $R_K^2 = R^2$ versus N. For instance, for the 4_1 and $3_1 \mid 3_1$ knots, the slope of the graph near N N_c (r) is straight in the double-logarithm ic scale. The crossover at N N_c (r) should be valid only for the trivial knot.

B. The plateau in the graph of $R_K^2 = R^2$ versus N for large N

We discuss how the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ depends on the number N, considering both the excluded volume excluded to the ective expansion due to the topological constraint. In Fig. 5, the graphs of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ versus N for dierent values of cylinder radius rare shown in linear scales: (a) for the trivial knot; (b) for the trefoil knot.

Let us rst consider the large N behaviors of the graphs shown in Fig. 5 for the trivial and trefoil knots. The graphs of $R_K^2 = R^2$ versus N have a common tendency that they become constant with respect to N when N is very large. It is particularly the case for the larger values of cylinder radius r such as r = 0.03 and 0.05. They approach horizontal lines at some large values of N. When r is small such as r = 0.003, the graph becomes at only for large N, as shown in Fig. 5.

From the atness of the graphs of $R_K^2 = R^2$ for large N , it follows that the power law behavior: $R_{\rm tre}^2 = R^2 / N_{\rm e}^{\rm tre}$ does not hold when N is very large. In Fig. 3, we have discussed that the ratio $R_{\rm tre}^2 = R^2$ versus the number N can be approximated by the power law for r = 0.003 through the range of 100 N 1001. However, the power-law approximation should be valid only within some in ite range of N.

Let us discuss other nite-N behaviors of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$. For the trefoil knot, the ratio $R_{\rm tre}^2 = R^2$ is less than 1.0 when N is small; it approaches or becomes larger than 1.0 when N is large enough. When cylinder radius r is small such as r = 0.003 and r = 0.01, the ratio $R_{\rm tre}^2 = R^2$ is clearly greater than 1.0 when N is large enough. When r is small, there should be a critical value N critical such that $R_{\rm tre}^2 = R^2 < 1.0$ for N $< N_{\rm critical}$, and $R_{\rm tre}^2 = R^2 > 1.0$ for N $> N_{\rm critical}$. Furthermore, we have a conjecture that the critical value N critical should

be roughly equal to the characteristic length $N_c(r)$ of random knotting. It seems that the conjecture is consistent with the graphs of Fig. 5 (b).

Let us discuss the conjecture on N critical, explicitly. In Fig. 5 (b), we see that for r=0.903, the ratio $R_{\rm tre}^2=R^2$ becomes 1.0 roughly at N = 300, and also that for r=0.91, the ratio $R_{\rm tre}^2=R^2$ is close to 1.0 roughly at N = 400. The observations are consistent with the estimates of N c (r) in Ref. [13]: N c (r) = (2:72 0:96) 10 for r=0.9 and N c (r) = (4:72 0:14) 10 for r=0.91. Thus, the consistency supports the conjecture on N critical.

C. Decrease of the topological elect under the increase of the excluded volume

The e ect of a topological constraint on the gyration radius decreases when the excluded volume increases. There are two examples: the decrease of ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ with respect to cylinder radius r while N being xed, and the disappearance of the crossover for the trivial knot shown in Figs. 3 and 4.

Let us rst discuss how the excluded-volume can modify the elective expansion due to the topological constraint. As we clearly see in Fig. 5, the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ decreases as cylinder radius r increases with N xed, both for the trivial and trefoil knots. Thus, the elective expansion of SAPs under the topological constraint becomes smaller when the excluded volume becomes larger.

It is quite nontrivial that the expansion given by the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ decreases as cylinder radius r increases. In fact, the value of R_K^2 itself increases with respect to r, as we have observed in Fig. 2. Furthermore, one might expect that the expansion due to a topological constraint should also increase with respect to cylinder radius r, simply because the average size of ring polymers with larger excluded volume becomes larger, as observed in Fig. 1. However, it is not the case for the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$.

Let us now discuss the crossover behavior of the trivial knot again, from the view point of the competition between the topological elect and the excluded volume elect. Here we recall that the crossover has been discussed in x3A with Figs. 3 and 4. Here we regard the crossover as a characteristic behavior derived from the topological constraint of being the trivial knot.

As a working hypothesis, let us assume that the crossover should occur at around the

characteristic length N $_{\rm c}$ (r). Recall that N $_{\rm c}$ (r) is larger than 1000 for r = 0.03 and 0.05, as we have estimated: N $_{\rm c}$ (r) 1200 for r = 0.03, and N $_{\rm c}$ (r) 2600 for r = 0.05. If the above hypothesis would be valid, then the graphs for r = 0.03 and 0.05 should also be convex. In Fig. 4, however, we see no change in the gradient of the graph of R $_{\rm triv}^2$ =R 2 versus N for r = 0.03 or 0.05. The assumed crossover of the trivial knot does not appear for r = 0.03 or 0.05. We may thus consider that the crossover as a topological elect is diminished by the excluded volume elect when r 0.03.

D. Characteristic length of random knotting $N_c(r)$ and the e ective expansion

In term s of the characteristic length N $_{\rm c}$ (r), we can explain some properties of the elective expansion of cylindrical SAPs under a topological constraint. Here we recall that the ratio $R_{\rm K}^{\,2} = R^{\,2} \mbox{ describes the degree of the elective expansion under the topological constraint of a knot K .}$

We rst consider the case when the characteristic length $N_c(r)$ is very large. Let us show that the ratio $R_{\rm triv}^2 = R^2$ should be close to 1.0 for $N = N_c(r)$. First, we recall that the probability $P_{\rm triv}(N)$ of the trivial knot decays exponentially with respect to the number N of polygonal nodes: $P_{\rm triv}(N) = \exp(-N = N_c(r))$. If $N = N_c(r)$ is very small, the probability $P_{\rm triv}(N)$ is close to 1.0, i.e., almost all SAPs have the trivial knot. Then, the mean-squared gyration radius with no topological constraint R^2 should be almost equal to that of the trivial knot $R_{\rm triv}^2$. Consequently, the ratio $R_{\rm triv}^2 = R^2$ should be close to 1.0.

When r 0.05, the characteristic length $N_c(r)$ is larger than 2600. Then, the trivial knot is dominant among the possible knots generated in SAPs with N < 1000. Thus, $R_{\rm triv}^2$ should almost agree with R^2 , which is the mean-squared gyration radius of SAPs under no topological constraint. There is no elective expansion under the topological constraint: the $R_{\rm triv}^2 = R^2$ is close to 1.0.

Let us next consider the case when the characteristic length N $_{\text{c}}$ (r) is small or not large. Then we show that the mean square radius of gyration of SAPs with the trivial knot R $_{\text{triv}}^2$ should be larger than that of no topological constraint R $_{\text{c}}^2$ for N > N $_{\text{c}}$ (r). In fact, various types of knots can appear in a given set of random ly generated SAPs of the cylinder radius r, since the probability of the trivial knot P $_{\text{triv}}$ (N) is exponentially small for N > N $_{\text{c}}$ (r). We note that the fraction of nontrivial knots is given by 1 exp (N = N $_{\text{c}}$ (r)). Thus, it is not

certain whether the ratio $R_{\rm triv}^2 = R^2$ is close to the value 1.0 or not. However, we may expect that the ratio $R_{\rm triv}^2 = R^2$ should be indeed larger than 1.0. Here we consider the following points: when $N > N_c(r)$, the majority of SAPs generated randomly should have much more complex knots than the trivial knot; the mean square radius of gyration of N-noded SAPs with a very complex knot should be much smaller than that of the trivial knot.

The explanation on the e ective expansion discussed in the above is completely consistent with the simulation results, as having been discussed in x3, in particular, through Figs. 3, 4 and 5.

IV. A SYM PTOTIC BEHAVIORS OF $R_{\kappa}^{\,2}$

A. The exponent of R_K^2

Let us discuss an asymptotic expansion for the mean square radius of gyration of cylindrical SAPs with a given knot K. Here we assume that $R_{\rm K}^2$ can be expanded in terms of 1=N consistently with renormalization group arguments. Then, the large N dependence of $R_{\rm K}^2$ is given by

$$R_{K}^{2} = A_{K} N^{2 K} 1 + B_{K} N + O (1=N) :$$
 (6)

Here, the exponent $_K$ should be given by that of self-avoiding walks: $_K = _{SAW}$. In order to analyze the numerical data systematically, however, we have introduced $_K$ as a tting parameter. Thus, for the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$, we have the following expansion:

$$R_{K}^{2} = R^{2} = (A_{K} = A)N^{2} + (B_{K} + B)N + O(1 = N)$$
 : (7)

Here we have put K as a tting parameter.

We have analyzed the data for the three dierent knots: the trivial, trefoil and $3 \mid 3_1$ knots, applying the expansion (7) to the numerical data of $R_K^2 = R^2$ for N 300. The best estimates of the three parameters are given in Tables 1, 2 and 3 for the trivial, trefoil and $3_1 \mid 3_1$ knots, respectively.

Let us discuss the best estimates of the dierence of the exponents: $_{\rm K}$. We see in Tables 1, 2 and 3 that all the results of $_{\rm K}$ suggest that they should be given by 0.0, with respect to the condence interval. Let us examine the best estimates more precisely. It is

rather clear from Tables 1, 2 and 3 that for a given cylinder radius r, the best estimates of r are independent of the knot type.

There is another evidence supporting that $_{K}=0.0$ for the trivial and trefoil knots. Let us consider the plots of the ratio $R_{K}^{\,2}=R^{\,2}$ versus N in Fig. 5 for the trivial and trefoil knots. We recall that the graphs are likely to approach some horizontal lines at some large N. The tendency of the graphs becoming at for large N suggests that $R_{K}^{\,2}$ and $R^{\,2}$ should have the same exponent, i.e., $_{SAW}$.

From the two observations, we conclude that the dierence of the exponents is given by 0.0: $_{\rm K}$ = 0:0 for any value of r. There is thus no topologicale ect on the scaling exponent de ned in the asymptotic expansion of $R_{\rm K}^2$.

B. Amplitude ratio $A_K = A$

Let us now consider the amplitude A_K of the asymptotic expansion (7). In Tables 1, 2 and 3, the best estimates of the ratio A_K =A are larger than 1.0 for the three knots, when r is small. The observation must be important. In fact, if the amplitude ratio A_K =A is larger than 1.0 in the asymptotic expansion (7), then R_K^2 is larger than R^2 for any large value of N . It m ight seem that the consequence is against the standard therm odynamic limit of statistical mechanics.

However, there is a clear evidence for the observation that $A_K = A > 1.0$ for some small values of cylinder radius r. In fact, the graphs of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ versus N are monotonically increasing with respect to N, as we see in Figs. 3, 4 and 5. It is clear that the graphs with the smaller values of cylinder radius r are larger than 1.0 when N is large. This observations of Figs. 3, 4 and 5 con m that $A_K = A > 1.0$ when cylinder radius r is small. Thus, we may conclude that the topological constraint gives an elective expansion also to asymptotically large cylindrical SAPs when the radius r is small.

The value of $A_K = A$ decreases with respect to the radius r for the three knots. We see it in Tables 1 to 3, where the best estimates of $A_K = A$ are listed. It is also consistent with the fact that the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ decreases with respect to r, which we have discussed in $\times 3 \mathcal{L}$. However, the decrease of $A_K = A$ is quite nontrivial, since the mean-squared gyration radius R_K^2 itself increases with respect to r, for the trivial and trefoil knots, as shown in Fig. 2. Here we recall in Fig. 1 that the gyration radius under no topological constraint R^2 increases

with respect to r.

From the viewpoint of asymptotic behaviors, we have shown that the expansion derived from the topological repulsion decreases with respect to cylinder radius r. We have also discussed that R_K^2 is larger than R^2 for any large value of N, when cylinder radius r is small.

C . The r-dependence of the am plitude ratio

Let us discuss the r dependence of the amplitude ratio $A_K = A$, m ore quantitatively. For this purpose, we analyze the data of $R_K^2 = R^2$ versus N again, assuming K = 0 in eq. (7). We evaluate the amplitude ratio $A_K = A$ by the following formula:

$$R_K^2 = R^2 = K (1 + K N + O (1 = N))$$
: (8)

Here we have replaced with $_{K}$ and $_{K}$, $A_{K} = A$ and B_{K} B in (7), respectively. Here we have also introduced a technical assumption: $=_{K} = 0.5$ in (7).

We have obtained the numerical estimates of $_{\rm K}$, applying the thing formula (8) to the data of $R_{\rm K}^2$ = R^2 with N 300. The estimates of $_{\rm K}$ versus rare shown in Fig. 6 in the double-logarithmic scale for the trivial, trefoil, and $3_1 \mid 3_1$ knots. To be precise, the values of $_{\rm K}$ are a little larger than those of $A_{\rm K}$ = A given in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

The estimate of the parameter $_{\rm K}$ becomes close to the value 1.0 when cylinder radius r is large enough. Furthermore, it is suggested from Fig. 6 that $_{\rm K}$ should be independent of the knot type. In fact, the data points for the trivial, trefoil and the double-trefoil $(3_1 \# 3_1)$ knots overlap each other. These two observations are consistent with the simulation result of the self-avoiding polygons on the lattice [7, 11].

Interestingly, we see in Fig. 6 that the ratio $_{\rm K}$ decreases monotonically with respect to the cylinder radius r. For the data with 0:001 r 0:01, we not that $_{\rm K}$ is roughly approximated by a decreasing function of r such as $_{\rm K}$ = $_{\rm 0}$ r exp(r), with $_{\rm 0}$ = 1.00 0.12, = -0.05 0.02 and = 5.78 4.79. The $^{\rm 2}$ value is given by 1.

V. DISCUSSION

W ith some graphs in the N r plane, we can illustrate the nite-size behaviors of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extension and the recall that the topological extensions of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extensions of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extensions of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extensions of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extensions of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extensions of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extensions of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extensions of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extensions of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extensions of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extensions of $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in x3. We recall that the topological extensions of $R_K^2 = R^2$ discussed in $R_K^2 = R^2$

as well as the excluded-volume e ect. Thus, we consider two lengths with respect to the number N of polygonal nodes: the characteristic length of random knotting N $_{\rm c}$ (r) and the \excluded-volume length" N $_{\rm ex}$ (r). When N > N $_{\rm ex}$ (r), the excluded-volume e ect should be important to any N-noded SAP with radius r.

We de ne $N_{\rm ex}$ (r) by $N_{\rm ex}$ (r) = 1= r^2 . The derivation is given in the following. We sto note that the parameter z of the excluded-volume is given by z=C onst: $N_{\rm B}=^{3}$ / $N_{\rm B}=^{3}$

We consider two graphical lines in the N r plane: $N = N_{\rm ex}(r)$ and $N = N_{\rm c}(r)$. In Fig. 7, the vertical line of the diagram expresses the r-axis and the horizontal one the N-axis. The graph $N_{\rm c}(r) = N$ reaches the N axis at $N = N_{\rm c}(0)$ 300. Here we recall that the function $N_{\rm c}(r)$ is given by eq. (2): $N_{\rm c}(r) = N_{\rm c}(0)$ exp (r). There is a crossing point for the two curved lines. The coordinates of the crossing point is approximately given by N = 1300 and N = 0.00. For a given simulation of the ratio $N_{\rm c}(r) = N_{\rm c}(r)$ with a xed radius r, we have a series of data points located on a straight line parallel to the N axis.

Let us rst consider the case of small values of r such as r=0.003 and r=0.01. From the simulation of x3, it is shown that the elective expansion due to the topological constraint is large. This is consistent with the following interpretation of the N r diagram: if we start from the region near the r axis and move in the direction of the N axis, then we cross the line $N=N_c(r)$ before reaching another one N=1; thus, we expect that the excluded-volume remains small when the topological elect becomes significant.

The above explanation should be consistent with the observation that the crossover of the trivial knot occurs near N = N_c(r) for small values of r. Here we recall Figs. 3 and 4. When r is very small, then we cross the line of N_c(r) = N almost at N_c(0) 300.

When radius r is large such as r=0.03 and 0.05, it is shown in x3 through simulation that the elective expansion is small: the ratio $R_{\rm K}^2=R^2$ is close to 1.0. In the N r diagram, when we move rightwards from the region near the raxis with raxed, we cross the line $P_{\rm N}=1$ before reaching another line N $_{\rm C}(r)=N$. Thus, the elective expansion as the topologicale ect should be small.

Finally, we should remark that some important properties of R_K^2 of cylindrical SAPs with radius r have been discussed system atically through scaling arguments with the blob picture by G rosberg [26]. In the note [26], the characteristic length $N_c(r)$ and the excluded-volume parameter z are explicitly discussed in the $N_c(r)$ reporties of R_K^2 are consistent with simulation results.

A cknow ledgm ents

We would like to thank Prof. K. Ito for helpful discussions. We would also like to thank Prof. A.Yu. Grosberg for his helpful discussion and sending the unpublished note on R_K^2 [26].

- [1] A.V. Vologodskii, A.V. Lukashin, M.D. Frank-Kamenetskii, and V.V. Anshelevich, Sov. Phys. JETP 39,1059 (1974).
- [2] J. des C loizeaux and M L. Mehta, J. Phys. (Paris) 40, 665 (1979).
- [3] M. Le Bret, Biopolymers 19, 619 (1980).
- [4] Y D.Chen, J.Chem. Phys. 74, 2034 (1981); J.Chem. Phys. 75, 2447 (1981); J.Chem. Phys. 75, 5160 (1981).
- [5] J.P.J.M idnels and F.W. W iegel, Phys. Lett. A 90, 381 (1982).
- [6] K.V.K. Lenin, A.V. Vologodskii, V.V. Anshelevich, A.M. Dykhne and M.D. Frank-Kamenetskii, J.Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 5, 1173 (1988).
- [7] E.J. Janse van Rensburg and S.G. Whittington, J.Phys. A 24, 3935 (1991).
- [8] K.Koniaris and M.Muthukum ar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2211 (1991).
- [9] T.Deguchi and K.Tsurusaki, J.K not Theory and Its Rami cations 3, 321 (1994).
- [10] T.Deguchiand K.Tsurusaki, Phys. Rev. E 55, 6245 (1997).
- [11] E.Orlandini, M.C. Tesi, E.J. Janse van Rensburg and S.G. Whittington, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31, 5953 (1998).
- [12] Yu-Jane Sheng, Pik-Yin Laiand Heng-Kwong Tsao, Phys. Rev. E 58, R1222 (1998).
- [13] M. K. Shim amura and T. Dequchi, Phys. Lett. A 274, 184 (2000).

- [14] M. K. Shim amura and T. Dequchi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 1523 (2001).
- [15] M.K. Shim amura and T.Deguchi, Phys. Rev. E 64, R 020801 (2001).
- [16] J. des C loizeaux, J. Physique Letters (France) 42, L433 (1981).
- [17] J.M.Deutsch, Phys.Rev.E 59, R2539 (1999).
- [18] A.Yu.Grosberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3858 (2000).
- [19] V. W. Rybenkov, N. R. Cozzarelli and A. W. Vologodskii, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 5307 (1993).
- [20] S.Y. Shaw and J.C. W ang, Science 260, 533 (1993).
- [21] T.Deguchi and K.Tsurusaki, Phys. Lett. A 174, 29 (1993).
- [22] M . Polyak and O . V iro, Int. M ath. Res. Not. No.11, 445 (1994).
- [23] M.K. Shim amura, Ph.D. Thesis, Ochanom izu University, December 2000.
- [24] M K . Shim am ura and T . D equantity cond-m at /0108529 .
- [25] A.Yu. Grosberg and A.R. Khokhlov, Statistical Physics of Macromolecules (AIP Press, New York, 1994).
- [26] A Yu. Grosberg, private com munication (unpublished).

FIG. 1: Double-logarithm ic plots of the mean square radius of gyration under no topological constraint R^2 for cylindrical SAPs versus the number N of polygonal nodes for radius r=0.003 and 0.03 depicted by closed circles and squares, respectively.

FIG. 2: Double-logarithm ic plot of R_K^2 versus N with r=0.003 and 0.03 shown by closed circles and squares, respectively: (a) for the trivial knot; (b) for the trefoil knot.

FIG. 4: Double-logarithm ic plots of the ratio $R_{\rm triv}^2 = R^2$ versus N for r = 0.003, 0.01, 0.03 and 0.05 shown by closed circles, squares, diam onds and triangles, respectively.

FIG. 5: G raphs of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ versus the number N in linear scales for r = 0.003, 0.01, 0.03 and 0.05 shown by closed circles, squares, diam onds and triangles, respectively: (a) for the trivial knot and (b) for the trefoil knot. The same data points are shown in both Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 (a).

FIG. 6: Double-logarithm ic plots of the amplitude ratio $_{\rm K}$ versus cylinder radius r for the trivial, trefoil and double-trefoil (3 $_{1}$ # 3 $_{1}$) knots shown by closed circles, squares and triangles, respectively. For the double-trefoil knot, the data points for 0:001 r 0:01 are shown.

FIG. 7: N r diagram. G raphs of N = N_c(r) and N = N_{ex}(r) are shown by two curved lines. The arrows (a) and (b) suggest the series of the data points of Fig. 5 for r = 0.01 and r = 0.005, respectively. All the data points in the paper are located in the shaded area.

FIG .3: Double-logarithm ic plots of the ratio $R_K^2 = R^2$ versus N for cylindrical SAPs with r = 0.003. $R_{triv}^2 = R^2$ and $R_{tre}^2 = R^2$ are shown by closed circles squares, respectively.

TABLE I: Fitting parameters $A_K = A$, B_K B and K versus cylinder radius r: for the trivial knot.

r	$A_K = A$	Вк В	2 _K	2
0.001	1.313 1.285	-2.587 4 <i>2</i> 11	0.003 0.123	18
0.002	1235 1.152	-2.389 4.117	0.009 0.116	12
0.003	1.213 1.065	-2.317 3.912	0.009 0.109	3
0.004	1.228 1.062	-1.982 3 . 973	0.003 0.107	3
0.005	1.170 0.983	-1.684 3.985	0.007 0.104	4
0.006	1207 0.921	-2 204 3 464	0.005 0.095	4
0.007	1.159 0.891	-1.633 3 .684	0.005 0.095	3
0.01	1.106 0.836	-1.090 3.809	0.005 0.092	3
0.02	1.065 0.686	-0.699 3.384	0.003 0.078	1
0.03	1.063 0.628	-0.518 3.166	-0.001 0.071	2
0.04	1.043 0.590	-0.353 3.076	-0.001 0.068	1
0.05	1.010 0.554	-0.143 3.039	0.002 0.066	1
0.06	1.020 0.551	-0.103 2.997	-0.001 0.065	1
0.07	1.013 0.531	-0.187 2.898	-0.001 0.060	1

TABLE II: Fitting parameters $A_K = A$, B_K B and K versus cylinder radius r: for the trefoil knot

11100				
r	A _K =A	ВКВ	2 _K	2
0.001	1.286 0.970	-4.440 2.784	0.014 0.096	3
0.002	1,215 0,918	-4.093 2.906	0.015 0.095	10
0.003	1,202 0,905	-3.562 3.054	0.011 0.094	11
0.004	1.176 0.872	-3.423 3.069	0.012 0.093	16
0.005	1.176 0.845	-3.461 2.964	0.010 0.090	6
0.006	1.113 0.807	-3.174 3.091	0.015 0.090	7
0.007	1.084 0.765	-3219 2.996	0.019 0.088	3
0.01	1.103 0.743	-3.220 2.870	0.013 0.084	1
0.02	1.068 0.765	-2.326 3.353	0.005 0.088	2
0.03	1.058 0.790	-2 262 3.531	0.003 0.091	4
0.04	1.003 0.835	-2.043 4.034	0.007 0.101	3
0.05	1.007 0.883	-2.422 4.119	0.007 0.107	4
0.06	1.029 0.975	-2.900 4.274	0.005 0.116	3
0.07	0.998 1.197	-1.923 5.915	0.002 0.146	2

TABLE III: Fitting parameters $A_K = A$, B_K B and K versus cylinder radius r: for the double-trefoil knot $(3_1 \,] \, 3_1)$.

r	A _K =A	Вк В	2 _K	2
0.001	1269 1.158	-5 203 3 255	0.012 0.116	7
0.002	1.224 1.077	-5 <i>2</i> 03 3 <i>1</i> 13	0.016 0.112	7
0.003	1.158 1.090	-4.371 3.662	0.016 0.118	1
0.004	1.149 1.054	-4.866 3.401	0.018 0.116	9
0.005	1.137 1.008	-4.851 3.297	0.016 0.112	4
0.006	1.096 1.002	-4.745 3.476	0.021 0.115	1
0.007	1.061 1.043	-3.819 4.091	0.020 0.122	3
0.01	1.076 1.369	-3.563 5.287	0.012 0.159	4

















