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#### Abstract

Fluid $m$ ediated interactions betw een particles in a vibrating uid lead to both long range attraction and short range repulsion. The resulting pattems include hexagonally ordered micro-crystallites, tim e-periodic structures, and chaotic uctuating pattems w ith com plex dynam ics. A m odelbased on stream ing ow gives a good quantitative account of the attractive part of the interaction. PACS: 45.70 . Q j,47.15.C b, 05.65 .+ b


M any im portant system $s$ consist of granular $m$ aterial ow ing while immersed in uid. In early experim ents, B agnold used uid saturated granular ows to rem ove the e ects of gravity [in $]_{1}^{-1}$. O thers have avoided interstitial uid (even rem oving air) in order to observe pure granularbehavior. H ow ever, the uid can produce interactions betw een particles resulting in interesting $m$ acroscopic effects. For exam ple, granular heaping due to uid interactions has been investigated in vertically vibrated deep granular beds [ [nill are im portant in sedim entation (for exam ple system s show com binations of attractive and repulsive interactions which result in ordering of particles 㦛 [ig].

In this letter, we present experim ental studies of novel phenom ena that occur as a consequence of both attractive and repulsive interactions betw een non-brow nian particles when they are vibrated in a uid. The observations include clustering, ordered crystalline pattems, and dynam ical uctuating states. The uid $m$ ediated interactions can be tuned to produce a striking variety of dynam ical phenom ena, and this provides an interesting new $m$ echanism for self-assem bly of ordered structures.
$T$ he attractive interaction can be understood quantitatively using a theory based on them ean stream ing ow generated by the oscillating particles. A short-range repulsive interaction is also observed at large vibrational acceleration. This repulsion show s a very sharp onset as the acceleration is increased. Together the combination of attraction and repulsion results in particles being bound together w ithout contact over a range of param eters. W edem onstrate how these interactions allow m icrocrystallites to form, for exam ple hexagons surrounding a particle center. Sm all num bers of particles can form stable structures, while larger num bers of particles (> 7) m ove chaotically in a bound state w ith no long range order, a \m esoscopic liquid".

The experim ental setup in shown in Fig. 1. W e conduct all experim ents in a rigid 6 cm diam eter by 1.5 am tall cylindrical alum inum container vibrated vertically by an electrom agnetic vibrator. W e com pletely $\quad l l$ the container w ith a water/glycerolm ixture ofkinem atic
viscosity $=8 \mathrm{cS}$ (density $=1.15 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) and a subm onolayer of uniform stain less steel spheres of diam eter $\mathrm{d}=0: 794 \mathrm{~mm}$ and density $8.0 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. A glass $w$ indow seals the top of the cylinder to provide optical access and to avoid surface w aves. Thebottom plate ism ade ofglass since its sm oothness reduces random horizontal particle m otion. W e have used both at glass and a concave lens for the bottom surface. The concave lens (focal length -1 $\mathrm{m}) \mathrm{m}$ akes no m easurable change in the particle interactions; it is used to keep particles from slow ly drifting to the edges of the cell due to im perfect leveling or slightly non-linear vibrationalm otion.

B oth the frequency (! = 2 f ) and amplitude ( M ) of the vibration of the container are controlled extemally. The nondim ensionalacceleration is given by $=!^{2} \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{g}$. The container oscillations cause the particles to vibrate vertically, typically contacting the cell.bottom once each cycle. The particles rem ain within 1 or 2 particle diam eters from the bottom over a w ide range of accelerations. W e im age the entire system from above using a fast CCD cam era. W hen the system is ilhum inated from an oblique angle, it is possible to $m$ easure the positions of the shadow s of particles, and thereby to determ ine the am plitude of their verticalm otion, A, de ned as half the peak to peak displacem ent. T he particle $R$ eynolds num ber, $\operatorname{Re}=d A!=$, ranges from 2 to 10 .

In Fig. 2 we show the time evolution of an initially random distribution of particles. A fter the vibrator is started, the particles quickly collect into localized clusters, and the clusters then slow ly coalesce in a m anner rem iniscent of coarsening in phase transitions.

A two particle system provides a particularly sim ple ow in which the particle attraction can be com pared with theory. Figure 3 show s the distance betw een the centers of two particles as a function of time. The approach rate increases until they com e into contact. T he shape of these curves provides clear evidence that the clustering is due to a uid-m ediated interaction, and not to inelastic collisions, which cause clustering in a sim ilar system with no uid $\overline{[1]}]$. The separation also shows a sm allperiodic $m$ odulation $w$ ith the period of the vertical
vibration. P articles separate near their low est point and approach near the highest point of their $m$ otion.
$T$ he attractive interaction can be quanti ed by considering the ow produced by each individualparticle'sm otion. The vibrations produce an oscillatory ow around each particle, which is well approxim ated as a potential
ow , outsidepfa boundary layerw ith approxim ate thick-
 osc $=0: 2 \mathrm{~mm}$, which is sm aller than the particle radius, $a=0: 397 \mathrm{~mm}$. The potential ow near each oscillating particle is $u=r$, where $=\frac{1}{2} A!\sin (!t) a^{3} \cos ()=r^{2}$; here the origin is at the middle of the particle, and
is the angle between a given location on the sphere and the forcing direction. At the particle surface, the com ponent of the velocity eld parallel to its surface is $u_{j j}()=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~A}!\sin (!t) \sin (),[10]$.

Long ago Lord Rayleigh pointed out that when the $m$ agnitude of the oscillatory ow $u_{j j}=u_{i j}()$ varies along a solid surface, such as that of the particle, a steady secondary ow is generated [ $\left.[1]_{1}^{\prime 1} 12\right]$. For ow near the surface, $m$ ass conservation then requires that there is also a ow perpendicular to the boundary $w$ ith $m$ agnitude $u_{?} \quad$ osc $@_{j j} u_{j j}$. Since this ow is not entirely out of phase with $u_{j j}$ in the boundary layer, every oscillation cycle transports a nite am ount of $m$ om entum into the boundary layer. H ence there is a tim e independent force density on the uid in the boundary layer, which is parallel to the boundary and of order $h u_{j j} @_{j j} u_{j j} i$, where is the uid density and $h$ i denotes tim e average over a cycle. This forcing produces a steady ow, which when balanced against the viscous force $u_{\text {steady }}={ }_{o s c}^{2}$ gives the $m$ agnitude of the steady ow at the edge of the oscillatory boundary layer $u_{\text {steady }} \quad u_{j j} 0_{j \mathrm{jj}} u_{\mathrm{jj}}=$ !.

Hence, a steady ow is produced w ith a m agnitude $u_{\text {steady }} \quad A^{2}!=a$. A carefulanalysis [131] show $s$ that this steady ow pushes uid away from the poles of each particle; and so there is a perpendicular in ow velocity towards the equator. This steady in ow is the origin of the attractive interactions betw een tw o particles.


FIG.1. Schem atic of the apparatus in which particles are vibrated vertically in a viscous uid. The vertical height of the cell is 19 tim es the particle diam eter, so it approxim ates particles bouncing in a sem i-in nite dom ain.


FIG.2. Tim e evolution of an initially random distribution ofbeads. T he attraction created by the stream ing ow quickly collects the particles into clusters. ( $f=50 \mathrm{~Hz},=4.5$ ) a) $t=0$ s ; b) $\mathrm{t}=8 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{c}) \mathrm{t}=16 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~d}) \mathrm{t}=32 \mathrm{~s}$.

To com pute the rate at which particles com e together, wem ust determ ine the in ow velocity far from the particle. B esides the oscillatory boundary layer, there is also a boundary layer causpd by the steady ow itself [1] [], w ith a scale steady $=a=\overline{R e_{\text {steady }}}$, where $R e_{\text {steady }} \stackrel{-}{=} A^{2}!=$ is the Reynolds num ber of the steady secondary ow. A m atching argum ent, connecting the ows in the boundary layers to a potential ow far away, determ ines the in ow velocity to be $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{r})=0: 53 \mathrm{~A} P \frac{a^{2}}{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{r}^{3}$ at a distance $r$ from the center of the sphere. Thus, if $R(t) d e-$ notes the distance betw een the particle centers, it follow s that $d R=d t=2 v(R)$ since the particles follow the slow changes in the horizontalm otion of the uid. This im plies that the separation betw een the tw o spheres should decrease according to the law

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)=\left(R_{0}^{4} \quad 4: 24 A{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{!} a^{2} t\right)^{1=4}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form ula assum esthat (i) osc $=$ steady $<1$, which can be rew ritten $A<a=\overline{2}$; (ii) the particles are far enough apart that they do not a ect each other's boundary layers; and (iii) neglects the in uenœ of the bottom plate. O ur estim ates indicate that assum ption (i) holds when
is sm all or $f$ is large; (ii) holds except at the nal $m$ om ents of approach; and (iii) holds except during the sm all interval in each cycle when the particle bounces o the plate.

In Fig. 3, the central_dashed line show s the approach curves predicted by Eq. ${ }^{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$, for the conditions of the experi$m$ ent. T he agreem ent of the data $w$ ith both the predicted functional form and rate of approach is quite good considering that all the param eters used by the theory are independently determ ined. At 20 Hz ( F ig. 3a), the m easured tra jectories approach som ew hat m ore slow ly than the theory predicts. At 50 Hz ( F ig 3b), the m easured approach rate is closer to the prediction, and the functional


F IG . 3. D istance betw een tw o particles as they are brought together by the attractive ow. The solid curves are di erent experim ental runs, and the central dashed curve shows the approach predicted by the steady stream ing theory. Upper and low er dashed curves show the e ect of $m$ easurem ent uncertainty in A. The tim e axes have been shifted so that the separation extrapolates to zero at $t=0$. (a) $f=20 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$=2: 9, A=0: 41 \quad 0: 04 \mathrm{~mm}$. H ere the vertical particle $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{o-}$ tion is periodic. (b) $f=50 \mathrm{~Hz},=4: 6, \mathrm{~A}=0: 15 \quad 0: 04 \mathrm{~mm}$. $T$ he vertical $m$ otion is now chaotic and the $m$ ean oscillation am plitude is used for A in plotting the theoretical curve.
form agrees better, m ost likely because the oscillatory boundary layer is thinner in this case and so assum ption (i) above is better satis ed. T he upper and low er dashed curves show the e ect of $m$ easum ent uncertainty in $A$. Since at 50 Hz the am plitude is very sm all and the verticalm otion is chaotic, the uncertainty is rather large in this case. O verall, the theory quite accurately captures the approach oftw o particles, and it seem s unam biguous that the attraction is caused by the stream ing mechanism.

At larger accelerations, a short-range repulsion becom es prom inent and lim its the closeness of approach. This can be seen in Fig. 4, which displays the steady state separation between tw o particles as a function of
for several di erent forcing frequencies. At each frequency, there is a step-like rise in separation at a characteristic that $m$ oves to higher as the frequency increases. It is interesting to note ( $F$ igure 4b) that there is no sharp change in the am plitude of the verticalm otion of the particles with increasing, so the onset of nonzero separation $m$ ust be due to the uid ow and not to changes in the bouncing dynam ics. The onset of separation at each frequency appears when the particles have a peak-to-peak vertical am plitude of approxim ately 1:4d.

A fully quantitative theory of this e ect is not available. O ne possible explanation is that the repulsion becom es im portant when the oscillatory boundary layer is thicker than the steady boundary layer. An altemate possibility suggested by ow visualization is that the re-


FIG.4. (a) For tw o particles, the equilibrium distance betw een particle centers as a function of acceleration show $s$ a rapid transition from contact to a nonzero separation. Separation is norm alized by the particle diam eter, $d$. (b) $M$ easurem ents of the height that particles bounce above the plate show no dram atic change at the transition. Frequencies are 17 Hz (open circles), 20 Hz ( lled squares), and 23 Hz (open triangles). Larger frequencies have the transition at larger acceleration.
pulsion is due to recirculating zones near the particles created by de ection of the dow nw ard part of the stream ing ow by the plate. Explaining the details of the repulsive interaction rem ains as a challenge for future work.

System $s$ of $m$ ore than two particles display a range of fascinating pattems and dynam ics, including ordered crystallites, tim e-dependent ordered pattems, and bound states $w$ th com plex particle $m$ otion. For exam ple, one $m$ ight expect that $w$ hen attraction and repulsion are both im portant, the three particle system would form a stable sym $m$ etric triangular con guration. For low acceleration this is nearly the case, but as the acceleration increases, the system breaks symm etry to a state $w$ th tw o paired and one distant particle as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 5 (a). This state is fairly stable, but over long tim es the distant particle can wander close enough to cause a new pairing.

In a system w th 7 particles, stable hexagonal states form over the range $2: 8 \ll 3: 0$ ( F ig. 5b). At slightly higher acceleration, the system sw itches to a tim e dependent state w ith 2 central particles rotating inside a ring of 5 others ( $F$ ig 5 c ) . P article $m$ otion here is periodic and quite stable, and an anim ation of this \dance" is available online [1] clusters exhibit apparently chaotic m otion.

For larger num bers ofparticles, the presence ofboth attraction and repulsion leads to even $m$ ore com plex $m$ any body e ects, which are generally tim e-dependent. Figures $5(d-f)$ show a system ofm any particles at 3 di erent


F IG . 5. P atterns form ed by m ultiple particle system $s$ w hen both attraction and repulsion are im portant. All im ages are acquired at $\mathrm{E}=20 \mathrm{~Hz}$. (a) 3 particles at $=3: 0$. (b) 7 particles in a stable hexagon at $=3: 0$. (c) 7 particles in stable tim e-periodic $m$ otion at $=3: 7$. (d) $M$ any particles at
$=3: 7$, where the centralparticles are in contact and exterior particles are held apart by the hydrodynam ic repulsion. (e) At $=3: 9$ all the particles have separated and form a bound liquid. (f) $=5: 3$, apparently chaotic. $T$ he tim e-dependent character of states (c) through (f) are revealed in on-line anim ations $\left.\underline{10}_{[15}^{-1}\right]$.
accelerations. H ere the onset of a non-zero separation betw een particles occurs at higher acceleration than for the two particle case. A s shown in Fig. 5 (d) ( = 3:7), particles near the center of the cluster can rem ain in contact even when particles near the periphery have separated. O ne reason for this is that the verticalm otion ofparticles is signi cantly sm aller at the center of the cluster than at the edges, causing w eaker repulsion. At $=3: 9$, ( F ig 5 e ) the inner particles have separated and the system form $s$ a bound state w ith weakly chaotic $m$ otion of all the particles, a \m esoscopic liquid". O ne interesting feature of this state is that the preferred distance betw een particles results in the form ation of closed shells. At the param eters of $F$ ig 5 (e) there are two $m$ ore particles than $t$ in the closed shells, and these \valence" particles m ove $m$ ore freely than the others. Further increase of results in increased interparticle distance and $m$ ore rapid particle $m$ otion ( $F$ ig 5 f ). A nim ations of these tim e-dependent
states are available $\left.{ }^{[1} \overline{1}^{-}{ }^{\prime}\right]$.
W e em phasize that the chaotic motion of particles in the clusters is not a result of extemal e ects such as roughness of the driving surface. A single particle bounces regularly with possibly a slow drift due to im perfect leveling or slightly non-vertical vibrator $m$ otion. M ultiple particles exhibit com plicated $m$ otion as a result of the nonlinearity of the ow of the interstitial uid.

In summ ary, we have shown that uid mediated interactions betw een particles can be delicately tuned to produce a great variety of ordered and tim e-dependent dynam ical states of these $m$ any body system $s$.
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