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In two recent papers, $O$. Entin $\{W$ oh m an et $a l$. studied the question : \W hich physical infor$m$ ation is carried by the transm ission phase through a quantum dot?" In the present paper, this
 which is $m$ ore closely pattemed after the geom etry of the actual experim ent by Schuster et al. than is the model of $O$. Entin $\{W$ ohlm an et $a l . W$ e conclude that whenever the num ber of leads coupled to the A haranov $\{B$ ohm interferom eter is larger than two, and the total num ber of channels is su ciently large, the transm ission phase does re ect the B reit $\{W$ igner behavior of the resonance phase shift.

## I. IN TRODUCTION

In 1997, Schuster et al. [1] reported on a m easurem ent of the transm ission phase through a quantum $\operatorname{dot}(Q D)$. $T$ hese authors used an A haranov $\{B$ ohm (AB) interferom eter $w$ th the QD em bedded in one of its arm $s$. The device is schem atically show $n$ in $F$ igure 1. The current through the device is $m$ ade up of coherent contributions from both arm $s$ and is, therefore, a periodic function of them agnetic ux through the AB interferom eter. A sequence of Coulom b\{blockade resonances in the Q D w as sw ept by adjusting the plunger gate voltage $V_{g}$ on the $Q D$. ( $T$ he plunger gate is not show $n$ in the $F$ igure). $T$ he phase shiff of the oscillatory part of the current was $m$ easured as a function of $V_{g}$. $W$ e refer to this phase shift as to the transm ission phase through the QD.A sex-
pected, showed an increase by over each C oulom b \{ blockade resonance.
$T$ he AB interferom eter of $R$ ef. [1] was attached to six extemal leads. The com plexity of this arrangem ent was caused by the failure ofan earlier tw o \{lead experim ent [ $]_{]}$ also aim ed at $m$ easuring. Instead of a sm ooth rise by over the width of each resonance, a sudden jum p by near each resonance w as observed. T his feature w as later understood to be caused by a special sym $m$ etry property of the two\{lead experim ent 目]: The conductance $g()$ and, therefore, the current are sym $m$ etric functions of and, hence, even in . Thus, $g$ is a function of cos( ) only, and the apparent phase jum p of by is actually due to the vanishing at som e value of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}$ near resonance of the coe cient multiplying cos( ).


FIG.1. An AB interferom eter containing a QD in one of its arm $s$ and attached to six extemal leads (schem atic). $T$ he barriers labelled 1 and 2 separate the QD from the AB ring, the dashed lines the A B ring from the leads. B arriers and dashed lines are considered closed in the geom etry de ning the $H$ am iltonian $H_{0}$ in Eq. 44).

Follow ing the work of Ref．［1］］，theoretical attention was largely focused on the least expected feature of the data of $R$ ef．［1］：A sequence of C oulom b \｛blockade reso－ nances displayed very sim ilar behavior regarding the de－ pendence ofboth，the conductance and the transm ission phase，on $V_{g}$ ．In particular，displayed a rapid drop betw een neighboring C oulom b \｛blockade resonances．For references，see Ref．［8］．It is only recently that Entin \｛ W ohlm an，A harony，Im ry，Levinson，and Schiller 5］［］ drew attention to the behavior of at a single resonance． In Ref．［5］the rst four authors consider a three\｛lead sit－ uation．T w o leads are attached to the A B interferom eter in a fashion analogous to $F$ igure 1．The third lead con－ nects directly to the QD．The authors take the arm s of the AB interferom eter and the three extemal leads as one\｛dim ensional wires．They consider an isolated reso－ nance due to a single state on the QD．Solving this m odel analytically，they com e up with a disturbing result：$T$ he transm ission phase increases by over an energy inter－ val given by that part of the width of the QD which is due to its coupling to the third lead．A s this coupling is gradually tumed 0 ，the rise by of becom es ever $m$ ore steep，and eventually becom es a phase jum p by as the coupling to the third lead vanishes．In Ref．目］，the m odel is extended to inchude additionalone\｛dim ensional w ires directly attached to the AB ring and coupled to it in a specialw ay．A gain，it is found that the transm ission phase re ects the resonance phase shift only \for speci c ways of opening the system＂［6］．These results im $m$ edi－ ately poses the follow ing questions：$W$ hat is the behavior of for a single resonance in the six $\{$ lead case and，$m$ ore generally，for any num ber of leads in a geom etry like the one shown in Figure 1］？D oes the rise of by occur over an energy interval given by the actualw idth of the resonance or only by part of that width？A nd what hap－ pens to as the num ber of leads is gradually reduced to two？It is the purpose of the present paper to answer these questions w thin a theoretical fram ew ork which is $m$ ore closely pattemed after the experim ental situation than is the work of Ref．［5］．In particular，our work dif－ fers from that of $R$ efs．国相］in the follow ing respects：$W$ e do not assum e that the QD is directly coupled to a lead， we do not $m$ ake any speci c assum ptions about the way in which the leads are coupled to the AB ring，and we allow for an arbitrary num ber of leads（as long as this num ber is at least equal to two）and of channels in each lead．

## II．M ODEL

In one of the rst theoretical papers 8］addressing the data of R ef［1］，the transm ission phase was calculated in the fram ew ork of a m odel designed in Ref ．［1］，and for the geom etry show n in $F$ igure 1．Inspection of the curves published in Ref．8］］show s that the transm ission phase
rises roughly by over an energy interval roughly equal
 curves shown in Ref．目］w ere，how ever，calculated in the fram ew ork ofspeci cassum ptions on a num ber ofparam－ eters and do not，therefore，constitute a general answ er to the questions raised at the end of Section（7．Still，it is useful to em ploy again the $m$ odel used in these calcu－ lations．A s we shall see，the $m$ odel yields a com pletely general expression for the conductance and its depen－ dence upon $V_{g}$ and in the fram ew ork of the geom etry displayed in Figure ${ }^{1}$ ．

Starting point for the study of a case $w$ ith $R$ leads where $R$ is integer and $R \quad 2$ is the Landauer\｛B uttiker formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{r}=X_{s=1}^{X^{R}} G_{r s} V_{s}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form ula connects the current $I_{r}$ in lead $r, r=$ $1 ;::: ; R$ w th the voltages $V_{s}$ applied to leads $s$ ．The conductance coe cients $G$ rs are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{r s}=\frac{e^{2}}{h} T^{r s}={\frac{e^{2}}{h}}_{a b}^{X} \quad d E \frac{d F(E)}{d E} \quad\left[j \mathcal{S}_{a b}^{r s}(E) \mathcal{J} \quad a b\right]: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $S_{a b}^{r s}(E)$ are the elem ents of the scattering $m$ atrix $S$ connecting channel a in lead $r$ with channelb in lead $s$ at an energy $E$ of the electron．W e have used the ter－ $m$ inology of scattering theory and identi ed the trans－ verse $m$ odes of the electron in each lead $w$ th the chan－ nels．The function $F(E)$ is the Ferm ifunction．We sim plify our reasoning by considering very low tem per－ atures where the integral in Eq．（2）can be replaced by ［＇S $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{ab}}^{\mathrm{rs}}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)\right\}^{?} \mathrm{ab}$ ］，identifying the Ferm ienergy param et－ rically $w$ th the plunger gate voltage $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ． W e do so be－ cause this brings out the energy dependence of the trans－ m ission phase $m$ ost clearly．Subsequent averaging over tem perature does not change the essential aspects．W e recall that the Landauer\｛Buttiker form ula is restricted to the case of independent electrons．This is the approx－ im ation used throughout the paper．

To proceed，wem ust introduce a m odel for the scatter－ ing $m$ atrix $S\left(E_{F}\right)$ ．We consider a geom etrical arrange－ $m$ ent of the type show $n$ schem atically in $F$ igure 1 w ith－ out，how ever，lim iting ourselves to six channels．W e em－ phasize that this geom etry di ers from the one considered in Ref．5］w were，asm entioned above，the Q D is directly coupled to one of the leads．The electrons $m$ ove inde－ pendently in the two\｛dim ensional area de ned by the leads，the AB interferom eter，and the QD．A hom oge－ neous $m$ agnetic eld is applied penpendicularly to the plane of $F$ igure 1 ．

The two\｛dim ensional con guration space is divided into disconnected subspaces de ned by the interior of the $Q D$ ，of the $A B$ interferom eter $w$ ithout the $Q D$ ，and by
each of the leads. The free scattering states in lead $r$ carry the labels $E$ for energy and a for the channel, w ith $C_{a E}^{r y}$ and $C_{a E}^{r}$ the corresponding creation and destruction operators. T he bound states in the closed AB ring have energies " ${ }_{i}, i=1 ; 2 ;::$ : and associated operators $d_{i}^{y}$ and $d_{i}$. The QD supports a single bound state $w$ th energy $E_{0}$ and associated operators $q^{y}$ and $q$. $T$ his last simpli cation is introduced because we wish to investigate the behavior of at an isolated C oulom b \{blockade resonance. At the expense of an increase of the num ber of indices, this assum ption can easily be rem oved, see $R$ efs. 1 回].
$T$ he single\{particle $H$ am iltonian $H$ is accordingly w ritten as the sum of two term s,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{1}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, $H_{0}$ describes free electron $m$ otion in each of the disconnected subspaces,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=X_{r ; a}^{Z} d E E C_{a E}^{r y} C_{a E}^{r}+{ }_{i}^{X}{ }_{i} d_{i}^{y} d_{i}+E 0 q^{y} q: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he coupling betw een the various subspaces, and the inuence of them agnetic eld are contained in the coupling H am iltonian

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}=X_{r ; a ; i}^{X} \quad d E \quad W_{a i}^{r}(E) C_{a E}^{r y} d_{i}+h: C: \\
& +\quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}: \quad: \\
& \text { ip }
\end{aligned}
$$

The matrix elem ents $W \underset{a i}{r}(E)$ describe the coupling betw een channela in lead $r$ and the state $i$ in the AB ring. $T$ here are no barriers separating the leads from the AB ring. T herefore, the coupling to the leads will change the states i into strongly overlapping resonances. W ew illaccordingly assum e later that the resulting term $s$ depend sm oothly on energy E. W e also assum e that on the scale of the $m$ ean level spacing in the AB ring, the energy dependence of the $W$ ' $s$ is sm ooth and, in e ect, negligible. $T$ he $m$ atrix elem ents $V_{i}^{p}$ describe the coupling betw een the states $i$ and the state in the $Q D$ w ith energy $E_{0}$. T he upper index $p$ w th $p=1 ; 2$ distinguishes the tw o barriers which separate the Q D from the AB ring, see Figure 1 . In ourm odel, the topology of the A B ring enters via the occurrence of tw o independent am plitudes for decay of the state w th energy $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ on the QD into each of the states $i$ of the AB ring. Because of gauge invariance, the entire dependence of $H$ on the applied $m$ agnetic eld can, $w$ ithout loss of generality, be put into one of the $m$ atrix elem ents $V$. W e accordingly assum e that the am plitudes $W \underset{a i}{r}(E)$ and $V^{1}$ are real and $w$ rite $V^{2}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{i}^{2} \exp (+i)=\left(V_{i}^{2}\right) \exp (i)=v^{(2)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{v}^{(2)}$ is real. The phase is given by 2 times the magnetic ux through the $A B$ ring in units of the
elem entary ux quantum . Eqs. (55,(6) im ply that the electron picks up the phase factor $\exp (+i)$ as it leaves the QD through barrier 2. H ere and likew ise in Section $\Psi$, we neglect all other e ects that the $m$ agnetic eld $m$ ay have on the $m$ otion of the electron, and take account of the A haranov \{Bohm phase only.

The Ham iltonian used in Ref. 团] di ers from our $H_{0}$ in that it also contains the Coulomb interaction betw een electrons w thin the QD in a m ean \{ eld approxim ation. This interaction is known 4] to be im portant for the behavior of the phase of the transm ission am plitude through the QD between resonances. It is not expected, however, to a ect this phase in the dom ain of an isolated C oulom b \{blockade resonance, or the width of such a resonance.

## III. SCATTERINGMATRIX

O nem ay w onderw hether the m odelde ned in Eqs. 3) to (6) is su ciently generalto give a com pletely satisfactory answ er to the question sposed at the end ofSection $\mathbb{F}$. It is for this reason that we now derive the form of the scattering $m$ atrix from very general principles. These are unitarity, tim e\{reversal invariance, the topology of the AB interferom eter, gauge invariance, and the single\{ level approxim ation for the passage of electrons through the QD. As rem arked above, the last of these assum ptions can easily be lifted. At the end, it will tum out that the scattering $m$ atrix determ ined in this $w$ ay has indeed the sam eform as the one calculated from Eqs. 3) to (6).
$T$ he total scattering $m$ atrix $S$ for the passage of electrons through the $A B$ interferom eter is the sum of two term s . W e consider rst the contribution $\mathrm{S}^{(0)}$ from that arm of the interferom eterwhich does not contain the QD. (In the schem e of $F$ igure I, the total scattering $m$ atrix $S(E)$ would becom e equalto $S^{(0)}(E)$ ifthe barriers 1 and 2 separating the QD from the AB ring were closed). W e neglect the dependence of $S^{(0)}$ on energy over an interval given by the w idth of the resonance due to the single level in the QD in the other arm and, therefore, consider $S{ }^{(0))}$ as independent of energy. Since $S$ is unitary, and since the contribution from the other arm vanishes for energies far from the resonance, $\mathrm{S}^{(0)} \mathrm{m}$ ust also be unitary. M oreover, $S^{(0)}$ is not a ected by the presence of the $m$ agnetic eld. (A s in the m odel of Eqs. (3) to (6), the entire dependence on the $m$ agnetic eld $w$ ill be contained in the am plitude coupling the QD to the $A B$ ring through barrier 2 , see Eq. (G) below). H ence, $S^{(0)}$ is tim e\{reversal invariant and, thus, sym $m$ etric. As is the case for every unitary and sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix, $S^{(0)}$ can be w ritten in the form (G]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{(0)}=U U^{T} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symbol $T$ denotes the transpose of a $m$ atrix, and the $m$ atrix $U$ is unitary. It is the product of an orthogonal $m$ atrix which diagonalizes $S^{(0)}$, and of a diagonal $m$ atrix. The elem ents of the latter have the form exp (i) where the 'sare the eigenphaseshiftsofS ${ }^{(0)}$. W enow use the $m$ ore explicit notation introduced in Eq. (4) to w rite $S^{(0)}$ as $\left(S^{(0)}\right)_{a b}^{r s}$, and $U$ as $U_{a \mathrm{a}}^{r} \cdot W$ ith $N_{r}$ the num ber of channels in lead $r$ and $N={ }_{r} N_{r}$ the total num ber of channels, the index runs from 1 to $N$. Them atrix $U$ represents a rotation in the space of channels from the physicalchannels $(r ; a)$ to the eigenchannels of $S^{(0)}$.

U sing Eq. (7), we w rite the total $S$ \{matrix $S$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a b}^{r s}={ }^{X} U_{a}^{r} \quad \frac{X}{E} \quad E_{0}+(i=2) \quad U_{b}^{s}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term in brackets on the right\{hand side of Eq. 8) represents $S^{(0)}$ and the second, the contribution of the single resonance due to the QD.This contribution is $w$ ritten in $B$ reit $\{W$ igner form. T he num erator $x$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
x= & z^{(1)} z^{(1)}+z^{(2)} z^{(2)} \\
& +z^{(1)} z^{(2)} \exp (i)+z^{(2)} z^{(1)} \exp (\text { i }): \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The amplitudes $z^{p}$ w ith $p=1 ; 2$ and $=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$ are the am plitudes for decay of the $B$ reit $\{W$ igner resonance into the eigenchannels through the rst or the second barrier, respectively, see $F$ igure 1. The entire $m$ agnetic $\{$ eld dependence is contained explicitly in the phase factors. Therefore, the am plitudes $z^{p}$ can be chosen real. The four term s on the right\{hand side of Eq. (9) account for the four $w$ ays in which the $B$ reit $\{W$ igner resonance contributes to the scattering process, see Figure 1: Form ation and decay of the resonance through barrier one, form ation and decay through barrier tw 0 , form ation through barrier one and decay through barrier tw 0 , and form ation through barrier tw $o$ and decay through barrier one, respectively. Thus, the form of Eq . (G) accounts for the topology of the AB ring and for gauge invariance. In writing Eq. (G), we have assum ed that passage through the $Q D$ is possible only via interm ediate form ation of the resonance.

Them atrix $S$ in Eq. 8) m ust be unitary. This condition is $m$ et if the total $w$ idth obeys the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& ={ }^{X X}\left(z^{(p)}\right)^{2}+2 \cos ()^{X} z^{(1)} z^{(2)} \\
& { }^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{X} \\
& ={ }^{X} \dot{z}^{(1)}+z^{(2)} \exp (i) \jmath^{\mathcal{J}}: \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The last form of Eq. 10) shows that the am plitude for decay of the resonance into channel is the sum of two term $s, z^{(1)}$ and $z^{(2)} \exp (i)$. A gain, this re ects the topology of the AB interferom eter. W e note that the

Breit \{W igner term in Eq. ()) describes both, single and $m$ ultiple passage of the electron through the $Q D$, the latter possibly in conjunction with multiple tums around the $A B$ ring. This is seen by expanding the $B$ reit $\{W$ igner denom inator in Eq. (8) in pow ers of , using Eq. (10), and identifying the $n^{\text {th }}$ power of pairs of coe cients $z^{(1)} ; z^{(2)}$ $w$ th the $n\{$ fold passage of the electron through the $Q D$. The expansion gives rise, am ong $m$ any others, for instance to the term

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{i}{E}\right)^{n}(1=2)^{n} 1 \\
& \quad\left(z^{(1)}\left[z^{(2)} \exp (+i) z^{(1)}\right]^{n}{ }^{1} z^{(2)} \exp (+i):\right. \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

W ith (i) the propagator in each of the eigenchannels and $\left(\mathbb{E} E_{0}\right)^{1}$ the propagator through the resonance on the $Q D$, this term describes an electron passing $n$ tim es through the QD and circling the $A B$ ring ( $n$ 1) tim es counter\{clockw ise before leaving the AB device. (T he factor $(1=2)^{(n \quad 1)}$ is a $m$ atter of convention).

A ccording to Eq. 10), the width depends upon the $m$ agnetic ux. In the context of the question addressed in the present paper, th is fact is w orrysom e. Indeed, what is the $m$ eaning of the question $\backslash D$ oes the transm ission phase increase by over the width of the resonance?" if that width changes with the applied magnetic eld? We now show that the dependence of on becom es negligible when the total num ber $N$ of channels becom es large, $\mathrm{N} \quad$ 1. The am plitudes $\mathrm{z}^{(\mathrm{p})}$ are realbut m ay be positive or negative. For a rough estim ate, we assum e that the $z^{\prime}$ 's are $G$ aussian $\{$ distributed random variables $w$ ith zero $m$ ean value and a com $m$ on variance $z^{2}$. Then the $m$ ean value of is easily seen to be independent of and given by $2 \mathrm{~N}^{2}$. The variance of , on the other hand, is given by $\left[4 \mathrm{~N}^{2}+4 \mathrm{~N}\left(1+\cos ^{2}()\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{z}^{2}\right)^{2}$. This establishes our clajim: The dependence of on cos( ) is sm all of order $1=\overline{\mathrm{N}}$. To sim plify the discussion, we will assum e in the sequel that is independent of .

Inspection show $s$ that the $m$ atrix $S$ obeys the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
S()=S^{T}(\quad): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation expresses tim e\{reversal invariance in the presence of a magnetic eld.

For later use, we write, with $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{E})$ real, the Breit $\{$ W igner denom inator in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{E}_{0}+\frac{i}{2}}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{E}) \exp (\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{E})): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, $(E)$ is the resonance phase shiff. It increases by over the width of the resonance. $W$ e recall that we assume to independent of . The questions raised at the end of Section $\ddagger$ am ount to asking: $\backslash W$ hat is the connection between the transm ission phase and the resonance phase shift (E)?" W ewill tum to this question presently.

It is useful to introduce the am plitudes

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a}^{r}={ }^{X} U_{a}^{r} z^{(1)} ;{ }_{a}^{r}={ }^{X} U_{a}^{r} z^{(2)}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sym bol ${ }_{a}^{r}$ should not be confused w th the eigen－ phaseshift of $\mathrm{S}^{(0)}$ ．A fter multiplication w ith the m a－ trix $U$ ，the num erator of the $B$ reit $\{W$ igner term takes the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
r s+\begin{array}{lll}
r & s \\
a & b & +\left[\begin{array}{lll}
r & s \\
a & b
\end{array}+\begin{array}{ll}
r & s \\
a & b
\end{array}\right] \cos () \\
& +i\left[\begin{array}{ll}
r & s \\
a & b
\end{array}\right. & \left.\begin{array}{ll}
r & s \\
a & b
\end{array}\right] \sin ():
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

The total scattering $m$ atrix is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{a b}^{r s}(E)=\left(S^{(0)}\right)_{a b}^{r s} \quad \text { if }(E) \exp (i \quad(E)) l_{a}^{r} \quad \underset{b}{s}+\underset{a}{r} \quad \underset{b}{s} \\
& +\left[\begin{array}{lll}
r & s \\
a & b^{s}
\end{array}+\begin{array}{cc}
r & s \\
a & b
\end{array}\right] \cos () \\
& \left.+i\left[\begin{array}{lll}
r & s & r \\
a & b & \mathrm{a} \\
\mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}\right] \sin ()\right]: \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The width can also be expressed in term s of the am－ plitudes ${ }_{a}^{r}$ and ${ }_{a}^{r}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
={ }^{X} \quad j_{a}^{r}+{ }_{a}^{r} \exp (i) \jmath^{2}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s announced above，we have show $n$ that the scatter－ ing $m$ atrix $S$ can indeed be constructed from the require－ $m$ ents of unitarity，tim e\｛reversal invariance，the topol－ ogy of the AB interferom eter，gauge invariance，and the single\｛ level approxim ation．E xplicit construction of the scattering $m$ atrix from the $H$ am iltonian form ulated in Eqs．（3）through（6）as done in Ref．（7］yields an ex－ pression which is identical in form to our $S$ in Eq．16）． $T$ his show $s$ that our form al construction possesses a dy－ nam ical content．C onversely，this result show s that our m odel Ham iltonian in Eqs．（3）to（6）leads to the most general form of the scattering $m$ atrix which is consistent $w$ ith the requirem ents just $m$ entioned．W e recall that our construction is strictly based upon a single\｛particle picture and does not account for interactions betw een electrons beyond the $m$ ean $\{$ eld approxim ation．

## IV．THETRANSM ISSION PHASE

Equipped w th an explicit expression for $S$ ，we retum to the transm ission phase．It should rst be noted that di erent experim ents $m$ ay determ ine di erent com bina－ tions of the conductance coe cients $G$ rs introduced in Eq．［1）．A s show $n$ in $R$ ef．㔽］，the relevant quantity for the experim ent of Schuster et al．［1］is $T^{41}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}T^{44} & N_{4}\end{array}\right)$ ．Here， the indiges 1 and 4 label the source and the collector， respectively，for the electrons in the six \｛lead experim ent． $W$ e will show presently that $T^{r r} w$ th $r=1 ;::: ; R$ is an even function of the phase and，therefore，depends only upon cos（ ）．A non \｛trivial dependence on involving both $\cos ()$ and $\sin ()$ and，thus，a trigonom etric depen－ dence on（（E）），arises only from the term $s T^{\text {rs }} \mathrm{w}$ ith
r s．W thout loss of generality we，therefore，focus at－ tention on $T^{12}$ and，thus，on $a_{a b} \mathcal{S}_{a b}^{12}\left(\mathbb{E}_{F}\right)$ 色，see Eq．目）． $T$ his quantity is expected to display a non \｛trivialdepen－ dence on ．We expect that the transm ission phase increases by as the Ferm ienergy sw eeps the C oulom b $\{$ blockade resonance．We ask how this increase depends on the width of the resonance and on the num ber $R$ of leads．

It is usefiulto address these questions by using the uni－ tarity relation．W e w rite


The advantage of Eq．18）is that the sum over $s$ van－ ishes when there are only tw o leads．Thus，the in uence of the num ber of leads is $m$ ade explicit．W e now discuss the dependence of the term $s$ on the right \｛ hand side of Eq．18）on the resonance phase shift（E）．

Each of the term $S^{P}{ }_{a b} \mathcal{J}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{1 \mathrm{~s}}$ 子 $w$ th $s=1 ; 3 ; 4 ;::$ in Eq．18）is the sum of three contributions，involving $j^{j}{ }^{(0)}$ 予，the square of the Breit $\{W$ igner contribution， and the interference term betw een $S^{(0)}$ and the $B$ reit $\{$ $W$ igner term．The contributions from ab $j\left(S^{(0)}\right)_{a b}^{1 s} J^{3}$ are independent ofboth，energy and $m$ agnetic eld and， therefore，w thout interest．These term s only provide a sm ooth background．The squares of the B reit $\{\mathrm{W}$ igner term $s$ are each proportionalto $f^{2}\left(\mathbb{E}_{F}\right)$ and are indepen－ dent of the resonancephase shift（ $E_{F}$ ）．This is expected． Each such term depends on the magnetic ux in two distinct ways．The squares of the term $s$ in Eq．16）in－ volving either cos（ ）or $\sin ()$ ，and the product of these tw o term s yield a dependence on which is periodic in
w ith period．Such term s can easily be distinguished experim entally from term $s$ which are periodic in $w$ ith period 2 ．A swe shallsee，it is som e of these latter term s which carry the resonance phase shift（ $E_{F}$ ）．There－ fore，we con ne attention to term $s$ of this latter type． A contribution of this type arises from the square of the Breit $\{W$ igner term via the interference of that part of the resonance am plitude which is independent of $w$ ith the term sproportional to either $\cos ()$ or $\sin ()$ ．The sum of all such contributions（from values of $s=1$ and $s=3 ; 4 ;::: ; R)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}^{2}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \mathrm{A} \cos (+0) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where A and 0 are constants wich depend on $R$ but not on $E_{F}$ or ．We note that the constant $A$ is of fourth order in the decay amplitudes $\underset{a}{r}$ and ${\underset{a}{a}}_{r}^{r}$ ．Iso－ lated resonances in quantum dots require high barriers， i．e．，sm all values of these decay am plitudes．Therefore， the term 19）m ay be negligible．W e continue the dis－ cussion under this assum ption but note that there is no problem in taking account of this term if the need arises．

W e tum to the interference term s . W e rst address the case $s=1$ which di ers from the cases $w$ th $s$. W e observe that $\left(\mathrm{S}^{(0)}\right)_{\mathrm{ab}}^{11}$ is even in $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$. Therefore, m ultiplication of $S^{(0)} \mathrm{w}$ th the B reit $\{\mathrm{W}$ igner term and sum m ation over a and $\mathrm{b} w$ ill cancel those parts of the $B$ reit $\{$ W igner term which are odd under exchange of $a$ and $b$. Inspection ofE q. 15) show $s$ that these are the term sproportional to $\sin ()$. As a consequence, the interference term for $s=1$ is even in and a function of cos( ) only. Explicit calculation show s that the tem proportional to $\cos ()$ can be w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.4 f\left(E_{F}\right) x^{(1)} \cos () \sin \left(E_{F}\right)+{ }^{(1)}\right): \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\mathrm{x}^{(1)}$ and ${ }^{(1)}$ are independent of energy and explicitly given by $\mathrm{x}^{(1)} \exp \left(\mathrm{i}^{(1)}\right)=\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{P}} \quad \mathrm{ab} \mathrm{a}^{(1)} \mathrm{b}^{(1)}\left({\left(S^{(0)}\right.}^{(11}\right)$. $W$ e observe that as a function of energy, $\left.\sin \left(E_{F}\right)+\quad\right)$ alw ayshas a zero close to the resonance energy $\mathrm{E}_{0} . W$ hen only tw o channels are open, the entire dependence on which has period 2 resides in this term. The term does not display the resonance phase shift except through the zero near $\mathrm{E}_{0}$. It is sym m etric in about $=0$. These facts are well known 3], of course, and are reproduced here for com pleteness only. The form 2d) of the interference term was responsible for the failure of the experim ent in Ref. 园] to $m$ easure the transm ission phase.
For the interference term s w ith $\mathrm{s} \quad 3$, the m atrix $\left(S^{(0)}\right)_{\mathrm{ab}}^{1 \mathrm{~s}}$ is not symmetric in a;b. (It is sym m etric only $w$ ith respect to the sim ultaneous interchange of 1 ; s and a;b). Therefore, the term sproportional to $\sin ()$ in Eq. 16) do not cancel, and the interference term s acquire a genuine joint dependence on both, the resonance phase shiff ( $E_{F}$ ) and the phase of themagnetic ux. Proceeding as in the previqus paragraph, we introduce the constants $\times \exp (i)=\begin{array}{lllll}\mathrm{P} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{s}^{(1)} & (\mathrm{s}) \\ \mathrm{s} & \left(\left(\mathrm{S}^{(0)}\right)_{\mathrm{ab}}^{1 \mathrm{~s}}\right)\end{array}$ and $y \exp (i)=P^{P} \quad P \quad a_{a b}^{(s)}{ }_{b}^{(1)}\left(\left(S^{(0)}\right)_{a b}^{1 s}\right)$. The \{ dependent part of the sum of the interference term $s$ with s 3 takes the form
$2 f\left(E_{F}\right)\left[x \sin \left(+\left(E_{F}\right)+\right)+y \sin \left(+\left(\mathbb{F}^{( }\right)+\right)\right]:$

This expression depends on ( $\mathbb{E}_{F}$ ) in the expected way.
W e are now in a position to answ er the questions raised at the end of Section $\mathbb{G}$. W henever the total num ber N of channels coupled to the A B device is su ciently large, the resonance width becom es independent ofm agnetic ux. This property can be checked experim entally. It is only in this lim it that the statem ent $\backslash T$ he resonance phase shift increases by over the width of the resonance" acquires its full m eaning. The lim it $\mathrm{N} \quad 1 \mathrm{~m}$ ay, of course, be realised even when the num ber $R$ of leads is sm all. W e tum to the behavior of the transm ission phase. We have shown that there are term s proportional to $f^{2}\left(\mathbb{E}_{f}\right)$ which depend upon $\cos (2)$ but not on
$\left(E_{F}\right)$. The form of these term $s$ was discussed above.

For a quantum dot w ith high barriers, it is expected that these term s are sm all. The term speriodic in with period 2 are listed in Eqs. 19) to 21). For a quantum dot w ith high barriers, w e expect that the contribution 19) is sm all. $W$ e focus attention on the rem aining term $s$. These depend on the value of R. For $R=2$, the phase dependence is given by the term 20). This term is even in the $m$ agnetic ux and has a zero close to the resonance energy $\mathrm{E}_{0}$. It does not, how ever, display the sm ooth increase of the resonance phase phase shift ( $E_{F}$ ) over the width of the resonance. If, on the other hand, the num ber of leads $R$ is large com pared to unity, then it is reasonable to expect that the term s in Eq. 21) are large com pared to the term in Eq. 2d). This is because the num ber of contributions to the term s in Eq. 21) is proportional to $R \quad 2$. In this case, the transm ission phase faithfully re ects the energy dependence of the resonance phase shift ( $E_{F}$ ). Deviations from this lim it are of order $1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}R & 2)\end{array}\right)$ A s we gradually tum 0 the coupling to the leads s w th s 3, the term s (2) gradually vanish. N evertheless, it is possible | w ithin experim ental uncertainties that becom e ever $m$ ore signi cant as the term s 21) becom e sm aller | even in this case to deter$m$ ine the resonance phase shift ( $E_{F}$ ) from the data on the transm ission phase. $W$ e propose the follow ing procedure. A dd formulas 20) and 21) and $t$ the resulting expression to the data. This should allow a precise determ ination of ( $E_{F}$ ) and of also in cases where the coupling to the leads $s$ with $s \quad 3$ is sm all. This state$m$ ent holds w ith the proviso that the num ber of channels m ust be large enough to allow us to consider the total width as independent of . W henever the coupling to the leads $s$ w th $s \quad 3$ is $s m a l l$, the energy dependence of the transm ission phase is quite di erent from that of the resonance phase shift. N evertheless, the transm ission phase does re ect the energy dependence of the resonance phase shift whenever the num ber of leads is larger than two. In particular, this energy dependence is govemed by the totalw idth.

In conclusion, we have seen that in a theoreticalm odel which ism ore closely pattemed after the geom etry off igure 1 than is them odelofR ef. [1] , the transm ission phase does re ect the value of the totalw idth. This statem ent applies whenever the num ber of leads exceeds the value tw O , and whenever the total num ber of channels is large com pared to one. B oth conditions are expected to be $m$ et in the experim ent of Schuster et al [1].
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