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#### Abstract

A continuum grow th equation in $1+1$ dim ensions is obtained by considering the contributions to the underlying current from kinetics of adatom s on the surface. These considerations reproduce the slope dependent term that represents a stable or an unstable grow th depending on the sign of the associated coe cient. In addition an $\mathrm{h}!\mathrm{h}$ sym m etry breaking nonlinear term is obtained. Im plications of this term on the stable! unstable grow th transition are discussed. This term is tilt independent and is expected to be present independent of the source of the current in the conserved grow th. com puter simulation based on a zero di usion bias model is used to verify som e of the predictions of the m in m al grow th equation. It is show that the grow th equations for cellular autom ata type m odels involving instantaneous relaxations can be obtained from the proposed $m$ ethod by addressing the corresponding kinetics of adatom $s$.


A continuum description of conserved grow th from vapor is obtained from Langevin type equation $@_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{h}+$ $r \quad J=F$ where $h(r ; t)$ is height function, $J$ is current due to adatom relaxation on the grow ing surface and $F$ is the average $u \times w$ ith $w$ hite noise. The current $J$ includes an equilibrium and a nonequilibrium (NE) contribution. M ost of the experim ental grow th is far from equilibrium and has been studied over last $m$ any years [1] tion of such grow th is essentially through the nonequilibrium current $J_{\mathrm{NE}}$. The contributing term $s$ to $J_{\mathrm{NE}}$ are obtained by com paring the behaviour of grow th equation containing sets ofdi erent term $s$, satisfying required sym $m$ etry and invariances of the problem, w th the experim ental observations $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[14} & 4 \\ 4\end{array}\right]$ or, appealing to the underlying kinetics while later, a direct approach. It is desired that from kinetic considerations, $J_{\mathrm{N} E}$ could be constructed, describing the experim entalobservations.Such an approach w as adopted by $V$ illain $\left[\frac{[6}{6}\right]$ earlier. In reference $\left[{ }_{1}^{6}\right]$, from com puterbased solutions of the equations describing step velocities in $1+1$ dim ensions, and the consequent interface pro les, it was concluded that the local form of the grow th equation should com prise ofa) a term of the form $\frac{m}{\left(1+a^{0} j n j\right)\left(1+b^{0} j n j\right)}$ where $a^{0}$ and $b^{0}$ are constants, related to the di usion kinetics, b) an $h$ ! $h$ sym metry breaking term and c) a term of the form $r^{4} h$.

In what follow s we show that these term $s$ can be derived by appropriately identifying the kinetic processes that contribute to the nonequilibrium current. To our know ledge the $m$ ethod proposed in this work has not been used to obtain $J_{\mathrm{NE}}$ in any of the previous work related to grow th from vapor. M ost of our discussion w illbe pivoted around the sym $m$ etry breaking term that assum es the form $r^{2}(r h)^{2}$ for sm all inclinations while at large slopes takes the form $\frac{1}{m^{3}} @_{x} m$ thus unifying the descriptions $\left[{ }_{[1]}^{-1}{ }^{-1}\right]$ at m all and large slopes. W hile obtaining the $N \bar{E}$ contributions to the current, we will consider grow th w ith Schw oebele hrlich (SE ) [ $\bar{T}_{1}$ ] barrier to account the stable as well as unstable grow th. H ow ever
the $m$ ethod of obtaining these contributions can be applied to any other $m$ odels not em ploying the SE barrier [ign ind $1 . W$ e have applied it later to a stochastic $m$ odelw ith cellular autom ata type rules that $m$ in ic the $m$ olecular beam epitaxial (MBE) grow th at low tem perature [1d] referred in the literature as D T m odel.
$C$ onsider grow th on a 1 dim ensional at substrate $w$ ith lattice constant a. W ew illconsider the situation depicted in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{11}$ for obtaining various contributions to the current where steps are such that positive slope is obtained. A datom $s$ are random ly deposited on the substrate. Let $D_{s}$ be the di usion constant on the terrace, $I_{c}$ be the average distance that an adatom travels on a terrace before encountering another adatom. D etachm ent from steps or nuclei on the terrace is negligible. A datom s hopping down the descending steps contribute to the dow nw ard current $j_{d}$ while those hopping on the terrace can get attached to an ascending step contribute to the in-plane current $j_{i}$. Referring to $F i g$. $\overline{11}_{1}^{\prime}$, the adatom s reaching site $A$ and hopping dow $n$ the step to the left constitute $j_{d}$ and those reaching site $B$ and hopping to the right towards the ascending step constitute $j_{i}$. T he net current is $j_{d}+j_{i}$. T hese are obtained as follow $S$,
$j_{d(i)}=$ (localdensity of site A (B)) (ux of adatom s approaching $A(B))$ (probability for hopping across A (B)).

Since the relaxation of adatom $s$ is through the di usion on the terrace or across the step edges, the density of sites A and B is sam e as density of steps which is approxim ately given by $\frac{j n j}{1+\dot{j} n j}$, where $m$ is the local slope.

In the absence ofnucleation, lateral ux (LF ) approaching site $B$ or $A$ is $\frac{A F}{2 \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{A}}{ }^{1}}$, $a$ in $^{j^{1}}$ being the average local terrace $w$ idth and $\hat{n}$ is unit vector in the $x$ direction. W hen terrace size is large enough, this ux is restricted due to the nucleation. T he nucleation processw ill restrict the di usion to an average length $l_{c}$ on a large terrace.

A s a result, even for very large terraces, the approaching ux LF is alm ost constant. The e ect of nucleation is incorporated by introducing $l_{c}$ in the expression for LF as $\frac{\hat{n F}}{2\left(l_{c}{ }^{1}+\mathrm{jn}^{\mathrm{ja}}{ }^{1}\right)}$, so that for sm all slopes the expression is reduced to a constant value. Let $P_{A}$ and $P_{B}$ represent the probabilities of hopping across sites $A$ and $B$ respec-
 from the Eq.(1) the current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{n}} \dot{\mathrm{~m}} \boldsymbol{F}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)}{2\left(1+\dot{\mathrm{m}} \hat{j}\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{c}}^{1}+\dot{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{1}\right)\right.} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The LF approaching sites $A$ and $B$ is how everm odi ed due to the relative $m$ otion of the steps. $C$ onsider the situation in $F$ ig ${ }_{1}^{1} 1$ w where $v$ is the velocity of the step bearing the terrace while $v^{0}$ that of the higher one on the positive slope. For $v^{0}>\mathrm{v}$ the terrace w idth is reduced, depleting the LF approaching sites $A$ and $B$. The reduction in ux is / v where $\mathrm{v}=(\mathrm{v} \mathrm{v})$. A datom s hopping across upper step as well as those attaching in-plane, both contribute to the velocity of the step. T hus the velocity $\mathrm{v} / j_{s}$ except that the coe cient $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ is replaced by
 C orresponding currentwill not depend on ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) since this part of the ux is rem oved from the LF. The current is therefore obtained by multiplying the LF by density of steps. $P_{A}$ and $P_{B}$ are relative probabilities so that $P_{A}+P_{B}=1$. A coounting for this e ect the expression for the current becom es,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\hat{n} \dot{j} F}{(1+\dot{m} j)\left(l_{c}^{1}+\text { min }_{j}{ }^{1}\right)} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In the lim it of sm all $m$, the current reduces to,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(x)=\hat{n}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right) \dot{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{C}}=2 \quad \hat{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{l}^{1} \mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{~F}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term in generalw ill have the form $r(r h)^{2}$. $T$ his term was derived using the B urton-C abrera Frank ( BCF ) theory and assum ing that at sm all slopes the particle density on the terraces depends on the even powers of local gradient $[\underline{1}, \bar{q}]$. In the presnt case the di erence in the velocities of tw o consecutive steps on a slope is related to the di erence in the adatom densities on their terraces. H ow everw e do not explicitly assum e the dependence of density on the even pow ens of slope. Further, in ref. [14] $\left.\underline{\underline{I}}^{\prime}\right]$ it $w$ as con jectured that such a term can arise due to the di erences in the velocities of the steps near the top and the bottom of a pro le.

In the lim it of large slope, in fa ${ }^{1} \quad{\underset{C}{c}}^{1}$ the current reduces to,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(x)=\frac{\hat{n}\left(\mathbb{P}_{B} \quad P_{A}\right) a F}{2 \dot{j} j} \quad \frac{\hat{j} F a^{2}}{2 \dot{j} j} @_{x} \frac{1}{\dot{j} j} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term is proportional to $\frac{1}{m^{3}} @_{x} m$, which was derived in the large slope lim it in reference [G]. T hus the geom etrical dependence of the sym $m$ etry breaking term in Eq.(3) exactly matches with previously derived two term $s$ in the $s m$ all and large slope lim its. This show $s$ that present $m$ ethod of deriving current, appropriately accounts for the physical processes contributing to it.

W e further argue that a curvature dependent current must be present in any adatom relaxation proœess that involves dow nw ard hops across the descending step edges. This argum ent is based on the observation that, in a $1+1$ dim ensional sim ulation, if adatom $s$ are restricted completely to the in-plane hops (in nite SE barrier) then correlations do not grow beyond the diffusion length. On the other hand, when such hops are allow ed, correlation length for stable grow th and $m$ ound size for unstable grow th increases in tim e [1] the dow nw ard hops are allowed but the current is tiltindependent, then it $m$ ay be expressed as a linear com bination $a_{1} r{ }^{3} h+a_{2} r{ }^{5} h+:::$ including the nonlinear term $s$ of the form $r\left(r^{3} h\right)^{2}$. W e will retain only $r{ }^{3} h$ in the current corresponding to our minim al grow th equation. $T$ hus the form of the current corresponding to the $m$ ini$m$ al grow th equation is

The rst term has been studied widely as the stable grow th m ode [12, 1 [14]. In order to nd the e ect of asymm etry term on the grow th exclusively, we have perform ed sim ulations of a $1+1$ dim ensional solid-on-solid $m$ odelw th no di $u-$ sion bias. A fourth ordered equation w as earlier proposed by V illain [3] for sim ilar situation. U nder this condition $P_{A}=P_{B}$ and rstterm vanishes in the Eq (3). The resultant grow th equation in the $m$ oving fram $e w$ ith grow th front is of the form,
where, $a$ is the appropriate constant for the asym $m e-$ try term and is white noise with $<\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right)(x ; t)>=$ D ( $x^{0} \quad x$ ) ( $t \quad t$ ). In the lim it of sm all slopes, renor$m$ alization group (RG) analysis shows that the roughness exponent $=1$ and the roughness evolves w th
the exponent $=1=3$ [ ric term, on pow er counting leads to $z 3$, while noise term gives $z \quad 1 \quad 2$. If $a$ is assum ed to be invarient under renom alization, then again $=1$ and $=1=3$ as in the case of sm all slope. C orresponding $m$ odel is as follow s. A tom $s$ are rained on a $1-d$ substrate of length L random ly w ith constant ux. On deposition a given adatom is allowed to hop $n$ tim es, as in a random walk. If the hopping adatom encounters another adatom before $n$ hops are exausted, the adatom stays there perm anently. If n hops are exausted w thout any encounter, it stays perm anently at the last position occupied after n hops. Thus for hopping, all sites w ith zero neighbor are equivalent, ensuring $P_{A}=P_{B} . W$ e have $m$ easured width $\mathrm{w}_{2}$, height- height correlations $\wp(r ; t)$ and skew ness for this modelwhere, $w_{2}=\frac{1}{N} \quad{ }_{i}\left(h_{i} \quad h\right) \quad t^{2}$ and $G(r ; t)=\frac{1}{N} \quad r^{0}\left(h\left(r+r^{0} ; t\right) \quad h(r ; t)\right)^{2}$. The skew ness $=w_{3}=w_{2}^{3=2}$, where $w_{3}=\frac{1}{N}^{P}{ }_{i}\left(h_{i} \quad h\right)^{3}\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { [-5 }\end{array}\right]$.
$P$ resence of $r{ }^{4} h$ term is veri ed from the atness of the saturated $w$ idth for $s m$ all $L$. $W$ e have chosen, $n=25$ giving $l_{c} \quad$ 5. The saturated width is at alm ost up to $5 l_{1}$, show ing that $r{ }^{4} \mathrm{~h}$ dom inates at sm all length [ $\left.{ }^{[1]}\right]$. Fig. 80000 M L are grown. As predicted by the Eq.(7), the asymmetry is evident in the gurew ith $=0: 47$ 0:05. . Fig. $\underline{L r}_{\prime}^{\prime}(\mathrm{b})$ show splot of $w_{2}$ in tim e. W e obtain in intially around 0.3 that attains a steady value of $0: 355 \quad 0: 015$. Initial sm all value can be related to the $s m$ all slope region that predicts a value of $1 / 3$ (com pare data in the region from 10 ML to 200 ML in the gure w th the line having slope of $2=3$ ). C orrespondingly $h$ - h correlations lead to the roughness exponent that increases from 0.5 to $0: 65 \quad 0: 01$. These results indicate that $m$ ost of the m orphological features of the grow th w th di usion w thout detachm ent are captured by the current expression in Eq.(6). It also show sthat di usion of the adatom s roughens the grow ing surface. D i usion bias causes additional $e$ ects in term s of stability or instability of grow th. In particular, if the bias is varied from extrem e-ve SE barrier to extrem e + ve SE barrier, a stable! unstable transition is observed. In this transition how ever $h$ ! $h$ sym $m$ etry is broken asym ptotically. N ote that for -ve SE barrier ${ }_{2} r^{2} h$ term dom inates $w$ ith + ve value of ${ }_{2}$ [12'], so that asym ptotically, asym $m$ etric term becom es irrelevant rendering $=0$. At exactly zero $S E$ barrier, nite value of ( $0: 5$ ) is obtained. can be regarded as the sym $m$ etry param eter, that changes abruptly at the transition point. T hus the grow th transition is like 2nd order phase transition. D etails of such a transition in com puter sim ulations of suitable m odels $w$ illbe discussed elsew here.

Extension of this equation to $2+1$-dim ensions is possible by sim ilar kinetic considerations. For isotropic di usion, same form as Eq.(6) is obtained with $\hat{n}=r h=j r h j$ and replacing length-derivative product in asym $m$ etric
term by $\frac{r h r}{\left(1_{c}^{1}+j \underline{j} j\right) j r h j}$. For sm all slopes one obtains $r(r h)^{2}$ term [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ which seem $s$ to describe $m$ any experi$m$ entally observed grow th roughness $m$ easurem ents from vapor [1] [1].

A sm entioned earlier, the present m ethod for obtaining current from kinetic considerations appropriately brings out the geom etrical dependence in grow th equation. We have applied this $m$ ethod to one of the stochastic grow th $m$ odels proposed to capture the essential feature of low tem perature molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) the DT $m$ odel [1] [1] B Based on noise reduction technique, the sim ulations of this $m$ odel $\left[\bar{T}_{1}\right]$ con $m$ that, 1) exponent $=3=8,2$ ) the $m$ orphology is asym $m$ etric $w$ ith $0: 5$ and 3) the current is tilt independent $\left[\mathrm{Lr}_{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right]$. The observed value of $3 / 8$ is constant alm ost over 8 orders of m agnitude []$\left.\left._{1}^{-}\right]_{1}\right]$. The relaxation rules for adatom in this m odel allow s it to hop only when it is deposited at site A or B (see Fig. (11) . A lso only dow nw ard hop is allow ed, if deposited at A and tow ards the step, if deposited at B. If it has choice of sites $A, A$ or $B, B$ or $A, B$ etc. on two neighboring sites, then it $w$ illhop random ly to the left or right. A pplying the considerations for obtaining current for this situation show sthat the LF approaching sites A or $B$ in the present set of rules is $\frac{F}{a^{1}+\text { jn }^{2}{ }^{1}}$, since the ux is a ected only at large enough slope when site A and B overlap due to the short terrace width. Further, the LF is a ected by relative $m$ otion of steps only when sites A and B di er by a lattice constant. T he expression for the current is then,

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{D T}(x)= & \frac{\varrho^{2} m}{@ x^{2}} \frac{\hat{n F} a^{2}}{2} \\
& \frac{m}{1+\text { jn }^{j}} @_{\mathrm{x}} \frac{m}{\left(1+\dot{\text { jn } \mathcal{J}^{2}}\right.} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

From the rules it is clear that $P_{A}=P_{B}$. The grow th equation corresponding to this current in the $m$ oving fram e w illbe,
where, a accounts for various constants in the corresponding expression for current. T he pow er counting in this equation leads to, $z=4$ from the rst term, and $z=1+2$ for large slopes corresponding to the second term which is expected to be operative $m$ ainly over large inclinations. The relation obtained from the second term is exactly sam e as the one obtainable from the noise term . For $z=4$ all the term sarem arginal. $T$ his im plies that $\mathrm{z}=4$ and $=3=8$. The second term is sym metry breaking. H ence, above equation accounts for all the observed facts $m$ entioned above in the sim ulation ofD $T$ m odel. In $2+1$-dim ensions, $w$ th above rules for adatom relaxation, the localdensity ofsites A and B need not be equalsince, uctuations in step edges render con gurations that show
bias for sites A or B. A s a result, slope dependent current $w$ ill dom inate the grow th [1] $\left.]_{1}^{1}\right]$. Further im plications of this equation are presently under study.

In conclusion, we have proposed a sim ple $m$ ethod for obtaining current in a solid-on-solid grow th in 1+1-di$m$ ension. The resultant equation show $s$ that presence of di usion alone is resposible for roughning of a singular surface. It induces an asym $m$ etric term in the continuum equation. A s a result a stable to unstable transition w illbe associated w ith sym m etry breaking. W e have also dem onstrated the application of this $m$ ethod of obtaining current to a stochastic model referred as D T m odel. The corresponding continuum equation is shown to predict observed exponents and the nature of the interface pro le correctly.

A cknow ledgem ent: A uthor acknow ledges usefiul suggestions by Prof. S. D as Sam a, Univ. of M aryland, C ollege P ark, U S A ..
[1] See e.g. A L. B arabasi and H E. Stanley, Fractal C onœpts in Surface G rowth (C ambridge U niversity P ress, New York, 1995).
[2] J. K rug, Adv. P hys. 46, 141 (1997).
[3] J A . Stroscio, D .T . P ierce, M D . Stiles, A . Zangw ill, and L M . Sander, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4245 (1995); sim ilar references are obtainable from ref. 1 and 2 above.
[4] Z.W. Laiand S.D as Sam a, P hys. R ev. Lett. 66 , 2348 (1991).
[5] J. V illain, J. P hys. I 1, 19 (1991).
[6] P. Polity and J. V illain, Phys. Rev B 54, 5114 (1996).
[7] G Ehrlich and F.H udda, J. C hem .P hys. 44, 1039 (1966); R L. Schw oebel, J.A ppl. Phys. 40, 614 (1969).
[8] O P ierre-Louis, M R . D'O rsogna and T L. Einstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3661 (1999); M .V. R am anM urty and B H. C ooper, P hys. Rev. Lett. 83, 352 (1999).
[9] P . P unyindu, Z . Toroczkai,and S. D as Sarm a, Surf. Sci. Lett. 457, L369 (2000).
[10] S. D as Sarm a and P. Tam borenea, P hys. R ev. Lett. 66, 325 (1991) ;P P unyindu and S. D asSarm a, Phys. R ev. E57, R 4863 (1998).
[11] S.V .G haisas, Phys. Rev. E63, 062601 (2001); S.V . G haisas, unpublished.
[12] S F.Edwards and D R .W ilkinson, P roc. R . Soc. London A 381 ,17 (1982)
[13] F Fam ily, J. Phys. A 19, L441 (1986); R.Lipow sky , J. Phys. A 18, L585; M . G rant, Phys. Rev. B 37, 5705 (1988).
[14] M D. Johnson, C.Ome, A.W. H unt, D.Gra , J. Sudijono, L M . Sander and B.G.O rr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 116 (1994); M . S iegert and M . P lischke, P hys. R ev. Lett. 73, 1517 (1994); R elated work is found in review articles as in ref. 1 and 2 above.
[15] J M . K im , M A. M oore, and A.J. B rey, P hys. R ev. A 44 , R 4782 (1991).
[16] J. K rim and G.Palasantzas, Int. J. M od. Phys. B 9, 599 (1995).
[17] S. D asSam a, P. P unyindu and Z . Toroczkai, P hys. R ev. E, to be published; P . P unyindu, Z . T oroczkai, and S.D as Sarm a, Phys. R ev B 64, 205407 (2001).


FIG.1. A typical step structure form ed during grow th along positive slope. $v$ and $v^{0}$ are velocities of the steps.



FIG .2. (a) M orphology of the surface after 80000 num ber of layers. (b)P lot of width as a function of tim e. Straight lines $w$ ith slope $3=4$ and $2=3$ are draw $n$ for reference.

