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#### Abstract

A sa prototypem odelofantiferrom agnetism, we propose a repulsive $H$ ubbard $H$ am iltonian de ned on a graph $=\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{B}$ w ith $\mathrm{A} \backslash \mathrm{B}=$; and bonds connecting any elem ent of A w ith all the elem ents of B. Since all the hopping $m$ atrix elem ents associated $w$ ith each bond are equal, the $m$ odel is invariant under an arbitrary pem utation of the A-sites and/or of the B-sites. This is the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel de ned on the so called $\left(\mathbb{N}_{A} ; N_{B}\right)$-com plete-bipartite graph, $N_{A} \quad\left(N_{B}\right)$ being the num ber of elem ents in $A$ (B). In this paper we analytically nd the exact ground state for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{N}$ at half lling for any $N$; the repulsion has a $m$ axim um at a critical $N$-dependent value of the on-site $H$ ubbard $U$. The wave function and the energy of the unique, singlet ground state assum e a particularly elegant form for N ! 1 . We also calculate the spinspin correlation function and show that the ground state exhibits an antiferrom agnetic order for any non-zero $U$ even in the them odynam ic lim it. $W$ e are aw are of no previous explicit analytic exam ple of an antiferrom agnetic ground state in a H ubbard-like m odel of itinerant electrons. The kinetic term induces non-trivial correlations am ong the particles and an antiparallel spin con guration in the two sublattices com es to be energetically favoured at zero Tem perature. On the other hand, if the them odynam ic lim it is taken and then zero Tem perature is approached, a param agnetic behavior results. The them odynam ic lim it does not com $m$ ute $w$ ith the zero-Tem perature lim it, and this fact can be $m$ ade explicit by the analytic solutions.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

The H ubbard H am iltonian is one of the most popular models to describe strongly correlated electron system s . In spite of its simple de nition, it can be exactly solved only in few cases. An exam ple is the
 O ther exact solutions were worked out for the one-dim ensional H ubbard ring in the presence of an extemal $m$ agnetic eld $\left[\left[_{[ }^{1}\right]\right.$, for the one-dim ensional $H$ ubbard chain $w$ ith open boundary conditions $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$ and thereafter for the $S U(\mathbb{N})$ one-dim ensional H ubbard ring [ill. H ow ever, when the space dim ensionality becom es bigger than 1, few exact results are available and usually they concem the ground state properties. Am ong them, we mention the Lieb theorem on the ground state spin degeneracy [80] that will be explicitly used in this work. E xact ground state wave functions are even more infrequent. To the best of our know ledge the only non-trivial results are the ferrom agnetic ground-state solutions devised by N agaoka ${ }_{l}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{l}$ ] (in nite repulsion and one hole over the half led system), by $M$ ielke and Tasaki [10 $\left.\left.]_{1} 1\right]_{1}^{1}\right]$ (for which the kinetic energy spectrum is $m$ acroscopically degenerate or at least very $a t$ ) and by $W$ ang [12] (in nite-range hopping).

In the $H$ ubbard $H$ am iltonian w ith in nite-range hopping a particle in any site can hop to any other site of the system ; the associated graph is said to be com plete. This m odelw as num erically studied by_P atterson on sm all clusters [13] in 1972 and solved in the therm odynam ic lim it by van $D$ ongen and Vollhardt [14] only at the end of the eighties. M uch m ore e ort was needed to nd the exact ground state (s) in the nite-size system. Verges et al. managed to accom plish this task for arbitrary numbers of particles and sites in the lim it of in nite on-site repulsion $U$ [1] [1] by exploiting a schem e proposed by B randt and G iesekus [16]. T wo years later $W$ ang constructed explicitly the ground states of the system for arbitrary $U$ and num ber of particles above half lling [12]. In the case of one particle added over the half- lled system the ferrom agnetic ground-state solution follow s as a special (and the easiest) case of general results by M ielke and Tasaki [17].

In this paperwe nd the exact ground state wave function of the half- lled H ubbard $m$ odelon the $C$ om pleteB ipartite G raph (CBG) for arbitrary but nite U.The CBG = A [ B hasbonds connecting any elem ent of A w ith all the elem ents of B and can be considered as the natural further step (w ith respect to the com plete graph previously described) tow ards the standard H ubbard H am ilton ian (de ned on the hypercubic lattice and hopping betw een nearest-neighbours sites). Even if the CBG is still som ew hat unrealistic, we feel that exact
solutions should alw ays be welcom e, expecially because they lend them selves to be generalized. Furtherm ore, our solution is an exam ple of antiferrom agnetic ground state in a m odel of itinerant electrons, in contrast with the ferrom agnetic solutions m entioned above; it $m$ ay provide usefulhints about antiferrom agnetism outside of the strong coupling regim e ( $w$ here the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel can be $m$ apped onto the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel).

The paper has been organized as follow s. In Section the non-interacting $(U=0) H$ am iltonian together $w$ th few relevant exam ples of nite-size realizations. In Section H am iltonian on the com plete graph and on the com plete bipartite graph, having a non-extensive num ber of isolated one-particle energy levels plus a single levelw hose degeneracy is proportionalto the size of the system . Follow ing the reasoning of van $D$ ongen and Vollhardt [14], we show that the kinetic term is totally decoupled from the interaction term and that the system behaves as a param agnet: the spin-spin correlation length is zero. T hese results are a consequence of the trivialbehaviour of such models any tim e the them odynam ic lim it is taken rst. On the contrary, much more di cult is to nd exact properties in nite-size system sand di erent answers $m$ ay be obtained if the therm odynam ic lim it is taken only at the end of the calculations (as we shall
 be the num ber of sites of each sublattice $A$ and $B$. First, we nd a ( $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2$ ) -body determ inantal eigenstate $j$ AF $i$ of the $H$ am iltonian $w$ ith vanishing double occupation; then, we dem onstrate that it is a key tool to build the ground state. W e show that mapping the A-sites onto the B-sites and viceversa, j af i retains its form except for a spin-ip; we shall call this property the antiferrom agnetic property. Several analogies w ith the properties of the half- lled standard $N \quad N$ H ubbard m odel are pointed out at this stage. H ere we also dealw ith the spin projection of $j_{\text {AF }}$ i onto the singlet and the triplet subspace and usefiul identities betw een the two spin-pro jected states are obtained. Then, in Section $\sqrt[N]{ }$ wave function at half lling contain ing the singlet and triplet projections of $j$ AF $i$. W e set up the Schrodinger equation and by exploiting the antiferrom agnetic property we close the equations and get 3 exact eigenstates. $T$ he ground-state uniqueness proved by Lieb [id [ is used to show that the low est-energy state of our A nsatz actually corresponds to the ground state of the system. Rem arkably, the ground state energy is negative for any value of the repulsion $U$; qualitatively, we $m$ ay say that the particles $m$ anage to avoid the double
 volum e of the system and we discuss the im plications of exchanging the therm odynam ic lim it $w$ ith the lim it of zero tem perture. $W$ e nd that $E_{0}$ is a monotonically increasing function of $U$ and $N$ due to the existence of non-trivial correlations even for large N . T he nature of these correlations is investigated by com puting the expectation value of the repulsion. W e show that for any nite $N$ there is a critical value of $U$ yielding $m$ axim um repulsion. From the exact spin-spin correlation function we nd that the ground state average of the staggered $m$ agnetization operator squared is exstensive. H ence, the ground state is antiferrom agnetically ordered; we underline that this holds not only at strong coupling, but for any value of U. F inally, a sum $m$ ary of the $m$ ain results and conclusions are draw $n$ in Section V II.

## II. DEFINITION OFTHEMODEL

Let $=A[B$ with $A \backslash B=$; be a collection of sites and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\nexists A=N_{A} ; \beta j=N_{B}\right) j j=N_{A}+N_{B}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere and in the follow ing we shalldenote by j'S jthe num ber ofelem ents in the set $S$. W e consider the $H$ ubbard H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{H \text { ubbard }}=H_{0}+W ; H_{0}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}^{2}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{x} 2}^{\mathrm{X}} \hat{\mathrm{~A}}_{\mathrm{x}} / \hat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{x} \#} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{x}\left(c_{x}^{y}\right)$ is the anninilation (creation) operator of a particle at site $x w$ ith spin $="$; \# and $\hat{n}_{x}=C_{x}^{y} C_{x}$ is the corresponding particle num ber operator. The hopping $m$ atrix $T$ with elem ents $T_{x ; y}=t_{x ; y}=t_{y ; x}$ is $a$ real-sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix $w$ hile $U$ is a positive constant. If

$$
t_{x ; y}=\quad \begin{align*}
& E \text { forx } 2 A(x 2 B) \text { and y } 2 B(y 2 A)  \tag{3}\\
& 0 \text { otherwise }
\end{align*}
$$

the graph is said to be com plete bipartite and we call the H am ilton ian in Eq. (h) the CBG H ubbard H am ir ton ian. The m odel is invariant under an arbitrary perm utation of the $A-s i t e s$ and/or of the $B-s i t e s$. T herefore, the symm etry group includes $S_{N_{A}} \quad S_{N_{B}}, S_{N}$ being the set of perm utations of $N$ ob jects. A $S$ usual, the full
group is $m$ uch bigger: the presence of spin and pseudospin sym $m$ etries [id [18] leads to an $S O$ (4) intemal


In $F$ ig. (11) we have drawn a few exam ples of nite-size system s . For $\mathrm{N}=1$ and $\mathrm{N}=2$ them odel is equivalent to a one dim ensional ring of length $L=2 ; 4$ respectively. For $N=3$ we have what can be understood as a prototype, $(1,1)$ nanotube $m$ odel, the one of $s m$ allest length $L=1$, with periodic boundary conditions._For general $N$, one can conceive a gedanken device, like the one illustrated pictorially for $N=6$ in F ig. $\left.\mathrm{I}_{11}^{\prime}, \mathrm{d}\right)$. (T he whole device should actually have the topology of a torus, and the tw o horizontal faces should coincide, but this is not show $n$ in the $F$ igure for the sake of clarity.) The $N$ vertical lines represent a realization of the A sublattice while the B sublattice is $m$ im icked by the central ob ject. The radial tracks in the Figure represent conducting paths linking each A site to each B site according to the topology of our m odel. The A sites are represented by one-dim ensional electron-in-a-box system sof length L. Each A site has the one-body energy spectrum "free $n^{2}=m L^{2}$ where $n$ is an integer and $m$ is the electron $m$ ass. $W e$ assum $e$ that $L$ is so sm all that the excited states are at high energy and can be disregarded in the low -energy sector; th is requires $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{L}^{2} \quad 1=U$. Here, of course, $U$ denotes the $C$ oulom $b$ selfenergy of a box $w$ ith two electrons. The $B$ sites are hosted by N quantum dots, that can be represented by -function-like attractive potential wells of depth $V$; if $j V j$ is large and the radius of the dots is $L$, these are practically independent of each other. The one-body energy of each $B$ site is "bound $\quad \mathrm{m}^{2}$; the unbound states can be neglected if $m V^{2} \quad U . W e$ are assum ing for sim plicity that the $U$ of the $B$ sites is the same as for the $A$ ones. Tuming on a constant potential $V_{0}$ on the centralob ject, one can arrange that the $H$ ubbard $H$ am iltonian on the CBG $H_{\text {f ubbard }}$ gives a good description of the system, with lling 2 per site.

(d)

FIG.1. Physical representation of the CBG for $N=1$ (a) and $N=2$ (b) which is equivalent to a one dim ensional ring of length $L=2 ; 4$ respectively. For $N=3$ (c) we have the ( 1,1 ) nanotube of sm allest length and periodic boundary conditions. For $N=6$ (d) we present the gedanken device described in the text.

It is conven ient to labeleach site with an integer in such a way that $x=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ corresponds to sites in $A$ and $x=N_{A}+1 ;::: ; N_{A}+N_{B}$ corresponds to sites in $B$. In the special case $N_{A}=N_{B}=N$ the hopping $m$ atrix can be $w$ ritten as

$$
T=E \quad \begin{array}{ll}
Z & J  \tag{4}\\
J & Z
\end{array}
$$

where $Z$ is the $N \quad N$ null $m$ atrix and $J$ is the $N \quad N m$ atrix whose generic elem ent $\mathbb{J}_{i y}=1$. The one-body spectrum has three di erent eigenvalues

$$
\begin{align*}
& "_{g}=N e \quad t \\
& "_{0}=0 \\
& "_{g}=N t \quad t \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith degeneracy $d_{g}=1, d_{0}=2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2$ and $d_{g}=1$ respectively. $W$ e use the convention $t>0$ so that " $g$ is the low est level and we shall call $S_{h f}$ the set of zero-energy one-body eigenstates.
$T$ he orthogonalm atrix $O$ that diagonalizes $T$ can be w ritten in the form
$w$ here $Z_{r}$ is the $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \quad N$ rectangular nullm atrix, while $R$ is an $(\mathbb{N}$ 1) $N$ rectangularm atrix whose row $s$ are orthonorm al vectors which are orthogonal to the N dim ensional vector $(1 ; 1 ;::: ; 1 ; 1)$. The zero-energy one-body orbitals can be visualized by a sim ple argum ent. Consider any pair $x ; y, w$ ith $x$ y; of sites belonging to the sam e sublattice, say A, and a wavefunction $x$; taking the values 1 and -1 on the pair and 0 elsew here in $A$ and in $B$. It is evident that $x$;y belongs to $S_{h f}$. Operating on $x$; by the perm utations of $S_{N}$ we can generate a (non-orthogonal) basis of $N \quad 1$ eigenfunctions'[21] vanishing in $B$; further, by $m$ eans of the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry, we obtain the rem aining orbitals of $S_{h f}, w h i c h$ vanish on $A$. This exercise show that the group considered above justi es the ( $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2$ )-fold degeneracy of the one-body spectrum .
$H$ aving obtained the one-body basis, one can form a suitable R m atrix by orthogonalization. Let us de ne the transform ed operators:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{x}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{N}} O_{1 ; x} C_{X}=\frac{1}{2 N}_{x=1}^{X^{N}}\left(C_{x} \quad G+N\right) \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{X}^{N} \\
& a_{i} \quad O_{i+1 ; x} C_{x}=\quad R_{i ; x} C_{x} ; i=1 ;::: ; N \quad 1 \\
& x=1 \quad x=1 \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.g \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{x}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{N}} \mathrm{O}_{2 \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{x}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{P}_{\overline{2 N}}^{X^{N}=1} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{N}}\right): \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

T he inverse transform ation reads

$$
\begin{array}{r}
c_{X}=\frac{1}{2 N}(g+g)+{ }^{X} R_{i ; x} a_{i} ; x 2 A \\
C_{x}=\frac{1}{2 N}(g+g)+X_{i}^{i} R_{i ; x}{ }_{N} b_{i} ; x 2 B \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

and the kinetic term $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}$ becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=t^{X}\left(g^{y} g \quad g^{y} g\right): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence, if we do not rescale the hopping constant, the average kinetic energy rem ains an extensive quantity proportional to N e: the kinetic energy of the tw o particles in the low est level coincides w ith the kinetic energy of the whole system. On the other hand, ife $\quad 1=\mathrm{N}$ the two energy gaps " $\quad{ }_{g}$ and " ${ }_{g} \quad$ " rem ain constant as N increases. A s we shall show in the next Section, the m odel can be exactly solved in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it whatever is the dependence of ${ }_{g}$ and ${ }_{g}$ on $j j$.
III. THERM ODYNAM IC LIM IT

The H ubbard H am iltonian on the CBG (as on the com plete graph) belongs to a class of H ubbard-like m odels that can_be exactly solved in the them odynam ic lim it. H ere we generalize the results by van D ongen and Vollhardt [14] to the case of a non-extensive num ber of non-vanishing one-body eigenenergies and in the presence of a local extemalm agnetic eld coupled to the spin of the particles. Even if the reason ing is sim ilar to that of Ref. [14], a detailed presentation of the $m$ ain results is needed to clarify more subtle points and $m$ ake our work self-contained. Furtherm ore, som e of the results are absent in the originalpaper and we believe that their derivation will facilitate the reader in the com prehension of what follow s.

Let us consider the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H} \text { ubbard }} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} & \\
& & \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{x} "}\right. & \left.\hat{\mathrm{r}}_{\mathrm{x} \#}\right) & \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H} \text { ubbard }}+\mathrm{H} & +\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}
\end{array}
$$

where $H_{H u b b a r d}=H_{0}+W$ is de ned in Eq. (2.2), is the chem ical potential and $H_{h}$ represents the coupling w th an extemalm agnetic eld. Let usassum e that only a nite num ber fofeigenvalues of the hopping $m$ atrix $T$ are non-vanishing, $"_{i} \in 0$ for $i=1 ;::: ; f$, while the rem aining $j j$ fare identically zero. Denoting $w$ ith $i$ and $\underset{i}{y}$ the annihilation and creation operators that diagonalize $H_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{X} \\
& H_{0}=\begin{array}{lll}
X & \\
i & \\
i
\end{array}  \tag{11}\\
& i=1
\end{align*}
$$

 be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \quad\left[\mathbb{T} ; \mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~g}\right]=\mathrm{Z} \quad\left[\mathbb{I} ; 0 ; \mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}}=0 \mathrm{~g}\right] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{W}} \quad\left[\mathrm{~T} ; \mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~g}\right] ; \mathrm{W} \quad\left[\mathbb{T} ; \mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~g}\right]=\mathrm{he} \mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}() \mathrm{d}} \mathrm{i}_{0}^{\mathrm{c}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the inverse $T$ em perature, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{W}()+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}(\mathrm{l}\right.$ ) is the interaction H am iltonian in the im aginarytim e $H$ eisenberg representation and $h::: i_{0}^{c}$ is the them alaverage in term sof $Z\left[T ; 0 ; \mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}}=0 \mathrm{~g}\right] \quad \mathrm{Z}^{0}[\mathrm{I}] \mathrm{where}$, by the linked-cluster theorem, one has to retain only those contributions represented by connected diagram s. A s far as frem ains xed, we can safely substitute $W\left[T ; U ; \mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{g}\right] \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{W} \quad\left[\mathrm{T}=0 ; \mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{g}\right]$ in Eq .(İí). Indeed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { W } \quad\left[\mathbb{T} ; \mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~g}\right]=\mathrm{W} \quad\left[\mathrm{~T}=0 ; \mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~g}\right]+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{f}=\mathrm{j} j) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 in the therm odynam ic lim it; here $T$ is the $W$ ick tim e-ordering operator and $h::: i_{0}$ is the therm alaverage with $\mathrm{U}=0$ and $\mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}}=0 \mathrm{~g}$. From the explicit expression of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{)}$,
$w$ ith $\left.f_{i}=\left[1+e^{(" i}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{1}$ the Fem idistribution function, it followsthat $G_{i}()$ converges to a nite value whenever $\lim _{j} j_{j}$ I $"_{i}$ is well de ned. O ne can use the result in Eq. (13.1) to write the exact $G$ ran $P$ artition Function in the therm odynam ic lim it

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z \quad\left[T ; U ; f h_{x} g\right]=\frac{Z^{0}[T]}{Z^{0}[T=0]} Z \quad\left[T=0 ; U ; f h_{x} g\right]= \\
& =Y_{i=1}^{Y^{f}} \frac{1+2 e^{"_{i}}+z^{2} e^{2 "_{i}}}{(1+z)^{2}} Y_{x 2} 1+2 z \cosh h_{x}+z^{2} e^{U} \quad \text {; } \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{e}$ is the fugaci-1, and the them odynam ic potential $\quad\left[\mathrm{T} ; \mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{g}\right]={ }^{1} \ln \mathrm{Z} \quad\left[\mathbb{T} ; \mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{g}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { x2 } \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (1-10) reduces to the result of van D ongen and Vollhardt in the case $f=1, h_{x}=08 \times 2$ and $"_{1} / j j<0$. A 11 the them odynam ic quantities can be derived from Eq.(1]) and we defer the interested reader to the original paper by van $D$ ongen and Vollhardt for further details. H ere we calculate the $m$ agnetization at site $x, m_{x}=\frac{1}{2}\left(@ \quad=\left(h_{x}\right)=\frac{1}{2} h \hat{R}_{x} " \quad \hat{n}_{x} \| \quad h S_{x}^{z} i\right.$ and the connected spin-spin correlation function $h S_{x}^{z} S_{y}^{z} i^{c}$ $h S_{x}^{z} S_{y}^{z} i \quad h S_{x}^{z} i h S_{y}^{z} i=\quad(1=4)\left(d^{2} \quad=@ h_{x} @ h_{y}\right)$, where $h::$ :im eans the average in the gran-canon ical ensem ble. D ue to the exact decoupling of the kinetic energy, we expect from the begin ing a param agnetic behaviour. W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{x}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{@}{@ h_{x}}=\frac{z \sinh h_{x}}{1+2 z \cosh h_{x}+z^{2} e \quad v} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z$ can be expressed in term $s$ of the num ber density by $m$ eans of the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{1}{j j} \frac{@}{@}=\frac{2 z}{j j}_{x 2}^{X} \frac{\cosh h_{x}+z e^{u}}{1+2 z \cosh h_{x}+z^{2} e} \quad: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z$ is nite for any non-zero $T$ em perature, $m_{x}!0$ when $h_{x}!0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!1} \lim _{h_{x}!0_{0}} \lim _{j!1_{1}} m_{x}=0: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

 for the sake of clarity, Eq. (18) can be exactly solved for $z$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& : \begin{array}{ll}
\left.e^{n}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
n & n
\end{array}\right)\right]^{-2} & n=1
\end{array} \\
& \text { n) } \quad n<1 \quad(20)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substitution of these asym ptotic behaviours in Eq.(17) yields

$$
\lim _{h_{x}!0^{+}} \lim _{1} \lim _{j j!1} m_{x}=\begin{array}{ll}
(2 & n)=2 \\
n>1  \tag{21}\\
1=2 & n=1 \\
n=2 & n<1
\end{array}:
$$

$T$ he case $h<0$ is sim ilar and one can show that Eq.(는) rem ains true in the lim it $h_{x}$ ! 0 if $m_{x}$ ! $m_{x}$. $T$ herefore, the $m$ agnetization does not depend on $U$ and the zero-Tem perature lim $\dot{t}$ of the $m$ odel is the sam e
 we also conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S_{x}^{z} S_{y}^{z} i^{c} \quad \frac{1}{4} \frac{@^{2}}{@ h_{x} @ h_{y}}=0 \quad \text { ) } S_{x}^{z} S_{y}^{z} i=h S_{x}^{z} i h S_{y}^{z} i=m_{x} m_{y} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

a result which is independent of the localextemal eld con guration: in the therm odinam ic lim it two localized spins do not interact and the spin-spin correlation length is zero. D enoting $w$ ith $G \operatorname{sp}$ in $(x ; y ; ~ ; h ; j)$ the them al average of $S_{x}^{z} S_{y}^{z}$ at inverse Temperature, extemal eld $h$ and size of the system $j \mathfrak{j}$ from Eq.(19) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1} \lim _{h!0} \lim _{j!1} h S_{x}^{z} S_{y}^{z} i=\lim _{1} \lim _{h!0 j} \lim _{j!1} G_{s p \text { in }}(x ; y ; ; h ; j j)=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, the spin-spin correlation function vanishes ifwe rst take the lim ith! 0 and then the lim it of ! 1 . $W$ e em phasize that the above results are correct only if we rst take the lim it $j j!1$. The therm odynam ic lim it $m$ akes the $m$ odeltrivialand di erent graph structures, like the com plete graph and the com plete bipartite graph, all yield the sam e them odynam ic behaviour. O $n$ the contrary, a $m$ uch more di cult task consists in nding exact results in nite-size system $s$. To face such problem $s$ is not only a $m$ athem atical exercise. A fter that in Section $N$ ', the exact ground state of the half- lled H ubbard model on the CBG is explicitly w_ritten down for arbitrary value of $U$ and $j$ jand in the absence of an extemal eld $h$, we shall see in Section W Inthat the them odynam ic lim it, $j j!1$, and the lim its of zero Tem perature and extemal eld, ! 1 ; h! 0 , do not com $m$ ute.

## IV.THEW=OSTATES

In this Section we introduce the essentialtools to dealw ith the antiferrom agnetic ground-state solution. Let us consider the one-body eigenstate $a_{i}^{y} j 0 i$ w ith van ishing am plitudes on the $B$ sublattice, that is h $0 \dot{j}_{x} a_{i}^{y} j 0 i=0$ if x 2 B. Sim ilarly, bly joi has vanishing am plitude on the A sublattice and therefore the (2N 2 ) -body state

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{A F}^{()} i=a_{1}^{y} \quad::: a_{N}^{y} \quad 1 \quad b_{1}^{y} \quad::: b_{N}^{y} \quad 1 \quad j 0 i ; \quad= \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an eigenstate of $H_{0}$ and of $W$ with vanishing eigenvalue. In the follow ing we shall use the wording $W=0$ state to denote any eigenstate of $H_{H}$ ubbard in the kemel of $W$. It is worth to observe that by $m$ apping the A -sites onto the B-sites and viceversa, j AF i retains its form except for a spin-ip; we call th is property the antiferrom agnetic property for obvious reasons.

In the non-interacting ( $\mathrm{U}=0$ ) half lled system, the structure of the ground state is trivial: two particles of opposite spin sit in the low est energy level $g$, while $j_{h f} j=(2 N \quad 2)$ particles lie on the shell $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{nf}}$ of zero energy. In the spin $S^{z}=0$ subspace this ground state is $\begin{array}{cc}2 \mathrm{~N} & 2^{2}\end{array}$ tim es degenerate. To rst order in W ,
 of total spin $S$ w ith $z$-com ponent $M_{S}$, the structure of the determ inantal state in Eq.(2) (1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{S ; 0}{ }_{A F}^{()} i \quad j_{A F}^{S ; 0} i \in 0 ; 8 S=0 ;::: ; N \quad 1 ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

 der perturbation theory (being $W=0$ states). Since the L ieb's theorem ${ }^{[8 /[0]}$ ] ensures that the interacting ground state $j 0(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U}) i$ is a singlet, only $g_{n}^{y} g_{\#}^{y} j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i$ can have a non-vanishing overlap with $j 0(\mathbb{N}$; $U$ )i in the $\lim$ it $U!0^{+}$. In Section $V_{i}^{\prime}$ we shall prove that $g_{\#}^{y} g_{\#}^{y} j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i$ is the ground state for $U=0^{+}$, that is $\lim _{U}!$ oh $0(\mathbb{N} ; U) \dot{g}_{\|}^{y} g_{\#}^{y} j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=1$. In this $m$ odel, the rst-order solution has a peculiar signi cance. U sually, the exact ground state has no overlap with the non-interacting one, in the therm odynam ic lim it: this is because the $U=0$ ground states de ne a proper subspace of the fiull H ibert space. Below, we prove that $\mathrm{h} \circ(\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{U}) \dot{g}_{\|}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{g}_{\#}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{AF}}^{0 ; 0} \mathrm{i} 08 \mathrm{U}$; that is, the lowest approxim ation keeps a nite weight.

At th is stage, we note the analogy of the above results w ith those relevant to the standard H ubbard m odel de ned on a $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ square lattice w ith periodic boundary conditions (hopping matrix elem ents only betw een nearest neighbor sites). The detem inantal state $j_{\text {A }}$ () i resem bles the $W=0$ state that we obtained for even
 that $N \quad \overline{1}$ zero-energy eigenfunctions have vanishing am plitudes on a sublattice while the rem aining $N{ }^{-\quad-} 1$ vanish on the other.

As we shall see in the next Section, we need the explicit expression for the singlet $j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i$ and the triplet $j_{A F}^{1 ; m} i, m=0$; 1, to calculate the ground state of the CBGH ubbard $m$ odel. Therefore, we now brie y review how to get the projection of the determ inantal state $j_{A F}^{()}$i onto the singlet and the triplet spin subspaces.

To obtain the singlet $j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i$ one has to antisym m etrize each product $a_{i n}^{y} b_{j \#}^{y}$, getting a tw o-body spin singlet operator, and subsequently antisym $m$ etrize $w$ ith respect to the $N \quad 1$ indioes of the $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ 's; one sees easily that th is entails the antisym $m$ etrization of the $a^{y}$ 's. H ence

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=X_{P}^{X} \quad()^{P Y i}{\underset{i=1}{1}}_{i ; P(i)} j 0 i \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underset{i ; j}{\mathrm{y}}$ creates a tw ołbody singlet state:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{i ; j}{y}=\frac{1}{P^{2}}\left(a_{i n}^{y} b_{j \#}^{y} \quad a_{1 \#}^{y} b_{j "}^{y}\right) ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $P$ is a perm utation of the indices $1 ;::: ; N \quad 1 . S$ ince $W$ com $m$ utes $w$ ith the total spin operators and the
 can verify this property by direct inspection.

In a sim ilarw ay one obtains the triplet projection. W e de ne ${ }_{i ; j}^{(0)^{y}}$ as the two-body triplet creation operator $w$ ith van ishing $z$-com ponent $m=0$ in the orbitals $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i ; j}^{(0)^{y}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}\left(a_{i "}^{y} b_{j \#}^{y}+a_{i \#}^{y} b_{j "}^{y}\right): \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, one has
$T$ he $m=1$ com ponents of the triplet in Eq $\xrightarrow{\prime \prime(2)}$ (2) can be otained by $m$ eans of the total raising and low ering spin operators. Let us introduce the follow ing notations

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{i}^{+a}=a_{i n}^{y} a_{i \#} ; \quad S_{i}^{a}=\left[S_{i}^{+a}\right]^{y} ; \quad S^{+a}=X_{i=1}^{X} S_{i}^{+a} ; \quad S^{a}=\left[S^{+a}\right]^{y}  \tag{30}\\
& S_{i}^{+b}=b_{i n}^{y} b_{i \#} \# ; \quad S_{i}^{b}=\left[S_{i}^{+b}\right]^{y} ; \quad S^{+b}=X_{i=1}^{X} S_{i}^{+b} ; \quad S^{b}=\left[S^{+b}\right]^{y} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

The states ${ }^{1}{ }_{A F}^{1 ;}{ }^{1}$ i can be w ritten as
w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i ; j}^{(+1)^{y}}=a_{i n}^{y} b_{j "}^{y} ; \quad \quad{ }_{i ; j}^{(1)^{y}}=a_{i \#}^{y} b_{j \#}^{y}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equivalently, the triplet state $j_{A F}^{1, m} i, m=0 ; 1$, can also be expressed in term $s$ of the $\operatorname{singlet~} j_{A F}^{0 ; 0}$ i. It is a sim ple exercise to prove the follow ing identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{1}\left(S_{i}^{+a} \quad S_{i}^{+b}\right) j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=\left(S^{+a} \quad S^{+b}\right) j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=P \overline{2} j_{A F}^{1 ; 1} i \\
& i=1 \\
& X^{1}\left(\hat{n}_{i \#}^{a} \quad \hat{n}_{i \#}^{b}\right) j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=X^{1 /}\left(\hat{X}_{i=1}^{a}+\hat{n}_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{b}\right) j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=\left(S^{Z a}+S^{z b}\right) j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=j_{A F}^{1 ; 0} i \\
& { }^{\mathbb{X}}{ }^{1}\left(S_{i}{ }^{a}+S_{i}{ }^{b}\right) j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=\left(S^{a}+S^{b}\right) j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=P_{2} j_{A F}^{1 ;}{ }^{1} i: \\
& \mathrm{i}=1
\end{aligned}
$$



## V.THE GROUND STATEAT HALFFILLING

W e are now ready to calculate the ground state jo( $N$; U )i of the half lled CBG $H$ ubbard m odeldescribed in Section III. As already observed, $g_{11}^{y} g_{\#}^{y} j_{A F}^{0 ; 0}$ i is a good candidate for the non-interacting ground state; its quantum num bers are the sam e as those of $j o(N ; U) i$ and $m$ oreover it belongs to the nst-order ground state m ultiplet. U sing the short-hand notations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{j}^{0} i \quad g_{i}^{y} g_{\#}^{y} j 0 i ; \quad \dot{g}^{0} i \quad \quad g_{i}^{y} g_{\#}^{y} j 0 i ; \quad j[g g]^{0 ; 0} i \quad \frac{\rho^{1}}{2}\left(g_{11}^{y} g_{\#}^{y} \quad q_{\#}^{y} g_{1}^{y}\right) j 0 i \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the three di erent tw obody singlets that one gets from the low est and the highest energy orbitals $g$ and $g$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
j[g g]^{1 ; 1} \text { i } \quad g_{n}^{y} g_{m}^{y}-j 0 i ; \quad j[g g]^{1 ; 0} \text { i } \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{n}^{y} g_{\#}^{y}+g_{\#}^{y} g_{\#}^{y}\right) j 0 i ; \quad j[g g]^{1 ;} \quad{ }^{1} \text { i } \quad q_{\#}^{y} g_{\#}^{y} j 0 i \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the triplet, we propose the follow ing A nsatz for the interacting ground state $j 0(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U}) \mathrm{i}$ :
where the 's are c-num bers. It is worth to note that in $j o(N ; U) i$ the num ber of particles in the shell $S_{h f}$ is a constant given by $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2$. A priori, there is no reason for this choice since the total num ber operator $\hat{\mathrm{n}}^{a}+\hat{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{b}}$ of particles in the shell $S_{h f}$ does not com $m$ ute $w$ ith the $H$ am iltonian. Nevertheless, we shall see that the scatterings which do not preserve th is num ber cancel out provided in $S_{h f}$ the ( $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2$ ) -body state is a $W=0$ state. W e shall refer to th is very rem arkable property as to the ShellP opulation R igidity. W e em phasize that even constraining the particle-num ber in $S_{h f}$ to be $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2 \mathrm{w}$ ith vanishing total spin z-com ponent, there are $\begin{array}{ccc}2 N & 2^{2} \\ \mathrm{~N} & 1 & \text { con gurations that can contribute to the ground state expansion in the interacting case, while }\end{array}$
 $S>1$ do not enter into the ground state expansion (43') com es from the Lieb's theorem ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ $m$ ust be a singlet and w ith only two particles outside $S_{h f}$ (in the $g$ and/or $g$ states) the angular $m$ om entum
composition law forbids $W=0$ states $w$ ith $S>1$. H ow ever, we observe that the state $j[g]^{0 ; 0}{ }_{i} \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i$ is a singlet and $j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i$ is $a W=0$ state. It has the right quantum numbers and, in principle, it could have a non-zero overlap with $j \circ(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U}) \mathrm{i} . \mathrm{N}$ evertheless, the $m$ atrix elem ents of $W$ betw een this state and the ones in the A nsatz are zero. $T$ h is is the reason why we have dropped it in the expansion (43).

The three states in Eq. ('433') are eigenstates of the kinetic-energy operator $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& H 0 g^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=2 t j^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i \\
& H_{0} j^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=2 t g^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i \\
& X^{X^{1}}\left(j^{m} j[g g]^{1 ; m} i \quad j_{A F}^{1 ; m} i=0:\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding $\mathrm{C}_{x} \# \mathrm{C}_{x}$ " occurring in W in $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{g}$; $g$ operators of Eq . $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ), we $m$ ay take advantage from the fact that $j{ }_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i$ and $j{ }_{A F}^{1 ; m} i, m=0 ; 1$, are $W=0$ states. Indeed, since one cannot annihilate $g$ or $g$ over them, taking for instance $\times 2 \mathrm{~A}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=c_{X \#} C_{X "} j_{A F}^{S ; M} s_{i}=X_{i ; j}^{X} R_{i ; x} R_{j ; x} a_{i \#} a_{j "} j_{A F}^{S ; M} s_{i} ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $m$ ultiply ing by $g_{n}^{y} g_{\#}^{y}$, and the like, one obtains that the contribution proportional to

$$
{\underset{i ; j}{X} R_{i ; x} R_{j ; x} a_{i \#} a_{j "} j \circ(N ; U) i}
$$

yield nothing. O f course, if x 2 B , by the sam e reasoning we get
X

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i ; x} R_{j ; x} b_{i \#} b_{j "} j \circ(\mathbb{N} ; U) i=0: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{B}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{A}:=U_{x=1}^{X^{N}} C_{x " 1}^{y} C_{x \#}^{y} \frac{1}{2 N}\left(g_{\#}+g_{\#}\right)\left(g_{"}+g_{"}\right)+p_{2 N}^{1} X_{i=1}^{1} R_{i ; x}\left[\left(g_{\#}+g_{\#}\right) a_{i n}+a_{i \#}\left(g_{"}+g_{"}\right)\right] \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

> "
> \#
where := m eans that the tw o sides are equivalentwhen acting on $j \circ(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U}) i . N$ ext, we transform the rem ain ing two $c^{y}$ operators, using Eq. ( $\mathbf{g}^{\prime}$ ). We note that the term $s$ containing the sequence $g^{y} g^{y} g$ a and sim ilar term $s$ $w$ ith $b$ instead of $a$ and/or som $e g$ replaced by $g$ van ish by sym $m$ etry; this is evident since ${ }_{x=1}^{N} R_{i ; x}=0$ for any $i=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1$. Sím ilarly, the sequences like $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{g} g$ also dp not contribute. The sequences like $a^{y} a^{y} g g$
 $j 0(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U}) \mathrm{i}$ (recall that in this state all the a orbitals are singly occupied, as we can see by direct inspection of the expressions for $j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i$ and $j_{A F}^{1 ; m} i, m=0 ; 1$, of Section $\left.I_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. By a particle-hole transform ation one can show that the rem aining term sthat create pairs in the shell $S_{\text {hf }}$, like $a^{y} a^{y}$ ga, etc., have no e ect, as in Eqs. (4 ( $1+4$ In) ; we postp one the proof of th is last spectacular cancellation untilA ppendix A'. To sum up, no term in $W$ can change the num ber of particles in $S_{h f}$, that is, that num ber is independent oft and U! This proves the rem arkable property that we have nam ed ShellP opulation R igidity: it holds for any nite N and it is not speci c of the therm odynam ic lim it. W e shall see below that the Shell Population $R$ igidity characterizes the ground state and a suitable subspace of the total H ibert space. In F ig we have drawn a physical picture of the cancellation am ong diagram $s$ which do not preserve the num ber $n_{a}+n_{b} . W$ e can say that the $W=0$ states entering in our Ansatz are stable with respect to the H ubbard interaction W also in the presence of other particles in the system. T his fact allow s to exactly solve the Schrodinger equation.


FIG.2. C ancellation am ong the scattering am plitudes in the ansatz of Equation (43) which do not preserve the num ber of particles in the shell $S_{h f}$.

Taking these cancellations into account one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{A} & =\frac{U}{4 N}\left(g_{"}^{y}+g_{"}^{y}\right)\left(g_{\#}^{y}+g_{\#}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)\left(g_{\#}+g_{\#}\right)\left(g_{"}+g_{"}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{U}{2 N}_{i=1}^{X 1} a_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{y}\left(g_{\#}^{\mathrm{y}}+g_{\#}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)+\left(g_{"}^{\mathrm{y}}+g_{n}^{\mathrm{y}}\right) a_{\mathrm{i} \#}^{\mathrm{y}}\left[\left(g_{\#}+g_{\#}\right) a_{i^{\prime \prime}}+a_{i \#}\left(g_{"}+g_{"}\right)\right] \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{B}=\frac{U}{4 N}\left(g^{y}+g_{\#}^{y}\right)\left(q_{\#}^{y}+g_{\#}^{y}\right)\left(\quad q+g_{\#}\right)\left(g_{i}+g^{\prime \prime}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{U^{2 N}}{}{ }_{i=1}^{X} b_{i "}^{y}\left(q_{\#}^{y}+g_{\#}^{y}\right)+\left(g_{i}^{y}+g_{\# 1}^{y}\right) b_{i \#}^{y} \quad\left[\left(\quad q+g_{\#}^{y}\right) b_{i "}+b_{i \#}\left(g_{i}+g_{"}\right)\right]: \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ ith $W$ w ritten in the transform ed picture one can calculate $W j o(N ; U) i . A$ fter very long, but standard algebra one nds

$$
\begin{align*}
& W \dot{g}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=U \quad \frac{2 N}{2 N} \dot{g}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i+\frac{1}{2 N} \dot{g}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2 N}{ }_{i=1}^{X} j[g g]^{1 ; 1} i \quad\left(S^{a}+S_{i}{ }^{b}\right) j{ }_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2 N}{ }^{X} X^{1} g_{1 "}^{y} g_{\#}^{y}\left(\hat{n}_{i \#}^{a} \quad n_{i \#}^{b}\right)+g_{\#}^{y} g_{\# \prime}^{y}\left(\hat{f}_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{a}+n_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{b}\right) \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2 N}{ }_{i=1}^{\mathrm{K} X^{1}} j[g g]^{1 ;}{ }^{1} i \quad\left(S_{i}^{+a} \quad S_{i}^{+b}\right) j \underset{A F}{0 ; 0} i \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& W \dot{j}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=U \quad \frac{2 N}{2 N} \dot{g}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i+\frac{1}{2 N} \dot{j}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2 N}{ }_{i=1}^{K} j[g g]^{1 ; 1} i \quad\left(S_{i}^{a} \quad S_{i}^{b}\right) j \underset{A F}{0 ; 0} i+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2 N}^{\mathbb{X} X^{1}} g_{\#}^{y} g_{1 "}^{y}\left(\hat{n}_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{a}+n_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{b}\right)+g_{\#}^{y} g_{\#}^{y}\left(\hat{n}_{i \#}^{a} \quad n_{i \#}^{b}\right) \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2 N}{ }_{i=1}^{X} j[g g]^{1 ;}{ }^{1} i \quad\left(S^{a}+S_{i}^{+b}\right) j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i \quad \text {; } \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

while for the singlet $P_{m=1}^{1}()^{m} j[g g]^{1 ; m}$ i $j_{A F}^{1 ; m}$ i one obtains


$$
X^{1} \quad\left(S_{i}^{+a} \quad S_{i}^{+b}\right) j{ }_{A F}^{1 ;}{ }^{1} i+P_{2}^{1}\left(n_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{a} \quad n_{i \#}^{a} \quad n_{i "}^{b}+n_{i \#}^{b}\right) j_{A F}^{1 ; 0} i+\left(S_{i}^{a}+S_{i}^{b}\right) j{ }_{A F}^{1 ; 1} i \quad:
$$

By $m$ eans of the identities in $E q$. ( $68=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \dot{g}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=U \quad \frac{2 N}{2 N} 1_{j} \dot{g}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i+\frac{1}{2 N} \dot{g}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i+\frac{p_{2}}{2 N} X_{m=1}^{1}\left(m^{m} j[g]^{1 ; m} i j_{A F}^{1 ; m} i\right. \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

Let us now consider the second row in Eq. $\left(55_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. If our A nsatz is correct, the state in the square brackets $m$ ust be proportional to $j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i$. In this way one could close the equations and nd an exact eigenstate. In A ppendix 'B', we prove that this is the case and in particular that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { H ence, Eq. } \left.{ }^{-(55} 5_{1}^{1}\right) \text { yields } \\
& W_{m=1}^{X^{1}}\left(f^{m} j[g g]^{1 ; m} i \quad j_{A F}^{1 ; m} i=U \quad \frac{N}{N}_{m=1} X^{1}()^{m} j[g g]^{1 ; m} i \quad j_{A F}^{1 ; m} i+\right. \\
& +{ }^{\mathrm{p}}-\frac{\mathrm{N}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~N}}\left(\mathrm{~g}^{0} \mathrm{i} \quad \dot{\operatorname{g}} \mathrm{i} i\right) \quad j_{\mathrm{AF}}^{0 ; 0} i \quad: \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

 E) $j 0(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U}) i=0$ to the diagonalization of the $\bar{m}$ 'atrix
where the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0} j \quad h_{A F}^{0 ; 0} j \dot{g}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=h^{0} j \quad h_{A F}^{0 ; 0} j \quad \dot{g}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m=1 \quad m=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m=1 \quad m=1
\end{aligned}
$$


$T$ he them odynam ic lim it $N!1$ is well de ned and non-trivial if we rescale $e$ in such a way that $N e=$ $t=$ const (which corresponds to the case of a xed energy gap between the rst two energy levels). The H am iltonian m atrix in Eq. ('6á) becom es
w ith eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{U} ; \quad \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{U} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{U}^{2}+4 \mathrm{t}^{2}}: \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (unnorm alized) eigenvector corresponding to the low est eigenvalue E gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \circ(1 ; U) i={ }^{h} \frac{U}{t} \frac{2}{U}\left(2+\frac{-}{t}\right) \quad j^{0} i+\frac{U}{t} \dot{g}^{0} i^{i} \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i+{ }^{p} \overline{2}\left(2+\frac{-}{t}\right)_{m=1}^{X^{1}} \quad\left(f^{m} j[g g]^{1 ; m} i \quad j_{A F}^{1 ; m} i:(65\right. \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

So far we have proved that the A nsatz in Eq. (43') gives three exact eigenstates of the C B G H ubbard m odel at half lling. The eigenvalue of lowest energy ${ }^{-}-\bar{d}$ reduces to the non-interacting ground state energy for $\mathrm{U}!0$, while the associated eigenstate (65) to the state $\mathrm{g}^{0} \mathrm{i} \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0}$ i. On the other hand, when $U$ ! 1 the original H am iltonian can be mapped onto what we can de ne the CBGH eisenberg $H$ am iltonian :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{H \text { eisenberg }}={\frac{4 t^{2}}{U}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X} \quad\left(S_{x} \quad \Psi \quad \frac{1}{4}\right): \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

This model is exactly solvable and the ground state is obtained by projecting onto the singlet the state where $N$ particles lie on the A-sites w ith spin up and the rem aining $N$ on the $B-s i t e s$ w ith spin down ( $N$ eel state) : one nds
with $\underset{x ; y}{y}=\frac{1}{\overline{2}}\left(C_{x "}^{y} C_{y \#}^{y} \quad{\underset{x}{x} \#}_{y}^{y} C_{y "}^{y}\right)$. The ground state energy is $2 t=U$ for $N!1$ and is equal to the rst order approxim ation of $E$ in the $s m$ all param eter $(t=U)^{2}$ (the sam e conclusion holds for any nite $N$, although it is $m$ ore tedious to prove). Furthem ore, by direct inspection of the low est energy eigenvector of $H(N$; $U$ ) one can show that $j o(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U})$ i becom es the state in $\mathrm{Eq} \cdot\left(67_{1}\right)$ when U ! 1 . Therefore, $j 0(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U})$ i reduces to the ground state of the CBG H ubbard model in the two opposite lim its U ! 0 and U ! 1 .

To prove that the low est energy eigenstate of $H(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U})$ is the unique ground state_we are looking for, one can exploit the ground state uniqueness of the $H$ eisenberg $H$ am iltonian proved in $R$ ef. [26]. Since $j o(N$; 1 )i is the ground state of the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel and since the ground state of the half-lled H ubbard m odel is un ique,
 uniqueness.

In conclusion we have proved that the Ansatz in Eq. (43) with ( $\mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{g}$; o) given by the low est energy eigenvector of the $m$ atrix in Eq. (601) is the exact ground state of the half- lled H ubbard m odel de ned on the CBG of size $j j=2 N$ and repulsion $U$. In the next Section we shall calculate som e physical quantities, as the spin-spin correlation function, and we shall prove that the particles are antiferrom agnetically ordered.

## V I. RESULTSAND D ISCUSSION

$T$ he exact ground state solution for anbitrary but nite $N$ allow s to study the ground state energy $E_{0}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U}$ ) as a function of $N$ and $U . T a k i n g ~ \mathbb{N}=t=1$, in $F$ ig. $(\mathbb{H})$ we have plotted $E_{0}(\mathbb{N} ; U)$ in the range $N=1 ;:: 10$ and $0<U<20$.


FIG.3. G round state energy $E_{0}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U})$ of the half- lled $H$ ubbard model on the CBG as a function of the on-site repulsion param eter $U$ and the num ber of lattice sites $N$ in each sublattice. The hopping param eter has been chosen to $\mathrm{be} t=1$.

The gure shows that $E_{0}(N ; U)$ is a $m$ onotonically increasing function of $N$ and $U$, that is $E_{0}(N+1 ; U)$ $E_{0}(\mathbb{N})>0$ and $@ E_{0}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U})=@ \mathrm{U}>0$. The $\lim$ it $N!1$ at xed $U$ is given by $E$ in Eq. ( 64$)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j!1} \lim _{!1} E^{()}(j \dot{j} ; t ; U)=U \quad P \overline{U^{2}+4 t^{2}}<0 \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E^{()}(j ; t ; U)$ is the intemalenergy of the system at inverse $T e m$ perature and num ber of lattice sites $j j=2 N$. On the other hand, one can calculate the intemal energy at zero Tem perature in therm odynam ic
 procedure of van D ongen and Vollhardt one gets

In the case $t=$ const the tw o lim its com $m$ ute and the trivial therm odynam ic behavior of the $m$ odel originates from the in nite gap betw een the low est leveland the zero-energy levels: the tw o particles in the g orbitals are com pletely decoupled from the dynam ics of the system. On the other hand, if $t$ is rescaled the gap rem ains frozen and taking $j$ ! 1 rst, we can only say that the ground state energy is $O$ (1), but we cannot predict the exact am ount w thin the schem e proposed by van D ongen and Vollhardt. This is a consequence of the fact that in the lim it j j! 1 , we retain only the extensive contributions to the intemal energy.

The ground state energy Eq. (68) is alw ays higher than that of the non-interacting case and hence nontrivial correlations survive in the them odynam ic lim it. To understand the nature of these correlations we have calculated the ground state average of the num ber of doubly occupied sites:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{U} E_{0}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{U})+\frac{2 t}{\mathrm{U}}\left[{ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}(\mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{U}) \quad{ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}(\mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{U})\right] \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $g$ and $g$ are the rst two com ponents of the norm alized ground state vector, see Eq. (431). In $F$ ig. ( ${ }^{-1}(\mathrm{l})$ ) we have plotted the trend of $\bar{D}(\mathbb{N} ; U)$ as $N$ increases for di erent values of $U$. As expected $\bar{D}(\mathbb{N}$; $U$ ) is a $m$ onotonically decreasing function of $U, @ \bar{D}(N ; U)=@ U<0$, approaching zero for $U$ ! 1 where the exact
 trivialbehaviour as $N$ increases at xed $U$ values. In the weak coupling regim $e, U \quad t$, the num ber of doubly occupied sites grow s as N becom es larger and larger converging to a nite value when N ! 1 . The opposite trend is observed in the strong coupling regim $e, U \quad t$, where $\bar{D}(N ; U)$ decreases as $N$ increases. In the interm ediate regim e $U \quad t, \bar{D}(N ; U)$ is an increasing function of $N$ for $s m a l l N$, but becom es an decreasing function for large $N$. H ence, there is a critical value $U_{C}(\mathbb{N})$ where the analytic continuation of $\bar{D}(\mathbb{N}$; $U$ ) to realN veri es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@^{2} \overline{\mathrm{D}}}{@ U(N} \quad=0 \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$



b)

FIG.4. a) Trend of the ground state average of the num ber of doubly occupied sites versus $N$ in the range 1 N 20 for di erent values of the H ubbard interaction param eter $\mathrm{U}=0.1,1,2,3,5,10$. b) G round state average of the interaction term $W$ as a function of $U$ in the range $0 \quad U \quad 10$ for three di erent num ber of sublattice sites, $N=$ $1,2,10$. The hopping param eter has been chosen to be $t=1$ in both cases.

In $F$ ig. (Aldb) we report the ground state average of the interacting term $W$ as a function of $U$ for three di erent values of $N$. There is alw ays a critical value for $U$ where the repulsion is $m$ axim ized. M oreover, $\lim _{N}!1 \overline{\mathrm{~W}}(\mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{U}) \quad \bar{W}_{1}(\mathrm{U}) \neq \mathrm{for}$ any nite U and the system cannot avoid double occupation neither in


N ext, we have calculated the spin-spin correlation function
 state

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \text { oi }=\frac{h}{N_{0}} \dot{g}^{0} i+\frac{g}{N_{0}} \dot{g}^{0} i \quad j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i+\frac{1}{P^{\prime}} \frac{0}{N_{1}}{ }_{m=1}^{X^{1}}\left(f^{m} j[g g]^{1 ; m} i \quad j_{A F}^{1 ; m} i ;\right. \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

 sym $m$ etry, $G_{\text {sp in }}(x ; y)$ can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{( } G_{0} \quad x=y \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

and by exploiting the sum rule $\mathrm{y}^{2} \quad G_{\text {sp in }}(x ; y)=G_{0}+(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) G_{o n}+N G o=0$, one can express $G$ on in tem $s^{\prime}$ of $G_{0}$ and $G_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{o n}=\frac{N}{N \quad 1} G_{\circ} \quad \frac{G_{0}}{N \quad 1}: \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The problem is then reduced to the evaluation of $G_{0}$ and $G_{\circ}$. W e have

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{0}=G_{\text {sp in }}(x ; x)=h \circ j\left(S_{x}^{z}\right)^{2} j \quad 0 i=\frac{1}{2 N}_{x 2}^{X} h \circ j\left(S_{x}^{z}\right)^{2} j \circ i= \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

 taking into account that $\left(x_{2} S_{x}^{z}\right)^{2} j$ oi= 0 , we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{0}=\frac{1}{N^{2}}{ }_{x 2 A \text { y } 2 A}^{X} h \circ j_{x}^{z} S_{y}^{z} j 0 i=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \frac{1}{16} h \circ j^{\prime \prime} \quad(\quad)\left(g^{y}+g^{y}\right)(g+g) \quad j 0 i+ \\
& +\frac{1}{2} h o j S^{z a} \quad(\quad)\left(g^{y}+g^{y}\right)(g+g) j o i+h o j^{z a} S^{z a} j o i \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $(\mathrm{l}=+$; for $=$ ";\#. A fter long but standard algebra one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { " } \\
& \text { \#) } \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& h{ }_{A F}^{1 ; 0} S^{z a} j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=h_{A F}^{1 ; 0} j^{z b} j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=\frac{1}{2} h_{A F}^{1 ; 0} f^{z a} \quad S^{z b} j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i=\frac{1}{2} N_{1}^{2}  \tag{79}\\
& h{ }_{A F}^{1 ; 1} j^{z a} j \underset{A F}{1 ; 1} i=\frac{1}{2} h{ }_{A F}^{1 ; 1} j S^{z a}+S^{z b} j_{A F}^{1 ; 1} i=\frac{1}{2} N_{1}^{2} \tag{80}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{A F}^{1 ;}{ }_{A} \mathcal{S}^{2 a} j_{A F}^{1 ; 1} i=\frac{1}{2} h_{A F}^{1 ;}{ }^{1} \mathcal{S}^{z a}+S^{2 b} j_{A F}^{1 ; 1} i=\frac{1}{2} N_{1}^{2} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

 eigenstates of the square of the total spin operators of each sublattice $S_{A}^{2} \quad\left(S^{2 a}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(S^{+a} S{ }^{a}+S^{a} S^{+a}\right)$ and $S_{B}^{2} \quad\left(S^{2 b}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(S^{+b} S^{b}+S^{b} S^{+b}\right) w$ th eigenvalue $\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)\left(\frac{N^{1}}{2}+1\right)=\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathbb{N}^{2} \quad 1\right)$ ；hence

$$
X^{S} h_{A F}^{S ; M} j\left(S^{z a}\right)^{2} j_{A F}^{S ; M} i=\frac{N^{2}}{12}(2 S+1) N_{S}^{2} ; \quad N_{S}^{2}=h \underset{A F}{S ; M} j_{A F}^{s ; M}{ }_{A}^{s} i ; M_{s}=S ;::: ; S:
$$



$$
\left.G \circ=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \frac{N^{2} 1}{12}+\frac{1}{8}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
(g & g \tag{83}
\end{array}\right)^{2}+2{ }_{0}^{2}\right] \quad \frac{1}{3}{ }_{0}^{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~N}{ }^{2} 1}{18} 0(\mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{~g})
$$

where we have taken into account Eq．（621）to evaluate the ratio $N_{1}=N_{0}=P \overline{\left(N^{2} \quad 1\right)=3}$ ．



FIG．5．a）$G$ on versus $U$ in the range $0 \quad U \quad 20$ for three di erent values of the num ber of sites $N=2 ; 3 ; 10$ ． b）$G$ 。 versus $U$ in the range $0 \quad U 20$ for three di erent values of the num ber of sites $N=2 ; 3 ; 10$ ．The hopping param eter has been chosen to be $t=1$ in both cases．

In F ig．（5딘）we report the trend of $G$ on and $G$ 。 versus $U$ for three di erent values ofn $=2 ; 3 ; 10$ ．A ccording to the Shen -Q iu－ T ian theorem［28］， G on is alw ays larger than zero while G 。 is alw ays negative． N ow consider the ground state average of the square of the staggered $m$ agnetization operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.m_{A F}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{j j} h \underset{x^{2}}{X} \quad \text { (x) } S_{x}^{2}\right]^{2} j \text { oi; } \quad(x)=1 ; \quad 1 \text { for } x 2 A ; B: \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Shen－Q iu－T ian theorem im plies that each term in the expansion ofE $q .(84)$ is non－negative．$W$ e em phasize， how ever，that for $\mathcal{Z}^{A} j=\beta$ jth is does not imply that $m_{A F}^{2}$ is an extensive quantity！Rem arkably，our results show that $\left.m_{A F}^{2}=G_{0}+\mathbb{N} \quad 1\right) G_{o n} \quad N G_{0}$ is extensive for any value of the on－site repulsion param eter $U$ and provide an exam ple of antiferrom agnetism in the ground state of an itinerant－electrons $m$ odelofarbitrary size．

W e also observe that the them odynam ic lim it yields a non－vanishing result：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j j!1} G_{0}=\frac{1}{4} ; \lim _{j j!1} G_{\text {on }}=\lim _{j j!1} G_{\circ}=\frac{1}{12} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j!1} \lim _{!1} \lim _{h!} \mathrm{jG}_{\mathrm{sp} \text { in }}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \quad ; \mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{j} \mathrm{j}) \mathrm{j}=\frac{1}{12} \text { for } \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{y}: \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$



W e have introduced the CBG H ubbard m odel and explicitly w ritten the ground state wave function at half lling for any repulsion param eter $U$. T he topology of the graph allows ( $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2$ ) body eigenstates j $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{AF}}^{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{S}$ i of the $H$ am iltonian which are free of double occupation. T hey can be obtained projecting the determ inantal state jaf i on a given spin-S subspace. jafi has the antiferrom agnetic property, that is the map A $\$ \mathrm{~B}$ is equivalent to a spin-ip.
$W$ e have show $n$ that the ground state has a xed num ber of particles in the zero-energy one-body shell $S_{h f}$, independent of $t$ and $U$. This very rem arkable Shell Population $R$ igidity holds in any nite-size system and it provides the closure of the equations. This implies extra-conservation law in a suitable subspace of the full H ibert space including the ground state. Only the singlet $j_{A F}^{0 ; 0} i$ and the triplet $j \underset{A F-\frac{1}{1}, m}{1 ; m} 0$; 1 , are involved in the ground state expansion. Q ualitatively, we m ay say that according to Eq. (665) the particles in the shell $S_{h f} m$ anage to avoid the double occupation.

W e have calculated the spin-spin correlation function and show $n$ that the ground state exhibits an antiferrom agnetic order for any non-zero $U$ even in the them odynam ic lim it. The kinetic term induces non-trivial correlations am ong the particles and an antiparallel spin con guration in the two sublattices tums out to be energetically favoured. T herefore, the m odel contains the basic ingredients to understand how the subtle com petition betw een the delocalization induced by $H_{0}$ and the localization induced by $W$ m ay give rise to a $m$ agnetically ordered state even outside the strong coupling regim e (where the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel is equivalent to the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel). On the other hand, w ithin the schem e proposed by van D ongen and Vollhardt, the $m$ odel is well described by a param agnetic $H$ am iltonian; the di erence stem $s$ from taking the therm odynam ic lim it before the lim it of zero Tem perature, because then the kinetic term com pletly decouples from the interaction and loses any role in the physical response functions.
$T$ he present form alism lends itself to solve m ore realistic $H$ ubbard $H$ am iltonians w ith an increasing num ber of negative and positive energy levels. H igher-spin projections j ${ }_{\mathrm{AF}}^{\mathrm{S} ; \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{S}$ i are involved in the ground state expansions; the results $w$ ill be published elsew here. W e are currently investigating group-theory aspects of th is m odel and its extensions.
 boundary conditions $\bar{a} \bar{l} s o \overline{h a s} \bar{j} \overline{\mathrm{~J}}_{\mathrm{AF}} \mathrm{s}$ i-like eigenstates. It could be that the Shell P opulation $R$ igidity holds in this case too, but the proof is lacking.

## APPEND IX A:SHELLPOPULATION RIGID IT Y

W e have seen in Section $\sqrt{V i}$ that the m ost part of the contributions which do not preserve the num ber of particles in the shell $S_{h f} y$ ield nothing. To prove the ShellP opoulation $R$ igidity property we need to show that the sequences like $a^{y} a^{y} g a$ and sim ilar term $s w$ ith $b$ instead of $a$ and/or $g$ replaced by $g$ van ish or in form $u l$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X X } \quad R_{i ; x} R_{j ; x} R_{m ; x} a_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{y} a_{j \#}^{y} a_{m} \quad j \underset{A F}{s ; M} s i=0 \\
& \times 2 A \text { ijm } \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

and the like w ith a replaced by b. This last spectacular cancellation can be seen by particle-hole transform ing



$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X X } \\
& R_{i ; x} R_{j ; x} R_{m ; x} a_{i "} a_{j \#} a_{m}^{Y} \quad \underset{A F}{S ; M} i=0:  \tag{A2}\\
& \text { x2A ijm }
\end{align*}
$$

Let the spin of the operator $a_{m}^{y}$ _ be up for the sake of de niteness (the sam e reasoning holds in the case of down spin). The lh.s. of Eq. (A 2l) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X} \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \text { " } \mathrm{X} \text { X \# }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { x2A ijm } \\
& R_{i ; x}^{2} R_{j ; x} \quad a_{j \#} j_{A F}^{S ; M} i \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have exploited the lack of double occupation of the $W=0$ state, see $E q \cdot(\underline{4}-1)$. T herefore, we are left to prove that

$$
X^{N} \quad X^{1} R_{j ; x} R_{i ; x}^{2}=0 ; 8 j:
$$

$W$ e recall that $R$ is an $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) N$ rectangularm atrix whose row sare orthonorm alvectors which are orthogonal to the $N$ dim ensional vector $(1 ; 1 ;::: ; 1 ; 1)$. It is a sim ple exercise to verify that
is a correct choice and that Eq. ( $\binom{-1}{-1}$ is identically veri ed.

## APPENDIX B: PROOFOFEQ.( 5

 rst term yields

while second term yields

The third term can be com puted follow ing the sam e steps of Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{B}} \overline{\mathrm{I}}_{1}^{1}$ ) and the nal result is

D enoting by [:: the left hand side of Eq. (58), from Eqs. (

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P \quad j \quad k \notin j \quad \text { (B 3) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider the rst and the third term in the square bracket of the right hand side of Eq. $\overline{\operatorname{Br}} \overline{\mathbf{q}_{1}^{\prime}}$ ). W e have

where the perm utations $P$ and $P^{0}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{0}(k)=P(j) ; \quad P^{0}(j)=P(k) ; \quad P^{0}(i)=P \text { (i) } 8 i \not j ; k ; \tag{B6}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{j ; P(k) k ; P(j)}{(+1)^{y}}+\underset{j ; P(j) k ; P(k)}{(0)^{y}} \underset{j}{(0)}+\underset{j ; P(k)}{(+1)^{y}} \underset{k ; P(j)}{(1)^{y}}=\underset{j ; P(j)}{y} \underset{k ; P(k)}{y} \tag{B7}
\end{equation*}
$$

one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { P j } \mathrm{k} \text { \& j í j;k (B 8) }
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, Eq. (58).
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