## R ising Level of Public Exposure to M obile Phones: A ccum ulation through Additivity and Re ectivity

## T suyoshiH ondou

Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan Institut Curie, Section de Recherche, UMR 168, 26 rue d'Um, 75248 Paris Cedex 05, France

A dram atic developm ent occurring in our daily life is the increasing use of mobile equipm ent including mobile phones and wireless access to the Internet. They enable us to access several types of information more easily than in the past. Simultaneously, the density of mobile users is rapidly increasing. When hundreds of mobile phones emit radiation, their total power is found to be comparable to that of a microwave oven or a satellite broadcasting station. Thus, the question arises: what is the public exposure level in an area with many sources of electrom agnetic wave emission? We show that this level can reach the reference level for general public exposure (IC N IR P G uideline) in daily life. This is caused by the fundamental properties of electrom agnetic edd, namely, rejection and additivity. The level of exposure is found to be much higher than that estimated by the conventional framework of analysis that assumes that the level rapidly decreases with the inverse square distance between the source and the a ected person. A simple form ula for the exposure level is derived by applying energetics to the electrom agnetic edd. The form ula reveals a potential risk of intensive exposure.

KEYW ORDS: exposure, public health, m obile phones, electrom agnetism, energetics

Japan is a country where m any people use m obile communicators frequently almost anywhere including in public transport, where access is enabled even in underground trains by base stations placed in tunnels, although their use is prohibited in hospitals and aimplanes to prevent possible fatal accidents. On one occasion the author experienced interference from a mobile phone in the headset of a music player, and later found that serious interference also occurred in some hearing aids; such interference occurs even if there is a distance of more than we meters between the source and an a ected person. The incidents were not consistent with a well-known paradigm for interference by mobile phones that emphasizes that the interference occurs only if the a ected person is su ciently close to the source. B ased on this paradigm, several guidelines have been constructed, one of which recommends, \people should not use mobile phones within 22 centim eters of a person with a cardiac pacemaker." [1] This short-range interference paradigm has been widely accepted as a guidelines in several places and, to our know ledge, it is the only indication to citizens of the possibility of intensive exposure. Extensive studies are also in progress to clarify the e ects on the health of mobile users them selves, who are the nearest to the mobiles [2[4]. Here, we do not discuss this aspect because the users use the mobiles at their own risk. R ather, we focus on the issue of public exposure to em ission from anonym ous users, because an a ected person cannot control or avoid exposure even when a health condition exists. In this sense, the latter is a more serious problem than the form er.

The lack of recognition of the possibility of high levels of exposure could be attributed to the lack of a simple theory describing exposure caused by a distributed emission of electrom agnetic waves in a relective boundary; the conventional estimation of exposure is based only on the short-range interaction paradigm and is not su cient to

nd the level of exposure caused by distributed em issions. C onventional analysis is valid as long as there is only one m oble phone and also the boundary is not relective. These conditions are not appropriate for considering current situations. Thus, we will derive a simple and generic form ula for electrom agnetic eld and demonstrate the possibility of high levels of exposure in certain situations. A lthough we initiated this analysis as a result of being motivated by an incident in a public train, the following form ula is applicable to more general situations. The physical quantity that we will estimate is the average equivalent poynting vector P (W /m<sup>2</sup>) which represents the energy ux, and it is used to describe the level of exposure. We work within the fram ework of classical electrom agnetism [5]. C om parison of the result is made with international guidelines for exposure limits, for which extensive studies on possible problem s have been performed [6].

Consider a closed box (system) with a rejective surface boundary, in which sources of electrom agnetic waves are spatially distributed. Some parts of the boundary may be nonrejective or open. We assume for simplicity that waves coming from diment sources are incoherent. Conservation of energy in the electrom agnetic eld leads directly to the balance equation for the electrom agnetic energy, U, accumulated in the system,

$$\frac{d}{dt}U + J_E = W_r;$$
(1)

where  $J_E$  and  $W_r$  are an outward energy ux at the boundary and the sum of emission powers in the system, respectively. Our concern is only the average energy density that corresponds to the equivalent poynting vector,

because the deviation from the average value should be small in the case of a high rejection probability at the boundary. Thus, according to the conventional method of statistical physics, we hereafter ignore the details of the geometry of the system and introduce the characteristic length of the system L that is a mean free path between successive rejections at the boundary, where the electrom agnetic wave is assumed to behave as a ray which propagates freely. The characteristic time, t, is also de ned through L and the velocity of the light, c, as t = L = c, that is, the mean free time between rejections. If there were no rejection at the boundary, all of the energy in the system would di use through the boundary in time t. Hence, we have the relation,

$$t \quad \underline{J} = U : \tag{2}$$

The e ect of re ection is incorporated in the right-hand side of eq. (1) as an additional emission term. The power introduced into the system by the re ection is a product of the  $ux J_E$  and the average re ection probability [7], R. W ith eqs. (1) and (2), we reach the balance equation including the e ect of re ection:

$$\frac{d}{dt}U + \frac{dU}{L} = W_r + \frac{dU}{L}R :$$
(3)

As a stationary solution, which is realized for a timescale longer than  $t = k_d$ , we have

$$U = \frac{L W_r}{ck_d};$$
(4)

where  $k_d$  is the average dissipation probability at the boundary dened as  $k_d = 1$  R; its value lies between 0 and 1. Summing up the possible multiple rejection terms gives the same stationary result. The average equivalent poynting vector, hP i, is therefore obtained through the relation hP i = uc =  $\frac{U}{V}c$ , where u is the energy density and V is the volume of the system, as

$$hP i = \frac{L W_r}{V k_d} :$$
(5)

This is the main result of the study. This simple form ula predicts the average strength of the electrom agnetic eld in the system . We note that the analytical expression of eq. (5) itself was derived in a generic situation. The reader may apply it to several speci c systems; for example, buses, elevators, prefabricated houses, concert halls and so on. The di erences between the situations may be accounted for by changes in the parameters. We also note that the form ula may be directly derived by dimensional analysis for the system characterized by four parameters: the mean free path L , the total emission power W<sub>r</sub>, the system volume V, and the average dissipation probability k<sub>d</sub>. If we introduce the average emission power per mobile, w<sub>r</sub>, the total emission power, W<sub>r</sub>, is written as W<sub>r</sub> = N w<sub>r</sub>, where N is the number of sources (mobiles).

FIG.1. A verage exposure level P i is shown as a function of the average dissipation probability,  $k_d : hP i = \frac{L W_T}{V k_d}$ , where L , V,  $k_d$ , and W<sub>r</sub> are the characteristic length of the system, the volume, the average dissipation probability, and the total power of em issions in the system, respectively. The curves represent three di erent powers of total em ission, W<sub>r</sub> = 5;20; and 100 watts, where V = 112 (m<sup>3</sup>), and L =  $\frac{P_3}{V} = \frac{P_3}{112}$  (m) is assumed. The horizontal dotted line indicates the reference level for general public exposure (1 G H z) set by ICN IRP. The reader m ay observe that the exposure level has dependence on several param eters. By scaling, one can obtain the dependence on other param eters.

From this result, we learn that the exposure level rapidly rises as the dissipation probability,  $k_d$ , decreases to zero, which would be the case if all boundaries were made of a metal with a rejection probability near unity; an example may be an elevator without windows. This mechanism of small dissipation in metal is already utilized in waveguides for transporting microwave with minimum loss. On the contrary, the conventional estimation corresponds to the case that  $k_d = 1$  in eq. (5), where the exposure level is minimum. The level of exposure PP i increases in proportion to the total emitted power W<sub>r</sub>, which in turn increases in proportion to the number of mobiles N; this mass (additive) e ect has scarcely been discussed in the literature [2].

A lthough the form ula is applicable to various situations, an order estimation for a speci c example is useful for dem onstrating how to apply the form ula to a concrete system, and this result is never negligible in certain situations. We emphasize that our aim is not to nd an individual value of exposure in a specialized situation, but to point out the signi cance of the phenom enon. Readers may perform individual calculations using the form ula (eq. (5)) with a special set of parameters. In order to perform the order estimation, we require the characteristic values, L , W r, V and k<sub>d</sub>. Here we con ne ourselves to the typical values for a commuter train car in Japan. We use the values for a car in a series 3000 train of Tokyu C orporation, one of the most recently introduced com muter trains in metropolitan  $\frac{1}{5} \overline{V} = \frac{1}{5} \overline{112}$  (m) [8]. Since the bodies of modern trains are made of areas of Japan, for which  $V = 112 \text{ (m}^3)$ , and L m etals, the rejection probability inside the body may be approximated as unity because the dissipation at the point of re ection is negligible compared to other types of dissipation, for example, through windows [9]. Because waves are assumed to disappear irreversibly through the window, the dissipation probability, kd, may be estimated in the rst approximation from the ratio of the area of the windows to the gross surface area of the car. In our case (Tokyu series 3000) it is estimated as  $k_d = 0.10$  [11]. The total emission power also depends signi cantly on the situation. For greater generality, we demonstrate exposure levels at three di erent values, W  $_{r}$  = 5;20;100 watts, with the reference level for general public exposure of 1 G H z given by the International C om m ission on N on-Ionizing R adiation Protection (ICNIRP) [6]. The exposure level increases as the dissipation probability decreases. If we decrease the power, W <sub>r</sub>, the critical value of the dissipation probability decreases. In the case of a large power, W <sub>r</sub> = 100, the average exposure level is of the order of the reference level solely because of the mass (additive) e ect of the waves (even without the e ect of re ection). Since we have not yet introduced any special parameters except for the volum e of the system, one can use this graph (Fig.1) to predict exposure levels of other systems (not only trains) of sim ilar volum e.

To clarify how the emission power, W<sub>r</sub>, corresponds to the situation on the train, we illustrate it with a specic example. In a commuter train, passenger capacities are as follows: designed passenger capacity (100% jyosharitsu) is 151 persons, and the seating capacity is 54 or 51 persons [11]. Since the proportion of existing passengers to the designed passenger capacity (jyosharitsu) can exceed 200% under the most crowded condition, a car can hold more than 300 persons. Thus, the case W<sub>r</sub> = 100 watts corresponds, for example, to the situation in which each of 300 m obiles emits a power of 0.33 watts; the case W<sub>r</sub> = 20 watts corresponds to the situation in which 50 m obiles emit a power of 0.4 watts each, and so on. As the average dissipation probability in the Tokyu series 3000 is k<sub>d</sub> = 0:10, the critical total power according to the ICN IRP reference level is W<sub>r</sub> = 12 watts. Note that a mobile communicator emits power to some degree as long as it is switched on [12]. For more detailed consideration, here we note two uncertainty factors: i) the presence of passengers which is assumed to increase the average dissipation probability; ii) the shielding e ect of window s, of which the characteristic length is comparable to or less than the wavelength (0.3 m at 1 G H z). The form er decreases the level of exposure, while the latter increases it.

On the basis of this observation, we conclude that in spite of several uncertainty factors there is the non-negligible possibility that the simultaneous use of a number of mobiles in an area with a rejective boundary creates a level of exposure which can exceed that stipulated in the ICN IRP guidelines. Let us consider a commuter train that unexpectedly stops between stations due to an incident (typical formorming trains in metropolitan areas of Japan). What will passengers with mobile phones, who ind them selves to be late for work, do? Once a closed area is led with electrom agnetic waves to a considerable level, the electron there interference on people with electrom edical instrum ents could be serious, because they have no way to avoid the emissions [13].

In this paper, we have analyzed how the exposure level increases depending on the situation, by re ection and additivity of electrom agnetic waves. We analytically derived a generic form ula with which one can predict the possible exposure level. For an example of a realistic situation, we applied the form ula to a commuter train and perform ed an order estimation of the possible exposure level. It was shown that the simultaneous use of a number of mobile communicators in a closed area may result in a considerable level of exposure, as high as that determined by the ICN IRP to be the limit for public safety [6]. These results are easy to understand: Consider a dark room with a single light with low power, where all of the boundaries, walls, ceiling and oor, are black. If we cover the black boundaries with mirrors, it signic cantly increases the brightness without incurring any additional cost for power for lighting. A lso, if we increase the number of lights, the brightness will increase throughout the room. The mechanism of the increase

of intensity is completely the same for this light and the electrom agnetic waves of the present case, because both are governed by the same fundam ental properties of electrom agnetism, namely, rejectivity and additivity. Therefore, the emergence of the intensive eld is not surprising. Increasing public exposure to electrom agnetic wave emission is a form of environm ental pollution; in both cases, naive personal consumption will lead to global pollution, which eventually may hurt hum ans. Since the increase of electrom agnetic eld by rejective boundaries and the additivity of sources has not been recognized yet, further detailed studies on various situations and the developm ent of appropriate regulations are required.

W e acknow ledge M r. Tam aokiat Tokyu C orporation for providing us with detailed data on their com m uter trains, East Japan Railway C om pany for providing a plan of their com m uter trains, C. Futterer, T. J. Harata, H. Miyata, T. T suzuki, Y. Nozue, H. Yasuhara, T. Suzuki, and M. Sano for helpful com m ents, and Y. Hayakawa, K. Sekim oto, J. Shibata, S. Nasuno, K. Kaneko, K. Ikeda and S. Takagi for critical reading of the manuscript and helpful com m ents and encouragement, and a proofreader at M yu Research for great help in English expression. This work was supported in part by a Japanese G rand-in-A id for the Science Research Fund from the M inistry of E ducation, Science and Culture (G rant No. 12740226).

- [5] For example, W.K.H.Panofsky and M.Phillips: Classical Electricity and Magnetism (Addison-Wesley, Cambridge, 1961).
- [6] International C om m ission on N on-Ionizing R adiation P rotection: G uidelines for L im iting E xposure to T im e-Varying E lectric, M agnetic, and E lectrom agnetic F ield (IC N IR P, O berschleissheim, 1998).
- [7] A verage re ection probability is calculated, in the rst approximation, as follows: Imagine a surface boundary at which the electrom agnetic wave is rejected (or dissipates). A ssume that the surface is made of a material which is fully rejective, except for windows through which the wave dissipates. A ssume that the ratio of the area of the windows to the total surface is 10%. Then, the average rejection probability, R, is approximated as 0.9. Thus, the average dissipation probability  $k_d = 1$  R = 01.
- [8] Tokyu Corporation (private communication).
- [9] The rejection probability, in principle, depends strongly on the conditions. However, in the microwave frequency region, it is known that the rejection probability may be treated as almost unity in comparison with other dissipation processes: Let us consider the rejection probability of the electrom agnetic wave propagating perpendicular to the surface of the rejective boundary. The rejection probability, r, can be estimated using the formula applicable to the wave with length longer than that of visible light, r = 1 2 2  $_0! =$ , where  $_0$ , !, and are the dielectric constant of vacuum, the angular frequency of an electrom agnetic wave and the electric conductivity, respectively [5]. By using an experimental value for the electric conductivity [10], we obtain the rejection probability. For aluminum, it is 0.9999. Since it is known that the values of electric conductivity form ost metals lie in the order of approximately 10<sup>7</sup> ( <sup>1</sup> m <sup>1</sup>), the rejection probability is estimated as approximately 0.9998. This shows that the dissipation of the wave upon rejection is negligible compared to other dissipation processes in the present case.
- [10] National A stronom ical Observatory (ed.): Rika Nenpyo (Chronological Scienti c Tables) (Manuzen Co., Ltd., Tokyo, 1999) [in Japanese].
- [11] In a conventional car designed only for passengers, which has no room for a driver or a conductor, the sum of all window areas is 21.1 (m<sup>2</sup>), and the total surface area is 201.1 (m<sup>2</sup>). The ratio of the two values gives the average dissipation probability,  $k_d = 0.10$  (courtesy of M r. Tam aoki of Tokyo C opporation). In the case of a car of series 201 of JR  $\pm$  ast, which is used for rapid commuter trains on the Chuo Line (Chuo-K aisoku) in Tokyo, the value is estimated to be approximately the same,  $k_d = 0.10$ , where the calculation was performed according to the plan of the car presented by the East Japan R ailway Company. A regulation on the use of mobile phones in trains was introduced by Tokyu Corporation in O ctober 2000.
- [12] The output of a mobile communicator may depend on the intensity of the wave from a base station. As shown by the ratio of the window area to the total body surface of the car which corresponds to the average dissipation probability, the cabin

<sup>[1]</sup> Fuyodenpataisakukyogikai (Electrom agnetic Com patibility Conference Japan): K eitaidenwatanm atsutono Shiyonikansunu Chosahokokusho (Guidelines on the Use of Radiocom munication Equipment such as Cellular Telephones {Safeguards for Electronic Medical Equipment{) (A ssociation of Radio Industries and Businesses, Tokyo, 1997) [in Japanese].

<sup>[2]</sup> LINK Collaborative Research: Electrom agnetic Compatibility A spects of Radio-Based Mobile Telecommunications Systems (ERA Technology Ltd, Surrey, 1999).

<sup>[3]</sup> Department of Health, UK.: STEWART REPORT and the UK Government Response to the Report (Department of Health, UK., http://www.doh.gov.uk/mobile.htm, 2000).

<sup>[4]</sup> W HO: Electrom agnetic Fields and Public Health (Fact Sheet No. 193, revised version) (W HO, http://www.who.int/inffs/en/fact193.html, 2000).

of a train is largely shielded electrom agnetically. Thus, the wave from the base station is considerably weakened inside the car. It is known that the weaker the intensity of the wave that reaches the mobile phone from the base station, the more power the mobile phone em its. We also note that the maximum output power of a mobile phone is 0.6 watts for analog phones, and 0.8 watts for digital phones (burst value) in Japan [1]. In the report by W H  $\Omega$ , the maximum output power is stated to range from 0.2 watts to 0.6 watts [4].

[13] Experiments on animals with cardiac disease who are helped by a pacemaker will directly prove the risk of a intensive exposure. One report [1] suggests that the interference can be fatal even below the reference level set in the ICN IRP guidelines for the general public.

