Percolation Critical Exponents in Scale-Free Networks

Reuven Cohen¹, Danielben-Avraham², and Shlom o Havlin¹

¹M inerva Center and Department of Physics, Bar-Ilan university, Ramat-Gan, Israel

²Department of Physics, Clarkson University, Potsdam NY 13699-5820, USA

We study the behavior of scale-free networks, having connectivity distribution P (k) k , close to the percolation threshold. We show that for networks with 3 < < 4, known to undergo a transition at a nite threshold of dilution, the critical exponents are di erent than the expected m ean-eld values of regular percolation in in nite dimensions. Networks with 2 < < 3 possess only a percolative phase. Nevertheless, we show that in this case percolation critical exponents are well de ned, near the limit of extrem e dilution (where all sites are rem oved), and that also then the exponents bear a strong -dependence. The regular mean-eld values are recovered only for > 4.

02.50.Cw, 05.40a, 05.50.+q, 64.60Ak

Large networks have been attracting considerable interest [1{6]. A useful characterization of such networks is their degree distribution, P (k), or the probability that an arbitrary node be connected to exactly k other nodes. M any naturally occurring networks (the Internet, nets of social contacts, scienti c collaborations, ecological nets of predator-pray, etc.,) exhibit a power-law, or scale-free degree distribution:

$$P(k) = ck ; k m :$$
 (1)

where m is the m inimal connectivity (usually taken to be m = 1) and c is a norm alization factor.

In agine that a large network is diluted, by random rem oval of a fraction p of its nodes. If p is small, one expects that the network remains essentially connected: there exists a giant component of connected nodes that constitutes a nite fraction of the total size of the original network. Above a certain threshold of dilution, p_c , the giant component disappears and the network e ectively disintegrates. This is the problem of percolation [7,8] as de ned on networks. (Percolation was originally de ned on regular lattices.) The giant component corresponds to the in nite incipient cluster or spanning cluster which form s only in the percolation phase, at dilutions smaller than the percolation threshold p_c .

Percolation in scale-free networks is widely recognized as a key problem of interest [1{6]. Applications range from the robustness of communication networks (the Internet, phone networks) in the face of random failure (removal) of a fraction of their nodes (e.g., random breakdown of routers), to the e ciency of inoculation strategies against the spread of disease, to the ecological in pact of the extinction of som e species.

For a random network of arbitrary degree distribution, the condition for the existence of a spanning cluster is $[5,9\{11]$:

$$\frac{hk^2i}{hki} > 2:$$
 (2)

Suppose a fraction p of the nodes (and their links) are removed from the network. (A lternatively, a fraction q = 1 p of the nodes are retained.) The original degree distribution, P (k), becomes

$$P^{0}(k) = \sum_{l=k}^{k} P(l) \frac{1}{k} (1 p)^{k} p^{lk} :$$
(3)

In view of this new $P^{0}(k)$, Eq. (2) yields the percolation threshold:

$$q_c = 1 \quad p_c = \frac{1}{1};$$
 (4)

where is computed with respect to the original distribution, P(k), before dilution.

Applying the criterion (4) to scale-free networks one concludes that for > 3 a phase transition exists at a nite q_c, whereas for 2 < < 3 the transition takes place only at the extrem e lim it of dilution of q_c = 0 [5,6]. Here we concern ourselves with the critical exponents associated with the percolation transition in scale-free networs of > 2. We show that critical exponents are well dened (and we compute their -dependent values) even in the non-percolative regime of 2 < < 3. In the percolative range of > 3, we nd -dependent exponents which di er from the regular mean- eld values of percolation in in nite dimensions. This irregularity can be traced to the fat tail of the distribution (1). The regular m ean- eld exponents are recovered only for > 4.

In [4,12] a generating function is built for the connectivity distribution:

e-m ail: cohenr@ shoshiph biu ac.il

$$G_{0}(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{k=0}^{k} P(\mathbf{k}) \mathbf{x}^{k} :$$
 (5)

The probability of reaching a site with connectivity k by following a specic link is kP(k)=hki [4,9,12], and the corresponding generating function for those probabilities is

$$G_{1}(x) = \frac{P}{\frac{P}{P}(k)x^{k-1}}{\frac{P}{kP(k)}} = \frac{d}{dx}G_{0}(x) = hki:$$
(6)

Let H_1 (x) be the generating function for the probability of reaching a branch of a given size by following a link. A fier a dilution of a fraction p of the sites, H_1 (x) satisfies the self-consistent equation

$$H_1(x) = 1 \quad q + qxG_1(H_1(x))$$
: (7)

Since $G_0(x)$ is the generating function for the connectivity of a site, the generating function for the probability of a site to belong to an n-site cluster is

$$H_0(x) = 1 \quad q + qxG_0(H_1(x))$$
: (8)

 $H_0(1)$ is the probability that a site belongs to a cluster of any nite size. Thus, below the percolation transition $H_0(1) = 1$, while above the transition there is a nite probability that a site belongs to the in nite spanning cluster: $P_1 = 1$ $H_0(1)$. It follows that

$$P_1 (q) = q(1 \sum_{k=0}^{X^k} P(k)u^k);$$
 (9)

where $H_1(1)$ is the smallest positive root of

$$u = 1 \quad q + \frac{q}{hki} \sum_{k=0}^{k} kP(k)u^{k-1}$$
: (10)

This equation can be solved numerically and the solution may be substituted into Eq. (9), yielding the size of the spanning cluster in a network of arbitrary degree distribution, at dilution q [4].

We now compute the order parameter critical exponent . Near criticality the probability of belonging to the spanning cluster behaves as P_1 (q q_c). For in nite-dimensional systems (such as a Cayley tree) it is known that = 1 [7,8,13]. This regular mean-eld result is not always valid, how ever, for scale-free networks. Eq. (9) has no special behavior at q = q_c; the singular behavior comes from u. Also, at criticality P_1 = 0 and Eq. (9) im ply that u = 1. We therefore exam ine Eq. (10) for u = 1 and q = q_c + :

$$1 = 1 \quad q_{\pm} \quad + \frac{(q_{\pm} +)}{hki} \sum_{k=0}^{k} kP(k) (1)^{k-1} : (11)$$

The sum in (11) has the asymptotic form

$$k^{k} k^{2} (k) u^{k 1} h^{k} i h^{k} (k 1) i^{k=0} + \frac{1}{2} h^{k} (k 1) (k 2) i^{2} + c (2)^{2}; (12)$$

where the highest-order analytic term is O(n), n = b 2c. Using this in Eq. (11), with $q_c = 1 = (1) = hki=hk (k 1)i$, we get

$$\frac{hk(k-1)i^2}{hki} = \frac{1}{2}hk(k-1)(k-2)i + c(2-)^{-3}: (13)$$

The divergence of $as < 3 \operatorname{con} m$ s the lack of a phase transition in that regime. Thus, $\lim iting$ ourselves to

> 3, and keeping only the dom inant term as ~!~ 0, Eq.(13) implies

$$\begin{cases} 8 \\ \gtrless \\ \frac{hk (k \ 1)i^{2}}{chki \ (2 \)} \\ \end{cases} \frac{1}{3} \quad 3 < < 4; \\ \frac{2hk (k \ 1)i^{2}}{hkihk (k \ 1) (k \ 2)i} \\ \end{cases}$$
(14)

Returning to P_1 , Eq. (9), we see that the singular contribution in is dominant only for the irrelevant range of < 2. For > 3, we nd P_1 q_bhki (q q_b). C om paring this to (14) we nally obtain

$$= \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{1} \frac{3 < < 4;}{> 4:}$$
(15)

We see that the order parameter exponent attains its usual mean-eld value only for > 4. Moreover, for < 4 the percolation transition is higher than 2nd-order: for $3 + \frac{1}{n \ 1} < < 3 + \frac{1}{n \ 2}$ the transition is of the nth-order. The result (15) has been reported before in [6], and also found independently in a di erent but related model of virus spreading [14,15]. The existence of an in nite-order phase transition at = 3 for growing networks of the A lbert-Barabasim odel, has been reported elsewhere [16,17]. These examples suggest that the critical exponents are not model-dependent but depend only on .

For networks with < 3 the transition still exists, though at a vanishing threshold, $q_c = 0$. The sum in Eq. (11) becomes:

$$\dot{X}^{i}$$

kP(k)u^{k 1} hki+c(2)²: (16)

U sing this in conjunction with Eq. (10), and remembering that here $q_c = 0$ and therefore q = -1, leads to

$$= \frac{c(2)}{hki} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3}; \qquad (17)$$

which implies

$$=\frac{1}{3}$$
; 2< < 3: (18)

In other words, the transition in 2 < < 3 is a m irror in age of the transition in 3 < < 4. An important difference is that $q_c = 0$ is not -dependent in 2 < < 3, and the amplitude of P_1 diverges as ! 2 (but remains nite as ! 4).

In [12] it was shown that for a random graph of arbitrary degree distribution the nite clusters follow the usual scaling form :

$$n_s \quad s \quad e^{s=s}$$
 : (19)

Here s is the cluster size, and n_s is the number of clusters of size s. At criticality s $jq \ q_c j$ diverges and the tail of the distribution behaves as a power law . We now derive the exponent $% is in the probability that a site belongs to an s-cluster is <math display="inline">p_s$ = $sn_s \ s^1$, and is generated by H $_0$:

$$H_{0}(x) = \sum_{s=1}^{N} p_{s} x^{s} :$$
 (20)

The singular behavior of H₀(x) stem s from H₁(x), as can be seen from Eq. (8). H₁(x) itself can be expanded from Eq. (7), by using the asymptotic form (12) of G₁. We let x = 1, as before, but work at the critical point, $q = q_c$. W ith the notation () = 1 H₁(1), we nally get (note that at criticality H₁(1) = 1):

$$= q_{c} + (1) q_{c} [1] - \frac{1}{q_{c}} + \frac{hk(k - 1)(k - 2)i}{2hki}^{2} + \frac{(2)}{hki}^{2}]: (21)$$

From this relation we extract the singular behavior of H₀: ^y. Then, using Tauberian theorem s [18] it follows that $p_s = s^{1 y}$, hence = 2 + y.

For > 4 the term proportional to 2 in (21) may be neglected. The linear term may be neglected as well, due to the factor . This leads to $^{1=2}$ and to the usual mean-eld result

$$=\frac{5}{2}$$
; > 4: (22)

For < 4, the term s proportional to $, ^{2}$ m ay be neglected, leading to $^{1=(2)}$ and

$$= 2 + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2}{2} + \frac{3}{2}; \quad 2 < < 4: \quad (23)$$

Note that for 2 < < 3 the percolation threshold is strictly $q_c = 0$. In that case we work at q = m all but xed, taking the limit ! 0 at the very end [19]. For growing networks of the A bert-Barabasim odel with

= 3, it has been shown that $sn_s / (s \ln s)^2$ [17]. This is consistent with = 3 plus a logarithm ic correction. Related results for scale free trees have been presented in [20].

The critical exponent , for the cuto cluster size, m ay be too derived directly. Finite-size scaling argum ents predict [7] that

$$q_c(1) \quad q_c(N) \quad N \stackrel{\perp}{d} = N \stackrel{-1}{i};$$
 (24)

where N is the number of sites in the network, is the correlation length critical exponent: $(q \ q)$, and d is the dimensionality of the embedding space. Using a continuous approximation of the distribution (1) one obtains [5]

$$\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{K^{3}}{K^{2}} \quad \frac{m^{3}}{m^{2}}; \qquad (25)$$

where K N $^{1=(1)}$ is the largest site connectivity of the network. For 3 < 4, this and Eq. (4) yield

 $q_{c}(1) q_{c}(N) K^{3} N^{\frac{3}{1}};$ (26)

which in conjunction with Eq. (24) leads to

$$= \frac{3}{2}; \quad 3 < < 4:$$
 (27)

For > 4 we recover the regular mean-eld result = 1=2. Note that Eqs. (24), (15), (23) are consistent with the known scaling relation: = 2 [7,8,13]. For 2 < 3, q_c(1) = 0 and q_c(N) K³ N⁽³⁾⁼⁽¹⁾. Therefore

$$=\frac{3}{2}; 2 < 3;$$
 (28)

again consistent with the scaling relation = 2 (cf Eq. (18)).

Through similar scaling relations, any two of the percolation exponents discussed above determ ine the remaining (static) percolation exponents. Thus, for example, the exponent , governing the average size of nite clusters: hsi (q q_c) , follows from the scaling relation = (3) = . In view of Eqs. (23), (27), (28), we get

$$= {\begin{array}{*{20}c} n & 1 & > 3; \\ 1 & 2 < & < 3: \end{array}}$$
(29)

The negative value of in the range 2 < 3 is, at rst sight, surprising. However, recall that for that range only the percolating phase exists. At the transition point, $q_c = 0$, all clusters have size zero. As q increases, nite-size clusters begin to show, consistent with hsi $(q q_c) q$.

In sum m ary, we have shown that percolation critical exponents in scale-free networks bear a strong dependence upon the degree distribution exponent . This is true even in the range 3 < 4, where the percolation transition occurs at a nite threshold q_c : the regular m ean-eld behavior of percolation in in nite dimensions is recovered only for > 4. M oreover, critical exponents

are well de ned also in the most physically relevant region of 2 < < 3 (the case of most naturally occurring networks), despite the lack of a non-percolating phase. In this regime too the critical exponents depend strongly on .

An interesting exception is the exponent , which attains constant values independent of , Eq. (29). This suggests some underlying deeper principle which we were not yet able to uncover.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e thank the N ational Science Foundation for support, under grant P H Y -9820569 (D b.-A.).

- [L] R.A lbert, and A.L.Barabasi, Rev. of M od. Phys., 74, 47 (2001).
- [2] S.N.D orogovtsev, and J.F.F.M endes, Adv. in Phys., 51, 1079 (2002).
- [3] R. A Ibert, H. Jeong, and A. L. Barabasi, Nature, 406, 6794, 378 (2000).
- [4] D. S. Callaway, M. E. J. Newman, S. H. Strogatz, and D. J. Watts, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 5468 (2000).
- [5] R. Cohen, K. Erez, D. ben-Avraham, and S. Havlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4626 (2000).
- [6] R. Cohen, K. Erez, D. ben-Avraham, and S. Havlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3682 (2001).
- [7] D. Stau er and A. A harony, Introduction to Percolation Theory, 2nd edition (Taylor and Francis, London, 1991).

- [8] A. Bunde, and S. Havlin (editors), Fractals and Disordered System (Springer, New York, 1996).
- [9] M. Molloy and B. Reed, Random Structures and Algorithms 6, 161 (1995).
- [10] M. M olloy and B. R eed, C om binatorics, P robability and C om puting 7, 295 (1998).
- [11] It follows that for scale-free networks with m = 1 a spanning cluster exists, even before dilution, only for smaller than a certain critical threshold $_{\rm c}$ ($_{\rm c}$ 3.5 if the distribution (1) is discrete, and $_{\rm c} = 4$ if it is continuous). This spurious transition can be removed, for example by imposing m 2, and does not concern us here.
- [12] M. E. J. Newman, S. H. Strogatz, and D. J. W atts Phys. Rev. E, 64, 026118 (2001).
- [13] D.ben-Avraham and S.Havlin, Di usion and Reactions in Fractals and D isordered Systems (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- [14] R.Pastor-Satorras, and A.VespignaniPhys.Rev.E, 63, 066117 (2001).
- [15] Y.M oreno, R.Pastor-Satorras, and A.Vespignanicondm at/0107267.
- [16] D. S. Callaway, J. E. Hopcroft, J. M. Kleinberg, M. E. J. Newman, and S. H. Strogatz, Phys. Rev. E, 64,041902 (2001).
- [17] S.N.D orogovtsev, J.F.F.M endes, and A.N.Sam ukhin, Phys. Rev. E 64, 066110 (2001).
- [18] G. H. Weiss, A spects and Applications of the Random W alk (North-Holland, Am sterdam, 1994).
- [19] For the case 2 < < 3, in Eq. (23) represents the singularity of the distribution of branch sizes. For the distribution of cluster sizes in this range one has to consider the singularity of x in Eq. (8), leading to = 3 for this range.
- [20] Z.Burda, J.D.Curreia, and A.Krzywicki, Phys. Rev. E 64, 046118 (2001).