Therm al H all C onductivity of H igh Tem perature Superconductors: Q uantization and Scaling

O skar Vafek and Zlatko Tesanovic Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218 (March 22, 2024)

P resented is the theory of them al H all conductivity $_{xy}$ in the vortex state of high temperature cuprate superconductors in the clean lim it. We show that $\lim_{T \ge 0} x_y = T$ is a staircase function of 1=B with an envelope that scales as 1=B. The relation to the experiments is discussed.

Fifteen years after the discovery of high tem perature superconductivity in cuprates [1], the search for the \setminus nal" theory continues unabated. Nevertheless, certain im portant clues about the elem ents that such a theory must contain appear to be established. One of the most signi cant results of the past few years is that, in the superconducting state, cuprates seem to be well described by the fam iliar BCS-type form alism with Cooper pairs binding in the d-wave channel [2]. This is a far from trivial observation in the materials known to exhibit strong correlations. Soon after the original discovery, Anderson [3] identi ed one of the key features of the new superconductivity: the materials are basically two-dimensional with most of the action relevant for superconductivity taking place in the CuO₂ planes. The reduced dimensionality and the presence of nodal points on an otherw ise gapped Ferm i surface governs the low tem perature properties of the high-T_c superconductors (HTS). Corroborating evidence for the BCS character of the ferm ionic excitations com es from diverse spectroscopic and transport experim ents [4, 5, 6, 7].

E specially inform ative probe of the quasiparticle dynam ics is the therm al H all conductivity, $_{xy}$ [8], particularly since phonons, which usually contribute to the longitudinal therm al conductivity, $_{xx}$, do not contribute to $_{xy}$ by the virtue of their being electrically neutral. In addition, from the theoretical point of view, in the leading \nodal" approximation, $_{xy}$ vanishes [9, 10, 11] and one must consider curvature terms. For these reasons a special opportunity emerges to scrutinize, both theoretically and experimentally, the extent to which the ferm ionic quasiparticles in HTS exhibit the BCS-type behavior.

Our starting point is a 2-dimensional Bogoliubovde Gennes Ham iltonian in the vortex state

$$H_{BdG} = \frac{p_{3}\frac{e}{c}A^{2}}{2m} \quad _{3} + _{1}e^{i_{3}-2} \quad (p)e^{i_{3}-2} \quad (1)$$

where A (x) is the vector potential associated with the uniform external magnetic eld B, p is a momentum operator, and 's are Pauli matrices operating in the N am bu space_p. The vortex phase elds satisfy r r (x) = 2 \hat{z}_{i} (x x) with x_i denoting the vortex positions and (x x) being a 2D D irac delta function. For a d_{x² y²} - wave superconductor (p) = $\frac{-9}{p_{z}^{2}}$ (p_{x}^{2} p_{y}^{2}).

Following Franz and Tesanovic [12] (see also [11, 13, 14]), we divide the vortices into two sets A and B, positioned at $fx_i^A g$ and $fx_i^B g$ respectively (see Fig. 1) and de ne two phage elds ^A(x) and ^B(x) such that r r (x) = 2 2_{i}^A (x x); = A; B. The Ham iltonian (1) is then transformed into [12]

$$H = U^{y}H_{BdG}U = \frac{(p + a)^{2}}{2m} + \frac{(p + a)}{2m}$$
(2)

using the unitary operator

$$U = e^{i + i_{3}}$$
 (3)

where $=\frac{1}{2}(A_B)$ and $=\frac{1}{2}(A_B) = \frac{1}{2}$. In Eq. (2), $v = \frac{1}{2}r = \frac{e}{c}A$ is the super uid velocity and $a = \frac{1}{2}(r_A r_B)$ is the Berry gauge eld which imposes the condition that a quasiparticle wavepacket encircling an $\frac{hc}{2e}$ vortex must pick up an overall minus sign.

In addition to keeping the wavefunctions single-valued, the advantage of this \bipartite" singular gauge transformation is that for a periodic arrangement of vortices (A brikosov vortex lattice) the resulting H am iltonian (2) is itself periodic. This is not true of H am iltonian (1). Therefore, the eigenstates of (2) can be expressed through the fam iliar B loch waves $_{nk}(x) = e^{ik \ x} u_{nk}(x)$ labeled by one discrete quantum number, the band index n, and two continuous quantum numbers, the vortex crystalm omenta k_x , k_y that range in the rst B rillouin zone. Note that $u_{nk}(x)$ is not periodic with the periodicity of the vortex lattice, but with the periodicity of the vortex sublattice containing a unit of electronic $ux \frac{hc}{e}$ (see Fig. 1).

By extracting the plane wave part of the B loch states

E lectronic address: ovafek@pha.jhu.edu, zbt@pha.jhu.edu




FIG.1: Example of A and B sublattices for the square vortex arrangem ent.

we can de ne a Ham iltonian

H (k) =
$$_{3}\frac{(p+k)_{3}v+a^{2}}{2m}$$
 $_{3}$ + $_{1}$ (p + k + a) (4)

where H (k) = $e^{ik} \times H e^{ik} \times W$ e now wish to point out an important feature of H (k) in the case when the vortex lattice has an inversion symmetry. As shown in Ref.[14] an operator P = i_2CI , where C represents charge con jugation and I takes x into x, with the following property

$$P^{-1}H(k)P = H(k); hu_{hk}P_{ju_{nk}i} = 0;$$
 (5)

This means that for every energy band $_{nk}$ there is another $_{m\,k}$ such that $_{nk}=$ $_{m\,k}$, i.e. the band spectrum has rejection symmetry about the Ferm i level and if a band were to cross the Ferm i level ($_{nq}$ = 0 for some q) then there would have to be a twofold degeneracy at q. However, by the von Neumann-W igner \non-crossing" theorem [15], the degeneracy unrelated to symmetry cannot happen for a time reversal breaking H am iltonian which depends only on two continuous parameters. Thus, the quasiparticle spectrum of the $d_{x^2\ y^2}$ superconductor in the vortex state is gapped by a (direct) gap $_{m}$ 0 unless a third parameter is ne tuned, e.g. the band crossing at the Ferm i level can be achieved by ne-tuning the chem ical potential .

If $w \in \mathbb{P}$ rescale all coordinates by the magnetic length l = c = B (see Fig. 1), x ! lx, then

H (k; 1; ; _0) !
$$\frac{1}{l^2}$$
f (lk; 1² ; $\frac{0}{p_F^2}$); (6)

where f is a universal (operator) function. Consequently, the smallband gap $_{\rm m}$ at the Ferm i level, which is equal to the m inimum of the lowest positive eigen-energy of H (k;l;) with respect to k, is also a universal function that can be written as

$$_{m}$$
 (B;; $_{0}$) = B F $\frac{}{B}; \frac{}{p_{F}^{2}}$: (7)




FIG. 2: Scaling of the eld induced quasiparticle gap $_{\rm m}$ (open circles) with the magnetic eld and the chem ical potential (measured from the bottom of the tight-binding band). The quantized values of the $_{\rm xy}^{\rm xy}$ are also shown (solid line). Magnetic length, l, is in units of the tight-binding lattice constant a and energies are in units of the hoping energy t; the results are for a square vortex lattice with $_0 = 0.1t$. The dashed line is the envelope scaling function.

Thus, in order to determ ine the dependence of $_{\rm m}$ on the magnetic eld B, all we need to know is the dependence of $_{\rm m}$ on for some xed B and $\frac{0}{{\rm p}_{\rm P}^2}$, and then use the scaling relation (7). This dependence is determ ined num erically by diagonalizing a tight-binding version [14] of the H am iltonian (4) close to the bottom of the tight-binding band where we expect to recover the continuum theory (4). The num erical calculations con rm the scaling (7) which gives us con dence in relating the continuum results to the tight-binding approximation. As shown in F ig. 2, for a xed value of $\frac{t}{0} = 0$ = 10, where t is the tight-binding hopping constant, $\frac{1}{B} = 0$ is a saw-tooth function with a period of approximately 4.

In Ref. [14] it was dem onstrated that the gapped quasiparticle spectrum leads to the quantization of the spin Hall conductivity $_{xy}^{spin}$ (see also Ref. [11]), which is in turn related to the therm al Hall conductivity, $_{xy}$, via the W iedem ann-Franz law

$$\lim_{T \,! \,0} \frac{x_{Y}}{T} = \frac{4^{2}}{3} \frac{k_{B}}{\sim} \sum_{x_{Y}}^{2} (B):$$
(8)

Because the quantization of $_{xy}^{spin}$ is topological in origin [14], any continuous change in a parameter of the H am iltonian results in the same quantized value of $_{xy}^{spin}$ unless the quasiparticle gap collapses. Therefore, for a xed D irac anisotropy $_{D}$, by virtue of Eq. (6), the dependence of $_{xy}^{spin}$ on B and can be expressed entirely as a dependence of $_{xy}^{spin}$ on $\frac{1}{B}$ m (B;), i.e.

$$\sup_{xy}^{\text{spin}} (B;) = G - \frac{1}{B}; \qquad (9)$$

where G is a universal function of its argum ent that in addition depends on the geometry of the vortex lattice.




FIG.3: Therm al H all conductivity data of Z hang et. al. [8] for B > 4T plotted vs. B 1 .

For a xed (large) value of B the numerical computation of $_{xy}^{spin}$ can be readily accomplished. The results for a square vortex lattice and $_{D} = 10$ are displayed in Fig. 2 where it is seen that $_{xy}^{spin}$ is a stair-case function of $_{B}^{-}$ which starts at zero at empty lling and then jumps by a multiple of $2\frac{~}{8}$. The band crossings, which are responsible for the changes in $_{xy}^{spin}$, occur at symmetry points in the B rillouin zone, and by the symmetry of the square vortex lattice, the steps are bound to come in even integers [16].

Thus we arrive at the main result of this Letter shown in Fig. 2: the envelope of the \sup_{xy}^{spin} (B) scales as B¹ and by Eq. (8)

$$\lim_{T \downarrow 0} \frac{xy}{T} / \frac{1}{B}$$
(10)

This expression is rem in iscent of the electrical H all conductivity in the 2D electron gas.

Recently, measurements of $\ _{\rm xy}$ were conducted by Ong's group [8] on YBCO sam ples with a very long mean free path. These experiments were carried out over a wide range of magnetic elds (up to 14T) and at tem – peratures from T 12:5K to above the superconducting transition T_c 90K . Unfortunately, the experiments are resolution limited below 12:5K as signal becom es too weak. In Fig. 3 we re-analyze the data of Ref. [8]. It is seen that for the m agnetic elds above 4T , $_{\rm xy}$ / C + B $^{\rm 1}$ which is, apart from the nite intercept, in agreement with our theory. At elds B < 4T, $_{\rm xy}$ decreases with decreasing B and vanishes at B = 0 [8]. At low magnetic elds $\frac{1}{B}$ divergence of xy is cut-o by disorder

i.e. $xy = \frac{xy}{2xx^{+}} \frac{x}{2y}$ with non-vanishing longitudinal quasiparticle them all resistivity xx. Even in the presence of weak disorder, we still expect xy / B and xx to be approximately eld independent. Therefore, the transverse them al conductivity in the presence of disorder should vanish in the lim it of vanishing B. W e m ust adm it that the origin of the residual therm al conductivity at $\frac{1}{B} = 0$, evident in Fig. 3, remains mysterious to us and we can only speculate that it is not of quasiparticle origin.

In summary, based on a general analysis of the full non-linearized Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations, we arqued that magnetic eld B will induce a small gap m in the quasiparticle spectrum which is a non-monotonic function of the magnetic eld. Rather, m vanishes at som e special values of =B which depend on the details of the vortex lattice and the D irac cone an isotropy (Fig. 2). The dependence of xy on B is entirely due to this non-monotonic behavior of m, since xy = T is a topological quantity and therefore can change only at the band crossings [14]. The topological quantization of xy was also discussed in the Ref. [11]. How ever, we wish to point out a signi cant qualitative di erence between the results presented here and those of R ef. [11]: as we argued before xy is a quantized staircase function of 1=B with an envelope that itself scales as 1=B, while Ref. [11] states that $x_y = T$ can take on only one of the three allowed values 0; 2 and is otherwise eld-independent. Consequently, in a typical experim ental situation, the explicit values for xy obtained from these two works will dier signi cantly. Still, there is an agreem ent on the fact that since the quasiparticle spectrum is gapped xv does not obey simple Sim on-Lee scaling, at least when the tem perature is comparable to the quasiparticle gap.

We thank M arcel Franz, A shot M elikyan and A dy Stem for comments and discussions. This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMR 00-94981.

- [1] J.G.Bednorz and K.A.Muller, Z.Phys. 64 188 (1986).
- [2] D.J.Van Harlingen, Rev.M od. Phys. 67, 515 (1995).
- [3] P.W. Anderson, Science 235, 1196 (1987).
- [4] M.B.W alker and M.F.Sm ith, Phys. Rev. B 61, 11285 (2000).
- [5] H.Ding et. al, Phys. Rev. B 54, R 9678 (1996).
- [6] M. Chiao et. al., cond-m at/9910367.
- [7] J.O renstein and A.J.M illis, Science 288, 468 (2000).
- [8] Y. Zhang et. al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 890 (2001).
- [9] S.H. Sim on and P.A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1548 (1997).
- [10] J.Ye, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 316 (2001).
- [11] A. V ishwanath, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 217004 (2001); A. V ishwanath cond-m at/0104213.
- M. Franz and Z. Tesanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 554
 (2000); O. Vafek, A. M elikyan, M. Franz, Z. Tesanovic, Phys. Rev. B 63, 134509 (2001).
- [13] L.M arinelli, B.I.Halperin and S.H.Sim on, Phys.Rev. B 62 3488 (2000).

- [14] O.Vafek, A.Melikyan, and Z.Tesanovic, Phys. Rev. B 64, 224508 (2001) and references therein.
- [15] J. von Neum ann and E. W igner, Physik. Z., 30 467 (1927).
- [16] The trivial double degeneracy of each band due to spin is ignored in this calculation.