

Thermal Hall Conductivity of High Temperature Superconductors: Quantization and Scaling

Oskar Vafek and Zlatko Tesanovic
Department of Physics and Astronomy,
Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218
(March 22, 2024)




FIG. 1: Example of A and B sublattices for the square vortex arrangement.

we can define a Hamiltonian

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{a})^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{a})^2 \quad (4)$$

where $H(\mathbf{k}) = e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} H e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}$. We now wish to point out an important feature of $H(\mathbf{k})$ in the case when the vortex lattice has an inversion symmetry. As shown in Ref. [14] an operator $P = i\sigma_2 C I$, where C represents charge conjugation and I takes \mathbf{x} into $-\mathbf{x}$, with the following property

$$P^{-1} H(\mathbf{k}) P = H(\mathbf{k}); h_{\mathbf{k}} P h_{-\mathbf{k}} = 0: \quad (5)$$

This means that for every energy band $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ there is another $\epsilon_{-\mathbf{k}}$ such that $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \epsilon_{-\mathbf{k}}$, i.e. the band spectrum has reflection symmetry about the Fermi level and if a band were to cross the Fermi level ($\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ for some \mathbf{k}) then there would have to be a twofold degeneracy at \mathbf{k} . However, by the von Neumann-Wigner "non-crossing" theorem [15], the degeneracy unrelated to symmetry cannot happen for a time reversal breaking Hamiltonian which depends only on two continuous parameters. Thus, the quasiparticle spectrum of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ superconductor in the vortex state is gapped by a (direct) gap $\Delta_m \neq 0$ unless a third parameter is tuned, e.g. the band crossing at the Fermi level can be achieved by tuning the chemical potential.

If we rescale all coordinates by the magnetic length $l = \sqrt{\hbar c / eB}$ (see Fig. 1), $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x} l$, then

$$H(\mathbf{k}; l; \epsilon_0) = \frac{1}{l^2} f(l\mathbf{k}; l^2; \frac{\epsilon_0}{p_F^2}); \quad (6)$$

where f is a universal (operator) function. Consequently, the small band gap Δ_m at the Fermi level, which is equal to the minimum of the lowest positive eigen-energy of $H(\mathbf{k}; l; \epsilon_0)$ with respect to \mathbf{k} , is also a universal function that can be written as

$$\Delta_m(B; l; \epsilon_0) = B^{-1/2} F\left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{B p_F^2}\right); \quad (7)$$




FIG. 2: Scaling of the field-induced quasiparticle gap Δ_m (open circles) with the magnetic field and the chemical potential (measured from the bottom of the tight-binding band). The quantized values of the $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{spin}}$ are also shown (solid line). Magnetic length, l , is in units of the tight-binding lattice constant a and energies are in units of the hopping energy t ; the results are for a square vortex lattice with $\epsilon_0 = 0$ it. The dashed line is the envelope scaling function.

Thus, in order to determine the dependence of Δ_m on the magnetic field B , all we need to know is the dependence of Δ_m on ϵ_0 for some fixed B and $\frac{1}{p_F^2}$, and then use the scaling relation (7). This dependence is determined numerically by diagonalizing a tight-binding version [14] of the Hamiltonian (4) close to the bottom of the tight-binding band where we expect to recover the continuum theory (4). The numerical calculations confirm the scaling (7) which gives us confidence in relating the continuum results to the tight-binding approximation. As shown in Fig. 2, for a fixed value of $\frac{\epsilon_0}{p_F^2} = \epsilon_D = 10$, where t is the tight-binding hopping constant, $\frac{1}{B} \Delta_m(\frac{\epsilon_0}{B})$ is a saw-tooth function with a period of approximately 4.

In Ref. [14] it was demonstrated that the gapped quasiparticle spectrum leads to the quantization of the spin Hall conductivity $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{spin}}$ (see also Ref. [11]), which is in turn related to the thermal Hall conductivity, κ_{xy} , via the Wiedemann-Franz law

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \frac{\kappa_{xy}}{T} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{k_B}{\hbar} \sigma_{xy}^{\text{spin}}(B); \quad (8)$$

Because the quantization of $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{spin}}$ is topological in origin [14], any continuous change in a parameter of the Hamiltonian results in the same quantized value of $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{spin}}$ unless the quasiparticle gap collapses. Therefore, for a fixed Dirac anisotropy ϵ_D , by virtue of Eq. (6), the dependence of $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{spin}}$ on B and ϵ_0 can be expressed entirely as a dependence of $\sigma_{xy}^{\text{spin}}$ on $\frac{1}{B} \Delta_m(B; \epsilon_0)$, i.e.

$$\sigma_{xy}^{\text{spin}}(B; \epsilon_0) = G\left(\frac{\epsilon_0}{B}\right); \quad (9)$$

where G is a universal function of its argument that in addition depends on the geometry of the vortex lattice.




FIG. 3: Thermal Hall conductivity data of Zhang et. al. [8] for $B > 4T$ plotted vs. B^{-1} .

For a fixed (large) value of B the numerical computation of $\kappa_{xy}^{\text{spin}}$ can be readily accomplished. The results for a square vortex lattice and $D = 10$ are displayed in Fig. 2 where it is seen that $\kappa_{xy}^{\text{spin}}$ is a staircase function of $\frac{1}{B}$ which starts at zero at empty filling and then jumps by a multiple of $2\frac{e}{8}$. The band crossings, which are responsible for the changes in $\kappa_{xy}^{\text{spin}}$, occur at symmetry points in the Brillouin zone, and by the symmetry of the square vortex lattice, the steps are bound to come in even integers [16].

Thus we arrive at the main result of this Letter shown in Fig. 2: the envelope of the $\kappa_{xy}^{\text{spin}}(B)$ scales as B^{-1} and by Eq. (8)

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \frac{\kappa_{xy}}{T} / \frac{1}{B} \quad (10)$$

This expression is reminiscent of the electrical Hall conductivity in the 2D electron gas.

Recently, measurements of κ_{xy} were conducted by Ong's group [8] on YBCO samples with a very long mean free path. These experiments were carried out over a wide range of magnetic fields (up to 14T) and at temperatures from $T = 12.5K$ to above the superconducting transition $T_c = 90K$. Unfortunately, the experiments are resolution limited below 12.5K as signal becomes too weak. In Fig. 3 we re-analyze the data of Ref. [8]. It is seen that for the magnetic fields above 4T, $\kappa_{xy} / C + B^{-1}$ which is, apart from the finite intercept, in agreement with our theory. At fields $B < 4T$, κ_{xy} decreases with decreasing B and vanishes at $B = 0$ [8]. At low magnetic fields $\frac{1}{B}$ divergence of κ_{xy} is cut-off by disorder

ie. $\kappa_{xy} = \frac{\kappa_{xy}}{\frac{2}{\kappa_{xx}} + \frac{2}{\kappa_{xy}}}$ with non-vanishing longitudinal quasiparticle thermal resistivity κ_{xx} . Even in the presence of weak disorder, we still expect κ_{xy} / B and κ_{xx} to be approximately field independent. Therefore, the transverse thermal conductivity in the presence of disorder should vanish in the limit of vanishing B . We must admit that the origin of the residual thermal conductivity at $\frac{1}{B} = 0$, evident in Fig. 3, remains mysterious to us and we can only speculate that it is not of quasiparticle origin.

In summary, based on a general analysis of the full non-linearized Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations, we argued that magnetic field B will induce a small gap ϵ_m in the quasiparticle spectrum which is a non-monotonic function of the magnetic field. Rather, ϵ_m vanishes at some special values of B which depend on the details of the vortex lattice and the Dirac cone anisotropy (Fig. 2). The dependence of κ_{xy} on B is entirely due to this non-monotonic behavior of ϵ_m , since $\kappa_{xy} = T$ is a topological quantity and therefore can change only at the band crossings [14]. The topological quantization of κ_{xy} was also discussed in the Ref. [11]. However, we wish to point out a significant qualitative difference between the results presented here and those of Ref. [11]: as we argued before κ_{xy} is a quantized staircase function of $1/B$ with an envelope that itself scales as $1/B$, while Ref. [11] states that $\kappa_{xy} = T$ can take on only one of the three allowed values 0; 2 and is otherwise field-independent. Consequently, in a typical experimental situation, the explicit values for κ_{xy} obtained from these two works will differ significantly. Still, there is an agreement on the fact that since the quasiparticle spectrum is gapped κ_{xy} does not obey simple Simon-Lee scaling, at least when the temperature is comparable to the quasiparticle gap.

We thank Marcel Franz, Ashot Melikyan and Ady Stern for comments and discussions. This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMR00-94981.

-
- [1] J.G. Bednorz and K.A. Müller, Z. Phys. 64 188 (1986).
 - [2] D. J. Van Harlingen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 67, 515 (1995).
 - [3] P. W. Anderson, Science 235, 1196 (1987).
 - [4] M. B. Walker and M. F. Smith, Phys. Rev. B 61, 11285 (2000).
 - [5] H. Ding et. al., Phys. Rev. B 54, R9678 (1996).
 - [6] M. Chiao et. al., cond-mat/9910367.
 - [7] J. Orenstein and A. J. Millis, Science 288, 468 (2000).
 - [8] Y. Zhang et. al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 890 (2001).
 - [9] S. H. Simon and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1548 (1997).
 - [10] J. Ye, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 316 (2001).
 - [11] A. Vishwanath, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 217004 (2001); A. Vishwanath cond-mat/0104213.
 - [12] M. Franz and Z. Tesařovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 554 (2000); O. Vafek, A. Melikyan, M. Franz, Z. Tesařovic, Phys. Rev. B 63, 134509 (2001).
 - [13] L. Marinelli, B. I. Halperin and S. H. Simon, Phys. Rev. B 62 3488 (2000).

- [14] O. Vafek, A. M. Eliyan, and Z. Teseanovic, Phys. Rev. B 64, 224508 (2001) and references therein.
- [15] J. von Neumann and E. Wigner, Physik. Z., 30 467 (1927).
- [16] The trivial double degeneracy of each band due to spin is ignored in this calculation.