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#### Abstract

A diabatically pum ped charge, carried by non-interacting electrons through a quantum dot in a tumstile geom etry, is studied as function of the strength of the tw o m odulating potentials (related to the conductances of the tw o point-contacts to the leads) and of the phase shift betw een them. It is show $n$ that the $m$ agnitude and sign of the pum ped charge are determ ined by the relative position and orientation of the closed contour traversed by the system in the param eter plane, and the transm ission peaks (or resonances) in that plane. Integer values (in units of the electronic charge e) of the pum ped charge (perm odulation period) are ach ieved when a transm ission peak falls inside the pum ping contour. T he integer value is given by the $w$ inding num ber of the pum ping contour: double $w$ inding in the sam e direction gives a charge of 2 , while $w$ inding around two opposite branches of the transm ission peaks or w inding in opposite directions can give a charge close to zero.


$7323 . \mathrm{bo}, 73.63 \mathrm{Rt}, 73.50 \mathrm{Rb}, 73.40 \mathrm{E} \mathrm{i}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

Q uantized_adiabatic charge transport was rst invoked by $T$ houless ${ }_{1}^{11}$ who considered the dc current induced in an in nite one-dim ensional gas of non-interacting electrons by a periodic potential, which is also a slow lyvarying, periodic function oftim $e$. The robustness of the quantization in such system $s$, w ith respect to the in $u-$ ence of disorder orm any toody interactions, w as further
 terest in this phenom enon, term ed lelectronic pump"42,51 has been shifted to investigations of con ned nanostructures, e.g., quantum dots or carbon nanotubes, where the realization of the periodic tim e-dependent potential is achieved by modulating gate, ypltages applied to the structure, in a \tumstibe" form 'a $r$ 促 or by coupling to surface acoustic waves 1 system $s$ is achieved solely by the application of the tim edependent potential, and it exists even when the system is un-biased otherw ise. M oreover, the charge transferred during a single cycle is independent of the $m$ odulation period. H ow ever, this charge is not necessarily quantized.
$T$ he quantization of the pum ped charge, that is, the possibility to transm it an integral num ber of electrons per cycle through an un-biased system, has been realized in devices w hich are w eakly coupled to the leads, in which the (sm all) conductances of the quantum point contacts separating the dot from the leads are m odulated in tim e. In such system s , the quantization has been attributed to the Coulomb blockade, which quantizes the num ber of electrons on the device. H ow ever, the m odulation of the point contact conductances allow s, in principle, for the possibility to cross-over from pinched-o conditions, where the C oulomb blockade is e ective, to the alm ost open-dot conditions. In the latter, C oulom b-blockade effects are expected to play a $m$ in or role as opposed to those of quantum interference of the electronic wave function over the entire structure. $N$ onetheless, the possible quan-
tization of the charge pum ped during a cycle through a large, alm ost open quantam, dot, w ith vanishing level spacing, has been related 13114 to C oulom b interactions within the dot. Pumping in an open nanostructure was realized experim entally for a quantum dot whose shape has been controlled by oscillating gate voltages ${ }^{1_{1}^{19}} \mathrm{~T}$ here, the am plitude of the pum ped signal, which is independent of the modulation frequency, is found to increase w ith the driving force, though no quantization has been detected.

W hile electronic correlations in fabricated nanodevides de nitely play a role, it is still of fundam ental interest to study electronic pum ping of non-interacting electrons resulting from quantum interference e ects, to explore the circum stances under which it is optim all ${ }^{1912}$ In this context, it is especially useful to investigate sim ple, tractable models, where it is possible to relate the param eters characterizing the nanostructure, notably the conductance, $w$ th those that govem them agnitude of the pum ped charge. Thus by considering a sm all, strongly pinched quantum dot, which supports resonant transm ission, it has been show $\mathrm{n}^{171}$ that when the Ferm ienergy in the leads aligns w ith the energy of the quasi-bound state in the dot, the charge pum ped through it during each period of the modulation is close to a single electronic charge. T he correlation betw een resonant states and enhanced pum ping has also been found in Ref. 'Ior'. Finally, it was pointed out ${ }^{19}$ that the pum ped charge in a m odel describing carbon nanotubes can change sign as the am plitude of the $m$ odulating potential is varied.

In this paper we explore in detail the relation betw een resonant transm ission and quantized charge pum $p-$ ing. The results we obtain can be sum $m$ arized generically as follow s. C onsider a quantum dot, connected by two single-channel point contacts to its extemal leads. T he individual conductances of these point contacts, $X$, and $X_{r}$, are controlled by split-gate voltages which are $m$ odulated periodically in tim e. H ence, during each cycle the
system follow s a closed curve in the $X, ~ X_{r}$ param eter plane, which we call the \pum ping contour". A s the various param eters (e.g. the $m$ odulation am plitude $P$ and phase shift , see below) are varied, this pum ping contour changes its shape and location in the param eter plane, form ing a Lissa jous curve. (T his can be also achieved by varying the gate voltage on the dot.) On the other hand, there are lines in that param eterplane, along w hich the transm ission of the quantum dot is large. T hese are the \resonance lines" of the quantum dot. We nd that the $m$ agnitude of the pum ped charge and its sign are intim ately related to the $m$ anner by which the pum ping contour encircles parts of the resonance lines, and particularly the peaks where the transm ission is equal to unity. $T$ he charge is quantized when a signi cant part of the resonance line is trapped w ithin the pum ping contour. For exam ple, when the contour goes around the resonance tw ioe, in the sam e direction, the charge attains the value of $2 j$ in units of the electronic charge $e$. $T$ he sign is determ ined by the sense of the pum ping contour. Thus, as function of the modulating am plitude, the pum ped charge can vary as depicted, for exam ple, in Fig. '1'1, or in Fig. III! bellow .


FIG. 1. The pumped charge, $Q$, in units of $e$, as function of the $m$ odulating am plitude, $P$, for $\mathrm{N}=4 ; \mathrm{ka}=0: 001 ; \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{J} ; \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{L}}=2 \mathrm{~J} ; 0=0$ and $=0: 4$ (See text).

The resonance lines, in the param eter plane can be found experim entally ${ }^{17}$. W hen one of the point contacts is pinched $\circ$ and the other is open, the conductance of the quantum dot, $G$, is dom inated by the conductance of the pinched-o point contact, say $X$. $M$ easuring the dependence of the quantum dot conductance on the gate voltage $U$, controlling that point contact w illyield the relation betw een $X$, and U . In a sim ilar way, one nds the relation betw een $X_{r}$ and $U_{r}$. U sing these relations and $m$ easuring $G$ as function of $U$, and $U_{r}$, the resonance lines can be determ ined.

To derive the above result we consider a sim ple m odel for a quantum dot, em ploying the tight-binding description. In this $m$ odel, the quantum dot is coupled to sem iin nite 1D leads by $m$ atrix elem ents $J$ and $J_{r}$, which
oscillate in tim e with frequency !, such that the m odulation am plitude is $P$ and the phase shift betw een the $J$, $m$ odulation and that of $J_{r}$ is 2 . Then, the point contact conductances are given (in dim ensionless units) by $X, ~ J$, and $X_{r} \quad J_{r}^{2}$. Explicitly,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
J_{V}=J_{\mathrm{L}}+P \cos (!t+ & ) ; X, & J_{V}^{2} ; \\
J_{\mathrm{r}}=J_{\mathrm{L}}+P \cos (!t & ) ; X_{\mathrm{r}} & J_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}: \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

W e solve for the charge pum ped through- the quantum dot using the adiabatic approxim ation $\frac{{ }^{2}+1,151}{2}$ that is, assum ing that the frequency! is sm aller than any characteristic energy scale of the electrons. C oncom itantly, we determ ine the resonance lines in the X, $X_{r}$ plane. W_e then show that the pum ping is quantized (see Fig. $\left.{ }_{1}^{\prime 1} 1 \overline{1}^{-1}\right)$ for values ofP for which the pum ping contour encloses a signi cant part of a resonance line.

In our model, the couplings of the quantum dot to the leads are $m$ odulated in time and hence $J$, and $J_{r}$ can attain both negative and positive values. This re-
ects a m odulation of the potential shaping the dot: the tight-binding param eters $J, ~ a n d ~ J_{r}$, which are derived as integrals over the site \atom ic" wave functions and the oscillating potential, can have both signs. The extrem e m odulation arises $w$ hen we set $J_{\mathrm{L}}=0$, so that the hopping $m$ atrix elem ents which couple the \dot" to the \leads" oscillate in the range $f \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Pg}$. The dim ensionless conductances $X$, and $X_{r}$ are then $m$ odulated in the range $f 0, \mathrm{P}^{2} \mathrm{~g}$. The corresponding pum ping contour is then a sim ple closed elliptic curve. A s the $m$ agnitude of the average hopping $J_{L}$ increases, the shape of the pum $p-$ ing contour becom es $m$ ore com plex, tuming into a L issa jous curve which folds on itself. T hese com plex pum ping curves yield a rather rich behavior of the pum ped charge as function of the modulation amplitude $P$ (see e.g. Figs. 1 and 7).

## II. TRANSM ISSION AND PUMPING THROUGH

 A QUANTUM DOTO ur description of the quantum dot is a generalization of the model by Ng and Leet $\mathrm{t}^{\prime \prime}$ Im agine the quantum dot to be connected to the electronic reservoirs by two 1D chains of sites, whose on-site energies are assum ed to vanish, and whose nearest-neighbor transfer am plitudes are denoted by J. The energy of an electron of $w$ ave vector km oving on such a chain is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}=2 J \text { coska; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the lattice constant. From now on wem easure energies in units of $J$. The con ned nanostructure, that is, the quantum dot, is m odeled by a bunch' of tightbinding sites connected am ong them selves. For sim plicity, we take the latter in the form of a nite chain of $N$ sites, each having the on-site energy $0, w$ ith a nearestneighbor transfer am plitude $J_{D}$. The e ects of on-site interactions $m$ ay be considered as part of $0, w$ ithin a

H artree approxim ation. This nite chain is attached to the left-hand-side lead at site 1 , $w$ th the $m$ atrix elem ent
$J$, and to the right-hand-side lead at site $N$, $w$ ith the $m$ atrix elem ent $J_{r}\left[s e e ~ E q . ~\left[1_{1}^{1}\right)\right]$.
$T$ he calculation of the pum ped charge requires the know ledge of the instantaneous (that is, when the tim e is frozen) scattering solutions of the problem, and the instantaneous scattering $m$ atrix titil T his scattering m atrix also yields the instantaneous transm ission coe cient of the quantum dot for any pair of the param eters $X$, and $X_{r}$, and hence the resonance lines in the $X, ~ X_{r}$ plane. In the present case, the instantaneous scattering solutions can be straightforw ardly obtained. Let us denote by $t$, the instantaneous scattering state at tim e $t$, which is excited by an incom ing wave from the left reservoir, of energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$, and sim ilarly ${ }_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{t}}$ is the scattering solution excited by a wave com ing from the right. $W$ e then have

$$
\begin{align*}
& t(x)=A_{0} ;^{\prime} e^{i k x}+r_{t} e^{i k x} \text {; on the left lead; } \\
& t(x)=A_{0} ; t_{t} e^{i k x} ; \text { on the right lead; } \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{na}$, and sim ilarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{r}^{t}(x)=A_{0 ; r}^{h} e^{i k x}+r_{t}^{0} e^{i k x} ; \text { on the right lead; } \\
& { }_{r}^{t}(x)=A_{0 ; r} t_{t}^{0} e^{i k x} ; \text { on the left lead: } \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

To nom alize the incom ing waves such that they w ill carry a unit ux, we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0 ;}=A_{0 ; r}=\frac{r}{\frac{1}{2 J \sin k a}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eqs. ( $\overline{-1})$ and ( $\overline{\underline{4}} \overline{1})$, $t_{t}=t_{t}^{0}, r_{t}$ and $r_{t}^{0}$ are the instantaneous transm ission and re ection am plitudes, respectively. In our m odel, those are given by
$t_{t}=t_{t}^{0}=h^{i k(N 1) a} J \cdot J_{r} J_{D} \sin q a M_{k} ;$
$r_{t}=e^{i 2 k a} 1$
$+e^{i k a} X, X_{r} \sin (N \quad 1) q a \quad J_{D} X \cdot \sin N q a M_{k}^{i}$; h
$r_{t}^{0}=e^{\text {i2N ka }} 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+e^{i k a} X \cdot X_{r} \sin (\mathbb{N} \quad 1) q a \quad J_{D} X_{r} \sin N \text { qa } M_{k} \text { : } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we have introduced the notations

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{k} & =\frac{2 i \sin k a}{D_{k}} ; \\
D_{k} & =J_{D}^{2} \sin (\mathbb{N}+1) q a \\
& +e^{i 2 k a} X \cdot X_{r} \sin (\mathbb{N}
\end{align*} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
1) q a ; \tag{7}
\end{array}
$$

and scaled all energies in units of $J$. The wavevector $q$ describes the propagation of the w ave on the quantum dot, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k} \quad 0=2 J_{D} \text { cosqa: } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e begin the analysis by determ ining the resonance lines of the transm ission for our $m$ odel. From the result for the transm ission am plitude $t_{t}$ [see Eqs. (G) ], it is readily found that the transm ission coe cient is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& T={t_{t}}^{J} \\
h &  \tag{9}\\
= & 1+\frac{Z^{2}+\left(J_{D} \sin k a \sin N q a\left(X, \quad X_{r}\right)\right)^{2} i_{1}}{\left(2 J_{D} \sin k a \sin q a\right)^{2} X, X_{r}} ;
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z=J_{D}^{2} \sin (N+1) q a+\frac{E_{k}}{2} J_{D} \sin N q a\left(X_{\cdot}+X_{r}\right) \\
&+X \cdot X_{r} \sin (\mathbb{N}  \tag{10}\\
& \text { 1)qa: }
\end{align*}
$$

$C$ learly, one has $T=1$ when $X, ~=X_{r}$ and $Z=0$. For $\mathrm{N}>1$, these equations give two points on the diagonal in the $\mathrm{X}, ~ \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{plane}$. It takes som e algebra to show that the second equation, $Z=0$, corresponds to $m$ axim a of $T$ when either $X$, or $X_{r}$ is varied while the other param eter is kept $x e d$. These localm axim a occur on two \resonance lines", show $n$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime 2}$. I . This gure also show $s$ a contour plot of the transm ission in the $X$, $X_{r}$ plane, for the sam e param eters as in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1} 11.1$. For the chosen set of param eters, the transm ission is quite at around the $m$ axim um ; The resonance lines appear as a \ridge" on that plateau, w ith $T$ decreasing slow ly as one $m$ oves aw ay from the $m$ axim um points on the diagonal along the resonance lines, but quickly as one $m$ oves aw ay from these lines.


FIG.2. A contour plot of the transm ission in the $X, X_{r}$ plane, for the sam e param eters as in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime} 11.1$. T he resonance lines are the colored curves in the gure.

N ext we calculate the charge, $Q$, pum ped through the quantum dot during a single period of the $m$ odulation. Here we employ an expression which gives $Q$ in term $s$ of $m$ atrix elem ents of the tem poral derivative of the scattering pptential, $V$, betw een the instantaneous scattering states $\mathrm{L}^{2!23}$ - At zero tem perature the charge per period reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{e^{I}}{4} \quad \frac{h}{h}{ }_{r}^{t} j \mathcal{L} j{ }_{r}^{t} i \quad h{ }^{t} j \neq j{ }^{t} i^{i}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

 formula!2!

In our m odel, the tem poral derivative of the potential is

$$
\begin{align*}
V\left(n ; n^{0}\right)= & J_{n ; 1} n^{0} ; 0+n^{0} ; 1 n ; 0 \\
& \mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{r}} \quad \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{N} \mathrm{n}^{0} ; \mathrm{N}+1+\mathrm{n}^{0} ; \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{N}+1 ; \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

and hence the charge pum ped from left to right is

$$
\begin{align*}
Q= & <^{n} \frac{e^{I}}{2} d t J^{h}{ }_{r}^{t}(0)_{r}^{t}(a) \quad t^{t}(0){ }^{t}(a)^{i} \\
& +J_{r}{ }_{r}^{t}(\mathbb{N} a)_{r}^{t}((\mathbb{N}+1) a) \\
& { }^{t}(\mathbb{N} a){ }^{t}((\mathbb{N}+1) a):
\end{align*}
$$

This expression requires the know ledge of the scattering solutions on the two ends of the quantum dot. T hese are


$$
\begin{align*}
t(a) & =\frac{1}{J_{1}} A_{0 ;} ; e^{i k a}+r_{t} e^{i k a} ; \\
r_{r}^{t}(\mathbb{N} a) & =\frac{1}{J_{r}} A_{0 ;} ; t_{t} e^{i k N a} ; \\
r_{r}^{t}(a) & =\frac{1}{J_{1}} A_{0 ; r} t_{t} e^{i k a} ; \\
{ }_{r}^{t}(\mathbb{N} a) & =\frac{1}{J_{r}} A_{0 ; r} e^{i k N a}+r_{t}^{0} e^{i k N a} ; \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

together $w$ ith the results in Eq. (G) . It then follow s that the pum ped charge, $Q$, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
Q & =\frac{e}{2}^{I} d t \frac{J_{D} \sin N q a \sin k a}{D_{k} J^{2}} \\
& X \cdot X_{r} \quad X_{r} X, J_{D} E_{k} \sin N q a \\
& +X^{2} X_{r} \quad X_{r}^{2} X, \sin (N \quad \text { 1)qa } \\
& +X_{r} \quad X, J_{D}^{2} \sin (N+1) q a: \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The tem poral integration in this expression, w ith the tim e dependence as given by Eq. (111), is now done analytically, separating the four poles of the denom inator in cos! $t$. The results, for a selected set of param eters, are shown in Fig. ${ }_{1}^{1}$ In

W e now relate the values of $Q$, for representative values of the pum ping am plitude $P$, to the pum ping contour, which is the closed curve the system traverses during a single cycle in the $X, X_{r}$ plane. Those curves are por-
 In each of the these gures, the left plate show $s$ the contours ofequaltransm ission (in black), the resonance lines (in red) and that section of the pum ping contour which lies near the transm ission $m$ axim a (in blue). The right plate exhibits the full pum ping contour. (T he num bers on the axes in the right plate indicate the scale of the pum ping contour.) W e begin w ith the situation at $\mathrm{P}=1$. At this value, as can be seen from Fig. 言 11 , the charge pum ped is vanishingly sm all. At this value, the pum ping contour indeed encloses only a sm allportion of the resonance line, far aw ay from the transm ission $m$ axim a (see Fig. ${ }_{\underline{1}}^{\mathbf{N}}$ ')


FIG . 3. The pum ping curve in the $X, ~ X_{r} p l a n e$, for $P=1$. $T$ he other param eters are the sam e as in $F$ ig. $\overline{11}$. T he right plate shows the full pumping contour; The left one depicts the contours of equal transm ission (in black), the resonance lines (in red), and the pum ping contour (in blue).
$N$ ext, we consider the case $P=3$, for which the pum ped charge is close to 1 (in units ofe). Exam ining $F$ ig. ' it is seen that in this case the pum ping contour encloses only the upper resonance line, including the transm ission peak there. For this value ofP, the pum ping contour begins to fold on itself (since $X$, and $X_{r}$ are de nitely positive), form ing a Lissa jous curve in that param eter plane. $T$ his tendency is of course enhanced as the pum ping am plitude $P$ increases. The charge rem ains close to 1 as long as the pum ping contour rem ains betw een the two branches of the resonance line, as in Fig.


FIG.4. The sam e as $F$ ig. 'rín, but for $P=3$. The other param eters are the sam e as in $F$ ig.

M oving to the case $P=5$ ( $F$ ig. $\bar{T}$ ), at which the pum ped charge is sm alland positive (see Fig. 'I'1'), we nd that now the pum ping contour encircles both transm ission peaks on the resonance lines; $T$ he separate contributions of the tw o resonances to the tem poral integration in Eq. (15) alm ost cancel one another, leading to a rather tiny value for the charge.


FIG. 5. The sam e as Fig. Tind but for $P=5$. The other param eters are the sam e as in $F$ ig.

F inally, we consider the case $P=10$. T he left plate in Fig. $\overline{6}$ is sim ilar to the left one in $F$ ig. $\overline{4} 1$, and indeed, the absolute value of $Q$ is close to the one in both cases. H ow ever, the sense in which the pum ping contour encircles the resonance is reversed in the two cases, as can be
 H ence, the pum ped charge changes sign.


FIG. 6. The sam e as Fig. $\overline{3}$, but for $\mathrm{P}=10$. The other param eters are the sam e as in $F$ ig. 1

W e now exam ine the pum ping when the phase shift of the m odulation [see Eq. (11)] is changed. Instead of taking $=0: 4$, which was used to plot the previous gures, we now choose $=0: 05$, keeping all other param eters as before. A though one $m$ ight have thought that th is sm aller value will reduce the $m$ agnitude of the pum ped charge, we now nd that id jattains the value of 2 , see Fig. $\mathbf{l}_{1}$.


FIG. 7. The pum ped charge, $Q$, in units of $e$, as function of the $m$ odulating am plitude, $P$. T he param eters are the sam e as in $F$ ig. $1_{1}^{1}$, except that $=0: 05$.

The next ve gures portray the pum ping contours relation to the resonance lines for representative values of the am plitude $P$, for the param eters of $F$ ig. $\overline{1} 1$. In $F$ ig. $\bar{i}$ we have $P=1$. Then the pum ping contour encloses just $\bar{a}$ sm all part of the upper resonance line, and also touches the peak on the low er resonance line. Indeed, $Q$ has an interm ediate value near $0: 5$, decreasing to zero as P m oves aw ay from 1 (see Fig. $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime} \bar{\prime}\right)$.


FIG.8. The pum ping curve in the $\mathrm{X}, ~ \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{r}}$ plane, for $\mathrm{P}=1$. $T$ he other param eters are the sam e as in $F$ ig. $\mathbf{1}_{1}$. T he right plate show s the full pum ping curve; $T$ he left one depicts the contours of equal transm ission (in black), the resonance lines (in red), and the pum ping contour (in blue).

Increasing the am plitude to the value $P=3$ reveals that the pum ping contour encloses both peaks on the resonance lines, and therefore their separate contributions alm ost cancel one another, leading to a tiny value of $Q$. A lso, the pum ping curve begins to fold on itself, giving rise to the sm all \bubble" close to the origin (see Fig. '\$/才). Follow ing the increase of that bubble as $P$ in enhanced leads to the situation in which the bubble encloses the low er resonance, and then the charge attains the value 1 (see Fig. $\underline{l}_{-1}^{\prime}$ ). A . A the bubble increases further, capturing the two resonance lines, as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 becom es very sm all.


FIG. 9. The sam e as $F$ ig. if, but for $P=3$. The other param eters are the sam e as in $F$ ig. $\bar{i}_{1}$.


FIG. 10. The same as Fig. $\overline{10}$, but for $P=5$. The other param eters are the sam e as in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$.1.

AsP grow son, we reach the interesting situation, depicted in $F$ ig. 1 cles tw ioe the upper resonance line, leading to a pum ped charge very close to Rej.


FIG. 11. The same as Fig. $\overline{1} \mathbf{1}$, , but for $P=8$. The other param eters are the same as in $F$ ig. . ${ }^{1} 1$.
$F$ inally, $F$ ig. ${ }^{1-12}$ 2n show $s$ the pum ping contour for $P=12$. The contour is seen to shift away from the resonance peaks, yielding a vanishingly sm all value for $Q$.


FIG. 12. The same as $F$ ig. 'id, but for $P=12$. The other param eters are the same as in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} \overline{7}_{1}$.

## III. C O N C LU SIO N S

W e have calculated the charge passing through a sm all quantum dot whose point contact conductances arem odulated periodically in tim e. T he calculation is carried out for non-interacting electrons, under the assum ption that the $m$ odulation frequency is $m$ uch $s m$ aller than the electronic relaxation rates. W e have found the conditions for obtaining integralvalues for the pum ped charge: $T$ he contour traversed by the system in the param eter plane spanned by the pum ping param eters (in our case, the point contact conductances) should encircle a signi cant portion of a resonance line (along which the transm ission of the quantum contact is optim al) in that plane. The $m$ agnitude and the sign of the pum ped charge are deter$m$ ined by that portion, and by the direction along which the resonance line is encom passed.

The reason for this observation can be traced back to the expression for the pum ped charge, Eqs. (1II) and (151). The contribution to the tem poralintegration com es $m$ ainly from the poles in the denom inator in Eq. (1-5). These sam e poles are also responsible for the resonant states of the nanostructure, the transm ission coe cient 1-2 2123 In this way, we have obtained a topologicaldescription for the phenom enon of adiabatic charge pum ping. O ne can now im agine m ore com plex scenarios: including higher harm onics of! in the tim e dependence of the point contact conductances can createm ore com plex Lissa joux contours, which m ight encircle portions of the resonance lines $m$ ore tim es, yielding higher quantized values of the pum ped charge.

Finally, it should be $m$ entioned that the results presented above are obtained at zero tem perature. At nite tem peratures, the expression for $Q$ should be integrated over the the electron energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$, w ith the Ferm i function derivative $@ f=@ E_{k}-22 ; 23!\mathrm{H}$ ence, upon the increase of the tem perature, the pum ped charge would be sm eared and suppressed. It would be very interesting to check the above predictions in $m$ ore com plicated $m$ odels (for exam ple, when there are several levels on each of the sites form ing the quantum dot), allow ing for a richer structure of the transm ission in the param eter space, and, of course, in real system s.
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