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#### Abstract

We investigate velocity statistics of hom ogeneous inelastic gases using the Boltzm ann equation. Employing an approxim ate uniform collision rate, we obtain analytic results valid in arbitrary dim ension. In the freely evolving case, the velocity distribution is characterized by an algebraic large velocity tail, $P(v ; t) \quad v$. The exponent ( $d$; ), a nontrivial root of an integral equation, varies continuously w ith the spatial dim ension, $d$, and the dissipation coe cient, . A though the velocity distribution follow s a scaling form, its $m$ om ents exhibit $m$ ultiscaling asym ptotic behavior. Further$m$ ore, the velocity autocorrelation function decays algebraically with tim $e, A(t)=h v(0) v(t) i \quad t$, with a non-universal dissipation-dependent exponent $=1=$. In the forced case, the steady state Fourier transform is obtained via a cum ulant expansion. Even in this case, velocity correlations develop and the velocity distribution is non -M axw ellian. PACS: $0520 \mathrm{Dd}, 02.50 .-\mathrm{r}, 47.70 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~d}, 45.70 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}$


## I. IN TRODUCTION

Inelastic gases consist of hard sphere particles that interact via contact interactions and dissipate kinetic energy upon collisions [1] 1]. They are used extensively to study dynam ics of granular $m$ aterials. N um erically, $m$ olecular dynam ics sim ulations are quite successfiul in m odeling m any of the observed collective phenom ena that include size segregation, phase transitions, shocks, clustering, and developm ent of other spatial structures $\overline{\operatorname{Rn}}\left\{1 \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. In parallel, kinetic theory is utilized to system atically derivem acroscopic properties from the $m$ icroscopic collision dynam ics [11 1 , 11

Inelastic gases, a prototype nonequilibrium interacting particle system, are interesting on their ow $n$ rights [14. $\left\{21_{1}^{1}\right]$. Recent theoretical and experim ental studies show that the velocity distributions exhibit anom alous large-velocity statistics w ith exponential, stretched exponential, and G aussian tails 16 involve signi cant velocity and spatial correlations in contrast w th traditional m olecular gases [2G[28]. K inetic theory assum es that spatial velocity correlations are small. W hile this assumption can be justi ed for strongly driven gases, the situation for freely evolving gases is $m$ ore di cult since velocity correlations can be
 m ust be taken into account in the asym ptotic chustering phase. C learly, the strong energy dissipation raises challenging new questions [ $\overline{3} 2 \overline{2}]$.

Yet, even $m$ ore elem entary questions rem ain unansw ered. For exam ple, random collision processes e ectively generate them al, purely M axw ellian, velocity distributions when the collisions are elastic. In particular, di erent com ponents of the velocity becom e uncorrelated. In this study, we consider these very sam e processes but w ith inelastic collisions. W e show that energy dissipation fundam entally alters the behavior. The system is intrinsically a nonequilibrium one, and the resulting velocity distributions are far from them al.

W e consider a collision process where random pairs of
particles undergo inelastic collisions with a random im pact direction. This process, often called the M axw ell m odel, is described by a Boltzm ann equation $w$ th a uniform collision rate. In classical kinetic theory of gases, the analytically tractable M axw ell m odel precedes the Boltzm ann equation $[\overline{3} \overline{3}]$ ]. Historically, it played an im -



Very recently, it has been noted that the M axw ell m odel is analytically tractable even for inelastic collisions [39, ind]. Interesting behavior em erges in the freely evolving case. In one dim ension, while $m$ om ents of the velocity distribution exhibit m ultiscaling [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{~B}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, the velocIty distribution itself still approaches a scaling form w ith an algebraic large velocity tail [4]]. Here, we show analytically that in arbitrary spatial dim ension the veloc-止 $y$ distribution adm its a scaling solution $w$ th an algebraic large velocity tail. The corresponding exponent, a root of a transcendental equation, depends on the spatial dim ension and the restitution coe cient. Additionally, we nd that the multiscaling behavior extends to higher dim ensions, and that the velocity autocorrelation function exhibits aging and nonuniversalasym ptotic behavior. In general, velocity com ponents develop signi cant correlations. Such correlations dim inish in the forced case, although the velocity distribution rem ains non $-M$ axw ellian.

The rest of this paper is organized as follow s. The basic Boltzm ann equation for the velocity distribution and its Fourier transform are presented in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we investigate the scaling regim e, and obtain the extrem al velocity statistics, $m$ om ents of the velocity scaling function, and velocity correlations. In Sec. IV , we illum inate the nonequilibrium dynam ics by studying the tim e dependent behavior of them om ents and the velocity autocorrelation function. In Sec. V, we consider nonequilibrium steady states in the driven case, and obtain the steady state distribution as a cum ulant expansion. A few generalizations are brie $y \mathrm{~m}$ entioned in Sec. VI, and conclusions are given in Sec. VII.

## II. THE M AXW ELL M ODEL

W e study a hom ogeneous system of identical inelastic spherical particles. The $m$ ass and the cross-section are set to unity w thout loss of generality. P articles interact via binary collisions that lead to exchange ofm om entum along the im pact direction. T he post-collision velocities $\mathrm{v}_{1 ; 2}$ are given by a linear com bination of the pre-collision velocities $u_{1 ; 2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{1 ; 2}=\mathrm{u}_{1 ; 2} \quad(1 \quad)(\mathrm{g} \quad \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{n}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $g=u_{1} \quad u_{2}$ is the relative velocity and $n$ the unit vector connecting the particles' centers. In each collision, the norm alcom ponent of the relative velocity is reduced by the restitution coe cient $r=12$. The energy dissipation equals $E=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & )(g h i) \text { so for }=0\end{array}\right.$ collisions are elastic, while for $=1=2$ collisions are perfectly inelastic w th m axim al energy dissipation. Since the collision rule ( $I_{1}^{1}$ ) is G alilean invariant, the average velocity can be set to zero w ithout loss of generality.

W e investigate the \M axw ell m odel" where the collision rate in the Boltzm ann equation equals the typical velocity, rather than the actual relative velocity [35, ind. $T$ his kinetic theory describes a stochastic process where random ly chosen pairs of particles undergo inelastic collisions according to (11) w ith a random ly chosen im pact direction $n$. In such a process, no spatialcorrelations develop, and the norm alized velocity distribution function, P (v; t), obeys

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@ P(v ; t)}{@ t}=g^{Z} d n^{Z} d u_{1} P\left(u_{1} ; t\right)^{Z} d u_{2} P\left(u_{2} ; t\right) \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{V} & \mathrm{u}_{1}+(1 & )(\mathrm{g} & \mathrm{n}) \mathrm{n}] \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{v} & 1
\end{array}\right): ~
\end{array}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he overall collision rate equals $g={ }^{P} \bar{T}$ where $T$ is the granular tem perature, or the ąverage velocity uctuation per degree of freedom, $T=\frac{1}{d} d v v^{2} P(v ; t) w$ ith $v \quad j j$. $T$ he restriction $g n>0$ on the angular integration range in Eq. (Zㄴ) can be tacitly ignored, because the integrand obeys the re ection symm etry $n_{R}$ ! $n$. This angular integration should be nom alized, $d n=1$.

W e study prim arily the freely evolving case where in the absence of energy input the system \cools" inde nitely. From the Boltzm ann equation $\overline{\mathbf{2}}$ ), the tem perature rate equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathrm{~T}=\quad \mathrm{T}^{3=2} ; \quad \mathrm{w} \text { ith } \quad=\frac{2(1}{\mathrm{d}}\right): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $=2(1 \quad)^{R} d n n_{1}^{2}$, is obtarined using the identity $\mathrm{n}_{1}^{2}+\ldots:::+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}=1$ that yields $\mathrm{dn} \mathrm{n}_{1}^{2}=1=\mathrm{d}$. Solving Eq. ( $\mathbf{3}$ ) we nd that the tem perature decays according to H a's cooling law [14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(t)=T_{0}(1+t=t)^{2} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the tim e scale $t=d=\quad\left(1 \quad f \overline{T_{0}}\right.$ set by the initial tem perature, $\mathrm{T}_{0}$.

G iven the convolution structure of the Boltzmann equation (2, ), we introduce the Fourier transform [36].] of the velocity distribution function,

$$
F(k ; t)={ }^{Z} d v e^{i k} v_{P}(v ; t):
$$

W e conveniently reset the collision rate to unity by m odifying the tim e variable. The collision counter is de ned via the transform ation $\frac{d}{d}=\frac{1}{\bar{T}} \frac{d}{d t}$. Speci cally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2}{-} \ln (1+t=t) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

equals the average num ber of collisions experienced by a particle. A pplying the Fourier transform to Eq. (2,i) and integrating over the velocities gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{@}{@} F(k ;)+F(k ;)=^{Z} \operatorname{dn} F \mathbb{k} \quad q ;\right] F[q ;] ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{q}=(1 \quad)\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{k} & \mathrm{n}\end{array}\right) \mathrm{n}$. This equation re ects the mo$m$ entum transfer occurring during collisions.

W e restrict our attention to isotropic situations, and write the Fourier transform $F(k ;) F(z ;)$ in term sof the variable $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{k}^{2}$. To perform the angular integration, it proves usefiul to em ploy spherical coordinates $w$ th the polar axis parallel to $k$, so that $\hat{k} \quad n=\cos$. The dependent factor of the $m$ easure $d n$ is proportional to $(\sin )^{d}{ }^{2} d$. In term s of the variable $=\cos ^{2}$ one has dn $D$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{B} \quad \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{\mathrm{d} \quad 1}{2} \mathrm{D}={ }^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 \quad)^{\frac{d}{2} 3} \mathrm{~d} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(a ; b)$ is the beta function. This integration $m$ easure is properly nom alized, ${ }_{1}^{R_{1}} \mathrm{D}=1$. H ereinafter, we denote angular integration w th brackets

$$
h f i=Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{f}() \text { : }
$$

The goveming equation $\left(\overline{T_{1}}\right)$ for the Fourier transform can now be rew ritten in the convenient from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@} F(z ;)+F(z ;)=F(z ;) F(z ;) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the shorthand notations $=1 \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \left.{ }^{2}\right)\end{array}\right.$ and $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & f\end{array}\right)$. H ence, the Fourier equation is both nonlinear and non-local. Interestingly, while it is di cult to integrate this equation $w$ ith respect to tim $e, m$ ost of the physically relevant features of the velocity distributions including large velocity statistics and the tim e dependent behavior of the $m$ om ents can be found analytically, as willbe show $n$ below .

N um erical sim ulations in two-dim ensions suggest that the velocity distribution approaches the scaling form [4] []

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(v ; t) \quad \frac{1}{T^{d=2}} P \quad P \frac{V}{T}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scaling form of the Fourier transform reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(k ; t)=(x) ; \quad w \text { ith } \quad x=k^{2} T: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the k ! 0 lim it, the Fourier transform behaves as $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{t})=1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{~T}$. This implies that the rst two term $s$ in the Taylor expansion of the corresponding scaling function are universal, $(x)=1 \quad \frac{1}{2} x$. Substituting the above scaling form into the goveming equation (1 $1 \underline{1}$ ) and using the tem perature cooling rate $\frac{d}{d} T=T$ yields the goveming equation for the scaling function

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}(x)+(x)=(x) \quad(x): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can check that the velocity distribution is purely $M$ axwellian $(x)=e^{x=2}$ in the elastic case [441]. Indeed,
$=0$ and $+=1$ in this case. A stochastic process of elastic collisions e ectively random izes the velocities and leads to a them aldistribution.

## A. A lgebraic tails

It is instructive to consider rst the one-dim ensional case. Here, integration over is immediate as this variable equals unity, and the scaling function satis es
$x^{0}(x)+(x)={ }^{2} x \quad(1 \quad)^{2} x$. Rem arkably, this non-local non-linear di erential equation adm its a very sim ple solution [4d]

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=1+{ }^{p} \bar{x} e^{p} \bar{x}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

P erform ing the inverse Fourier transform gives the veloc-止y distribution as a squared Lorentzian

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(w)=\frac{2}{-} 1+w^{2}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scaling solution (1-1 $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ is universal as it is independent of the dissipation coe cient. Its key feature is the algebraic tail, $P$ (w) w ${ }^{4}$ as jw j! 1 .

In general dim ension $d$, the large velocity behavior of the velocity distribution can be determ ined from the sm allw ave num berbehavior of its Fourier transform. For exam ple, the sm all-x expansion of the one-dim ensional solution (1-4) contains both regular and singular term $s:$
$(x)=1^{-\frac{1}{2}} x+\frac{1}{3} x^{3=2}+\quad$, and the dom inant singu$\operatorname{lar} x^{3=2}$ term re ects the $w^{4}$ tail of $P(w)$. In general, an algebraic tail of the velocity distribution (111),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { P (w) w as w! } 1 \text {; } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

indicates the existence of a singular com ponent in the Fourier transform,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{sing}(x) \quad x^{( } \quad d\right)=2 \quad \text { as } x!0: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse is also correct. T his gean be seen by recasting the Fourier transform $(x) / R_{1} d w w^{d}{ }^{1} P(w) e^{i w} \frac{1}{x}$ into a Laplace transform $I(s) /{ }_{0}^{R_{1}}$ dw w ${ }^{d}{ }^{1} P(w) e e^{s}$ by writing $x=s^{2}$. The sm all-s expansion of $I(s)$ contains regular and singular com ponents. For exam ple, when < d, the integral I(s) diverges as s ! 0 and integration over large-w yields the dom inant contribution $I_{\text {sing }}(s) \quad s{ }^{d}$. When $d<\quad<d+1, I(0)$ is nite, but the next term is the above singular term, so $I(s)=I(0)+I_{\text {sing }}(s)+\quad$. In general, the singular contribution is $I_{\text {sing }}(s) s{ }^{d}$, thereby leading to Eq. (17-1).

The exponent can be now obtained by inserting
$(x)=\operatorname{reg}(x)+\operatorname{sing}(x)$ into Eq. (1] ${ }^{-1}$ ) and balancing the dom inant singular term $\mathrm{s} . \mathrm{We}$ nd that is a root of the integralequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{2}=\quad(\mathrm{d})=2+(\quad \mathrm{d})=2: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his relation, originally derived in Refs. [4il ${ }^{2}$ be recast as an eigenvalue problem. Indeed, de ning
= h1 iwe can rewrite Eq. (1") as $=1$ w ith $=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2}$. N ote that 1 , so there is an obvious solution $=1$, or $=d+2$. In this case, the singular term sim ply coincides $w$ ith the dom inant regular term, $\left.x^{( } d\right)=2=x$. Hence, this solution is trivial and in the follow ing we shall seek a solution $w$ ith $>d+2$.

The integral equation (18) can also be rew ritten in term s of special functions. The rst integral on the righthand side of (18) can be expressed in term s of the hypergeom etric function ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{z})$ [ $\left.4 \mathrm{~A}_{2}{ }^{-1}\right]$ and the second as a ratio of beta functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 \quad\left(1 \quad \frac{d}{d}=\right.  \tag{19}\\
& { }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{2} ; \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{\mathrm{d}}{2} ; 1 \quad 2+\left(1, \quad \mathrm{~d} \frac{\frac{\mathrm{~d}+1}{2}}{\overline{2}} \frac{\frac{d}{2}}{2}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

W e conclude that the exponent ( d ; ) depends in a nontrivial fashion on the spatial dim ension $d$ as well as the dissipation coe cient.

First, let us investigate the dependence on the dissipation coe cient by considering the quasi-elastic lim it
! 0. In the elastic case, the M axw ellian distribution,
$(x)=e^{x=2}$, im plies a diverging exponent ! 1 as
! 0. Therefore, the righthand side of Eq . (19) vanishes in the quasi-elastic lim it and to leading order

$$
\begin{equation*}
, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{-} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

C learly, the quasi-elastic lim it is singular. D issipation, even if $m$ inute, seriously changes the nature of the system $[6,2$
system atic perturbation expansion in . W em erely quote the tw o leading corrections in the physically relevant di$m$ ensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 ;)=\frac{2}{2 e^{2}+1} \\
& \left.(3 ;)=\frac{4}{p} \quad \frac{4}{\frac{3}{2}}+6 e^{3}+1\right)^{2} \\
& \left(e^{2}+O\left({ }^{1=2}\right) ;\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we discuss the dependence on the dim ension. First, one can verify that $=4 \mathrm{when} d=1$ by utilizing the identity ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{z})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{z}\end{array}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$. In the innite dim ension lim it, the second integral $h^{(d)=2} i$ in Eq. (1-q) is negligible as it vanishes exponentially with the dim ension. To evaluate the second integral we take the $\lim$ its $d!1$ and ! 0 w th $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{d}=2$ xed. $T$ he integration $m$ easure ( (8, ) is transform ed according to D ! ( z ) ${ }^{1=2} e_{R_{1}}^{z} d z$, and the basic Eq. (1 $\left.\bar{\delta}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ becom es $\left.\left.1 \quad(1 \quad) u={ }_{0}^{R_{1}} d z(z)^{1=2} e^{[1+(1}\right)^{2}\right)_{u} \bar{z} w$ th the shorthand notation $u=\bar{d} \quad 1$. Perform ing the integration yields $1 \quad(1 \quad) u=\left[1+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right) u\right]^{1=2}$. This cubic equation has the aforem entioned trivial solution $u=0$ and two non-trivial solutions. Choosing the physically relevant u, we obtain that as d! 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{d}=\frac{1+\frac{3}{2} \quad{ }^{3} \quad{ }^{1=2} 1+\frac{5}{4}^{1=2}}{\left(1^{2}\right)}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.1. The exact exponent, obtained from Eq. (1919), versus the dissipation param eter . T he exponent was scaled by the dim ension d. Shown also is the lim iting large dim ension expression (2 (21).

In general, / d, and therefore, the algebraic decay becom es shanper as the dim ension increases. The exponent ( $d$; ) increases $m$ onotonically $w$ th increasing $d$, and additionally, it increasesm onotonically $w$ ith decreasing (see Fig. 1). B oth features are intuitive as they $m$ irror the $m$ onotonic dependence of the energy dissipation rate $=2(1 \quad)=d$ on $d$ and. Hence, the com -
pletely inelastic case provides a low er bound for the exponent, ( d ; ) $\quad(\mathrm{d} ; ~=1=2) \mathrm{w}$ th $\quad(\mathrm{d} ; 1=2)=6: 28753$, $8: 32937$, for $d=2,3$, respectively. $N$ um erical sim ulation results are consistent w th the form er value [46]. T he algebraic tails are characterized by unusually large exponents which $m$ ay be di cult to $m$ easure accurately in practioe; for instance, typical granular particles are characterized by the dissipation coe cient 0:1 yielding

30 in three dim ensions. $F$ igure 1 also show $s$ that the quantity $=d$ weakly depends upon the dim ension, and the large-d lim it (2 $\mathbf{I}_{1}^{1}$ ) provides a good approxim ation even at $m$ oderate dim ensions.

## B. D ivergence of the $m$ om ents

The algebraic tail of the velocity distribution im plies that su ciently sm all m om ents of the scaling function
( $x$ ) are nite, while $m$ om ents larger than som $e$ index diverge. In the scaling regim $e, m$ om ents of the velocity distribution can be calculated by expanding the Fourier transform in powers of $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\underbrace{X}_{n \quad 0}{ }_{n}(x)^{n}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cients $n$ yield the leading Rsym ptotic behavior of the velocity $m$ om ents, $M_{k}(t)=d v v^{k} P(v ; t)$, via the relation $(2 n)!T^{n} n^{\prime} h^{n} i_{2 n}$. Inserting the mo$m$ ent expansion into the goveming equation ( $1_{1}^{-1} 3_{1}^{\prime}$ ) yields the closed hierarchy of equations

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{n} & \mathrm{n} & 1 \tag{23}
\end{array}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathbb{X}_{m=1}^{1} \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~m} ;
$$

with $n=h 1 \quad n \quad{ }^{n}$ i and $m ; 1=h{ }^{m} \quad l_{i}$. The rst few coe cients arew ritten explicitly in A ppendix A. Starting w th $0=1$ and $1=1=2$, further coe cients are deter$m$ ined recursively from (23). In the elastic case $(=0)$, one has ${ }_{n}=\left(n!2^{n}\right)^{1}$, consistent with $(x)=e^{x=2}$. For general , the rst two term s are

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2=\frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{1} 3 \frac{1-2}{d+2}  \tag{24}\\
& 3 \frac{1+2}{d+2}
\end{aligned}, \begin{aligned}
& 3=\frac{1}{48} \frac{1}{1} 3 \frac{1-2}{d+2} \\
& 3 \frac{1+2}{d+2}
\end{align*} \frac{13 \frac{(1 \quad)(1+3)}{d+2}+30 \frac{(1 \quad)\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)}{(d+2)(d+4)}}{13 \frac{(1+)^{2}}{d+2}+10 \frac{(1)(3+2)}{(d+2)(d+4)}}: l
$$

The behavior is determ ined by two param eters: d and. $F$ ixing, we see that a given $m$ om ent $n$ is nite only if the dim ension is su ciently large, $d>d_{n}()$. In particular, $n$ is nite only if the lefthand side of Eq. ${ }^{\prime}(2,3)$ is positive, $n n_{1}>0$. This condition is satis ed only if the dim ension is su ciently large $d>d_{n}$, w ith $d_{n}$ being the spatial dim ension at which $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}{ }_{1}$. For example, ${ }_{2}>0$ when $d>d_{2}$, and 3 is nite only when $d>d_{3}$ w ith the follow ing crossover dim ensions
$d_{2}=1+3^{2} ;$
(25)
$d_{3}=\frac{3}{2}{ }^{2}+2 \quad 1+\frac{1}{2} P \overline{25} 60+186^{2} 4^{3}+49^{4}:$
C onversely, for a xed dim ension, a given m om ent is nite only if the dissipation is su ciently sm all. For example, 3 is positive only when $<0: 302074 ; 0: 427438$ at $d=2 ; 3$. Such values, obtained by solving polynom ial equations yield integer values of the large velocity decay exponent $(2 ; 0: 302074)=8$ and $(3 ; 0: 427438)=9$, in accord w ith direct num erical solution of Eq. (19).

## C.Velocity correlations

$M$ axw ell's sem inalderivation of the $M$ axw ellian distribution (see Ref. [2G], p.36) relies on tw o basic assum ptions: (1) Isotropy of the velocity distribution, and (2) A bsence of correlationsbetw een the velocity com ponents. $T$ he latter assum ption is directly probed using the follow ing correlation $m$ easure

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{h v_{x}^{2} v_{y}^{2} i}{h v_{x}^{2} i h v_{x}^{2} i} i h v_{y}^{2} i \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

A non-vanishing $Q$ indicates that velocity correlations do exist, and the larger $Q$ the larger the correlation. In the freely evolving case, this quantity easily follow from the sm all-x behavior of the scaling function
(x). By de nition, h $h_{x}^{2} i=h v_{y}^{2} i=T$ and furtherm ore, $h v_{x}^{2} v_{y}^{2} i=\frac{d^{2}}{@ k_{x}^{2}} \frac{Q^{2}}{\varrho k_{y}^{2}} F_{k=0}=4 T^{2}{ }^{\infty}(0)$. C onsequently, one has $Q=4^{\infty}(0) \quad 1=8 \quad 2 \quad$ 1. U sing Eq. (24) we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{6^{2}}{d\left(1+3^{2}\right)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ hen $d>d_{2}=1+3^{2}$, and $Q=1$ otherw ise. $W$ hile the quantity $Q$ is physicalw hen $d \quad 2$, it is sensible to use analytic continuation to reveal the underlying divergence. Velocity correlations vanish for elastic gases. Interestingly, inelasticity introduces strong velocity correlations, and the larger the larger the correlations as Q increases m onotonically w ith increasing . T he perfectly inelastic case ( $=1=2$ ) again provides a bound: $Q<Q_{m a x}=6$, $6=5$ for $d=2,3$, respectively. This behavior is som ew hat intuitive as the unisotropic collision rule (11) discrim inates the velocity com ponent norm al to the im pact direction.

## IV. NONEQUILIBRIUM DYNAMICS

Thus far, we focused on the leading asym ptotic behavior of the velocity distribution. T he diverging m om ents and the dissipative nature ofthis system suggest that the tim e dependence may exhibit rich behavior. T hus, we study relaxation of velocity characteristics such as the m om ents and the autocorrelation function.

## A. M ultiscaling of the $m$ om ents

W hile $m$ om ents of the scaling function diverge, the actual $m$ om ents $m$ ust rem ain nite at all tim es, particularly at the scaling regim $e$. T herefore, the above $m$ om ent analysis suggests that know ledge of the leading asym ptotic behavior is not su cient to characterize the time dependent behavior of su ciently large $m$ om ents.

The tim e evolution of the $m$ om ents can be studied using the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z ;)=X_{n=0}^{X^{n}} f_{n}()(z)^{n}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The actual m om ents are related to the coe cients via $(2 n)!!_{n}=h{ }^{n}$ iM $_{2 n}$. Substituting the expansion (28) into (110) yields the evolution equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d} f_{n}+{ }_{n} f_{n}=\mathbb{X}_{m=1}^{1}{ }_{m ; n} f_{m} f_{n}: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e dem onstrate m ultiscaling asym ptotic behavior by evaluating the second, fourth, and sixth $m$ om ents. T he second $m$ om ent is obtained from $\frac{d}{d} f_{1}+{ }_{1} f_{1}=0 w$ ith
$1=2(1 \quad)=d$. Hence, we recover Ha 's law $f_{1}()=f_{1}(0) e^{1}$, or $f_{1}(t)=f_{1}(0)(1+t=t)^{2}$. A sym ptotically, the second $m$ om ent of the velocity distribution has the universal behavior, $M_{2} t^{2}$. The next coe cient $f_{2}$ satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{f}_{2}+{ }_{2} \mathrm{f}_{2}={ }_{1 ; 1} f_{1}^{2}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

 oftw o exponentials, e ${ }^{2}$ and $e^{2} 1$, whose decay coefcients are equal $2=_{1}$ at the crossover dim ension $d_{2}$. Integrating the rate equation (3G) and translating back to the physical tim e $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(t)=C_{1}(1+t=t)^{4}+C_{2}(1+t=t)^{2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

ford $d_{2}$. Here, $n=n={ }_{1}, C_{1}={ }_{1 ; 1} f_{1}^{2}(0)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & 2\end{array}\right)$, and $C_{2}=f_{2}(0) \quad C_{1} \cdot W$ hen $d=d_{2}$ one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{C}_{1} \ln (1+\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{C}_{2}(1+\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t})^{4} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $C_{1}={ }_{1 ; 1} f_{1}^{2}(0)$ and $C_{2}=f_{2}(0)$. Thus for $d>d_{2}$, the fourth $m$ om ent exhibits ordinary scaling, $f_{2} t^{4}$, or $M_{4} \quad M_{2}^{2} \quad t^{4}$. W hen $d<d_{2}, m u l t i s c a l i n g$ becom es apparent as $\mathrm{f}_{2} \quad \mathrm{t}^{2}{ }_{2}$ and therefore the ratio $\mathrm{M}_{4}=\mathrm{M} 2_{2}^{2}$ diverges asym ptotically. This is consistent $w$ ith the divergence of the fourth m om ent of the scaling function
( x ) that occurs at the sam e crossover dim ension $\mathrm{d}_{2}$. A logarithm ic correction occurs at this dim ension. In sum m ary, we nd the follow ing leading asym ptotic behavior of the fourth $m$ om ent

$$
M_{4} \text { (t) } \quad \begin{array}{lll}
t^{4} & d>d_{2} \\
t^{4} \ln t & d=d_{2}  \tag{33}\\
t^{2} & d<d_{2}
\end{array}
$$

A sim ilar calculation can be carried for the sixth mo $m$ ent. The solution of $\frac{d}{d} f_{3}+{ }_{3} f_{3}=(1 ; 2+2 ; 1) f_{1} f_{2}$, $w$ ith $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ given above involves three exponentials: $e^{3}$, $\left.e^{\left(1^{+}\right.} 2^{2}\right)$, and $e^{3}{ }^{1}$. A sym ptotically, the rst exponential dom inates $w$ hen $d<d_{3}$, and consequently, $M_{6} \quad t^{2}{ }^{3}$; otherw ise, the third exponential dom inates and thence ordinary scaling occurs, $M_{6} \quad t^{6}$. Generally, the leading asym ptotic behavior of the $2 n$-th $m$ om ent is characterized by two di erent regim es

$$
M_{2 n}(t) \quad \begin{array}{ll}
t^{2 n} & d>d_{n}, \\
t^{2 n} \ln t & d=d_{n},  \tag{34}\\
t^{2} n & d<d_{n} .
\end{array}
$$

Further logarithm ic corrections a ecting sub-dom inant term $s$ occur at the crossover dim ensions $d_{2} ;::: ; d_{n} \quad 1$.
$T$ he dependence of $d_{n}()$ on the dissipation coe cient is shown in F igure 2. In the physicaldim ensions $d=2 ; 3$, the fourth m om ent exhibits ordinary scaling behavior. T he sixth orderm om ent exhibitsm ultiscaling if the dissipation coe cient is large enough: $>0: 302074 ; 0: 427438$ for $d=2 ; 3$, respectively. In the large $n \lim$ it, $n!1$ so from $n_{n}=n_{1}$ we nd $\mathrm{q}_{1}$ ! $2(1 \quad \mathrm{n}$. Thus, regardless of the dissipation param eters and the dim ension, su ciently large $m$ om ents exhibit $m$ ultiscaling:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2 n} / M_{2}^{n} ; \quad{ }_{n}={ }_{n}={ }_{1}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Interestingly, the m ultiscaling exponents saturate asym ptotically, $n$ ! $d=2(1)$ as $n!1$. Ofcourse, if the dim ension increases or the dissipation param eter decreases, the order of the low est m om ent exhibiting mul tiscaling increases, and in practice, it $m$ ay be di cult to observe deviations from ordinary scaling. For exam ple, at $d=3$ and $=0: 1$, multiscaling occurs only for m om ents w hose index exceeds 30 !


FIG . 2. The crossover dim ensions $d_{n}()$ of Eq. $\underbrace{(25)}_{-}$versus the dissipation coe cient for $\mathrm{n}=2,3$.

The autocorrelation function quanti esmem ory in the velocity of a tagged particle [2]. The velocity autocorrelation, $A\left(t_{w} ; t\right)$, is de ned via

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(t_{w} ; t\right)=\overline{v\left(t_{w}\right) \quad v(t)} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the overline denotes averaging over all particles and $t_{w}$ is the \waiting" tim $e, t_{w}<t$.

It is sim ple to show (see appendix B) that the autocorrelation evolves according to the follow ing linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d} A\left({ }_{w} ;\right)=\frac{1}{d} A(w ;) ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where tim $e$ is again expressed in term $s$ of the collision counters $w$ and . Equation $(3 \lambda)$ is solved to give $A(\mathrm{w} ;)=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{w}) \exp \left[\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}}(\quad \mathrm{w})\right]$, or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(t_{w} ; t\right)=A_{0}\left(1+t_{w}=t\right)^{1=}{ }^{2}(1+t=t)^{1=} ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{A}_{0}=\mathrm{dT} \mathrm{T}_{0}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}} ; \mathrm{t}\right)$ is a function of the $w$ aiting tim e $t_{w}$ and the observation tim $e t$, and not sim ply of their di erence, $t t_{0}$. This interesting history dependence or \aging" is another signature of the nonequilibrium nature of our system.
$M$ em ory of the initial conditions can be quanti ed by setting $t_{w}=0 . W$ riting $A(t) \quad A(0 ; t)$ we arrive at the follow ing algebraic decay

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(t)=A_{0}(1+t=t)^{1=}: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contrast with the tem perature which decays $w$ ith a universal law, $T(t) \quad t^{2}$, the autocorrelation decays w ith a non-universal law, A ( t ) $\mathrm{t}^{\text {1= }}$. The exponent is independent of the dim ension. H ow ever, it strongly depends on the dissipation, and the stronger the dissipation, the stronger the $m$ em ory of the initial conditions. $T$ his decay exponent is bounded by $2 \quad 1=1$. In the elastic case, $=0$, a sim ple exponentialdecay occurs, and in the totally inelastic case, $=1=2$, the autocorrelation and the tem perature are proportional to each other.

T he autocorrelation function allow s calculation of the long-tim e spread in the position of a tagged particle

2 ( $t$ ) $h j x(t) \quad x(0) \mathcal{j} i . U \operatorname{sing} x(t) \quad x(0)=\int_{0}^{t} d t^{0} v\left(t^{0}\right)$, one can im m ediately express ${ }^{2}$ ( $t$ ) via the autocorrelation fiunction, $\quad 2=2 \int_{0}^{R_{t}} d t^{0}{ }_{0}^{R^{0}} d t^{\infty} A\left(t^{\infty} ; t^{0}\right)$. Substituting (38) into this expression and perform ing the integration yields $\quad{ }^{2}(t)=C_{1} \ln (1+t=t)+C_{2} \quad(1+t=t)^{1} \quad 1=1$ w ith $\mathrm{C}_{1}=2 \mathrm{~A}_{0} \mathrm{t}^{2}=\left(1 \quad\right.$ ) and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{1}=(1 \quad)$. A sym ptotically, the second term is negligible, and the spread has a generic logarithm ic behavior

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P} \frac{}{\ln t} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

re ecting the $t^{1}$ decay of the overall velocity scale [4711481].

## V.STEADY STATES

Thus far, we have discussed freely cooling system $s$ where the energy decreases inde nitely. In typical experim ental situations, how ever, the system is supplied w ith energy to balance the energy dissipation [6\{1, Theoretically, it is natural to consider white noise forcing $\left[22,49_{1}^{9}\right]$ i.e., coupling to a therm al heat bath which leads to a nonequilibrium steady state. Interestingly, a stretched exponential behavior, $P(v) / \exp \left(\mathrm{v}^{3=2}\right)$, is found for the driven inelastic hard sphere gas [22].

Speci cally, we assum e that in addition to changes due to collisions, velocitiesm ay also change due to an extemal forcing: $\frac{d v_{j}}{d t}$ jheat $=j \mathrm{w}$ th $j=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{d} . \mathrm{W}$ e use standard uncorrelated white noise $h_{i}(t)_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) i=2 D$ ij (t $\left.t^{0}\right)$ $w$ ith a zero average $h_{j i}=0$. The rate equation for the tem perature is modi ed by the additional source term $\frac{d}{d t} T+T^{3=2}=2 D$, and the system approaches a steady state, $\mathrm{T}_{1}=(2 \mathrm{D}=)^{2=3}$. T he relaxation tow ard this state is exponential, $\boldsymbol{F}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~T} j e^{\text {const: } t}$.

U ncorrelated white noise forcing am ounts to di usion in velocity space. Therefore, Eq. (7, $)$ is modi ed as follow $S, \frac{@}{@}!\frac{@}{@}+D k^{2}$. In the steady state, the Fourier transform,$F_{1}(k) \quad(y) w$ ith $y=D k^{2}$, obeys

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+y) \quad(y)=\quad(y) \quad(y): \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is solved recursively by em ploying the cumulant expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
(y)=\exp _{n=1}^{\text {" }}{ }_{n}(y)^{n} \quad \text { : } \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cumulants $n$, de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(k)=\exp _{m=1}^{\prime X^{\#}} \frac{m(i k)^{m}}{m!} ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

are related to the cofe cients $n$, viz. $n=(2 n) \mathbb{D}^{n}{ }_{n}^{n}$. W riting $1+y=\exp _{n \quad 1}(y)^{n}=n$, we recast $E q \cdot(4 \overline{1})$ into

$$
\begin{equation*}
1= \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the auxiliary variables $e_{n}=n\left(1 n^{n}\right)$. The desired cum ulants $n$ are obtained by evaluating recursively the angular integrals of the auxiliary variables, $h e_{n} i$, and then using the identities $n=h e_{n} i={ }_{n}$. In one dim ension, $h^{n} i=1$ and one im m ediately obtains $h e_{n} i=n{ }^{1}$, and consequently $n \quad n=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 2 n & (1 & )^{2 n}\end{array}\right]^{1}\left[\begin{array}{lll}{\left[3 g_{1}^{1}\right.}\end{array}\right]$. In higher dim ensions, the quantities $h e_{n} i$ acquire nontrivial dependence on $n$, e.g., $h^{\mathrm{e}_{1} i}=1$, $\mathrm{he}_{2} i=\frac{1}{2} h^{\mathrm{e}_{1}^{2}} i$, and $h e_{3} i=h e_{1} e_{2} i \quad \frac{1}{6} h e_{1}^{3} i$. The rst few values for $n$ can be then evaluated. In particular, $1=1=1$ and
$2=(1 \quad)^{2}=2 \begin{array}{lll}2 \\ 1 & 2\end{array}$, from which one can determ ine explicit expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=\frac{d}{2(1))^{2}}  \tag{45}\\
& 2=\frac{3 d^{2}}{4(d+2)\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right) \quad 12(1 \quad)^{2}\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the steady state distribution is not purely M axw ellian.

T o probe velocity correlations or altematively, deviations from a factorizing $M$ axw ellian distribution, we consider the quantity $Q$, de ned in Eq. $1(2 \overline{2})$. At the steady state, 达 is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{{ }^{\infty}(0)}{\left[{ }^{0}(0)\right]^{2}} \quad 1: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In tem s of the nst tw o coe cients of the cum ulant expansion, $Q=22_{2}={ }_{1}^{2}$. Substituting the value of these coe cients yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{6^{2}(1)}{(d+2)(1+) \quad 3(1 \quad)\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)}: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that for a xed spatial dim ension, this quantity is m axim al in the com pletely inelastic case. For instance, $Q_{m a x}=2=11$ in two dimensions and $Q_{m a x}=2=15$ in three dim ensions. These values are sm aller by an order of $m$ agnitude or $m$ ore than the corresponding values in the unforced case. Intuitively, one expects that white noise forcing random izes the velocities of the particles. Indeed, velocity correlations are much less pronounced in this case, as seen in $F$ igure 3. A dditionally, velocIy correlations dim inish as the dim ension increases. At large dim ensions, velocity correlations vanish according to $Q \quad d^{1}$, indicating that the velocity distribution becom es purely $M$ axw ellian, ( $y$ ) ! $\exp (y=2)$, when d! 1 .


FIG.3. The velocity correlation $m$ easure $Q$ versus the dissipation coe cient. T he scaling regim e result (27) is shown in the top graph, and the steady state result (471) is show in the bottom graph.

The above results can be generalized in a num ber of ways. For exam ple, the developm ent of spatial correlations can be considered by placing particles on a lattioe and allow ing for nearest-neighbor collisions only. In this section we brie y mention tw o straightforw ard generalizations to: (1) energy generating collisions, and (2) distribution of restitution coe cients.

Thus far, we discussed only the physical case of dissipative collisions, nam ely < 0. H ow ever, the above results in the freely evolving case hold for energy generating collisions, i.e., $>0$ as well. A though the typical velocity scale diverges, the velocity distribution still follow s the scaling solution ( $1 \overline{11}_{1}^{1}$ ) w ith algebraic large velocity statistics. The corresponding exponent is stillobtained from E q. ( 1$]_{1}^{1}$ ). H ow ever, the behavior does change, as follow sfrom the analytically tractable d! 1 behavior. In contrast w ith the dissipative case, the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{g_{1}}\right)$ now dom inates, and it grow s exponentially as / a ${ }^{(-1-d)=2}$. Since the left-hand side of Eq. ( 1 equal to one. On the other hand, the constant a is evaluated using the Stirling form ula ( $x$ ) $(x=e)^{x}$ to give $a=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & \left.)^{2}(d) d^{d=( } d\right) \quad=(d) . \text { Equating } a=1, ~\end{array}\right.$ we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{d} ; \quad \mathrm{w} \text { th } \quad(\quad 1) \quad \overline{1}=(1 \quad)^{2}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hile the exponent rises linearly w ith the dim ension, it exhibit di erent -dependence. Num erical solution of shows that this large dim ension estim ate again yields a usefulapproxim ation even at $m$ oderate dim ensions.

Several recent studies have used a distribution of restitution coe cients to $m$ odel driven granular system $s$, including for exam ple, a one-dim ensional gas of rods w ith intemal degrees of freedom $[5][1]$, and vertically vibrated layers [52']. By tuning the distribution properly, one can have a situation w ere overall, energy is conserved as dissipative collisions are balanced by energy generating collisions. $W$ hen the restitution coe cient is draw $n$ from the distribution ( ), one sim ply integrates the collision integral in the Boltzm ann equation (21) w ith respect to the $m$ easure ( ). In one dim ension, one can check that the scaling solution $(x)=1+{ }^{P} \bar{x} e^{p} \bar{x}$ stillholds, and in particular the exponent $=4$ is robust. In generaldi$m$ ension, the exponent is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad \frac{d}{2}={ }^{Z} \quad()^{(d)=2}+(\quad d)=2 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the decay rate $={ }^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{d}$ ( ) ( ). W e conclude that algebraic large-velocity statistics extend to situations where the dissipation coe cient is drawn from a given distribution.

W e have studied inelastic gases within the fram ew ork of the $M$ axw ellm odel, a Boltzm ann equation $w$ ith a uniform collision rate. $W$ e have show $n$ that this kinetic theory is analytically tractable as closed evolution equations characterize the Fourier transfom and consequently mo$m$ ents of the velocity distribution. In the freely evolving case, the system approaches a scaling regim e, and the velocity distribution has an algebraic large velocity tail. $T$ he corresponding exponent varies continuously w th the spatialdim ension and the degree of dissipation. T he decay exponents can be very large and therefore it $m$ ay be di cult to distinguish a power law from a stretched exponential. In the driven case, we have determ ined the cum ulants of the velocity distribution.

The tim e dependent behavior displays a num ber of interesting features. $M$ om ents of the velocity distribution exhibit multiscaling asym ptotic behavior, and knowledge of the typical velocity is insu cient to characterize all $m$ om ents. T he velocity autocorrelation decays algebraically $w$ th tim e, and the corresponding exponent depends on the restitution coe cient only.

In contrast w ith elastic collisions, stochastic inelastic collision processes are note ective in $m$ ixing particle velocities. The stronger the inelasticity, the stronger the history dependence, i.e., $m$ em ory of previous behavior. A dditionally, inelasticity can generate signi cant correlations betw een di erent velocity com ponents. Such correlations do develop even in the forced case, where dissipation is balanced by energy input, and one $m$ ay expect that $M$ axw ellian velocity distribution em erge.

The $M$ axw ellm odel is truly $m$ ean eld in nature $w$ ith all aspects of the collision process being random. W hile it is not surprising that such a theory is solvable, the rich structure of the solution is som ew hat unexpected. For exam ple, the exponent follow sfrom a transcendental equation, and can not be obtained from heuristic argu$m$ ents or dim ensional analysis. Rem arkably, even the leading asym ptotic behavior in the large dim ension lim it rem ains nontrivial as it involves roots of cubic or transcendental equations.

W e have explored only the basic characteristics. C learly, one can study higher order velocity correlation $m$ easures as well as higher order autocorrelations. Furthem ore, the relaxation tow ard the steady state appears analytically tractable. The straightforw ard analysis is cum bersom e and it $m$ ay be useful to expand rst the solutions in term s of m ore natural building blocks, e.g., orthogonalpolynom ials.

W e stress that the $M$ axw ellm odel is exact for stochastic inelastic collision processes with random collision partners and im pact angles. It $m$ ay be applicable in situations where an e ective stirring $m$ echanism leads to perfect $m$ ixing. O therw ise, it should be regarded as an uncontrolled approxim ation of the Boltzm ann equation. Indeed, existing theoretical and num erical studies give
little evidence for algebraic tails characterizing inelastic gases. The only exception was observed in a system with random restitution coe cients draw $n$ from abroad distribution. In one dim ension, both $m$ olecular dynam ics sim ulation and direct integration of the Boltzm ann equation for inelastic hard spheres show that the velocily distribution has a power law tail [5]

In conclusion, our results, com bined w ith previous kinetic theory studies that nd exponential, stretched exponential, and G aussian tails, indicate that extrem alvelocity characteristics can be sensitive to the details of the $m$ odel, let alone param eters such as the restitution coe cient, and the dim ension.
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## APPENDIXA:THE -COEFFICIENTS

To compute the coe cients $n=h 1 \quad{ }^{n} \quad{ }^{n}$ i and $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{m}$ iweuse $=1 \quad\left(1^{2}\right)$ and $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { ). }\end{array}\right.$ $T$ hus, the follow ing integrals are required

$$
\left.\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i}=\frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2} \quad \mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{n}+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \frac{3}{2+\mathrm{d}} \quad \frac{2(\mathrm{n}}{2} 1\right)+1 .
$$

In particular, $h i=\frac{1}{d} ; h^{2} i=\frac{3}{d(d+2)} ; h^{3} i=\frac{15}{d(d+2)(d+4)}$, so the rst few coe cients are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=2 \quad\left(1 \quad \frac{1}{d} ;\right. \\
& 2=2(1 \quad 2) \frac{1}{d} \quad 2(1 \quad)^{2} 1+{ }^{2} \frac{3}{d(d+2)} ; \\
& 3=3\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right) \frac{1}{d} \quad 3\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{2} \frac{3}{d(d+2)} \\
& +2\left(1 \quad \beta\left(3+{ }^{2}\right) \frac{15}{d(d+2)(d+4)} ;\right. \\
& { }_{1 ; 1}=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & )^{2} \frac{1}{d} \quad(1 & )^{2}(1 & { }^{2}
\end{array}\right) \frac{3}{d(d+2)}:
\end{aligned}
$$

## APPENDIX B:THEAUTOCORRELATION EVOLUTION EQUATION

It is useful to work with the collision counter. In an in nitesim al tim e interval , the velocity of a particle changes from $v \quad v()$ to
$\left.v(+\quad)=\begin{array}{llll}v \\ v & (1 & \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{v} & \mathrm{u}) & \mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}\end{array} \mathrm{prob}\right.$ prob. 1

Here $u$ is chosen random ly from all particles and the im pact direction $n$ is draw $n$ from a uniform distribution. The rate of change in the autocorrelation function $A(w ;)=\overline{v\left({ }_{w}\right) \quad V()}$ is evaluated as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.=(1 \quad) \quad d u P(u ;) d n \overline{\left[v\left({ }_{w}\right)\right.} n\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(v & u)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{d} \overline{v(w) \quad v()}+\frac{1}{d} \quad d u P(u ;) \overline{v(w)} u \\
& =\frac{1}{d} A(w ;): \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

 was perform ed using the identity

$$
H(a ; b)=d^{Z}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & n
\end{array}\right)(b \quad n) \stackrel{1}{d}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b
\end{array}\right):
$$

This identity can be deduced by rew riting the integralas H ( $a ; b$ ) $=a \quad h(b)$. By symmetry, $h(b)=d n n(b \quad n)$ is a vector along $b$, say $b$, im plying $H(a ; b)=(a \quad b)$. Evaluating the special case $H(a ; a)=h i^{2}$ we obtain (B_2). $F$ inally, the second term in the third line vanishes, $v(w) u()=0$, since the velocity $u()$ of the random ly chosen collision partner is uncorrelated with $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{w})$.

