

Universality in Glassy Low Temperature Physics

Reimer Kuhn

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg,
Philosophenweg 19, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
(Dated: 30. December 2001)

We propose a microscopic translationally invariant glass model which exhibits two level tunneling systems with a broad range of asymmetries and barrier heights in its glassy phase. Their distribution is qualitatively different from what is commonly assumed in phenomenological models, in that symmetric tunneling systems are systematically suppressed. Still, the model exhibits the usual glassy low temperature anomalies. Universality is due to the collective origin of the glassy potential energy landscape. We obtain a simple explanation also for the mysterious quantitative universality expressed in the unusually narrow universal glassy range of values for the internal friction plateau.

PACS numbers: 05.20.-y, 61.43.Fs, 64.70.Pf, 65.60.+a

The physics of glassy systems at low temperatures differs strikingly from that of their crystalline counterparts [1]. Differences are observed in thermal properties, in transport phenomena, and in dielectric and acoustic response, and are believed to be due to the existence of tunneling excitations with a broad range of energy splittings and relaxation times, which are absent in crystals. The phenomenological standard tunneling model (STM) [2], and its generalization, the soft potential model (SPM) [3], which paraphrase this idea quantitatively were for many years considered to provide a satisfactory rationalization of glassy low temperature physics.

Questions into the origin of the universality of these phenomena [4] have not yet found clearcut answers; see, however, [5]. In particular, the remarkable degree of quantitative universality (e.g., the narrow range of values for sound-attenuation in the 1 K regime) has remained a mystery so far. Also, relations between low- and high-temperature phenomena could not possibly emerge from phenomenological descriptions. Moreover, a number of recent experiments [6, 7, 8] are difficult to reconcile with predictions of prevalent models.

In order to better understand the successes and limitations of the phenomenological approaches, we have recently proposed microscopic models for glassy low temperature physics [9, 10] using heuristics taken from spin-glass theory. The purpose of the present letter is to improve upon [9, 10] with respect to both modeling and scope of analysis. We investigate a glass model described by the Hamiltonian $H = \sum_i \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + U_{\text{int}}(f u_i g)$ with a translationally invariant interaction energy of the form

$$U_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ij} J_{ij} (u_i - u_j)^2 + \frac{g}{2N} \sum_{ij} (u_i - u_j)^4 \quad (1)$$

The u_i designate deviations of particle coordinates from some reference positions, and (1) may be thought of as arising from a Born von Karman expansion of the full interaction energy about these positions (taken to be stationary but not necessarily stable). As before [9, 10], glassy properties are modeled by assuming the expan-

sion coefficients at the harmonic level to be Gaussian random couplings with mean $\overline{J_{ij}} = J_0/N$ and variance $\overline{(J_{ij})^2} = J^2/N$. The non-random quartic potential is for stabilization, and so requires $g > 0$. Non-translationally invariant versions [9] or models with partial translational invariance [10] have been introduced before.

Our main results are the following. (i) The model exhibits a glassy phase at low temperatures. (ii) The potential energy landscape is represented self-consistently through an ensemble of effective single-site potentials which comprises both single-well potentials (SWPs), and double-well potentials (DWP), the latter with a broad spectrum of barrier heights and asymmetries. (iii) The distribution of parameters characterizing the single-site potentials depends on the collective state of the system, and differs qualitatively from what is assumed in the phenomenological models. (iv) The model exhibits typical low temperature anomalies, e.g., of the specific heat, and of acoustic or dielectric response. (v) Within the model we can explain, in particular the quantitative universality of glassy low temperature anomalies expressed e.g. in the unusually narrow range of values for the internal friction plateau. (vi) We also see some unusual frequency dependence of internal friction curves similar to that recently observed in vitreous silica [8].

We now turn to the analysis. Due to the translationally invariant interaction, the system contains global translations as zero modes, and the partition function must be evaluated orthogonal to these modes, i.e. with the constraint $\sum_i u_i = 0$. Apart from this detail, the analysis follows standard reasoning. The free energy is obtained by averaging an n -fold replicated partition sum, $f(n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln Z_N^n$, and can be expressed in terms of an Edwards-Anderson matrix of two-replica overlaps q_{ab} . Although four-replica overlaps must also be introduced during the calculation, the final result does not depend on them. The replica free energy reads

$$n f(n) = \frac{(J)^2}{4} \sum_{ab} q_{ab}^2 - \frac{3}{4} \frac{g}{N} \sum_a q_{aa}^2 - \ln Z_n \quad (2)$$

with Z_n a single-site partition function corresponding to the n -replica potential $U_n = \frac{1}{2} \int \bar{q} (J_0 + 12gq_{aa}) u_a^2 + \frac{J^2}{8} \int \bar{q} u_a^4 + g \int \bar{q} u_a^4$, that is, $Z_n = \int \prod_a du_a \exp \int \bar{q} U_n$. The order parameters q_{ab} must satisfy the fixed point equations $q_{ab} = \int \bar{q} u_a u_b$ with angle brackets denoting a Gibbs average w.r.t. the potential U_n . As usual, to perform the $n \rightarrow 0$ limit, one starts from parameterizations of the q_{ab} matrix based on assumptions concerning transformation properties of solutions under permutation of the replica.

We have looked at the replica symmetric (RS) solution and a solution with one step of replica symmetry breaking (1RSB). Both in RS and 1RSB (and at all higher levels of Parisi's RSB scheme) the system is described by an ensemble of effective single-site potentials of the form

$$U_e(u) = d_1 u + d_2 u^2 + d_4 u^4; \quad (3)$$

in which $d_4 = g$ is the coupling constant of the quartic stabilizing interaction, and d_1 and d_2 are randomly varying parameters. We shall occasionally refer to d_1 and d_2 as to an effective local field h_e and an effective harmonic coupling k_e via $d_1 = h_e$ and $d_2 = \frac{1}{2} k_e$. Their joint distribution is expressed in terms of the order parameters of the system which are in turn obtained in terms of Gibbs averages self-consistently evaluated over the U_e ensemble (3). This ensemble of effective single-site potentials is a representation of the glassy potential energy landscape within a mean-field description. Glassy low-temperature anomalies follow from considering the effects of quantized excitations within this ensemble of local potentials.

In RS one assumes $q_{aa} = q_1$ and $q_{ab} = q$ for $a \neq b$. These must solve the RS fixed point equations

$$q_1 = \int \bar{q} u^2; \quad q = \int \bar{q} u^2; \quad (4)$$

in which inner brackets denote a Gibbs average w.r.t. the effective single-site potential (3) with

$$d_1 = d_1^{RS} = \int \bar{q} u; \quad d_2 = d_2^{RS} = \frac{1}{2} (J_0 + 12gq_1 - J^2 C + J \bar{z}); \quad (5)$$

and $C = (q_1 - q)$, and outer brackets an average over the zero-mean, unit-variance Gaussians \bar{z} and z in d_1 and d_2 . The ensemble of single-site potentials thus comprises both SWPs, and DWPs, the latter with a broad spectrum of barrier heights. A glassy state is signaled by $q \neq 0$, thus a non-degenerate distribution of asymmetries of single-site potentials. In the present model the transition is continuous and the critical condition is given by $1 = (J^2 C + J \bar{z})^2 / (2 \int \bar{q} u^2)$, which is just the de Almeida-Thouless condition for the occurrence of a RSB instability. For models with symmetric coupling distributions the transition temperature satisfies $T_c(J;g) = \frac{J^2}{g} T_c(1;1)$ with $k_B T_c(1;1) \approx 0.133$ [11]. In RS, d_1 and d_2 are




FIG. 1: Central part of $P(d_1)$, for $J = 50$ and $g = 1$. From top to bottom we have RS (independent of d_2) and 1RSB with $d_2 = 10; 5; 0$, 5 and 10, respectively

Gaussian and uncorrelated. This would be qualitatively in line with assumptions of the SPM, although there are quantitative differences. In contrast to the STM, correlations are predicted between asymmetries and tunneling matrix elements of tunneling systems in DWPs.

The RS solution is unstable and thus strictly not acceptable throughout the glassy phase. For a non-translationally invariant model [9], we have shown RSB effects to be small for the specific heat. Here, we look in greater detail also at the distribution of h_e and k_e . We shall find it to be qualitatively different from what has been obtained in the RS approximation, and thus also from what is assumed in the phenomenological models.

In 1RSB, expected to exhibit the salient RSB effects, one assumes $q_{aa} = q_1$, $q_{ab} = q_1$ for $1 \leq a, b \leq m$ and $q_{ab} = q$ for $a, b > m$. The fixed point equations are now much more complicated, and we shall not reproduce them here. Whereas d_2 has the same form as in RS, $d_2^{1RSB} = \frac{1}{2} (J_0 + 12gq_1 - J^2 C + J \bar{z})$, except that now $C = (q_1 - q)$, the local field is more complicated. It is formulated in terms of two variables z_1 and z_0

$$d_1 = d_1^{1RSB} = \int \bar{q} u_1 z_1 + \int \bar{q} u_0 z_0 \quad (6)$$

of which z_0 is a standard Gaussian, whereas z_1 , while deriving from a Gaussian, becomes correlated with z_0 and \bar{z} (thus d_2) in an intricate way through U_e . In the low-temperature limit, to which we restrict our attention here, the result is

$$p(z_1, z_0; \bar{z}) = \frac{\exp \int \bar{q} U_e(u) du}{\int \prod_i dz_i \exp \int \bar{q} U_e(u) du} \quad (7)$$

Here $D = \int \bar{q} u^2$, which has a finite $T \rightarrow 0$ -limit, $\hat{u} = \hat{u}(z_1, z_0; \bar{z})$ is the value of u which minimizes $U_e(u)$ for given values of z_0, z_1 , and \bar{z} , and $D z_1$ is a Gaussian measure. Fig. 1 displays the resulting distribution of d_1 conditioned on d_2 .

The RSB result is qualitatively different from the RS result and from what is assumed in phenomenological




FIG. 2: Low T specific heat for $J = 50$ in RS (dashed) and 1R SB (full).

models, in that small d_1 and thus symmetric tunneling systems are systematically suppressed. In fact, in the DW P region for negative d_2 and small d_1 , the form is $P(d_1; d_2) \propto \rho(d_2)(1 + \rho(d_2)j_1 j_2)$, so $P(d_1; d_2)$ is non-analytic at $d_1 = 0$. Yet, due to the smallness of $\rho(d_2)$, RSB effects do not have significant influence on functional forms of thermodynamic and response functions at low temperatures. This was observed before for the specific heat in a non-translationally invariant model [9], and is confirmed here.

Glassy low-temperature anomalies follow from low-energy excitations in the ensemble of single-site problems $H_e = \frac{p^2}{2m} + U_e(u)$. The energy of tunneling excitations in DW Ps is determined by an asymmetry and a tunneling matrix element ρ_0 as $E = \frac{p_0^2}{2} + \frac{\rho_0^2}{2}$, which are in turn expressed through d_1 and d_2 as $\rho_0 = E_{d_1} \frac{1}{2(j_2 j_1 - 1)}$ and $\rho_0 = E_{d_2} \frac{1}{2j_2 j_1^2} \exp f \frac{2}{3} (1 - j_2 j_1^2) g$ [12]. Frequencies of higher (quasi-harmonic) excitations in SW Ps or DW Ps are given by the curvature of the potential in its minimum, $U_e^{(0)}(u_{\min}) = m \omega^2$ [11].

By averaging over $P(d_1; d_2)$ one obtains tunneling and vibrational density of states and the specific heat as usual. Tunneling systems give rise to a slightly super-linear specific heat at low temperatures, $C(T) \propto T^{1+}$, with \propto decreasing with J (hence T_c), $\propto 0.1, 0.05$ and 0.01 for $J = 25, 50$, and 100 respectively, whereas the strongly peaked vibrational density of state is the origin of a Bose-peak in our model; see Fig. 2. RSB effects are small in $C(T)$, the dominant effect being a reduction of the tunneling density of states as compared to RS. Except for the super-linearity at low T , which can be traced down to the translational invariance of the interactions, $C(T)$ is qualitatively as in [9], both in RS and 1R SB.

It is interesting to compare with assumptions of the STM at the level of the distribution $P(\cdot; \cdot)$ where $\rho = \ln(E = \rho_0)$. In the STM $P^{\text{STM}}(\cdot; \cdot) = P_0$ is assumed.

We have

$$P(\cdot; \cdot) = \frac{P(d_1; d_2)}{E \frac{1}{2(j_2 j_1 - 1)} \frac{1}{j_2 j_1} \frac{1}{3 = (2 j_2 j_1)}} \quad (8)$$

for $d_2 < (3 = 2j_1^2)^{2/3}$, with $d_1 = d_1(\cdot; \cdot)$ and $d_2 = d_2(\cdot; \cdot)$ instead. Unlike in the STM or the SPM (i) the result is not a constant, and (ii) symmetric tunneling systems are systematically suppressed. If one were to fit our results to an STM parameterization one would associate $P_0 \propto P(0; \cdot)$ for some $\rho = O(1)$, $j_2 j_1 = O(10)$. Interestingly, for reasonable energy scales (associated with tunneling of complexes like SiO_2 over atomic distances, and giving E between 1 and 5 K), we would estimate $P_0 = 10^{-7} \dots 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}/\text{Atom}$ for the system with $J = 50$, which is the right order of magnitude for typical glasses. Also, using the fact that the low- T limit of the fixed-point equations entails $q_0/q_1 \propto J$, and $C \propto J^{-1}$ in RS, and similarly q_0/q_1 and $q_0 \propto J$, $C \propto J^{-1}$, and $D \propto J^{-2}$ in 1R SB, we can combine this with $T_c \propto J^2$ and the expression for $P(d_1; d_2)$ to predict the scaling $P_0 \propto T_c^{5/4}$ for large T_c both in RS and 1R SB, our second result relating low- T properties with a property of the glass-transition in our model. A detailed comparison with experiments is difficult, as a large change in glass transition temperature requires changing composition of the glass, and thus interactions in non-trivial ways. Yet the trend expressed by this result appears to be correct.

Next, we discuss dynamics due to a coupling between local degrees of freedom u and the strain field e generated by Debye phonons, $H_{\text{SB}} = \frac{1}{2} u^T \mathbf{P} u + \frac{1}{2} e^T \mathbf{Q} e$, where \mathbf{P} is a deformation potential and $e = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{q,s} \frac{\hbar}{2 \omega_{q,s}} i q (b_{q,s} - b_{q,s}^\dagger)$ the strain-field; the sum is over transversal and longitudinal modes and the tensorial nature of the strain-field is neglected. Quantities like internal friction are obtained by averaging the dynamical susceptibility over the ensemble of single-site problems,

$$Q^{-1} = \frac{2}{V^2} \frac{\overline{\omega_{uu}^2}}{\omega^2} : \quad (9)$$

At low T , and for $\hbar \ll k_B T$, the dominant contribution is the relaxational contribution of tunneling systems in DW Ps within the ensemble of single-site potentials. For these a two-level approximation for H_e is appropriate and (9) reduces to the well known expression known for two-level tunneling systems, when the internal coordinate u is approximated by a two state variable in terms of a Pauli matrix, $u = u_0 \sigma_z$. Fig. 3 shows the internal friction of the present model for $J = 50$ and driving frequencies as in [8] computed in 1R SB (except for a different global overall pre-factor, RS results are functionally hardly distinguishable). Unlike in the STM and SPM, the dominant asymptotics is $Q^{-1} \propto T^{3+} (1 + c_1 T)$ for $T \ll T_c$ and $Q^{-1} \propto \frac{P_0^2}{2 V^2} \frac{\hbar!}{k_B T} (1 + c_2 T)$ for $T \gg T_c$ | the influence of the $c = O(10^{-3})$ due to RSB effects being




FIG. 3: Internal Friction as a function of T on a double logarithmic scale for various driving frequencies, $\omega = 0.33, 1.03, 2.52, 5.03$ and 14.0 kHz (top to bottom).

basically undiscernable. The exponent α is that introduced before to quantify the super-linearity of the low- T specific heat, and $\alpha' = 0.05$ for the J -value chosen. Note the following features: (i) there is a slight frequency dependence ω^{-2} of the plateau height, correlated with the low- T specific heat exponent (the extra T^{-2} factor is undiscernable for the small range of temperatures considered) [13]; (ii) the low T asymptotics is has by a temperature exponent slightly larger than 3. However, the crossover region from plateau to low-temperature asymptotics is so large that effective exponents in accessible temperature ranges are still smaller than 3, the effect being stronger for lower frequencies. Both findings are well in line with recent experiments [8], though observed effective exponents tend to show a somewhat stronger frequency dependence and be smaller than ours.

Lastly, we turn to the universality issue. In [5], universality is explained within a renormalization group approach as a collective effect due to interactions of quantized low-energy excitations. Here it is understood as a property of the interaction-generated glassy potential energy landscape, thus as a collective effect leading to a particular spectrum of quantized low-energy excitations. The mechanism is robust and may therefore justly be expected to be insensitive to details. This holds in particular for the tunneling density of states which is driven by a broad distribution of asymmetries and barrier heights. Higher order excitations depend to a larger extent on the shape of the stabilizing potential which is not collectively modified to the same extent, and so a stronger material dependence may be expected in this energy range; this fits well with the observed partial loss of universality in the Bose peak region. Within the present theory there is, beyond a rationalization of universality at the level of exponents, also a rather simple explanation for the mysterious quantitative universality of glassy low-temperature physics as expressed in the unusually narrow range of values for the internal friction plateau. It simply follows from combining the scaling $P_0 \propto J^{5/2}$ with the plausible

supposition J expressing the fact that deformation potential and original interaction are of the same origin, and the elasticity theory scaling $v^2 \propto J$ for the sound velocity, which together entail $\frac{P_0}{v^2} \propto J^{3/2} \propto T_c^{3/4}$. This weak parameter dependence due to cancellations implies, e.g., that the internal friction plateau is changed by only a factor 8 when interaction energies are changed such such as to increase T_c from 100 to 1600 K!

In summary, we have proposed and analyzed a translationally invariant glass model. It exhibits typical glassy low-temperature anomalies of specific heat and acoustic attenuation. The super-linearity of the low- T specific heat is linked with the non-degenerate barrier-height distribution which in turn can be shown to follow solely from translational invariance (a feature that was absent in our original proposal [9]). Within the model we can correlate low- and high-temperature properties. Universality is understood as consequence of collective effects, and we also have a simple explanation of the mysterious quantitative universality of internal friction data.

This project profited a lot from a workshop on the low-temperature physics of glasses held at the MPIPKS-Dresden. Helpful discussions with C. Enss, U. Horstmann, H. Homer, S. Hunklinger, C. Picus and M. Thesen are also gratefully acknowledged.

-
- [1] R.C. Zeller and R.O. Pohl, Phys. Rev. B 4, 2029 (1971)
 - [2] P.W. Anderson, B.I. Halperin, and C.M. Varma, Philos. Mag. 25, 1 (1972); W.A. Phillips, J. Low Temp. Phys. 7, 351 (1972)
 - [3] V.G. Karpov, M.I. Klinger, and F.N. Ignat'ev, Sov. Phys. JETP 57, 439 (1983); U. Buchenau, Yu.M. Galperin, V.L. Gurevich, D.A. Parshin, M.A. Ramos, and H.R. Schober, Phys. Rev. B 46, 2798 (1992)
 - [4] C.C. Yu and A.J. Leggett, Comments Cond. Mat. Phys. 14, 231 (1988)
 - [5] A.L. Burin and Yu. Kagan, JETP 82, 159 (1996); Phys. Lett. A 215, 191 (1996)
 - [6] D. Natelson, D. Rosenberg, and D.D. Osheroff, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4689 (1998)
 - [7] P. Strehlow, C. Enss, and S. Hunklinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5361 (1998)
 - [8] J. Classen, T. Burkert, C. Enss, and S. Hunklinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2176 (2000)
 - [9] R. Kuhn and U. Horstmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4067 (1997)
 - [10] R. Kuhn and J. Ummann, J. Phys. C 12, 6395 (2000)
 - [11] We set the energy scale E by choosing $g = 1$, thereby relating E via $E = \hbar^2 m u_0^2$, with a mass and length scale u_0 in the problem.
 - [12] M.A. Ramos and U. Buchenau, in: Tunneling Systems in Amorphous and Crystalline Solids, P. Esquinazi (Editor), (Springer, Berlin 1998), p. 527
 - [13] The decrease at larger T is due to the fact that only one phonon processes are considered as in U. Horstmann and R. Kuhn, Physica B 263/264, 290 (1999)