G eom etry-dependent scattering through quantum billiards: Experim ent and theory

 ${\tt T}$. B lom ${\tt quist}_{\it r}^1$ H . Schanze_{\it r}^2 I. V . Zozoulenko_{\it r}^{3,1} and H .-J. Stockm ${\tt ann}^2$

 $^1\mathrm{D}$ epartm ent of P hysics (IFM), Linkoping U niversity, S{58183 Linkoping, Sweden

²Fachbereich Physik, Philipps-Universitat Marburg D-35032 Marburg, Germany

 3 Department of Science and Technology (ITN),

Linkoping University, S{60174 Norrkoping, Sweden

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

W e present experim ental studies of the geom etry-specic c quantum scattering in m icrow ave billiards of a given shape. W e perform full quantum m echanical scattering calculations and nd an excellent agreement with the experim ental results. W e also carry out the sem iclassical calculations where the conductance is given as a sum of all classical trajectories between the leads, each of them carrying the quantum -m echanical phase. W e unam biguously demonstrate that the characteristic frequencies of the oscillations in the transm ission and re ection am plitudes are related to the length distribution of the classical trajectories between the leads, whereas the frequencies of the probabilities can be understood in terms of the length di erence distribution in the pairs of classical trajectories. W e also discuss the e ect of non-classical \ghost" trajectories that include classically forbidden re ection o the lead m ouths.

PACS num bers: 05.45 M t, 03.65.Sq, 73.23.-b, 73.23 A d

The low-tem perature conductance of nanoscaled sem iconductor quantum dots (often called quantum billiards) is dom inated by quantum mechanical interference of electron waves giving rise to reproducible conductance oscillations [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Theoretical and experin ental studies of the conductance oscillations have been concentrated on both statistical and geometry-specic features [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. The analysis of the statistical aspects of the conductance was commonly based on the random matrix theory or sim ilar stochastic m ethods [12]. In order to provide the interpretation of the geometry-specic features in the oscillations in a billiard of a given shape, di erent and som etim es con icting approaches have been used [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17]. Very often the interpretation of the conductance was not directly based on the transport calculations such that the explanation of the characteristic peaks in the conductance spectrum had rather speculative character. In contrast, the sem iclassical approach [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19] represents one of the most powerful tool for studying the geom etry-specic scattering as it allows one to perform transport calculations for structures of arbitrary geom etry and, at the sam e time, it can provide an intuitive interpretation of the conductance in terms of classical trajectories connecting the leads, each of them carrying the quantum mechanical phase.

In this paper we, for the rst time, present experimental studies of the geometry-specie quantum scattering in m icrow ave billiards of a given shape combined with the exact quantum mechanical as well as sem i-classical analysis. The physics and modelling of microw ave cavities is conceptually similar to that one of the sem iconductor quantum dots because of the similarity between the

Schrodinger and Helm holtz equations [19, 20]. At the same time, the microwave cavities provide the unique opportunity to control the precise shape of the billiard. This is not possible for the sem iconductor quantum dots where the actual shape of the potential always remains unknown [21]. Secondly, for the m icrow ave billiards one can routinely access the phase inform ation (i.e. measuring the transm ission and re ection amplitudes) whereas for the case of quantum dot one typically measures the transmission probabilities only. Thirdly, the e ects of inelastic scattering is of much lesser in portance for the m icrow ave cavities than for the quantum dots where the phase breaking processes can reduce the phase coherence length signi cantly. Note that a billiard system of the same shape as the one studied here (realized as a sem iconductor quantum dot), was investigated in [5, 16]. It has been dem onstrated [16] that the strong inelastic scattering has lead to the suppression of major characteristic peaks in the transmission spectrum as well as to the strong reduction of the amplitude of the oscillations.

Our sem iclassical (SC) analysis of the experimental spectrum of the microwave billiard unambiguously demonstrate that the characteristic frequencies of the oscillations in the transmission and relation amplitudes are related to the length distribution of the classical trajectories between the leads, whereas the frequencies of the probabilities can be understood in terms of the length difference distribution in the pairs of classical trajectories. This, to the best of our know ledge, provides the list unambiguous identication of the species of the oscillations experimentally observed in a billiard of a given shape.

The dynamics of an electron in a two-dimensional




FIG. 1: Sketch of the resonator used in the experiment (in scale). M easurements have been taken for four dierent positions of the outgoing wave guide as indicated in the gure.

quantum dot is governed by the Schrodinger equation

$$\frac{h^2}{2m}r^2 + E \qquad (x;y) = 0; \qquad (1)$$

with the wave function vanishing on the boundary = 0, where E is the electron Ferm i energy and a potential inside the billiard is assumed to be zero. This equation has the same form as the Helm holtz equation governing the dynamics of the lowest TM -m ode in a microw ave billiards [19] (provided the frequency < c=2d, where d is the heigt of the billiard).

In the absence of a m agnetic eld the transm ission am – plitude t_{nm} is given by the projection of the retarded G reen function G = (H E)¹ onto the transverse wave functions _n (y) in the incom ing and outgoing leads [22]

$$t_{m n}(k) = \sum_{Z} ih^{P} \frac{v_{n} v_{m}}{Z}$$

$$dy_{1} \quad dy_{2 n}(y_{1}) m (y_{2})G (y_{1};y_{2};k);$$
(2)

where v_n is the longitudinal velocity for the mode n and k is the wave vector. The total transm ission probability $T = \prod_{m \ n} T_{m \ n}$ is the sum over transm ission probabilities from all the propagating modes m in one lead to the modes n in the other; $T_{m \ n}$ being the square modulus of the transm ission amplitude, $T_{m \ n} = j_{m \ n} f$.

The quantum mechanical computations have been made using a recursive G reen's function technique based on the D yson equation [23]. In sem i-classical computations, the quantum mechanical G reen's function is replaced by its sem iclassical approximation [18, 19]. The sem iclassical transmission amplitude can be represented in the form [14, 15, 16, 17]

$$F_{mn}^{SC}(\mathbf{k}) = A_{mn}^{S} e^{ik l_{s}};$$
(3)

where s denotes classical trajectories of the length l_s between the two leads, $A_{m\,n}^s$ is an amplitude factor which depends on the density of trajectories, mode number, entrance and exit angles, etc. The details of the sem iclassical calculations are given in [17]. Because of the rapidly varying phase factor in Eq. (3), the length spectrum of the transmission amplitude (dened as a Fourier Transform d' $t_{mn}^{SC}(k)e^{k}$), is obviously peaked at the length '= l_s of the trajectories between the leads. This behavior of the length spectrum is well understood and num erically veri ed for a num ber of di erent m odel billiards with leads [14, 15, 16].

Using Eq. (3), the transmission probability can be written in the form

$$T_{mn}^{SC} = j_{mn}^{SC} j = X_{mn}^{S} j + X_{mn}^{S} j + X_{mn}^{S} A_{mn}^{S} A_{mn}^{S} e^{ik(l_{s} l_{s^{0}})};$$

$$s_{s;s^{0}}$$
(4)

The length spectrum of the transmission probability is obviously peaked at the length di erence $l_s = l_s$ l_s⁰ in the pairs of trajectories between the leads. Thus, identi cation of the characteristic frequencies in the probabilities reduces to the analysis of the path di erence distribution in a billiard with a given lead geom etry [14, 17].

Figure 1 shows a sketch of the microwave resonator used in the experiments. The microwaves enter the resonator through a wave guide at a xed position on one side, and leaves the resonator through another waveguide on the opposite side whose position could be varied. The height of the resonator was d = 7.8 mm, i.e. the billiard is quasi-two-dimensional for < 19 G Hz. Transmission spectra, including modulus and phase, were taken in the frequency range 10 to 18 G Hz for four di erent positions of the outgoing wave guide. In the whole frequency range there is only one propagating mode in the wave guide. More details on the experimental technique may be found in [24].

Figure 2 shows the experimental and calculated data for the transmission and rejection amplitudes. The agreement between the experimental results and exact quantum mechanical (QM) calculations is very good. The SC transport calculations allows us to identify the characteristic peaks in the spectrum in terms of classical trajectories connecting the billiard leads. Indeed, each peak in the SC spectrum represents a contribution from a particular classical trajectory, as illustrated in the insets. How ever, because of the approximate nature of the sem iclassical approximation, the heights of the SC and QM peaks do not fully agree with each other.

Furtherm ore, the experimental data as well as the QM calculations show the presence of the peaks which are absent in the SC calculations (for example, the peaks at 1 5:5;9;10:5 in the rejection amplitude). These are so-called \ghost" trajectories that include classically forbidden rejection of the lead mouths [14]. For example, the peak in the rejection amplitude at 1 9 is caused by the trajectory with the length 1 = 4:5 which, after one revolution in the billiard, is rejected back at the exit by the lead mouth such that it makes one more revolution and its total length becomes L = 4:5 2 9. Such non-classical trajectories are not included in the standard




FIG.2: Fourier transform of the experim ental and calculated quantum mechanical (Q M) transmission and rejection amplitudes for the square billiard with opposite leads. The lower curve shows corresponding sem iclassical (SC) results, plotted with a negative sign for the sake of clearness. The characteristic peaks are idential ed in terms of classical transmitted and rejectories. Peaks in the rejectories that include classically forbidden rejection of the lead mouths. The range of the frequency variation corresponds to one propagating mode in the leads. The insets show the schematic geom




FIG. 3: Fourier transform of the experimental and calculated quantum mechanical (Q M) transmission and relation probabilities for the square billiard with opposite leads.

sem iclassical approximation.

The \ghost" trajectories are more important for the rejection than for the transmission. This is due to the fact that each \ghost" trajectory manifesting itself in the rejection bounces of the lead mouth only once, whereas each \ghost" trajectory contributing to the transmission has to bounce of the lead mouth twice. As a result, the amplitude of such the trajectory with two non-classical bounces of the lead mouth is obviously lower than the one with only one bounce.

Experimental and calculated QM transmission and reection probabilities are shown in Fig. 3. The correspondence between the theoretical and experimental probabilities is also rather good. Note that because of the




FIG. 4: Fourier transform of the experimental, quantum mechanical (QM) and sem iclassical (SC) transmission probabilities in a square billiard averaged over four dierent lead positions. The characteristic frequencies in the transmission probabilities can be understood in terms of the length dierence distribution in the pairs of classical trajectories between the leads (the lower curve).

current conservation requirem ent, R + T = 1, the variation of the transm ission is opposite to that one of the rejection, T = R. As a result, the FT of the calculated QM transm ission and rejection probabilities are practically identical. This is however not the case for the experimental transm ission and rejection probabilities. This is because of the presence of some absorption in the system. As we do not include neither absorption nor inelastic scattering in the theoretical calculations, this is the reason for some discrepancy existing between the QM calculations and the experiment.

In contrast to the case of SC and QM amplitudes, the agreem ent between the SC and QM probabilities is only marginal (therefore we do not show the SC results here). Because the probabilities are the squared moduli of the am plitudes, the discrepancy which exists between the SC and QM amplitudes, see Fig. 2, becomes much more pronounced for the probabilities (a detailed analysis of the discrepancy between the SC and QM approaches is given in [17]). Furtherm ore, the intervalof the frequency variation (lim ited to one propagating mode in the leads) is not wide enough to ensure reliable statistics for the probabilities. The calculations demonstrate that with a wider frequency interval the characteristic peaks in the FT spectrum become better resolved and the agreem ent between the QM and SC results improves signicantly. Experimentally, however, it is not possible to access the frequency range beyond one propagating mode in the leads.

In order to provide the SC interpretation of the probabilities in the available frequency interval (limited to one propagating mode), we perform the averaging over

four di erent lead geom etries, see Fig. 4. Such the averaging is justi ed because the characteristic frequencies of the oscillations in a square billiard are shown to be rather insensitive to the lead positions [16]. This, in tum, is related to the fact that classical length di erence distribution is also not sensitive to the lead positions. The averaged QM probabilities show pronounced peaks in the FT which are in a good agreem ent with the corresponding experimental ones. The correspondence between the averaged QM and SC results is also rather good. A coording to the SC approach, the characteristic peaks in the SC spectra can be understood in terms of the length di erences in pairs of classical trajectories connecting the leads, see Eq. (4). This is dem onstrated in Fig. 4 where the experim ental and calculated spectra are compared to the classical length di erence distribution between the leads. This provides us with the sem iclassical interpretation of the calculated QM (and therefore observed) conductance uctuations. We would like to stress that this explanation of the characteristic frequencies in the conductance is based on transport calculations and is thus not equivalent to a rather common point of view when the observed frequencies in the conductance oscillations of an open dot are assigned to the contributions from speci c periodic orbits in a corresponding closed dot [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11].

To conclude, we present experimental studies of the geometry-specic quantum scattering in a microw avebilliard of a given shape. We perform full quantum mechanical (QM) scattering calculations and nd an excellent agreement with the experimental results. We also carry out the semiclassical (SC) calculations where the conductance is given as a sum of all classical trajectories between the leads, each of them carrying the quantum mechanical phase. Our results thus provide the rst unam biguous identication of the specic c frequencies of the oscillations observed in a billiard of a given shape.

F inancial support from the N ational G raduate School in Scienti c C om puting (T B) and the Swedish R essarch C ouncil (I.V. Z) is acknow ledged. The experiments were supported by the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft.

- [1] C.Marcus et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 506 (1992).
- [2] A.M. Chang et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 2111 (1994).
- [3] M .Persson et al, Phys.Rev.B 52, 8921 (1995).
- [4] J.P.Bird et al., Europhysics Lett. 35, 529 (1996).
- [5] I.V. Zozoulenko et. al., Phys. Rev. B 55, 10 209 (1997);
 I.V. Zozoulenko and K.-F. Berggren, Phys. Rev. B 56, 6931 (1997).
- [6] R.Akis, D.K.Ferry, J.P.Bird, Phys. Rev.Lett. B 79, 123 (1997); I.V.Zozoulenko and T.Lundberg, Phys. Rev.Lett. 81, 1744 (1998).
- [7] L.Christensson et.al, Phys. Rev. B 57 12 306 (1998).
- [8] P.B ggild et.al, Phys. Rev. B, 57 15 408 (1998).
- [9] I.V.Zozoulenko et.al., Phys.Rev.B 58, 10594 (1998).
- [10] I.V.Zozoulenko et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.83, 1838 (1999).
- [11] Y. Takagaki and K. H. P loog, Phys. Rev. E 62, 4804 (2000).
- [12] For a review, see e.g., C.W. J. Beenakker, Rev. Mod. Phys. 69, 731 (1997).
- [13] W .H.M iller, Adv.Chem .Phys.25,69 (1974); R.B hum el and U.Sm ilansky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60,477 (1988); R. A.Jalabert, Harold U.Baranger and A.Douglas Stone, Phys.Rev.Lett. 65,2442 (1990).
- [14] C.D. Schwieters, J.A.Alford, and J.B.Delos, Phys. Rev.B 54, 10 652 (1996).
- [15] L.W irtz, J.-Z.Tang and J.Burgdorfer, Phys. Rev. B 56, 7589 (1997).
- [16] T. Blom quist and I.V. Zozoulenko, Phys. Rev. B 61, 1724 (2000).
- [17] T. Blom quist and I. V. Zozoulenko, Phys. Rev. B 64, 195301 (2001).
- [18] M.C.Gutzwiller, Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics (Springer [Verlag, New York, 1991).
- [19] H.-J. Stockmann, Quantum chaos: an introduction (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [20] Y.-H.Kim et.al. Phys. Rev. B 65, (2002), in press.
- [21] A.Fuhrer et. al, Phys. Rev. B 63, 125309 (2001).
- [22] see, e.g., S. Datta, Electronic Transport in Mesoscopic System s (C am bridge U niversity Press, C am bridge, 1995).
- [23] I. V. Zozoulenko, F. A. Maa and E.H. Hauge, Phys. Rev. B 53, 7975 (1996); ibid., 53, 7987 (1996); ibid., 56, 4710 (1997).
- [24] U.Kuhlet.al, Eur. Phys. J. B 17, 253 (2000).