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#### Abstract

The interaction-site-density- uctuation correlators, the dipole-relaxation functions, and the m eansquared displacem ents of a system of sym $m$ etric dum bbells of fused hard spheres are calculated for tw o representative elongations of the $m$ olecules $w$ ith in the $m$ ode-coupling theory for the evolution of glassy dynam ics. For large elongations, universal relaxation law sfor states near the glass transition are valid for param eters and tim e intervals sim ilar to the ones found for the hard-sphere system . $R$ otation-translation coupling leads to an en larged crossover interval for the $m$ ean-squared displace$m$ ent of the constituent atom s between the end of the von Schweidler regim e and the beginning of the di usion process. For sm all elongations, the superposition principle for the reorientational -process is violated for param eters and tim e intervals of interest for data analysis, and there is a strong breaking of the coupling of the -relaxation scale for the di usion process $w$ th that for representative density uctuations and for dipole reorientations.


PACS num bers: 64.70.Pf, $61.20 \mathrm{Lc}, 61.25 \mathrm{Em}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

Recently, the mode-coupling theory (MCT) for the evolution of glassy dynam ics in system $s$ of spherical particles has been extended to a theory for system s of m olecules. The uctuations of the interaction-site densities have been used as the basic variables to describe the structure of the system. As a result, the known scalar M C T equations for the density uctuations in sim ple system $s$ have been generalized to $n-b y-n$ m atrix equations for the interaction-site-density correlators, where $n$ denotes the num ber of atom $s$ form ing the $m$ olecule. The theory w as applied to calculate the liquid-glass phase diagram and to evaluate the glass form factors for a harddum bbell system (HDS) [1] . In the follow ing, the preceding work shall be continued by evaluating the conventional tim e-dependent correlation functions near the liquid-glass transition. It is the goalto exam ine the range of validity of the universal relaxation law $s$ and to identify features of the glassy dynam ics which are characteristic for $m$ olecular as opposed to atom ic system s .

The dum bbells to be studied consist of tw o equal hard spheres of diam eter $d$ which are fused so that there is a distance $d, 0$ 1, betw een their centers. The system 's equilibrium structure for density is speci ed by two control param eters: the elongation param eter and the packing fraction' $=\overline{6} d^{3}\left(1+\frac{3}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}{ }^{3}\right)$. The liquid-glasstransition points ${ }^{\prime} c()$ form a non-m onotonic ' $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{)}$ \{versus\{ curve in the' $\{$-control-param eterplane w th a m axim um for near0.43. There is a second transition line ${ }_{\mathrm{A}}$ ( ) w thin the glassphase' ${ }_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{l})$. It sepa-
 dipole $m$ otion is ergodic, from a glass for ${ }^{\prime}>{ }^{\prime}{ }_{A}()$, where also the molecular axes are arrested in a disordered array. The second line ' $A$ ( ) term inates at
$={ }_{c}=0: 345$, where ${ }_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{c})={ }_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{c})$ (cf. Fig. 1 of Ref. $\left.{ }_{1}^{1111}\right)$. In the present paper, it shall be shown that there are tw o scenarios for the liquid-glass transition dynam ics for $>c$. The rst one, to be dem onstrated for
$=1: 0$, deals w th strong steric hindrance for reorientationalmotion. For this case, all universal relaxation law s hold w ithin sim ilar param eters and time intervals
 a new feature, there appears a very large crossover interval for the -process of the constituent atom 's m eansquared displacem ent for tim es between the end of von Schweidler's power law and the beginning of the di usion regim e. The other scenario, to be show $n$ for $=0: 4$, deals w ith weak steric hindrance for reorientationalm otion. T he universal law sfor the reorientational -process are restricted to such narrow ' ' ${ }_{c}$ ( ) intervals that they are practically irrelevant for the interpretation of data obtained by m olecular-dynam ics sim ulations or by presently used spectrom eters.

The paper is organized as follow s. In Sec. IIA, the MCT equations of $m$ otion for the coherent and incoherent density correlation functions for the HDS are listed. Section the M CT glass transition. T he next section presents the new results for representative density- uctuation correla-
 the $m$ ean-squared displagem ents (Sec.'IIIC'), and for the
relaxation scales (Sec.'IIID). The ndings are sum m arized in Sec. 'ITV'.

## II. MCTEQUATIONSFOR THEHDS

## A. Equations of $m$ otion for the density correlators

In this subsection, the basic equations for the system of sym $m$ etric hard dum bbells are noted. They have been derived in Ref. $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$, and their solutions underlie all results to be discussed in the present paper.

If $x_{i}^{a}, a=A$ or $B$, denote the interaction-site centers of the ith AB dum bbell molecule, the interaction$\mathrm{S}^{\text {ite-density }}$ uctuations for wave vector $q$ read ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{a}}=$ ${ }_{i} \exp \left(\right.$ iq $\left.i_{i} \wedge\right)$. Sim ilarly, the tagged $m$ olecule-density
uctuations read ${ }_{\text {q; }}^{a}=\exp \left(i q 1{ }_{s}{ }_{s}\right)$ with $x_{s}^{a}$ denoting the interaction-site positions of the tagged $m$ olecule. It is convenient to transfipm to total number densities ${ }_{q}^{N}=\left({ }_{q}^{A}+{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{B}}\right)=\overline{2}$ and \charge" densities ${ }_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{Z}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}{ }_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{A}} & { }_{\mathrm{G}}^{\mathrm{B}}\end{array}\right)={ }^{{ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$. Sim ilar de nitions are used for the tagged-m olecule densities. The top-dow $n$ symmetry of the $m$ olecules im plies vanishing cross correlations $h{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t}){\underset{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{Z}}}_{\mathrm{Z}}(0) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{h} \underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t}) \underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{Z}}(0) \mathrm{i}=0$ and also the iden-
 There is only one independent coherent density correlator, which shallbe used as nom alized function

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q}^{N}(t)=h{ }_{q}^{N}(t){\underset{q}{N}}_{N}^{N}(0) i=h j{ }_{q}^{N}{ }^{J} i: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two incoherent density correlators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{h} \underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t}) \underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{x}}(0) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{hj} \underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~J}^{f} \mathrm{i} ; \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{Z}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

B ecause of rotational invariance, the density correlators depend on the wave-vector m odulus $q=j$ j $j$ only. The short-tim e asym ptotics of the correlators read: ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})=$ $1 \quad \frac{1}{2}\left({ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{t}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{J}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}{ }^{3}\right)$ and $\underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})=1 \quad \frac{1}{2}(\underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{t})^{2}+$ $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{tj}^{3}\right)$. The characteristic frequencies are given by the form ulas: $\binom{N}{q}^{2}=q^{2} f v_{T}^{2}\left[1+j_{0}(q d)\right]+\left(\tau_{R}^{2}{ }^{2} d^{2}=6\right)[1$
$\left.j_{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}q & d\end{array}\right) \quad \dot{z}\left(\begin{array}{ll}q & d\end{array}\right)\right] g=S_{q}^{N}$ and $(\underset{q}{x} ;)^{2}=q^{2} f_{T}^{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & \dot{j}(q)\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{ll}q\end{array}\right]+\right.$ $\left(v_{R}^{2}{ }^{2} d^{2}=6\right)[1 \quad \dot{j}(q \quad d) \quad \dot{j}(q \quad d)] g=w_{q}^{x}$ for $x=N ; Z$. $H$ ere $j$, denotes the spherical Bessel function for index $`, S_{q}^{N}$ abbreviates the total-density structure factor, and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{x}}=1 \quad \dot{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{q} \mathrm{d})$ for $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{N}$; Z are the intram olecular structure factors. Furtherm ore, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=2 \mathrm{~m}}$ denotes the them al velocity for translation of the molecule of atom ic m asses m at tem perature T , and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{R}}=(2=\mathrm{d}) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{I}}$ is the therm al velocily for rotations. The Zwanzig -M ori equations ofm otion [ $[4]$ for the speci ed correlation functions are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\binom{N}{q}^{2} d t^{0} m_{q}^{N}\left(t \quad \ell^{0}\right) @_{t^{0}}{ }_{q}^{N}\left(t^{0}\right)=0 ;  \tag{3}\\
& \left.@_{t}^{2} \underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})+\underset{\mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{Z} ; \mathrm{s}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{x}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t}) \\
& +\binom{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{2} \mathrm{O}_{0} d t^{0} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{l}^{0}\right) \varrho_{t^{0}} \underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{t}^{0}\right)=0 \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x=N ; Z$. All com plications of the dynam ics of the $m$ any-particle problem are hidden in the relaxation kernels $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{t})$.

W thin M CT, the relaxation kemelm ${ }_{q}^{N}(t)$ is expressed as functional of the density correlators:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}\left[{ }^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})\right]: \tag{5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mode-coupling functional reads $F_{q}^{N}[f]=$ $\frac{1}{2}^{R} d \mathbb{K} V^{N}(q ; \widetilde{K} ; p) \tilde{f}_{k} \tilde{f}_{p}$ where $\widetilde{K}+p=q$, and the positive coupling vertices are given by the density , the structure factor $S_{q}^{N}$, and the direct correlation function $C_{q}^{N}$ (cf. Eq. (20b) of Ref. $\left.{ }_{\text {In }}^{1-1}\right)$. W ave-vector integrals are
converted into discrete sum s by introducing som e upper cuto $q$ and using a grid of $M$ equally spaced values for the $m$ odulus: $q d=h=2 ; 3 h=2$; $\quad d ;(q h=2)$. Thus, $q$ can be considered as a label for an array of $M$ values. Equation ( $\left(\overline{1} 1 \overline{1}^{1}\right)$ then represents a set ofM equations which are coupled by the polynom ial

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}\left[\tilde{\left.\mathrm{I}^{\prime}\right]=}{ }_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{kp}} \tilde{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}} \tilde{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}}:\right. \tag{5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is an elem entary task to express the $M^{3}$ positive coefcients $V_{q ; k p}$ in term $s$ of the $V^{N}(q ; \mathbb{k} ; p)$ (cf. Eq. (7) of Ref. ${ }_{1}{ }_{1}^{\prime}$ ) . Sim ilarly, one derives functionals for the kemels for the tagged-m olecule functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t}) ;{ }^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})\right] ; \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{Z}: \tag{6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, the functionals $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{x}}$ are given in term softhe equilibrium structure functions (cf. Eq. (23) ofR ef. ${ }_{\text {II II }}^{1}$ ) . A fter the discretization, the $m$ ode-coupling polynom ialhas the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{x}}\left[\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{X}} ; \mathrm{f}^{\tilde{2}}\right]={ }_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{kp}}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\sim} ; \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{Z}: \tag{6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The follow ing work is done for a cuto $q d=40$ and $\mathrm{M}=100 \mathrm{wave}$-vector m oduli. The structure factors are evaluated w ithin the reference-interaction-site-m odel (R ISM ) theory [an correlation functions have been discussed. Equations $(\underline{3})$ and (5్ర心.) are closed non-linear integro-di erential equations to calculate the $M$ correlators ${ }_{q}^{N}(t)$. U sing these
 to evaluate the $M$ correlators $\underset{q ; s}{x}(t)$ for $x=N$ and $Z$. T he $m$ athem atical structure ofE qs. ( cal to that discussed earlier for the density correlators of the H SS ["] frequencies $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ and the values for the coupling constants $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{kp}}$. A sim ilar statem ent holds for Eqs. (4i) and (G), which are the analogues of the equations for the $m$ otion
 discussed previously $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1,2}\end{array}, \underline{2}\right]$ hold for the present theory as well.

In the rest of the paper, the diam eter of the constituent atom $s$ shall be chosen as unit of length, $d=1$, and the unit of tim e is chosen so, that $v_{T}=1$.

## B. U n iversal law s

This subsection com piles the universal law sfor the dynam ics near the liquid-glass transition. T hey w illbe used in the follow ing Sec. IIIt to analyze the num ericalsolutions of the equations ofm otion. The derivation of these laws is discussed com prehensively in Refs. the earlier literature on this sub ject is cited.

From the mode-coupling functional in Eq. (5igi'), one calculates an $M$-by $M$ matrix $C_{q k}{ }^{-}=$ $\mathrm{f} @ \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}\left[\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{N}}\right]=@ \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{g}\left(1 \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{2}$. Here $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{N}}=\underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t}!1$ )
denote the nonergodicity param eters for the density uctuations of the glass states. This matrix has a non-degenerate $m$ axim um eigenvalue $\mathrm{E} \quad$. The transition is characterized by $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{c}}=1$. H ere and in the follow ing, the superscript $c$ indicates that the quantity is evaluated for ${ }^{\prime}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{c}()$. Let e and $E$ denote the right and left eigenvectors, respectively, at the critical points: ${ }_{k} C_{q k}^{c} e_{k}=e_{q}, \quad{ }_{q} e_{q} C_{q k}^{c}=e_{k}$. The eigenvectors are xed uniquely by requiring $e_{q}>0, \hat{e}_{q}>0, \quad{ }_{q} \hat{e}_{q} e_{q}=1$, and ${ }_{q} e_{q}\left(1 \quad \frac{f}{q}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}\right) e_{q} e_{q}=1$. These eigenvectors are obtained as a byproduct of the num erical determ ination of ${ }^{\prime} c()$ described in Ref. ${ }_{c}^{-1}$. They are used to evaluate the critical am plitude

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \frac{\mathrm{f}}{\mathrm{q}}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{C}} \tag{7}
\end{array}\right)^{2} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{q}} ;
$$

and the exponent param eter , 1=2 < 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{f}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}\left[\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{c}}\right]=@ \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{Nc}} @ \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~g} h_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{N}}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthem ore, a sm ooth function of the controlparam eters and ' is de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { q } \tag{9a}
\end{align*}
$$

In a leading expansion for sm all' ' ${ }^{\prime}($ ), one can write

$$
=\mathrm{C} \quad ; \quad=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\prime & \left.C_{C}^{\prime}()\right)=r_{C}(): ~ \tag{9b}
\end{array}\right.
$$

G lass states are characterized by > 0, liquid states by $<0$, and $=0$ speci es the transition. The nonergodicity param eter exhibits a square-root singularity. In a leading-order expansion for sm all , one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{c}}+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{P}=(1 \quad) ; \quad>0 ; \quad \text { ! } 0 \text { : } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the transition, the correlator exhibits a power-law decay which is speci ed by the criticalexponent a, $0<a<$ $1=2$. In a leading-order expansion in $(1=t)^{a}$, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{q}^{N}(t)=f_{q}^{N}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}+h_{q}^{N} \quad\left(t_{0}=t\right)^{a} ; \quad=0 ; \quad\left(t=t_{0}\right)!1: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $t_{0}$ is a time scale for the transient dynam ics. $T$ he exponent $a$ is determ ined from the equation (1 $a)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 a\end{array}\right)=$, where denotes the gam $m$ a function. Let us consider the correlator $y(t)=$ $h Y(t) Y(0) i=h j Y$ fi of some variable $Y$ coupling to the density uctuations. Its nonergodicity param eter $f_{Y}=$ y $^{(t)} 1$ ) obeys $p_{\text {an equation }}$ analogous to Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{O_{1}^{\prime}}\right): f_{Y}=f_{Y}^{C}+h_{Y} \overline{=(1)}+O() . \quad$ The critical nonergodicity param eter $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{C}}>0$ and the critical amplitude $h_{Y}>0$ are equilibrium quantities to be calculated from the relevant $m$ ode-coupling functionals at the critical point ${ }^{\prime}={ }_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{C})$. If $Y=\underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{x}}$, the correlator y ( t$)$ refers to the tagged-m olecule-density uctuations, $\underset{q ; s}{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{t})$. Their nonergodicity param eters $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{x}}$ have
been discussed in Ref. ${ }_{1}^{11}$, and the explicit form ulas for the evaluation of $h_{Y}=\bar{h}_{q ; s}^{x}$ can be inferred from $R$ ef. For sm all values of , there is a large time interval, where $y(t)$ is close to $f_{Y}^{C}$. Solving the equations of $m$ otion asym ptotically for this plateau regim $e$, one gets in leading order in the $s m$ all quantities $y(t) f_{Y}^{c}$ the factorization theorem :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{t}): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he function $G(t)$ is the sam $e$ for all variables $Y$. It describes the com plete dependence on tim e and on control param eters via the rst scaling law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t)=P \bar{j} j g(t=t) ; \quad \gtrless 0: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=t_{0}=j j ; \quad=1=2 a ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the rst criticaltim e scale. Them aster functions $g$ ( $\hat{f}$ ) are determ ined by ; they can easily be evaluated num er-
 for $Y={ }_{q}^{N}$ is reproduced for $x e d$ large $t$ and tending to zero. Since $g_{+}(\hat{E}!1)=1={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{1}$, also Eq. $\cdot \stackrel{i\left(1 \mathbf{1}_{-}\right)}{ }$is reproduced.

O ne nds for the large rescaled time $\hat{\epsilon}: g(\hat{f}!1)=$ $B e^{b}+O\left(1=e^{b}\right)$. The anom alous exponent $\mathrm{b}, 0<\mathrm{b} \quad 1$, which is called the von Schweidler exponent, is to be calculated from via the equation $(1+b)^{2}=(1+2 \mathrm{~b})=$ . The constant $B$ is of order unity, and is also xed by [-1]. Substituting this result into E qs. (12) and (13) , one obtains von Schw eidler's law for the decay of the liquid correlator below the plateau $f_{Y}^{c}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(t)=f_{Y}^{c} \quad h_{Y}\left(t=t^{0}\right)^{b} ; \quad t \quad t ; \quad!\quad 0: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The control-param eter dependence is given via the second critical tim e scale $t^{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{0}=t_{0} B \quad 1=b=j j ; \quad=(1=2 a)+(1=2 b): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The leading corrections to the preceding form ulas for xed $\hat{t}=t=t$ are proportional to $j \quad j$. They are specied by tw o correction am plitudes and additional scaling functions $h$ ( $t=t$ ) R/l.]. The dynam ical process described by the cited results is called -process. The -correlator $G(t)$ describes in leading order the decay of the correlator tow ards the plateau value $f_{Y}^{C} w$ ithin the interval $t_{0} \quad t \quad t$. The glass correlators arrest at $f_{Y}$ for $t \quad t$. In the lim it ! 0 , all correlators cross their plateau $f_{Y}^{C}$ at the same time, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\hat{\epsilon} t: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the number $\hat{t}$ is xed by via $g(\hat{f})=0$.
The decay of $y(t)$ below the plateau $f_{Y}^{c}$ is called the -process for variable Y. For this process, there holds the second scaling law in leading order for ! 0 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(t)=\sim_{Y}(t) ; \quad t=t=t^{0} ; \quad t \quad t \text {; } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also referred to as the superposition principle. $T$ he control-param eter-independent shape function $\sim_{Y}(\tau)$ is to be evaluated from the $m$ ode-coupling functionals at the critical point. For short rescaled tim es $t$, one gets $\sim_{Y}(t)=f_{Y}^{c} \quad h_{Y} t^{b}+O\left(t^{2 b}\right)$, so that Eq. (15) is reproduced. T he ranges of applicability of the rst and the second scaling law s overlap; both scaling law s yield von Schweidler's law fort $t \quad t^{0}$.

The supenposition principle im plies coupling of the relaxation tim e scales or relaxation rates of all the variables in the follow ing sense. Let us characterize the longtim e decay of $y(t)$ in the liquid by sometime ${ }^{\text {Y }}$. For exam ple, as is occasionally done in analyzing moleculardynam ics sim ulation data, it $m$ ay be de ned as the center of the process, i.e. as the tim e at which the correlator has decayed to $50 \%$ of its plateau value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y\left({ }^{Y}\right)=f_{Y}^{C}=2: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This time diverges upon approaching the glass transition: in the leading asym ptotic lim it for ! 0 , one nds $Y=C_{Y} t^{0}$. Here, the constant $C_{Y}$ is de ned by $\sim_{Y}\left(C_{Y}\right)=f_{Y}^{C}=2$. All tim es or rates are proportional to each other, and follow a power law speci ed by the exponent de ned in Eq. (16):

$$
\begin{equation*}
1={ }^{Y}=y j j: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants ofproportionality $C_{Y}$ or y depend on the variable $Y$ and on the precise convention for the de nition of ${ }^{Y}$, such as Eq. (19). A ll the tim e scales or rates are coupled in the sense that the ratio $C_{Y}=C_{Y}$ o or $Y=Y$ for two di erent variables $Y$ and $Y{ }^{0}$ becom es independent of the control param eters in the $\lim$ it ! 0 .
$F$ igure ${ }_{1}^{11}$ shows that for large elongations of the $m$ olecules, say $>0: 6$, and for very sm all elongations, say $<0.2$, the exponent param eter is close to the value 0:736 0:003 for the HSS $(=0)$. For c, the two contributions to the structure-factor peak for angular-m om entum indices ' $=0$ and $`=2$ are of equal im portance [1]1]. For such elongations, increases considerably. U nfortunately, Eq. (q) is so involved that we cannot trace back the increase of to the underlying variations of the structure factor.
$T$ he accurate determ ination of the tim e scale to is cum bersome. It is given by the constant plateau value of the function $(t)=f\left[{ }_{q}^{N}{ }^{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}\right]=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{g}^{1=\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{t} \mathrm{w}$ ithin the tim e interval where the leading-order Eq. (111) is valid. The leading corrections to this law vary proportional to $\left(t_{0}=t\right)^{2 a}$ and cause deviations from the plateau at $s m$ all tim es. The unavoidable errors in the determ ination of the critical value ' ${ }_{c}\left(\right.$ ) cause 0 in Eq. ${ }^{\prime}(9 \hat{1})$ ). Thus,
( $t$ ) increases proportionalto $t$ or $t$ fort! 1 if $>0$ or < 0, respectively. For exam ple, to determ ine to for
$=1: 0 \mathrm{w}$ ith an error estim ated to be sm aller than $3 \%$, we have xed' ${ }_{c}()$ w th 9 relevant digits. The plateau regim e of $(t)$ is largest for $q=9: 8$, where $f_{q}^{N}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ has an interm ediate value; it extends from $t \quad 10^{f}$ to $t \quad 10^{\circ}$. $W$ e have checked that results for $q=3: 0,7: 4,13: 0$, and


F IG . 1: Exponent param eter as function of the elongation . The arrow indicates the critical elongation $c=0: 345$ separating the two glass phases. T he dashed horizontal line m arks the value $=0: 736$ for the hard-sphere system.

TABLE I: P aram eters characterizing the M CT-liquid-glasstransition dynam ics for system $s$ w ith elongations $=0: 0,0: 4$, and 1:0.

|  | ${ }^{{ }_{c}}$ | C | $\mathrm{t}_{0}$ |  | a | b |  | B | $\hat{t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.530 | 1.54 | 0.0220 | 0.736 | 0.311 | 0.582 | 2.46 | 0.838 | 0.703 |
| 0.4 | 0.675 | 1.81 | 0.0123 | 0.885 | 0.222 | 0.330 | 3.77 | 2.54 | 0.110 |
| 1.0 | 0.565 | 1.90 | 0.0139 | 0.739 | 0.310 | 0.576 | 2.48 | 0.857 | 0.687 |

16.2 lead to the same $t_{0} \mathrm{w}$ ithin the speci ed error. A sim ilar statem ent holds for the determ ination of to for other elongations.

T able $\ddagger$ com piles the param eters characterizing the universal form ulas for the three elongations to be considered.

## III. RESULTSEOR THE STRUCTURAL RELAXATION <br> A. D ensity correlators

Figures ${ }_{2}^{\overline{2}}$ and ${ }_{1}^{\overline{3}}$ dem onstrate the coherent density correlators ${ }_{q}^{N}(t)$ and the tagged-m olecule correlation functions ${ }_{\text {q;s }}^{N}(t)$ and ${\underset{q}{\text { q; }}}_{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{t})$ near the liquid-glass transition for the elongations $=0: 4$ and $1: 0$, respectively. The results are for two representative wave num bers $q$ : the wave number q 7:0 is close to the rst peak, and q $\quad 9: 8$ is near the rst $m$ inim um of $S_{q}^{N} \quad$ (cf. Fig. 2 of
 the short-tim ew indow $t<1$. T he control-param eter sensitive glassy dynam ics occurs for longer tim es for packing fractions' near ' $c$. At the transition point ${ }^{\prime}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{c}$, the correlators decrease in a stretched $m$ anner tow ards the plateau values ( $f_{q}^{N c}, f_{q ; s}^{N c}$ or $f_{q ; s}^{Z c}$ ) as shown by the dotted lines. Increasing' above ' $c$, the long-time lim its increase, as show $n$ for the coherent correlators ${ }_{q}^{N}$ (t) for $\mathrm{q}=$ 9:8. Decreasing' below ' c , the correlators cross


F IG . 2: C oherent density correlators ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ (t) [solid lines in (a) and (b)], tagged-m olecule density correlators $\underset{q ; s}{N}(t)$ [solid lines in (c) and (d)], and tagged-m olecule charge-density correlators $\underset{\mathrm{q} \text {;s }}{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{t})$ [dashed lines in (c) and (d)] for the elongation $=0: 4$ as function of $\log _{10} t$ for two interm ediate wave numbers $q=7: 0$ and 9.8. The decay curves at the critical packing fraction ' c are shown as dotted lines and $m$ arked by $N$ and $Z$ for the total density and charge density correlators, respectively. G lass curves ( $>0$ ) are shown only for ${ }_{q}^{N}(t)$ with $q=9: 8$ in order to avoid overcrow ding of the gure. The packing fractions are param eterized by
$=\left(r^{\prime} \quad{ }_{c}\right)^{\prime}{ }_{c}=10^{\mathrm{x}}$, and $\mathrm{x}=1,2,3,4$ are chosen. $T$ he dashed-dotted line in (b) is a K ohlrausch-law $t$ for the $x=4$-process: $f_{q}^{N c} \exp [(t=)] w$ ith $=0: 40$. The lled circles and squares $m$ ark the characteristic tim es $t$ and $t^{0}$, respectively, de ned in Eqs. (14) and (16) for $x=1,2,3$ and 4. H ere and in the follow ing gures, the diam eter of the constituent atom $s$ is chosen as the unit of length, $d=1$, and the unit of tim $e$ is chosen so that $v_{T}=1$.
their plateaus at som e time, and then decay tow ards zero. For small $j$ ' ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} j \quad$ is given by Eq. $\left(1 \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ for all the correlators. The decay from the plateau to zero is called the -process. It is characterized, e.g., by the
tim e scale de ned as in Eq. (191) for each correlator. Thus, upon decreasing ' $c$, tow ards zero, the time scales and increase tow ards in nity proportionalto $t$ and $t^{0}$, respectively. The tw o-step-relaxation scenario em erges, because the ratio of the scales $=$ in-



FIG . 3: Results as in Fig. for wave num bers $q=7: 4$ and $9: 8$, and a $K$ ohlrausch exponent $=0: 68$.

M C T -bifurcation scenario. For sm all $j$ ' $\quad$ 'c $j$ the results can be described in term s of scaling law sm entioned in Sec. TIB. This was dem onstrated com prehensively in Refs. be repeated here.

For $=1: 0$ and $q$ 5, the plateaus for the tagged molecule's total-density and charge-density uctuations are very close to each other: $f_{q ; i s}^{N ~ c} \quad f_{q ; s}^{f c}$ (cf. Figs. 12 and 13 ofR ef. ${ }_{\underline{1}-1}^{1}$ ') . F igures, the dynam ics is nearly the sam $e, \quad \underset{q ; s}{N}(t) \quad \underset{q ; s}{z}(t)$. This m eans that for $q \quad 5$ and for strong steric hindrance, the cross correlations $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{AB}}(\mathrm{t})$ are very sm all. T he reason is that the intram olecular correlation factors j. ( $q=2$ ) are sm all, and thus interference e ects betw een the density uctuations of the two interaction sites are suppressed. C oherence e ects can be expected only for sm all wave num bers. For this case, the functions can be understood in term $s$ of their sm all-q asym ptotes. The latter are determ ined by the dipole correlator and the $m$ ean-squared displacem ents [igl, and their results shall be discussed in the follow ing tw o subsections.

F igures, relators of w eak steric hindrance. In this case, the chargedensity uctuations behave quite di erently from the total density uctuations. The m ost im portant origin of
this di erence is the reduction of the $m$ ode－coupling ver－ tex in Eq．（6a＇）for $x=Z$ relative to the one for $x=N$ ． For sm all elongations，the e ective potentials from the surroundings for the tagged $m$ olecule＇s reorientation are sm all．Therefore， $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{Zc}}$ decreases strongly relative to $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{N},}$ for decreasing towards c（cf．Fig． 13 of $R$ ef．＇111）．．For
＜c，the charge－density uctuations relax to zero as in a norm alliquid．This im plies，as precursor phenom enon， that the time scale sz of the charge－density uctua－ tions shortens relative to the scale ${ }^{s N}$ for the total den－ sity uctuations．Thus，the di erences betw een ${ }_{\text {q；}}^{N}(t)$
 due to disturbances of the standard transition scenario by the nearby type－A transition from a norm alglass to a plastic glass．
$T$ he stretching of the relaxation process is $\mathrm{m} u \mathrm{ch} \mathrm{m}$ ore pronounced for the $=0: 4$ system than for the $=1: 0$ system．The wave vectors $q=7: 0$ and 7.4 refer to the structure－factor peak position for $=0: 4$ and 1.0 ，re－ spectively，and the corresponding plateau values $f_{q}^{N}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ are alm ost the same．Figure $\overline{1} \underline{1}_{1}^{1}($ a）dem onstrates that the
$=0: 4$ correlator for $=10^{4}$ requires a time in－ crease by 2.3 decades for the decay from $90 \%$ to $10 \%$ of the plateau value $f_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ ．The corresponding decay inter－ val for the $=1: 0$ correlator is 1.7 decades，as shown in $F$ ig．＇ي్⿱一𧰨刂1＇（a）．Thus，the speci ed tim e interval for the －process is 4 tim es larger for the sm all than for the large elongation．O ften，stretching is quanti ed by the exponent $y$ of the $K$ ohlrausch－law $t$ for the－process： y（t ）／ $\left.\exp \left[\quad(t=)^{0}\right)^{y}\right]$ ．Such ts are show $n$ by the dashed－dotted lines for ${ }_{q}^{N}(t)$ for $q=9: 8$ and $=10^{4}$ in Figs． exponents are 0.40 and 0.68 ，respectively，quantifying the larger stretching for the sm aller elongation．T he tran－ sient dynam ics for interm ediate and large $q$ is not very sensitive to changes of ．B oth F igs．${ }^{-1} 7(\mathrm{y})$ and（b）for $q=9: 8$ dem onstrate an initialdecay of the correlators by about 20\％if the tim e increases up to $t \quad 0: 1$ ．This sim i－ larity is also re ected by the sim ilarity of the $m$ icroscopic time scale to for the two elongations listed in Table it．
 require about 100 tim es longer tim es for $=0: 4$ than for $=1: 0$ to decay to zero．All the enhanced stretching features re ect the fact that the anom alous exponents a and b are sm aller for $=0: 4$ than for $=1: 0$（cf． Table（it）

A comment conceming the accuracy of the num eri－ cal solutions of the equations of $m$ otion $m$ ight be in or－ der．The prim ary work consists of calculating ${ }_{q}^{N}(t)$ from
 here，the in itialpart of the grid consists of 100 values $w$ ith the equal step size $t=10{ }^{5}$ ．This interval is then ex－ tended by successively doubling its length and the step size $t$ ．By inspection one checks $\underset{\mathrm{R}_{1}^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}}$（ t$) \mathrm{j} \quad 1$ so that the Laplace transform ${ }_{q}^{N}(z)=i_{0}^{1} d t \exp (i z t){ }_{q}^{N}(t)$ exists as analytic function for $\operatorname{Im} z>0$ ．O ne checks for $<0$ that ${ }_{q}^{N}(t)$ decreases fast enough for large $t$ so that ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}(!)=\lim !0{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}(!+i)={ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{0}(!)+i{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{00}(!)$


FIG．4：D ouble logarithm ic presentation of susceptibility spectra ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{00}$（！）as function of frequency ！for the density uctuations discussed in Fig． $\bar{B}_{1}^{\prime}(a)$（see text）．
exists w ith a sm ooth reactive part ${ }_{q}^{N}{ }^{0}(!)$ and a sm ooth non－negative spectrum ${\underset{\mathrm{q}}{ }}_{\mathrm{N}} 00(!)$ ．The Fourier integrals are evaluated w ith a sim pli ed $F$ ilon procedure［＇pll＇．The solid lines in $F$ ig． 1 spectrum ${\underset{\mathrm{q}}{ }}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{00}(!)=!{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\infty}(!)$ for $=1: 0, \mathrm{q}=7: 4$ ， and $=\left(r^{\prime} \quad{ }_{c}(1)\right)={ }^{\prime}{ }_{c}()=10^{x} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{x}=4 ; 5$ ， and $=0$ ．The correplators are used to evaluate the
 here one gets $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}(!)=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}^{0}}(!)+\mathrm{im}_{\mathrm{q}}^{N^{00}}(!)$ ．Equa－

$1=f!\quad\binom{N}{N_{\mathrm{C}}}^{2}=\left[!+\binom{N}{\mathrm{q}}^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}(!)\right] g$ ：Because of causal－斗y，it su ces to check ${\underset{\mathrm{q}}{ }}_{\sim}^{\sim}{ }^{00}(!)={ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\infty}(!)$ ．The functions $!{ }_{q}^{\sim N}(!)$ are show $n$ as dotted lines in $F$ ig．${ }^{-1 / 4}$ ．T The desired identity is veri ed by inspection $w$ ithin the accuracy bet－ ter than that of the draw ing．C orresponding statem ents hold for the other functions like $\underset{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{t})$ ．T he evalua－ tion of ${ }_{q}^{N}(t)$ on the discrete set of tim es from Eqs．（3， and（5）is done by an extension of the $m$ ethod discussed in $R$ ef． $11_{1}^{1}$ ．B ut it should be notioed that the described proof of the accuracy of the solution does not require an account of how the solution ${ }_{q}^{N}(t)$ has been obtained．

## B．D ipole correlators

The dipole correlator of the tagged $m$ olecule is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1 ; s}(t)=h e_{s}(t) \quad{ }_{s}(0) i: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere，the unit vector $e_{s}$ denotes the tagged m olecule＇s axis． $\mathrm{C}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{t})$ is the reorientational－correlation function for angular－m om entum index $\quad=1$ ．For a sim ilar rea－ soning as presented in the paragraph preceding Eq．［（1］）， it is identical to the coherent reorientational function． It can be obtained as the zero－w ave－vector lim it of the


F IG . 5: D ìpole correlators $\mathrm{C}_{1 \text {; }}$ ( t ) for two elongations $=0: 4$ (upper panel) and 1:0 (lower panel). The correlators at the critical packing fraction ${ }^{\prime}={ }^{\prime}$ c are shown as dotted lines $m$ arked $w$ ith $c$. The plateau $f_{1 ; s}^{c}$ for each elongation ism arked by a horizontal line. The distance param eters are chosen as
$=\left(r \quad{ }^{\prime}{ }_{c}\right)={ }^{\prime}{ }_{c}=10^{\times} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{x}=2$ (faster decay) and $x=3$ (slow er decay). The lled circles and squares $m$ ank the corresponding tim e scales $t$ and $t^{0}$, respectively, de ned in Eqs. (14) and (1-1). The open circles and squares on the curves m ark the characteristic time scales ${ }^{1 ; 5}$ and ${ }^{1 ; s}$ de ned by $C_{1 ; s}\left({ }^{1 ; s}\right)=f_{1 ; s}^{c}$ and $C_{1 ; s}\left({ }^{1 ; s}\right)=f_{1 ; s}^{c}=2$, respectively. The vertical line for $=0: 4$ ind icates the decay interval described by the asym ptotic form ulas for the process (see text).
 is evaluated $m$ ost e ciently as follow $s$. O ne carries out the $q=0 \lim$ it in Eq. ( $\left.\underline{U}^{4}\right)$ for $x=Z$ to get the exact Zwanzig -M oriequation

$$
\begin{align*}
& @_{t}^{2} C_{1 ; s}(t)+2 v_{R}^{2} C_{1 ; s}(t) \\
& \quad Z_{t} \quad+2 v_{R}^{2} \quad d t^{0} m_{s}^{z}(t \quad l) @_{t^{0}} C_{1 ; s}\left(t^{0}\right)=0 ; \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

to be solved with the initial condition $\mathrm{C}_{1 \text {; }}(\mathrm{t})=1$ $\left(v_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{t}\right)^{2}+\mathrm{O}\left(j j^{3}\right)$. The kemel is the $q=0 \mathrm{~lm}$ it of the

$m_{s}^{Z}(t)=\left({ }^{2}=72^{2}\right)_{0}^{Z} d k k^{4} \quad S_{k}^{N}\left(C_{k}^{N}\right)^{2} w_{k}^{Z} \quad \underset{k ; S}{Z}(t){\underset{k}{N}(t): ~}_{n}$
The integral is discretized to a sum over $M$ term s as explained in connection with Eq. ( 5 bibl ). Substituting the
 m ined. The rem aining linear integro-di erential equation (22) is integrated to yield the desired result for $\mathrm{C}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{t})$. Equation (23) yields directly the nonergodicity


FIG. 6: Rescaled dipole correlators $\hat{C}_{1 ; s}(t)=\left[C_{1 ; s}(t)\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{f}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}\right]=\mathrm{h}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}$ (fill lines) for two distance param eters $=\left({ }^{\prime}\right.$ ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{c}$ ) $)^{\prime}{ }_{c}=10^{x} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{x}=2$ (faster decay) and $\mathrm{x}=3$ (slow er decay). The dashed lines show the -correlators $G(t)$ from Eq. (13) for the exponents $=0: 885$ and $0: 739$ for the elongation's $=0: 4$ and 1:0, respectively (cf. Table'í'). H ere $\mathrm{f}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}=0: 69$ and 0.97 , and $\mathrm{h}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}=1: 0$ and 0.056 for $\equiv 0: 4$ and 1.0 , respectively.
param eter of the kemel, ${\underset{s}{z}}_{z}=m{ }_{s}^{z}$ (t! 1), as integral over the products of $f_{k ; s}^{Z} f_{k}^{N}$. From ${ }_{s}^{Z}$, one derives the probability for the arrest of the dipole $f_{1 ; s}=C_{1 ; s}$ (t ! $1)={ }_{s}^{z}=\left(1+\begin{array}{l}z \\ s\end{array}\right)$. T his num ber can also be obtained as $f_{1 ; s}=\lim q!o f_{q ; s}^{Z}$. The critical value $f_{1 ; s}^{c}$ and the corresponding critical am plitude $h_{1 ; s}=\lim \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{q}}$ o $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ were discussed in F ig. 13 of $R$ ef. ${ }^{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$.

The dipole correlators $\mathrm{C}_{1 \text {;s }}(\mathrm{t})$ for the elongations $=$ $0: 4$ and $1: 0$ are shown in $F$ ig. . $'={ }^{\prime}{ }_{c}$ and for two liquid states. The tim e scales ${ }^{1 ; s}$ and 1 ;s characterizing the center of -and relaxation processes, de ned by $C_{1 ; s}\left({ }^{1 ; s}\right)=f_{1 ; s}^{c}$ and $C_{1 ; s}\left({ }^{1 ; s}\right)=$ $\mathrm{f}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}=2$, are m arked by open circles and squares, respectively. T he curves do not clearly exhibit the typicaltw o-step-relaxation scenario. For $=1: 0$, the criticalplateau is so large, $\mathrm{f}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}^{c}=0: 97$, that only $3 \%$ of the decay are left for the transient $m$ otion and the critical relaxation. $T$ he results for $=0: 4$ are in uenced by the nearby type-A transition. Let us consider the relaxation proœess for the dipole dynam ics. T he factorization theorem, Eq. (12́ㄴ), specializes to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{f}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{h}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{t}): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the rescaled correlators $\hat{C_{1 ; s}}(t)=$ $\left[\mathrm{C}_{1 ; s}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{f}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right] \mathrm{h}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}$ are given by the correlator $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{t})$. T he latter obeys the scaling law, speci ed by Eq. (1)


F IG .7: D ipole correlators $\mathrm{C}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{t})$ for the elongations $=0: 4$ (upper panel) and 1:0 (lower panel) for various distance pa-
 ure, presented as function of $\log _{10}\left(t={ }^{1 ; s}\right)$. The -relaxationtim e scale ${ }^{1 ; s}$ is de ned by $\mathrm{C}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}\left({ }^{1}\right)=\mathrm{f}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{c}}=2$. The horizontal lines indicate the plateaus $f_{1 ; s}^{c}$.
 form ulas dealw the the results correctly up to order $p$-. The leading corrections are oforder $j$ jand they explain the range of validity of the leading results [īle Figure 'G dem onstrates the test of the -scaling law. On a $10 \%$ accuracy level, the leading-order asym ptotic law accounts for $12 \%$ of the decay of $\mathrm{C}_{1 \text {; }}(t)$ around the plateau for
$=0: 4$, while it describes only $1.4 \%$ of the decay for
$=1: 0$. The latter feature is due to the large critical nonergodicity param eter $f_{1 ; s}^{c}$, and hence, due to the $s m$ all critical am plitude $h_{1 ; s}$, for the strong steric hindrance. T he decay intervaldescribed by the leading-order asym $p$ tote for $=0: 4$ is indicated in F ig ${ }_{2}^{2}$ For $=0: 001$, the corresponding dynam icalw indow extends from about $t=1: 1 \quad 10^{3}$ to about $2: 4 \quad 10^{4}$, while it extends from about $t=20$ to $t=70$ for $=0: 01$. $T$ his discussion requires a reservation. The corrections to the scaling results can lead to an o set of the plateau $\overline{12}_{12}^{1}$. O ne recognizes that incorporation of such o set would im prove the agreem ent betw een num erical solution and its asym ptotic description in the upper panel of $F$ ig. $T$ hem entioned $w$ indow s are obtained only after a plateau - set had been elim inated.

The relaxation scaling law for the dipole correlator reads according to Eq. (1 $\underline{l}^{\prime}$ ):

$$
C_{1 ; s}(t)=C_{1 ; s}(t) ; \quad t=t=t^{0} ; \quad j \quad j \quad 1 ; \quad t \quad t: \text { (25) }
$$

$T$ he -independent $m$ aster function $C_{1 ; s}$ is determ ined
by the $\mathrm{q}=0 \underline{\mathrm{~lm}}$ it of the m ode-coupling functional $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$ given in Eq. (6bl) at the critical point. T he tim e scale ${ }^{1 ; s}$ can be de ned by $C_{1 ; s}\left({ }^{1 ; s}\right)=f_{1 ; s}^{c}=2$, and shall be written as ${ }^{1 ; s}=\ell_{1} ; t^{0}$. Here, the -scale factor $\epsilon_{1} ;$ is de ned in term $s$ of the $m$ aster function as $C_{1 ; s}\left(\mathcal{C}_{1 ; s}\right)=$ $f_{1 ; s}^{c}=2$. The scaling law im plies that a representation of $C_{1 ; s}(t)$ as a function of the rescaled time $t=1$;s should superim pose correlators for di erent distance param eters
on the com $m$ on curveC ${ }_{1 \text {; }}\left(\mathrm{t}=\tau_{1}\right.$;s $)$. A sym ptotic validity $m$ eans that the $\log \left(t={ }^{1 ; s}\right)$ interval, where the scaling law is obeyed, expands to an arotrary size for ! 0 .

The low er panel of Fig. 'I'1 dem onstrates that the described scenario for the evolution of the process is valid for the elongation $=1: 0$. On the other hand, the upper panel shows that the dipole correlators for $=0: 4$ exhibit the superposition principle only for $j$ j $10^{4}$. In particular, the plateau region em erges only for these extrem ely sm all values of the distance param eter $j$ j. As discussed in Ref. $\overline{1}, \mathbf{1}$, th is is because of the correction to the leading-order assym ptotic law, which reads for not too large values of rescaled tim et:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1 ; s}(t)=C_{1 ; s}(ट)+j-B_{1} \mathrm{~h}_{1 ; s}=e^{b} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{B}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & \text { b) }(1+\mathrm{b})\end{array}\right]$. Therefore, the correction is larger, the larger the product $\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~h}_{1 \text {; }}$ is. A s discussed in connection w ith Fig .13 of Ref. '11 '1, the size of the critical am plitude $h_{1 ; s}$ becom es very large near the critical elongation $c$. In addition, $B_{1}$ increases $w$ ith . O ne gets $B_{1}=1: 56$ and 0.45 for $=0: 4$ and 1.0 , respectively. Thus, the anom aly shown in the upper panel of Fig. ${ }^{1} 1$ order asym ptotic law, caused by the precursor e ect of the nearby type-A transition and by the large value for
. The descriptions of correlators by the rst and second scaling law s overlap for $t$. Therefore, the anom aly for $=0: 4$ exhibited in Fig a the large percentage of the decay of $\mathrm{C}_{1 \text {; }}(t)$ described by the -scaling law (cf. Fig. ${ }^{\prime}$ 'Thi).

## C. M ean-squared displacem ents

$T$ here are two $m$ ean-squared displacem ents (MSD) to be considered for the sym $m$ etric dum bbell. O ne refers to the position of the constituent atom of the tagged $m$ olecule $x_{s}^{A}$, and the other to the $m$ olecule's center $x_{s}^{C}=$ $\left(x_{s}^{A}+x_{s}^{B}\right)=2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.A ; C(t)=h\left[x_{s}^{A} ; C \text { ( }\right) \quad \frac{x_{S}^{A} ; C}{S}(0)\right]^{2} i=6: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, a factor 6 is introduced in the de nition for later convenience. Since there is the relation !d]

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(t)=c(t)+\left({ }^{2}=12\right)\left[1 \quad C_{1 ; s}(t)\right] ; \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is su cient to calculate $c(t)$. This function is determ ined by the sm all-q lim it of the density correlator:
${ }_{q ; s}^{N}(t)=1, q^{2} \quad c(t)+O\left(q^{4}\right)$ [q]. The sm all-q expansion of Eq. ( $\mathbf{I}^{\prime}$ ) for $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{N}$ leads to the exact equation of m otion
$Z_{t}$
$@_{t}^{2} \quad c$ ( $\left.t\right) \quad V_{T}^{2}+v_{T}^{2} d_{0}^{0} m_{s}^{N} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}t & e_{t^{0}} \quad c \quad\left(t^{0}\right)=0 ;(29)\end{array}\right.$
to be solved with the initial behavior c ( t ) $=$ $(1=2)\left(v_{T} t\right)^{2}+O\left(\mathrm{~J}^{3}\right) . T$ he kemel is obtained as the $\mathrm{q}=0$ lim it of Eq. (6al) for $x=N$ :

$$
m_{s}^{N}(t)=\left(1=6^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{Z} d k k^{4} \quad S_{k}^{N} \quad\left(C_{k}^{N}\right)^{2} w_{k}^{N}{\underset{k}{N} ; \mathrm{s}}_{N}^{(t)} \underset{k}{N}(t):
$$

A fter the discretization of the integralas explained above and substituting the results for the two density correlators, the kemel $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})$ is determ ined. Then, the linear equation (29) is integrated to yield the M SD of the m olecule's center.

The long-tim ebehavior of the M SD depends sensitively on controlparam eters near the transition singularity, and therefore it is well suited to study the glass-transition precursors. In liquid states, one obtains from Eq. (2d) the long-tim e asym ptote: $\lim _{\mathrm{t}!} 1 \quad \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{D}$. Here $D$ is the di usion constant, and it is expressed as the inverse of the $z_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{ro}$-frequency spectrum of the relaxation kemel: $D=1={ }_{0} \mathrm{dtm}{ }_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})$. In glass states, the tagged m olecule's totaldensity uctuations arrest for long tim es:
$\left.{ }_{q}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{s}} \mathrm{(t)} 1\right)=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{N}}>0$. The Lam b-M ossbauer factor $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ approaches unity for $q$ tending to zero, and a localization length, $r_{C}$, of the center characterizes the $w$ idth of the $f_{q ; s}^{N}$ \{versus\{q curve: $f_{q ; s}^{N}=1 \quad(q \in)^{2}+O\left(q^{4}\right)$. O ne gets $\lim m_{t!} \quad c \quad(t)=r_{c}^{2} \cdot U$ sing Eq. (2 $\left.29_{1}^{1}\right)$, one can express $r_{C}^{2}$ as inverse of the long-tim e lim it of the relaxation kemel from Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{C}}): r_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}=1=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ (t! 1 ).

The ideal liquid-glass transition im plies a transition from a regim e with molecule's di usion for' $\boldsymbol{c}^{\prime}$ c to one w th m olecule's localization for' ' ${ }^{c}$. T he form er is characterized by $D>0$ and $1=r_{C}=0$, and the latter by $D=0$ and $1=r_{C}>0$. The subtleties of the glasstransition dynam ics occur outside the transient regim e. Figure exhibits the M SD of the m olecule's center for various packing fractions near the transition. For very short tim es, say $t \quad t$, interaction $e$ ects are unim portant and $\lim \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{t}} 0 \quad \mathrm{c}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{t}^{2}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}=2$ re ects ballistic $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{o}^{-}$ tion. For tim es larger than $t_{0}$, the cage e ect leads to a suppression of $c(t)$ below the short-tim e asym ptote. For long tim es in liquid states, the M SD approaches the di usion asym ptote, $\lim \mathrm{t}$ ! $1 \quad c(t)=t=D$, as show $n$ by the dotted straight lines draw $n$ for the curves $w$ ith labels $\mathrm{x}=1$ and $\mathrm{x}=4$. Upon increasing ' towards' c , the di usivity decreases tow ards zero.

The curves w ith $\mathrm{x}=1$ for $>0$ in F ig. ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{i}$ deal w ith the glass state ' $=1: 1^{\prime}{ }_{c}$. For this density, there is no obvious glassy dynam ics. R ather, c ( $t$ ) has approached its long-tim e lim it $r_{C}^{2}$ after the oscillations have disappeared fort 1. D ecreasing ' tow ards ' ${ }_{c}$, the softening of the glass $m$ anifests itself by an increase of the localization length $r_{C}$. At the transition point ${ }^{\prime}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{c}$, the


F IG . 8: D ouble logarithm ic presentation of the m ean-squared displacem ent for the center ofm ass c ( $(t)$ for the elongations
$=0: 4$ (upper panel) and 1:0 (lower panel). The dotted lines w ith label c refer to the critical packing fraction ' c , and the solid lines to $\left.=\left({ }^{\prime} \quad{ }_{c}\right)\right)^{\prime}{ }_{c}=10^{x}$ for $x=1,2,3,4$. The straight dashed line $w$ th slope 2 in each panel exhibits the ballistic asym ptote $\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{t}\right)^{2}=2$. The straight dotted lines w ith slope 1 denote the long-tim e asym ptotes D t of the tw o liquid curves for $x=1$ and 4 . The horizontal lines $m$ ark the square of the critical localization lengths $\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2}$. T he lled circles and squares $m$ ark the characteristic tim es $t$ and $t^{0}$, respectively, de ned in Eqs. (14) and (1, (1) for $x=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4$.
critical value $r_{C}^{c}=0: 0587(0: 0497)$ for $=0: 4(1: 0)$ is reached. Substituting Eq. (1d) for $f_{q}^{N}$ and the analogous form ula for $f_{q ; s}^{N}$ to evaluate $r_{C}^{2}$ from Eq. ( 3 ( $\overline{-}_{1}^{1}$ ), it follow $s$ that the glass instability at ${ }^{\prime}$ c causes a ${ }^{\rho}$ \{anom aly for the localization length,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.r_{C}^{2}=\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2} \quad h_{C}^{p} \overline{=(1}\right)+O() \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{C}=9: 66 \quad 10^{3}\left(5: 14 \quad 10^{3}\right)$ for the elongation
$=0: 4(1: 0)$. A corresponding form ula holds for the square of the localization length $r_{A}$ for the constituent atom w th $\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2}$ replaced by $\left(r_{A}^{c}\right)^{2}=\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2}+\left({ }^{2}=12\right)(1$ $\left.f_{1 ; s}^{c}\right)$ and $h_{C}$ replaced by $h_{A}=h_{C}+\left({ }^{2}=12\right) h_{1 ; s}$ according
 $r_{A}^{2}$ are shown as däshed and dotted lines, respectively. For $=1: 0$, the data are described by the square-root law for $210^{3}$. Sim ilar sm all intervals for the validity of the leading order descriptions have been found for the D ebye-w aller factor of the HSS for sm all wave vector $q\left[{ }_{2}^{2}\right]$. For $=0: 4$, the range of validity of the universal form ula is reduced to the even sm aller intervals $<0: 5 \quad 10^{3}$.


FIG. 9: Square of the localization lengths for the m olecule's center $r_{C}^{2}$ (circles) and for the constituent atom $r_{A}^{2}$ (squares) for $=\left(r \quad{ }_{c}\right)={ }^{\prime}{ }_{c}=10^{n=3}, \mathrm{n}=7 ; 8 ; \quad ; 12$. The crosses $m$ ark the critical values $\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2}$ and $\left(r_{A}^{C}\right)^{2}$, respectively. The dashed and dotted lines are the leading order asym ptotic law s $r_{X}^{2}=\left(r_{X}^{c}\right)^{2} h_{X} \frac{=(1 \quad)}{}$ for $X=C$ and $A$, respectively.

The glass curves for $=0: 01$, shown in $F$ ig. $\mathbf{H}_{1}^{-1} \$ \mathrm{w}$ ith label $x=2$, exhibit a decay betw een the end of the transient oscillations and the arrest at $r_{C}^{2}$ which is stretched over a tim e interval of about two orders of m agnitude. A sim ilar two-decade interval is needed for the liquid curves ( < 0) with label $x=2$ to reach the critical value $\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2}$. A fter crossing $\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2}$, two further decades of an upw ard bent $\log _{10} \quad c$ ( $\left(\mathrm{t}\right.$ \{versus\{ $\log _{10}$ t variation is exhibited before the naldi usion asym ptote is reached. $T$ he stretching is $m$ ore enhanced as the system is driven tow ards the transition point, j j! 0. The indicated slow and stretched tim e variation outside the transient regim e is the glassy dynam ics exhibited by the M SD. A gain, the stretching is m ore pronounced for $=0: 4$ than for $=1: 0$.

The rst scaling law deals $w$ th the dynam ics where c ( t ) $\quad\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)^{2}$ is sm all. O ne gets in analogy to E qs. (12) and (13):

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(t)=\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2} \quad h_{c}^{p} \bar{j} j g(t=t) ; \quad \geqslant 0 ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j j 1$ and $t \quad t_{0}$. For glass states $w$ th $>0$, this form ula describes the approach tow ards the arrest at $r_{C}^{2}=c$ ( C ! 1). For liquid states w ith $<0$, it describes how the c ( t ) \{versus\{t curve crosses and leaves the plateau $\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2}$. In particular, one gets von Schweidler's law for large $t=t$ in analogy to Eq. (1151):

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(t)=\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2}+h_{C}\left(t=t^{0}\right)^{b} ; \quad!\quad 0 ; \quad t \quad t \quad t^{0}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The increase of ${ }_{c}(t)$ above the plateau tow ards the diffusion asym ptote is the process of the M SD. In analogy


FIG. 10: D ouble logarithm ic presentation of the meansquared displacem ent for the constituent atom $\quad A(t)$ and for the center $c(t)$ for the elongations $=0: 4$ (upper panel) and $=1: 0$ (lower panel). The curve A ( $t$ ) is shifted upwards by two decades to avoid overcrow ding. The distance param eter is $=10^{3}$, and the corresponding tim est and $t^{0}$ are $m$ arked by lled circles and squares, respectively. The dashed lines are the rst-scaling-law results, Eq. (32). The open diam onds $m$ ark the points where the dashed lines differ from the solid ones by $5 \%$. The straight dashed-dotted lines exhibit the di usion asym ptotes $D \mathrm{t}$, and the lled diam onds $m$ ark the position where these di er from the solid lines by $5 \%$. The dotted lines exhibit the second-scaling-law results, Eq. (34). The horizontal lines $m$ ark the square of the localization lengths $\left(r_{A}^{c}\right)^{2}$ and $\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2}$.
to Eq. (1-q), there holds the supenposition principle

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(t)=\sim_{c}(e) ; \quad e=t=t^{0} ; \quad j \quad j \quad 1 ; \quad t \quad t: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Ref. ${ }^{1} 1 \bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}$, it has been discussed in detail how these leading-order asym ptotic results can account quantitatively for the glassy dynam ics of the M SD, albeit for the tagged spherical particle and the dum bbellm olecule im $m$ ersed in the hard-sphere system. Instead of repeating such an extensive analysis, we shall only show here som e representative exam ples.

T he upper and low er panels of F ig. ${ }^{[1} \overline{1}_{0}^{1}$ the elongations $=0: 4$ and 1:0, exhibit such tests of the scaling-law descriptions for a liquid state which is su ciently close to the transition point, $=10^{3}$. A lso, the MSD of the constituent atom __A ( $t$ ) is considered in these gures. Because of Eq. ( $2 \overline{2} \overline{1}$ ), , the asym ptotic law s ( $3 \overline{2}$ ) and ( $3 \overline{3}$ ) for $A(t)$ hold $w$ ith $\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2}$ replaced by $\left(r_{A}^{c}\right)^{2}=\left(r_{C}^{c}\right)^{2}+\left({ }^{2}=12\right)\left(1 \quad f_{i s}^{c}\right)$ and $h_{C}$ replaced by $h_{A}=h_{C}+\left({ }^{2}=12\right) h_{1 ; s}$. The range of validity of the
rst-scaling-law description is indicated by the open diam onds. O ne nds that such a range is nearly the sam efor both $C_{~(~}^{\text {( })}$ and $A_{\text {A }}(t)$, and this holds irrespective of the $m$ olecule's elongation. The beginning of the -process of the M SD, i.e., its initial increase above the plateau, is described by von Schweidler's law, Eq. (33ㄱㄱ) . It is exhibited for $t ~ t ~ b y ~ t h e ~ d a s h e d ~ l i n e s . ~ T h e ~-p r o c e s s ~$ term inates in the di usion law for long tim es, exhibited by the straight dashed-dotted lines. The beginning of the di usion law is indicated by the led diam onds for each M SD curve. The -process follow sw ell the second scaling law, Eq. (3-1), which is presented by the dotted lines. T he descriptions by the two scaling law $s$ overlap for $t \quad t$. Together, the two scaling law sprovide a description of the glassy dynam ics of the M SD for $t^{>} 100$ and $t^{>} 10$ for $=0: 4$ and $=1: 0$, respectively. N otioe that there is a large interval of tim es outside the transient regim e, say $\log _{10} t^{>} \quad 0: 5$, where the structural relaxation is not described by the rst scaling law. T his is a peculiarity of the M SD [1] which is not found for the other functions discussed here.

The crossover window from the end of the von-Schweidler-law regim e (indicated by the right open diam onds) to the beginning of the di usion process (indicated by the lled diam onds) for the M SD of the center,
c ( $t$ ), is about 3 (2) decades $w$ ide for $=0: 4(1: 0)$. O n the other hand, the corresponding $w$ indow for the $M$ SD of the constituent atom, ${ }_{A}(t)$, is larger than that of
c ( t ) by a factor of about 7 (13) for $=0: 4$ (1:0). A s discussed in Ref. "11', this enlarged crossover window for
A $(t)$ is caused by the rotation-translation coupling, i.e., by the second tem on the right-hand side of Eq. (2-18). $T$ his e ect is sm aller for $=0: 4$ because the relaxation of the second term, determ ined by that of $\mathrm{C}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{t})$, is considerably enhanced due to the nearby type-A transition as discussed in connection w ith Fig. ${ }^{15}$.
$F$ igure '111] exhibits the critical localization length of the $m$ olecule's center $r_{C}^{c}$ and of the constituent atom $r_{A}^{C}$ as function of the elongation. There are three distinct regions: one for sm all elongations, say $0: 3$, another for large elongations, say $0: 4$, and the crossover region betw een them. For $\quad$, the localization length of the center $r_{C}^{c}$ is nearly constant while that of the constituent $r_{A}^{C}$ increases rapidly with . Both ${\underset{C}{c}}_{c}$ and $r_{A}^{C}$ decrease rapidly w thin the crossover region, and they decrease only slightly as function of the elongation for $0: 4$. The rapid increase of for can be understood as follows. Since $\mathrm{C}_{1 \text {; }}(\mathrm{t}!1$ ) $=0$ for $r^{c}=\mathcal{F}^{\prime} \frac{\text { one gets from }}{\left(r^{c}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}=12}$ the long-tim e lim it of Eq. (2 $\left.\mathbf{z}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ : this form ula $w$ ith $r_{C}^{c}$ xed to the value for $=0: 0$. It explains the increase of $r_{A}^{c}$ for increasing up to $c$. Thus, $r_{A}^{C}$ increases because the reorientationaldynam ics of the $m$ olecule's axis is not arrested for $c$. In this sense, the localization of $m$ olecules $w$ ith $s m$ all elongations is prim arily caused by that of the m olecule's center. This is consistent $w$ th the result that the glass transition for system $\mathrm{s} w$ ith sm all elongations is $m$ ainly driven by the


FIG. 11: Critical localization lengths for the constituent atom $r_{A}^{c}$ (solid line) and the center $r_{C}^{c}$ (dashed line) along the liquid-glass-transition line param eterized byp the elongation . The dotted line represents the function $\overline{\left(r_{C}^{C}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}=12}$ discussed in the text. The inset exhibits $1 \quad f_{1 ; s}^{c}$ as function of , where $f_{1 ; s}^{c}=C_{1 ; s}(t!1)$ is the long-time lim it of the dipole correlator $\mathrm{C}_{1 \text {; }}(\mathrm{t})$. T he arrow indicates the critical elongation $c=0: 345$.
 critical localization length $r_{C}^{c}$ provides the upper lim it for $r_{C}$ characterizing the size of the arrested structure, and its value 0:07 0:08 for $\quad c$ is consistent $w$ ith Lindem ann's m elting criterion. T he critical localization length of the constituent atom $r_{A}^{c}$ for $0: 4$ has sim ilar values. This im plies that the localization of $m$ olecules w ith large elongations is caused by that of the m olecule's constituents rather than by that of the $m$ olecule's center: the localization of the center is subordinate to that of the constituents. A s explained in R ef. '11', angu lar correlations becom em ore relevant for the glass transition of system $s$ w ith large elongations. Such angular correlations result in $m$ ore e cient localization of the constituent atom s , and this is the reason for the relevance ofr $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{C}}$ for the localization of $m$ olecules $w$ th large elongations. The center localization is reduced by about $1=\overline{2}$, as expected for independent $m$ otion of the tw o constituents.
D. The -relaxation scales

The superposition principles for various correlators im ply coupling of the relaxation tim e scales or relaxation rates as described in Sec. 'IIB1. This scale coupling or scale universality is dem onstrated in $F$ ig. 12 for the rate $1={ }^{N}$ of the coherent total density correlator ${ }_{q}^{N}(t)$ for the w ave num ber q 7 , the rate $1=1$;s of the dipole correlator $\mathrm{C}_{1 \text {; }}(t)$, and the di usion constant D. H ere, the relaxation tim es for ${ }_{q}^{N}(t)$ and $C_{1 ; s}(t)$ are determ ined


F IG .12: D ouble logarithm ic presentation of the relaxation rates $1={ }^{N}$ (squares) for the coherent total density correlator ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{t})$ at the structure-factor-peak position, $1=1$;s (circles) for the dipole correlator $C_{1 \text {; }}(t)$, and the di usion coe cients D (diam onds) for the elongations $=0: 4$ (upper panel) and 1:0 (low er panel) as function of the reduced packing fraction $\left(^{\prime} c_{c}^{\prime}\right)=_{c}^{\prime}=10^{n=3}$. The -scaling times ${ }^{N}$ and ${ }^{1 ; s}$ are de ned as in Eq. (19). The solid lines are the powerlaw asymptotes a j j-(see text) with $=3: 77$ and $2: 48$ for
$=0: 4$ and $1: 0$, respectively. The prefactors a have been chosen so that the solid lines go through the data points for $\mathrm{n}=12$.
by the convention given in Eq. (19).
Let us rst consider the results for $=1: 0$. A though the asym ptotic behavior is the sam e for all the quantities, $1=1$;s and $D$ start to deviate visibly from their asym ptotic results for $\mathrm{n}=4$, while $1={ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ starts to deviate only for $\mathrm{n}=2$. Thementioned -scale universality holds in the leading asym ptotic lim it for ! 0 , and the corrections to the asym ptotic predictions are di erent for di erent quantities. Thus, the found feature in the results for $=1: 0$ underlines the nonuniversality of the deviations. Let us add that the range of validity of the asym ptotic-law description is quite sim ilar to that of the hard-sphere system [2] follow the pattem that has been analyzed theoretically so far. The results for $=0: 4$ exhibit $\mathrm{m} u c h \mathrm{~m}$ ore pronounced deviations from the asym ptotic-law predictions: $1={ }^{N}$ and $D$ start to deviate visibly for $n=7$, and the


F IG .13: R esults as in $F$ ig. $1-121$, , but only for a restricted region of the reduced packing fraction, $n$, for the elongation
$=0: 4$. The solid lines here are the power-law ts ${ }_{A}^{e} j j^{\text {eff }}$ w ith the e ective pow er-law exponents $e^{e}=2: 90,2: 50$, and $2: 05$ for $1={ }^{N}, D$, and $1={ }^{1 \text { is }}$, respectively. The prefactors
${ }_{\text {A }}$ have been chosen so that the solid lines go through the data points for $n=5$.
deviation of $1=1$;s starts even for $n=9$. These deviations are due to the corrections to the -scaling law. $T$ heir $m$ agnitudes are proportional to the product of the critical am plitudes for each quantity and the coe cient $B_{1}$ as noted in Eq. (2-1). The critical am plitude $h_{q}^{N}$ for
$=0: 4$ at the structure-factor-peak position is slightly larger than the corresponding result for $=1: 0$ (cf. Fig. 9 of Ref. (1, 11). In addition, $B_{1}$ is 3.5 tim es larger for $=0: 4$ than for $1: 0$. This is the reason for the larger deviation of $1={ }^{N}$ found for $=0: 4$ than the one for $=1: 0$, and sim ilarly for $D$. T he even $m$ ore pronounced deviation for $1=1$;s is due to the large critical am plitude $h_{1 \text {; s }}$ caused by the precursor e ect of the nearby type-A transition, as discussed in connection w ith F ig. 13 of Ref . ${ }_{1}^{11}$. A $n-$ other possible source for the large deviations for ${ }^{-1}=0: 4$ $m$ ight be due to higher-order glass transition singularities, which can lead to the violation of the second scaling law, and thus the -scale universality. The signature


FIG.14: Products D ${ }^{N}$ (squares) and $D^{1 ; s}$ (circles) for the -relaxation scales of the $=0: 4$ liquid shown in $F$ igs. 12 and 113'. The full (dashed) line is a power-law t ${ }^{0} j j^{0}$ with 0 chosen so that the line goes through the data point for $n=5$ and ${ }^{0}=0: 40(0: 45)$.
of such singularities is the approach of tow ards unity, which im plies a divergency of $\mathrm{B}_{1}$.

A rem ark shallibe added conceming the determ ination of the exponent entering the power-law behavior for the relaxation tim e scale or relaxation rate as speci ed by Eq. (2d). This result is based on the validity of the scaling law. Therefore, one cannot appeal to M CT if one ts pow er law sfor relaxation rates for cases where the scaling law is violated so strongly as shown in the upper
 dem onstrates that, for $=0: 4$, the relaxation rates for $j$ j $10^{2}$ can be tted well by power laws $1=/$ $j j^{\text {eff }}$ for an about 1.3 -decade variation of the distance param eter $j$ j. The used e ective exponent ${ }^{e}=2: 90$ $(2.50 ; 2.05)$ describes the variation of $1={ }^{N} \quad(D ; 1=1 ; \mathrm{s})$ over $3.5(3.3 ; 2.5)$ orders of $m$ agnitude. The variabledependent e ective exponent ${ }^{e}$ again underlines the nonuniversality of the deviations from the scaling law. Thequantities ${ }^{e}$ aremere $t$ param eters $w$ ithout wellde ned $m$ eaning for the discussion of our theory. They depend on the interval of $j$ jchosen for the $t$.

A nother $m$ anner for testing the coupling betw een the scales of tw o variables, say $Y$ and $Y^{0}$, is provided by a plot of the ratio ${ }^{Y}=Y^{0}$ versus the controlparam eter. Such a plot does not require the know ledge of ${ }^{\prime}$ c, nor is it biased by the choice of som e $t$ interval. $T$ his is dem onstrated in Fig. 14 for the ratios form ed with $N$ or ${ }^{1 \text {; }}$ and the scale for the di usivity ${ }^{D} / 1=D$. Instead of weakly ' -dependent ratios expected for the asym ptotic law, the
gure show $s$ variations by $m$ ore than a factor 3 . $T_{0}$ he show n plot suggestspow er-law ts ${ }^{Y}=Y^{0} /\left(^{\prime} c_{c}\right)^{0}$ as show $n$ by the full line $w$ th ${ }^{0}=0: 40$ and the dashed one w ith ${ }^{0}=0: 45$. The t exponents ${ }^{0}$ are the di erences of the ective exponents ${ }^{e}$ referring to ${ }^{Y}$ and $Y^{\circ}$.

IV . CONCLUSION S

The recently developed mode-coupling theory (MCT) for molecular system $s$ has been applied to calculate the standard correlation functions which dem onstrate the evolution of glassy dynam ics of a sym $m$ etricharddum bbell system (HDS). The equilibrium structure of this system is determ ined solely by excluded-volum e effects, i.e., the shuggish dynam ics and the structuralarrest are due to steric hindrance for translational and reorientationalm otions. $T$ he phase diagram dem onstrates that there are tw o scenarios for the liquid-glass-transition dynam ics: one deals w ith strong and the other w ith weak steric hindrance for reorientationalm otion [1]

For the strong-steric-hindrance soenario, as it is obtained for dum bbells of elongation exceeding, say, 0.6, the constituent atom s are localized in cages of a sim ilar size as found for the sim ple hard-sphere system (HSS) and for the motion of a single dum bbell in the $H S S$, Fig. $1 \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$. The param eter , which determ ines the anom alous exponents of the decay laws and the critical time scales, is close to that of the H SS, Fig. 11 validity of the scaling laws for the - and -processes, exem pli ed in the lower panels of $F$ igs. ${ }^{1} 6$ tively, is sim ilar to what w as found for the H SS [2, [2, for the $m$ otion of a hard dum bbell in the HSS [8] $[1$ $T$ he rotation-translation coupling im plies for the $m$ eansquared displacem ent of the constituent atom a crossover interval betw een the end of the von Schw eidler process and the beginning of the di usion process which is about an order of $m$ agnitude larger than for the $m$ otion of a sphere in the HSS. This e ect is even m ore pronounced for the dum bbell liquid, the low er panel of $F$ ig. 'il', than for a dum bbellm oving in the HSS [211]. Testing this prediction by a m olecular-dynam ics sim ulation would provide a valuable inform ation on the relevance of our theory.

The results of this paper together w ith the preceding ndings on the -peaks for reorientational $m$ otion for angularm om entum index $`=1$ and $`=2$ as well as for the elastic m odulus $\left[\frac{10}{[81]}\right]$ lead to the conclusion that the strong-sterichindrance scenario explains the qualitative features of the structuralrelaxation in glass-form ing van-der-W aals system s like orthoterphenyl, Salol, or propylene carbonate. $T$ his holds w ith tw o reservations. $F$ irst, the calculated wave-vector dependence of the D ebye$W$ aller factor $f_{q}^{N}$ is stronger than that $m$ easured by neutron-scattering spectroscopy for orthoterphenyl [12']. It rem ains to be show $n$ that application of the theory to m olecules $m$ ore com plicated than dum bbells w ill lead to a sm earing out of the strong $q$-variations characteristic of hard-sphere-like system s. Second, m olecules which allow for a $m$ easurem ent of the dipole-correlator by dielectric loss spectroscopy carry an electric dipole m om ent. This leads to long-ranged interactions betw een the $m$ olecules. It rem ains to be studied how the inconporation of these interactions change the results based solely on hard-core interactions.

T he dipole-susceptibility spectra for a dum bbell w ith
 D ixon et al. $\left[1{ }^{-1}\right]$. $W$ e found this is the case also for the spectra of the H D forelongations in the range 0:6\ll $0: 8$. For $=1: 0$, on the other hand, the spectra for the high-frequency $w$ ing are below the $m$ aster curve found in Ref. ${ }_{1} 1 \overline{1} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}$, and for $=0: 6$ they are slightly above. Thus, our theory is not consistent w ith the con jecture that the quoted scaling law holds uníversally.

D ecreasing the elongation, the sterichindrance e ects for reorientations weaken. A new scenario em erges for su ciently sm all for tw o reasons. First, there is a criticalelongation c separating a norm alglass from a plastic one. For ${ }_{A}=(\quad c)={ }_{c}$ approaching zero, the critical am plitudes $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$, in particular $\mathrm{h}_{1 ; \mathrm{s}}=\lim _{\mathrm{q}!} \mathrm{oh}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$, diverge. This transition is due to the top-dow $n$ sym $m$ etry of the $m$ olecules. B ut the asym ptotic form ulas for $s m$ all A rem ain valid also for nearly syon $m$ etric $m$ olecules; one $m$ erely has to replace $j_{A} j$ by $\frac{A}{2}+{ }^{2}$, where is a num ber quantifying the sym $m$ etry breaking [14, 15] The precursor phenom ena of the approach of towards c have been discussed before for the $m$ otion of a single dum bbell in a HSS [8]1]. The m ost obvious one is the speeding up of the -process for reorientations relative to that for density uctuations, as dem onstrated in Fig. $T$ he second reason is the strong increase of the exponent param eter for $c$, Fig. ${ }_{1}^{111}$. For $=1$, there occurs a higher-order glass transition singularity, where the asym ptotic expansions of the MCT solutions are utterly di erent from those cited in Sec. iIIB1. The approach of tow ards unity leads to a shrinking of the range of validity of the discussed universal form ulas. The corrections diverge, as w asdiscussed in connection w ith the coe cient $B_{1}$ in Eq. (2). This equation show $s$ that the increase of the product $B_{1} h_{1 \text {; s leads to an increasing violation of }}$ the superposition principle for the -process, as show $n$ in the upper panel of Fig . $\overline{1}_{1} \overline{7}_{1}$. A s a result, the relaxation tim e approaches the universallaw , Eq. ( $2 \mathrm{O}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), only for very sm all distance param eters $=\left({ }^{\prime} \quad '_{C}^{\prime}()\right)={ }^{\prime}{ }_{c}()$. For
$=1: 0$, the power-law regime is reached for $j$ j=10 ${ }^{1}$, while $j$ jhas to be sm aller than $10^{2}$ for the approach to the asym ptotic law for $=0: 4, F$ ig 17 . 4 . A decrease of $j$ jby an order ofm agnitude is equivalent to an increase of the relaxation tim e by $m$ ore than a factor of 1000.

For $=0: 4$, the variation of the -relaxation-time scales over $m$ ore than three orders of $m$ agnitude can be described well by power law s , F ig. ind. But, the above-explained corrections to the leading-order asym ptotic laws imply that the tted e ective exponents e are considerably sm aller than the exponent $=3: 77$ specifying the correct asym ptotic behavior. M oreover, the corrections depend on the variable considered and therefore the found e ective exponents are pairw ise different. This means that the relaxation scales are not coupled, rather the ratio of tw o scales varies according to a power law as show $n$ for tw o cases in $F$ ig. 1 dynam ics-sim ulation studies for a binary Lennard-Jones system which have been started by K ob and A nder-
sen [1]-1] have been used for detailed tests of M C T, as can be inferred from Ref. 1711 and the papers cited there. T he range oftim e variations for the -progess in these studies is about the one considered in F ig. '13'. A s a rem arkable deviation from the -scale universality, a deviation of the t exponent for the di usivity from the exponent derived from density-relaxation curves has been reported $\left[1{ }_{1}{ }^{-1}\right]$ ]. Indeed, the D -diagram for the simulation data is in sem i-quantitative agreem ent $w$ ith the results show $n$ in Fig.14 [1d]. Therefore, it is tem pting to con jecture that the sim ulation data can be explained as done above for the results in $F$ igs. 131 and 14.

We are not aw are of experim ents which exhibit the weak steric hindrance scenario. M olecular-dynam ics sim ulations for a liquid of sym $m$ etric Lennard-Jones dum bbells w ith elongation $=0: 33$ lead to the suggestion "[19] that an inclusion of the rotational degrees of freedom is decisive for an understanding of the exponent param eter. T his conclusion is corroborated by Fig. ${ }^{1} 11$. D etailed sim ulation studies of the glassy dynam ics of a liquid of slightly asym $m$ etric Lennard-Jones dum bbells for $=0: 5$ have been reported by K am m erer et al. $20,121,122]$. T he correlation functions dealing $w$ ith the translational degrees of freedom for large and interm ediate $w$ ave vectors and also the ones for reorientational uctuations for large angular-m om entum indiges could be interpreted w th the universalM CT form ulas. H ow ever, the dipole correlator did not show a tw o-step-relaxation scenario and it exhibited strong violations of the superposition principle quite sim ilar to what is shown in the upper panel of F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{17}$. The relaxation time scales for density uctuations of interm ediate wave vectors, for the di usivity, and for the dipole correlator could be tted well by pow er law sw ith the exponents $2.56,2.20$, and 1.66 , respectively. The di erences in these exponents are quite sim ilar to what is dem onstrated in Fig. '13' for the corresponding quantities. This explains why the D \{versusitem perature diagram for the sim ulation results [23] show s violations of the scale coupling in sem i-quantitative agreem ent $w$ ith the ones show $n$ in $F$ ig. "14'. It seem $s$ that the sim ulation results for $\mathrm{C}_{1 \text {; }}(\mathrm{t})$ also t nicely into the fram ew ork of the idealM CT for m olecular liquids.

Let us notioe that the density correlators of the glass states for $w$ ave vector $q=9: 8$ exhibit oscillations for tim es around 0.1, Figs. $\overline{1} 1$ and $\overline{13} 1$. . These are the analogues of the oscillations analyzed previously for the H SS in connection $w$ ith a discussion of the so-called bosonpeak phenom enon and high-frequency sound [24]. It should bem entioned that the dynam ics of a dipolar-hardsphere system was analyzed recently with in the modecoupling theory [25] describing the structure by tensordensity uctuations. Som ecom $m$ ents on the generalrelation betw een this theory and the one used in the present paper can be found in Refs. 1 hard-sphere system, the osciliations have been analyzed in detail. They re ect subtle couplings betw een translational and rotational degrees of freedom as enforced by the long-ranged C oulom b interactions. For the H D S such
couplings are present as well, albeit caused by the shortranged steric hindrance e ects; but an analysis of these oscillations rem ains to be done.
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