# Transient dynam ics for sequence processing neural networks

M asaki KAW AM URA

Faculty of Science, Yam aguchi University, Yoshida 1677–1, Yam aguchi, 753–8512 Japan

Masato OKADA

RIKEN BSI, Wako-shi, 351-0198 Japan

(Dated: March 22, 2024)

### Abstract

An exact solution of the transient dynam ics for a sequential associative m em ory m odel is discussed through both the path-integral m ethod and the statistical neurodynam ics. A lthough the path-integral m ethod has the ability to give an exact solution of the transient dynam ics, only stationary properties have been discussed for the sequential associative m em ory. W e have succeeded in deriving an exact m acroscopic description of the transient dynam ics by analyzing the correlation of crosstalk noise. Surprisingly, the order param eter equations of this exact solution are com pletely equivalent to those of the statistical neurodynam ics, which is an approxim ation theory that assume es crosstalk noise to obey the G aussian distribution. In order to exam ine our theoretical ndings, we num erically obtain cum ulants of the crosstalk noise. W e verify that the third- and fourth-order cum ulants are equal to zero, and that the crosstalk noise is norm ally distributed even in the non-retrieval case. W e show that the results obtained by our theory agree w ith those obtained by com puter sim ulations. W e have also found that the m acroscopic unstable state com pletely coincides with the separatrix.

E lectronic address: kaw am ura@ sci.yam aguchi-u.ac.jp

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

Statistical mechanical theories have been applied to the eld of neural networks such as combinatorial optimization and associative memories. Recently, they have also been applied to the eld of information science such as error-correcting codes, in age recovery, and CDMA [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. For example, the maximum a posteriori probability (MAP) and the maximum posterior marginals (MPM) in the framework of the Bayesian estimation correspond to noting the ground state and the equilibrium state of the corresponding spin system, respectively. From this point of view, information processing can be treated as some kind of relaxation process of spin systems. The transient dynamics of systems should therefore be discussed from the information theoretic point of view.

In general, how ever, theoretical treatm ent of the dynam ics is extrem ely di cult com pared with the equilibrium statistical mechanics of frustrated systems into which many problems concerning the information processing are mapped. A lthough a lot of works has been done to analyze the transient dynamics [9, 10, 11], it is well known that achieving the tractable rigorous treatment is hopeless regarding the dynamics of frustrated systems. In this paper, we discuss a correlation type associative memory model having frustrated couplings, and rigorously derive an exact macroscopic description of the transient dynamics for this model.

There are two types of associative m em ory m odels: autoassociative m em ory m odels and sequential associative m em ory m odels. In the case of autoassociative m em ory m odels [12], their storage capacity and phase diagram have been analyzed by equilibrium theories [13, 14]. In the case of sequential associative m em ory m odels, there is no equilibrium state, since the network retrieves di erent patterns sequentially. A s we m entioned above, since associative m em ory m odels de nitely belong to inform ation processing system s, it is in portant to analyze the inform ation process, that is, the retrieval process of the stored patterns. A lthough the path-integral m ethod based on the generating function [9, 15, 16, 17] has the potential ability to provide a rigorous solution for an associative m em ory m odel, the theory is form al and intractable because the com plexity of the num erical calculation is exponentially large w ith respect to the time step. A coordingly, the theory can only describe the short time region and equilibrium state.

Recently, During et al. [18] presented the path-integral method regarding a sequential associative memory model. However, they did not discuss the transient properties of

2

the retrieval process, but only analyzed properties of the stationary state, i.e., the storage capacity and the phase diagram [18]. On the other hand, the statistical neurodynamics [10, 19, 20, 21, 22] is an approximation theory capable of analyzing the long-term behavior of the transient dynamics. Here, the input to the spin or neuron can be divided into two terms. The rst one is a signal term, which is a signal to retrieve, and the second one is a crosstalk noise term, which prevents retrieval. In the statistical neurodynamics, one assumes that the crosstalk noise obeys the G aussian distribution with mean 0 and a time dependent variance, and derives macroscopic recursive equations for the am plitude of the signal term and the variance of the crosstalk noise. Therefore, the basin of attraction and other dynam ic properties can be discussed through the statistical neurodynam ics. For the autoassociative memory model, N ishim ori and 0 zeki tried to num erically check the G aussian assumption using large-scale computer simulations, and found that the assumption holds only when the retrieval process succeeds [20, 23].

In this paper, we derive an exact solution of the transient dynam ics for the sequential associative m em ory m odel through the path-integral m ethod, and derive an approxim ated solution through the statistical neurodynam ics. W e then com pare the results of the two theories, and try to clarify their relationship.

This paper is constructed as follows. In the second section, the sequential associative m em ory m odel that we use is de ned. In the third section, we introduce the form alm acroscopic state equations obtained by D uring et al. using the path-integral m ethod. In the fourth section, we derive an exact m acroscopic description of the transient dynam ics by the path-integral m ethod. In the ffh section, we also derive m acroscopic state equations by the statistical neurodynam ics, and prove that both the path-integral m ethod and the statistical neurodynam ics give the sam e equations for the sequential associative m em ory m odel. In the sixth section, the transient dynam ics are veri ed by com paring these theories with com puter simulations.

### II. SEQUENCE PROCESSING NEURAL NETW ORK

Let us consider a sequential associative m em ory m odel that consists of N spins or neurons. We consider the case of N ! 1. The state of the spins takes  $_{i}(t) = 1$  and updates the

3

state synchronously with the following probability:

$$P \operatorname{rob} \left[ {}_{i}(t+1) \, h_{i}(t) \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + {}_{i}(t+1) \tanh h_{i}(t) \right]; \tag{1}$$

$$h_{i}(t) = \int_{j=1}^{X^{i}} J_{ij \ j}(t) + I_{i}(t); \qquad (2)$$

where is the inverse tem perature, = 1=T. When the tem perature is absolute zero, i.e., T = 0, the state of the spins <sub>i</sub>(t + 1) is determined by the sign of local eld  $h_i(t)$ , that is,

$$_{i}(t+1) = \operatorname{sgn} [h_{i}(t)]:$$
 (3)

The term  $_{i}(t)$  is a threshold or an external input to the network. Synaptic connection  $J_{ij}$ stores p random patterns =  $(_{1}; _{N})^{T}$  so as to retrieve the patterns as  $^{1} ! ^{2} !$  $^{p} ! ^{1}$  sequentially. For instance, it is given by

$$J_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{p}} \sum_{j=1}^{j+1} j;$$
(4)

where p+1 = 1. The number of stored patterns p is given by p = N, where is called the bading rate. Each component of the patterns is assumed to be an independent random variable that takes a value of either + 1 or 1 according to the following probability,

$$P \operatorname{rob} [_{i} = 1] = \frac{1}{2}$$
 (5)

W e determ ine the initial state (0) according to the following probability distribution,

$$P \operatorname{rob} [ _{i} (0) = 1 ] = \frac{1 \quad m (0) _{i}^{p}}{2};$$
 (6)

and therefore the overlap between the pattern p and the initial state (0) is m (0). The network state (t) at time t is expected to be near the pattern t, when initial overlap m (0) is large and the loading rate is small.

### III. PATH-IN TEGRAL METHOD

D uring et al. [18] discussed the sequential associative m em ory m odel by the path-integral m ethod. In this section, we introduce m acroscopic state equations for the m odel with a nite tem perature T 0, according to their paper. The detailed derivation is available in their paper [18].

In order to analyze the transient dynam ics, the generating function Z [ ] is de ned as

$$Z[] = \sum_{\substack{(0); \\ (0); \\ (0); \\ (0); \\ (0); \\ (0); \\ (1)$$

where = ( (0); ; (t 1)). The state (s) =<sub>1</sub> (s);  $_{N}$ ;(s))<sup>T</sup> denotes the state of the spins at time s, and the probability p [ (0); (1); ; (t)] denotes the probability of taking the path from initial state (0) to state (t) at time t through (1); (2); ; (t 1). As (7) shows, the generating function takes the sum mation of all  $2^{N}$  (t+1) paths, which the network can take from time 0 to t. The generating function Z [ ] involves the sequence overlap m (s), which represents the direction cosine between the state (s) and the retrieval pattern  $^{s}$  at time s, the response functions G (s; s<sup>0</sup>), and the correlation functions C (s; s<sup>0</sup>) as follow s:

$$m (s) = i \lim_{0 \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{s}{i} \frac{\Theta Z[i]}{\Theta_{i}(s)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{s} h_{i}(s)i;$$
(8)

$$G (s; s^{0}) = i \lim_{! 0} \frac{1}{N} \frac{x^{N}}{_{i=1}} \frac{\theta^{2} Z []}{\theta_{i}(s)\theta_{i}(s^{0})} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{x^{N}}{_{i=1}} \frac{\theta h_{i}(s)i}{\theta_{i}(s^{0})};$$
(9)

$$C (s; s^{0}) = \lim_{! \to 0} \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^{N}}{_{i=1}} \frac{Q^{2}Z []}{Q_{i}(s)Q_{i}(s^{0})} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^{N}}{_{i=1}} h_{i}(s)_{i}(s^{0})i;$$
(10)

where h i denotes the therm all average. Using the assumption of self-averaging, we replace the generating function Z [] with its ensemble average  $\overline{Z}$  [].

Evaluating the averaged generating function  $\overline{Z}$  [ ] through the saddle point m ethod, D uring et al. succeeded in obtaining the following m acroscopic recursive equations for the order parameters of (8) { (10).

$$m(s) = {}^{s} fdvdw ge^{iV W \frac{1}{2}W R W} tanh {}^{h} sm(s 1) + (s 1) + {}^{p} v(s 1)^{i};$$
(11)

$$G(s;s^{0}) = \underset{s;s^{0}+1}{s;s^{0}+1} 1 \quad fdvdw ge^{iV \ w \ \frac{1}{2}W \ R \ w} \\ tanh^{2} \quad \overset{h}{s}m(s \ 1) + (s \ 1) + \overset{p}{v}(s \ 1) \quad ; \qquad (12)$$

$$C (s; s^{0}) = {}_{s; s^{0}} + (1 {}_{s; s^{0}}) \int f dv dw g e^{i V W \frac{1}{2} W R W} tanh^{h} {}^{s} m (s 1) + (s 1) + {}^{p} - v (s 1)^{i} tanh^{h} {}^{s^{0}} m (s^{0} 1) + (s^{0} 1) + {}^{p} - v (s^{0} 1)^{iE} ;$$
(13)

where fdvdw g =  $\int_{s < t}^{0} \frac{h_{dv(s)} dw(s)}{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{1}{p} for v = (v(0); v(1); ;v(t T_1)) w =$ (w(0); w(1); ;w(t T\_1)) idenotes the average over all 's, and the matrix R is given by

$$R = \int_{n=0}^{X} (G)^{n} C G^{y}^{n^{i}} :$$
 (14)

#### IV. DYNAM IC TREATMENT OF THE PATH-INTEGRAL METHOD

#### A. Transient dynam ics

These form all dynam ical equations seem to be intractable because the numerical complexity in directly calculating these equations becomes exponentially large with respect to the time s. Although the rigorous solution of these equations form ally has an ability to treat the macroscopic state transition, D uring et al. derived stationary state equations from these form all dynam ical equations, and only analyzed the stationary properties of the storage capacity and the phase diagram. In contrast, we have succeeded in obtaining a tractable description of the macroscopic dynam ic state transition from the result as shown below.

Since the matrix R is  $R(s;s^0) = hv(s)v(s^0)i$ , the matrix R represents the covariance matrix of crosstalk noise v. We need the value of  $R(s;s^0)$  for each time to analyze the transient dynamics exactly. In consideration of this, we reconsidered (12) and succeeded in deriving a recurrence relation form of R  $(s;s^0)$ . From (12), G  $(s;s^0) = 0$  is satisfied when  $s \in s^0 + 1$ . Therefore, the matrix G can be given by

$$G = \begin{cases} 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 6 & g_1 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 6 & 0 & g_2 & 0 & 7 \\ 6 & 0 & g_2 & 0 & 7 \\ 6 & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & g_1 g 0 \end{cases}$$
(15)

with  $g_s = G$  (s;s 1). From this, we can easily not that  $G^n$  (s;s<sup>0</sup>) =  $\sum_{s;s^{0+n}=0}^{Q} g_s$ ; (n 1) and

$${}^{h}(G)^{n}C G^{y}{}^{ni}(s;s^{0}) = \begin{pmatrix} \circ & C(s;s^{0}) & ;n = 0 \\ C(s n;s^{0} n)^{n}g_{s} & g_{s^{0}} \circ ;1 n s : (16) \\ & = 0 & \circ = 0 \\ 0 & ;n > s \end{pmatrix}$$

From (14), R (s;  $s^0$ ) can be reduced to

$$R (s;s^{0}) = C (s;s^{0}) + \sum_{n=1}^{X^{s}} C (s n;s^{0} n) G (s ;s^{0} n) G (s^{0} ;s^{0} 0) G (s^{0} ) G (s^{0} ) G (s^{0} 0) G (s^{0} ) G$$

$$= C (s; s^{0}) + C (s 1; s^{0} 1)G (s; s 1)G (s^{0}; s^{0} 1) + {\overset{X^{1}}{\underset{n 1}{\circ}} C (s n 1; s^{0} n 1) {\overset{Y^{n}}{\phantom{a}}} G (s ; s 1) {\overset{Y^{n}}{\phantom{a}}} G (s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}}; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1) (18) + {\overset{0}{\underset{n 1}{\circ}} G (s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}}; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1) (18) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}}; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1) (18) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}}; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}}; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}}; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 2) (19) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 2) (19) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 2) (19) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 2) (19) + {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} G (s {\overset{0}{\phantom{a}}} 1; s^{0} {\overset{$$

We can therefore derive a recurrence relation form of R (s;  $s^0$ ):

$$R (s;s^{0}) = C (s;s^{0}) + G (s;s 1)G (s^{0};s^{0} 1)R (s 1;s^{0} 1):$$
(20)

(17)

U sing this recurrence relation, we can evaluate the value of R  $(s; s^0)$  for each time.

Next, since the term s tanh() of m (s) and G (s; s 1) include only the variable v (s 1), these m ultiple integral equations can be reduced to the following single integral equations by using (A 18) in the AppendixA.

$$m (s) = {}^{z} D z tanh {}^{s}m (s 1) + (s 1) + z R (s 1; s 1)$$
(21)  
(21)  
(21)  
(21)  
(22)  
(22)

with the fam iliar abbreviation  $D = \frac{r^{dz}}{r^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}$ . Since C (s;s<sup>0</sup>) includes v (s 1) and v (s<sup>0</sup> 1), C (s;s<sup>0</sup>) can be reduced to a double integral equation from (A18),

$$C(s;s) = 1$$
 (23)

$$C(s;0) = {}^{p}m(0) Dztanh {}^{s}m(s 1) + (s 1) + z R(s 1;s 1)$$
 (24)

$$= 0$$
(25)
$$C (s; s^{0}) = \int_{h}^{* Z} \frac{dz e^{\frac{1}{2}Z R_{11}^{1}Z}}{2 R_{11} f^{1}} \tanh^{h} s_{m} (s 1) + (s 1) + F_{Z} (s 1)^{1}$$

$$\tanh^{s^{0}} s^{0} (s^{0} 1) + (s^{0} 1) + F_{Z} (s^{0} 1)^{1}$$
(26)

where the matrix R<sub>11</sub> is a 2 2 matrix consisting of the elements of R at time s 1 and time s<sup>0</sup> 1, and  $z = [z (s 1); z (s^0 1)]^T$ . We therefore have the macroscopic state equations (20) { (26) for each time, and can analyze the transient dynamics exactly.

### B. Stationary state equations

Let us derive stationary state equations from ourm acroscopic state equations. We assume m (t) ! m and R (t;t<sup>0</sup>) ! r when t;t<sup>0</sup> ! 1 . In this case, we can get

$$m = Dz \tanh m + z r^{j}; \qquad (27)$$

$$q = Dz tanh^{2} m + z r^{j} r^{j}$$
(28)

and also G (t;t 1)! (1 q). We can, therefore, obtain

$$r = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{2} (1 - q)^2}$$
 (29)

These equations (27) { (29) are coincident with those obtained by D uring elal. U sing (27) { (29), the stationary state can be evaluated.

### V. STATISTICAL NEURODYNAM ICS

## A. Finite tem perature case

M acroscopic state equations have also been derived for a sequential associative m em ory m odel with absolute zero temperature T = 0 by the statistical neurodynamics [25]. In this paper, we derive the m acroscopic state equations for the present m odel with nite temperature T = 0, by the statistical neurodynamics [20]. In the statistical neurodynamics, the input is divided into a signal term and a crosstalk noise term . From (2) and (4), we obtain

$$h_{i}(s) = \sum_{i}^{s+1} m^{s}(s) + z_{i}(s) + z_{i}(s); \qquad (30)$$

$$z_{i}(s) = \int_{i}^{A^{*}} m(s); \qquad (31)$$

m (s) = 
$$\frac{1}{N} X_{i}$$
 (s): (32)

The overlaps m (s) are de ned for each pattern . We assume that the crosstalk noise  $z_i$  (s) is norm ally distributed with m ean 0 and variance <sup>2</sup> (s; s). Then, the sequence overlap m (s) = m<sup>s</sup> (s) for condensed patterns becomes

$$m(s) = {}^{S} Dztanh [{}^{s}m(s 1) + (s 1) + z(s 1; s 1)] : (33)$$

Next, let us evaluate the overlap m (s); 6 s between the network state (s) and the uncondensed pattern in order to calculate the covariance matrix  ${}^{2}(s;s^{0}) = E[z_{i}(s)z_{i}(s^{0})]$  of the crosstalk noise of (31). We assume that the pattern  $_{i}$  and the state  $_{i}(s)$  are independent regarding i. The state  $_{i}(s)$  is correlated with the uncondensed pattern  $_{i}$ , because the local eld  $h_{i}(s 1)$  includes the pattern . From (30), since the overlap m (s) is 0 (1=  $\frac{p}{N}$ ), the term tanh [ $h_{i}(s 1)$ ], which determ ines the state  $_{i}(s)$  stochastically, is expanded as follows:

$$\tanh [h_{i}(s \ 1)] = \tanh h_{i}(s \ 1)^{i} + \lim_{i} m^{-1}(s \ 1) \operatorname{sech}^{2} h_{i}(s \ 1)^{i}; (34)$$

$$h_{i}^{()}(s \ 1) = {}_{i}^{s}m^{s \ 1}(s \ 1) + \frac{1}{N} {}_{\frac{6}{5}s; \ 1}^{X^{p}} {}_{i}^{+1}m \ (s \ 1) + {}_{i}(s \ 1):$$
(35)

Here, we use  $(\tanh x)^0 = 1$   $\tanh^2 x = \operatorname{sech}^2 x$ . Then, we get

$$P \operatorname{rob} [ _{i} (s) _{h_{i}} (s 1) ] = P \operatorname{rob}^{h} _{i} (s) _{h_{i}} (s 1)^{i} (s 1)^{i} + \frac{1}{2} ^{h} _{1} + _{i i} (s) _{i} (s 1) \operatorname{sech}^{2} h_{i} (s 1)^{i} (s$$

W e can derive

m (s) = 
$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (s) + U(s)m^{-1}(s-1)$$
: (37)

Note that  ${\scriptstyle (\ )}_i$  (s) is independent of  ${\scriptstyle (\ )}_i$  , and U (s) is given by

$$U(s) = Dz sech2 [sm (s 1) + (s 1) + z (s 1)]$$
(38)

$$= 1 \quad Dz tanh^{2} [ {}^{s}m (s 1) + (s 1) + z (s 1) ] : (39)$$

Therefore, the covariance of the crosstalk noise is given by

$${}^{2}(\mathbf{s};\mathbf{s}^{0}) = \mathbf{E} \left[ \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^{N}}{\underset{i=1}{\overset{i}{2}}{\overset{i}{3}}} \left( \mathbf{s} \right) \left( \mathbf{s}^{0} \right) + \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{s})\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{s}^{0})\mathbf{E} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} X^{P} \\ X^{P} \\ \mathbf{s}^{0} \right) \mathbf{E} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^{P} \\ \mathbf{s}^{2} \\ \mathbf{s}^{2}$$

From (37), the third term on the RHS of (40) becomes

$$U (s^{0})E \overset{2}{4} \frac{1}{N} \overset{X^{p}}{\underset{\text{f s}; s^{0} i}{\overset{i}{1}}} x^{p} (s)m^{-1} (s^{0} 1)^{5}$$

A coording to the literature [24], (41) becomes zero as follows. Since  $_{i}$  and  $_{i}^{1}$  are independent of  $_{i}^{()}$  (s) and  $_{i}^{(-1)}$  (s<sup>0</sup> 1), respectively, the rst term on the RHS of (41) becomes E  $_{i}^{h}$   $_{i}^{1}$   $_{i}^{()}$  (s)  $_{i}^{(-1)}$  (s<sup>0</sup> 1) = 0. Using (37) to (41) up to the initial time iteratively, (41) becomes

$$U(s^{0})E^{4}\frac{1}{N} \underset{\text{fs}^{s}^{\circ} i}{\overset{()}{N}} s^{p} x_{\text{fs}^{\circ} i} (s^{0})m^{-1}(s^{0}) (s^{0})^{5} = \underset{=1}{\overset{Y^{0}}{N}} U(s^{0})E^{4}\frac{1}{N} \underset{\text{fs}^{\circ}^{\circ} i}{\overset{()}{N}} s^{o} (s^{0})^{5} :$$

$$(42)$$

Because of the sequential associative m em ory with p = N period, the correlations for  $s^0 = p; 2p; 3p$  remain. These can however be neglected in the limit N ! 1. Therefore, the third term on the RHS of (40) becomes zero. Similarly, the forth term also becomes zero. Finally, the covariance can be given by

$$^{2}(s;s^{0}) = C(s;s^{0}) + U(s)U(s^{0})^{2}(s 1;s^{0} 1):$$
 (43)

#### B. Path-integralm ethod and statistical neurodynam ics

Let us compare the results obtained by the path-integral method and the results obtained by the statistical neurodynamics. From (20) and (43), let  ${}^{2}$  (s;s<sup>0</sup>) correspond to R (s;s<sup>0</sup>). In this case, we obtain U (s) = G (s;s 1) from (22) and (39), and then  ${}^{2}$  (s;s<sup>0</sup>) = R (s;s<sup>0</sup>) from (20) and (43). Moreover, the overlap from (21) is equal to one from (33).

As stated above, both theories give the same macroscopic state equations for the sequential associative memory model. This noting means that the crosstalk noise in the present model is normally distributed even if the network fails in retrieval, and also that the macroscopic state equations obtained by the statistical neurodynamics can give the exact solution.

#### VI. RETRIEVAL PROCESS

In this section, let us discuss the transient dynam ics of the sequential associative m em ory m odel. Since m acroscopic state equations obtained by the statistical neurodynam ics are equivalent to those by the path-integral m ethod, we use the notations of m (t); U (t); and  $^{2}$  (t;t<sup>0</sup>). Let  $^{2}$  (t;t) be  $^{2}$  (t;t) = r(t) when t<sup>0</sup> = t. We analyze the case of (t) = 0 and derive the m acroscopic state equations,

$$m (t+1) = {t+1 \atop t=1}^{Z} D z tanh {t+1 \atop t=1}^{t+1} m (t) + z r(t)$$
 (44)

$$U(t+1) = 1$$
  $Dz tanh^2$   $t+1m(t) + z r(t)$ ; (45)

$$r(t+1) = 1 + U^{2}(t+1)r(t)$$
: (46)

Figure 1 shows the time evolution of the overlap m (t). The solid lines represent results obtained by the macroscopic state equations (44) { (46), and the broken lines represent results obtained by computer simulations with N = 100000, where the loading rate is = 0.20;0.26 and the inverse temperature is = 5 (T = 0.2). The abscissa denotes the time t, and the ordinate denotes the overlap m (t). In this case, the storage capacity is  $_{\rm c}$  = 0.246. As shown in Fig. 1, our theory can describe the transient dynam ics quantitatively even if the network fails in retrieval or the loading rate exceeds the storage capacity  $_{\rm c}$ .

In the autoassociative m em ory m odels, the crosstalk noise is not norm ally distributed when the network fails in retrieval [20, 23]. In the present sequential associative m em ory m odel, however, the m acroscopic state equations obtained by the path-integral m ethod are represented in the form of a single or double G aussian integral. Therefore, the rst, second, third, and fourth cum ulants  $C_1(t); C_2(t); C_3(t); C_4(t)$  are evaluated in order to verify the distribution of the crosstalk noise. W hen the crosstalk noise is norm ally distributed, the third and fourth cum ulants are zero. The cum ulants are de ned by

$$C_{1}(t) = \overline{z}(t); \qquad (47)$$

$$C_2(t) = z^2(t) \quad \overline{z}^2(t);$$
 (48)

$$C_{3}(t) = \overline{z^{3}}(t) \quad \exists \overline{z}(t) \overline{z^{2}}(t) + 2\overline{z}^{3}(t);$$

$$(49)$$

$$C_{4}(t) = \overline{z^{4}}(t) \quad 3 \quad \overline{z^{2}}(t) \quad ^{2} \quad 4\overline{z}(t)\overline{z^{3}}(t) + 12\overline{z}^{2}(t)\overline{z^{2}}(t) \quad 6\overline{z}^{4}(t);$$
(50)

where  $\overline{z^n}$  (t) denotes the nth-order m om ent for the crosstalk noise  $z_i$  (t) and is de ned as

$$\overline{z^{n}}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} fz_{i}(t)g^{n}$$
 (51)

The cum ulants  $C_1$  (t) and  $C_2$  (t) represent the average and variance of z (t), respectively.

Figure 2 shows the time evolution of cumulants and overlap m (t), where the loading rate is = 0.20;0.26 and the inverse temperature is = 5 (T = 0.2). The initial overlap is m (0) = 0.2, where the network fails in retrieval. The solid lines represent the overlap m (t) and the variance of the crosstalk noise r(t) obtained by our theory. These lines agree with the overlap and the cumulant  $C_2$  (t) obtained by the computer simulations. Since the third and fourth cumulants are zero, we can not that the crosstalk noise is norm ally distributed. In the sequential associative m emory model, the third and fourth cumulants hold  $C_3$  (t) =  $C_4$  (t) = 0, as obtained by the path-integralm ethod, even if the network fails in retrieval. The F igure 2 shows that the G aussian assumption in the statistical neurodynam ics folds for the present m odel.

Figure 3 shows the transition of the overlap m (t) and the variance of the crosstalk noise r (t). The solid lines represent results obtained by our theory and the broken lines represent results obtained by computer simulations with N = 100000. The loading rate is = 0.20 and the inverse temperature is = 5 (T = 0.2). As shown in Fig. 3, there are two stable stationary states; one is m = 1, which represents a retrieval state, and the other is m = 0, which represents a non-retrieval state. We can therefore de ne the critical overlap, which determ ines whether patterns will be retrieved. When the initial overlap is larger than the critical overlap m<sub>c</sub>, stored patterns can be retrieved. Namely, as shown in Fig. 3, there is a separatrix on the locus starting from the initial overlap m<sub>c</sub>, and the network passes through the separatrix at time t = 1. In general, an unstable stationary state obtained by m icroscopic dynam ics, e.g., (1). As shown by the cross mark in Fig. 3, however, we can not that the unstable stationary state agrees with the separatrix for the present model.

Figure 4 shows a basin of attraction where the inverse tem perature is = 5. The abscissa denotes the loading rate , and the ordinate denotes the overlap. The solid line represents a result obtained by theory. The lower line represents the critical overlap m<sub>c</sub>, and the upper line represents the stationary overlap m<sub>1</sub> at time t ! 1, where the network starts from the initial overlap m (0) = 1. The intersection between the critical overlap m<sub>c</sub> and the stationary

overlap  $m_1$  gives the storage capacity  $_c$ . The broken lines represent the overlap m, which is the unstable stationary state given by equations (27) { (29). The error bars represent the median, and the rst and third quartiles over 11 trials of the overlaps  $m_c$  and  $m_1$ , which are obtained by computer simulations with N = 10000. As shown in this gure, the basin of attraction obtained by the theory quantitatively coincides with that of the computer simulations.

#### VII. CONCLUSIONS

We rigorously derived dynam ic m acroscopic state equations for the sequential associative m em ory m odel through the path-integral m ethod. This suggested that the crosstalk noise is norm ally distributed for the sequential associative m em ory m odel. We also exam ined the cum ulants of the crosstalk noise by computer simulations, and found the third and fourth cum ulants to be zero. This inding strongly supported the norm ally distributed crosstalk noise. U sing this exact solution, we obtained dynam ical properties such as the critical overlap m<sub>c</sub>, the tem poral behavior of the overlap, and so on. These theoretically obtained results agreed with those of computer simulations. We also found that the separatrix coincides with the unstable is well point of the macroscopic stationary state equations.

H istorically, dynam ic m acroscopic state equations have been derived by the statistical neurodynam ics for a sequential associative m em ory m odel with zero tem perature T = 0 assuming the crosstalk noise to obey the G aussian distribution [25]. We extended this theory to the nite tem perature case, and compared dynam ic m acroscopic state equations by the statistical neurodynam ics with those by the path-integral method. As a result, we found both to be completely equivalent for the sequential associative m em ory m odel. This m eans that the statistical neurodynam ics is exact for the sequential associative m em ory m odel.

For the sequential associative m em ory m odel, we could drive the recurrence relation of  $R(s;s^0)$  and the dynam ic m acroscopic state equations. This is the reason why no e ective self-interaction, which is caused by the time correlation of states, remains. In contrast, since only one pattern is retrieved for an autoassociative m em ory m odel, the e ect of the intrinsic time correlation can not be avoided and the distribution of the crosstalk noise becomes non-G aussian. It is known that this treatment of the autoassociative m em ory m odel is

13

intractable [9, 26].

Ζ

APPENDIX A: MULTIPLE GAUSSIAN INTEGRAL

Ω

In order to calculate equations (11) to (13), it is su cient to treat the following form of a multiple Gaussian integral,

<sup>Z</sup> fdvdw ge<sup>iV W 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
W <sup>R W</sup>f (v<sub>1</sub>); (A1)</sup>

where we put  $v = (v_1; v_2)^T$ . We de ne S as the inverse matrix of R. Corresponding to the representation of  $v = (v_1; v_2)^T$ , the matrix R and its inversion S are represented as,

1

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ B & R_{11} & R_{12} & C \\ R & A & A & A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ S_{11} & S_{12} & C & A \\ B & A & A & A & A \end{pmatrix}$$
(A2)

For example,  $v_1$  and  $R_{11}$  are v (s 1) and R (s 1; s 1) with equations (11) and (12), respectively, while  $v_1 = (v(s \ 1); v(s^0 \ 1))^T$  and

$$R_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ B & R (s & 1; s & 1) & R (s & 1; s^{0} & 1) \\ B & R (s^{0} & 1; s & 1) & R (s^{0} & 1; s^{0} & 1) \end{bmatrix} (A3)$$

with equation (13). According to the de nition, SR = I.W e obtain

$$S_{11}R_{11} + S_{12}R_{21} = I$$
 (A 4)

$$S_{21}R_{11} + S_{22}R_{21} = 0;$$
 (A5)

where I and 0 are the identity and zero matrix, respectively. Solving (A4) and (A5) with respect to  $R_{11}$ , we obtain  $R_{11} = S_{11} - S_{12}S_{22}^{-1}S_{21}^{-1}$ .

Accordingly, the integral of equation (A1) is obtained as,

$$fdvdw ge^{iV W \frac{1}{2}W R W} f(v_1)$$
(A 6)

$$= \int dv dw g e^{\frac{1}{2}} w \, iR^{-1} v^{\nu} R w \, iR^{-1} v^{\frac{1}{2}} v R^{-1} v f(v_1)$$
(A7)

$$= \frac{1}{\Re f_{\perp}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-}^{Z} f dvg e^{\frac{1}{2}v R^{-1}v} f(v_{1})$$
(A8)

$$= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{R}\frac{1}{P}} fdvge^{\frac{1}{2}(V_1 - S_{11}V_1 + V_1 - S_{12}V_2 + V_2 - S_{21}V_1 + V_2 - S_{22}V_2)}f(v_1)$$
(A9)

$$= \frac{1}{\Re j^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{Z} \text{ fdvge}^{\frac{1}{2}} v_{2} + S_{22}^{-1} S_{12}^{V} v_{1}^{V} S_{22}^{V} v_{2} + S_{22}^{-1} S_{21} v_{1}^{V} \frac{1}{2} v_{1}^{V} S_{11}^{V} S_{12} S_{22}^{-1} S_{21}^{V} v_{1}^{V} f(v_{1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Re \frac{1}{P} \Re _{22} \frac{1}{P}}^{2} fdv_{1}ge^{\frac{1}{2}}v_{1} R_{11}^{1}v_{1}f(v_{1}); \qquad (A11)$$

with  $fdvg = {}^{Q} \sum_{s < t}^{h} \frac{dv(s)}{p} \frac{i}{2}$  for  $v = (v(0); v(1); ;v(t T_1))$  and  $fdv_1g = \frac{dv(s-1)}{p}$  or  $fdv_1g = \frac{dv(s-1)}{p}$ . Substituting the following identities,

$$\mathbf{\dot{s}} \mathbf{j} = \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{S}_{11} & \mathbf{S}_{12} \mathbf{S}_{22}^{-1} \mathbf{S}_{21} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{S}_{21} & \mathbf{S}_{22} \end{array}$$
(A 12)

$$= jS_{11} S_{12}S_{22}^{-1}S_{21}jS_{22}j$$
 (A 13)

$$j_{5} j_{R} j = 1;$$
 (A14)

into equation (A11), we get

$$\frac{1}{\Re \frac{1}{P} \Re 22} \int_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} f dv_1 g e^{\frac{1}{2} v_1 R_{11}^{-1} v_1} f(v_1)$$
 (A15)

$$= \frac{1}{5} \sum_{11} S_{12} S_{22}^{-1} S_{21} \frac{1}{2} \int_{2}^{2} f dv_1 g e^{-\frac{1}{2} v_1 R_{11}^{-1} v_1} f(v_1)$$
 (A16)

$$= \frac{1}{\Re_{11} \frac{1}{p}}^{2} fdv_{1}ge^{\frac{1}{2}v_{1}} R_{11}^{1}v_{1}f(v_{1}): \qquad (A17)$$

We can therefore obtain a simple form of the multiple integral,

<sup>Z</sup> fdvdw ge<sup>iV W 
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
W <sup>R</sup> W f (v<sub>1</sub>) =  $\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{11}\frac{1}{\mathcal{P}}}^{Z}$  fdv<sub>1</sub>ge  $\frac{1}{2}$ V<sub>1</sub>  $R_{11}^{1}$ V<sub>1</sub> f (v<sub>1</sub>): (A18)</sup>

- [1] Sourlas, N., \Spin-glass m odels as error-correcting codes", Nature, 339, 693 (695, 1989.
- [2] Sourlas, N., \Statisticalmechanics and error-correcting codes", cond-m at/9811406, 1998.
- [3] Rujan, P., \F inite tem perature error-correcting codes", Phys. Rev. Lett., 70, 19, 2968{2971, 1993.
- [4] M orita, T. and Tanaka, K., \D eterm ination of parameters in an image recovery by statisticalm echanical means", Physica A, 223, 244{262, 1996.
- [5] N ishim ori, H. and W ong, K.Y.M., \Statistical mechanics of image restoration and errorcorrecting codes", Phys. Rev. E, 60, 1, 132{144, 1999.
- [6] Iba, Y., \The N ishim ori line and Bayesian statistics", J.Phys.A: M ath Gen. 32, 3875 (3888, 1999.

- [7] Kabashim a, Y., Murayam a, T., and Saad, D., \Typical perform ance of Gallager-type errorcorrecting codes", Phys. Rev. Lett., 84, 1355{1358, 2000.
- [8] Tanaka, T., \Analysis of bit error probability of direct-sequence CDMA multiuser dem odulators", 14th Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS\*2000), Denver, USA, 2000.
- [9] Gardner, E., Derrida, B., and Mottishaw, P., \Zero tem perature parallel dynamics for in nite range spin glasses and neural networks", Journal of Physique, 48, 741{755, 1987.
- [10] Am ari, S. and M aginu, K., \Statistical neurodynam ics of associative m em ory", NeuralNetworks, 1, 63{73, 1988.
- [11] Coolen, A.C.C. and Sherrington, D., \D ynam ics of fully connected attractor neural networks near saturation", Phys. Rev. Lett., 71, 23, 3886{3889, 1993.
- [12] Hop eld, J.J., \N eural networks and physical system s with emergent collective com putational abilities", Proc. Natl. A cad. Sci. USA, 79, 2554 {2558, 1982.
- [13] Am it, D.J., Gutfreund, H., and Som polinsky, H., \Information storage in neural networks with low levels of activity", Phys. Rev. A, 35, 6, 2293 (2303, 1987.
- [14] Shiino, M. and Fukai, T., \Self-consistent signal-to-noise analysis and its application to analogue neural networks with asym m etric connections", J.Phys.A: Math.Gen., 25, L375{L381, 1992.
- [15] Som m ers, H.J., \Path-integral approach to Ising spin-glass dynam ics", Phys. Rev. Lett., 58, 12, 1268 (1271, 1987.
- [16] Gom i, S. and Yonezawa, F., \A new perturbation theory for the dynamics of the Little-Hop eld model", J.Phys.A:Math.Gen., 28, 4761{4775, 1995.
- [17] Koyama, H., Fujie, N., and Seyama, H., \Results from the Gardner-Derrida-Mottishaw theory of associative memory", Neural Networks, 12, 247 {257, 1999.
- [18] During, A., Coolen, A.C.C., and Sherrington, D., \Phase diagram and storage capacity of sequence processing neural networks", J.Phys.A:M ath Gen. 31, 8607 [8621, 1998.
- [19] Patrick, A.E. and Zagrebnov, V.A., \A probabilistic approach to parallel dynam ics for the Little-Hop eld model", J.Phys.A:Math.Gen, 24, 3413{3426, 1991.
- [20] Nishim ori, H. and O zeki, T., \R etrievaldynam ics of associative m em ory of the H op eld type", J Phys. A: M ath. G en., 26, 859{871, 1993.
- [21] O kada, M., \A hierarchy of macrodynamical equations for associative memory", Neural

Networks, 8, 6, 833{838, 1995.

- [22] Kawamura, M., Okada, M., and Hirai, Y., \Dynamics of selective recall in an associative memory model with one-to-many associations", IEEE Trans. Neural Networks, 10, 3, 704 713, 1999.
- [23] O zeki, T. and N ishim ori, H., \N oise distributions in retrieval dynam ics of the H op eld m odel", J P hys. A: M ath. G en., 27, 7061 {7068, 1994.
- [24] Kitano, K. and Aoyagi. T., \Retrievaldynamics of neural networks for sparsely coded sequential patterns", J Phys. A: Math. Gen., 31, L613{L620, 1998.
- [25] Am ari, S., \Statistical neurodynam ics of various versions of correlation associative m em ory", Proc. IEEE Conference on Neural Networks, 1, 633{640, 1988.
- [26] Coolen, A.C.C., \Statistical m echanics of recurrent neural networks II. dynam ics", condm at/0006011, 2000.




FIG. 1: T in e evolution of overlap m (t) with loading rate = 0.20;0.26 and inverse tem perature = 5. The solid lines denote theoretical results and the broken lines denote results by computer simulations with N = 100000.




FIG. 2: T in e evolution of cum ulants  $C_1$  (t);  $C_2$  (t);  $C_3$  (t);  $C_4$  (t) and overlap m (t) with loading rate = 0.20; 0.26 and inverse temperature = 5. The initial overlap is m (0) = 0.2. The solid lines denote theoretical results and the broken lines denote results by computer simulations with N = 100000.




FIG. 3: Overlap m (t) and variance of crosstalk noise r(t) with bading rate = 0.20 and inverse temperature = 5. The solid lines denote theoretical results and the broken lines denote results by computer simulations with N = 100000. The initial overlap is m (0) = 0:10; ;0:40;0:43;0:44;0:50; ;1:0.




FIG. 4: Basin of attraction (solid line) obtained by theory with inverse temperature = 5. The error bars denote the median, and rst and third quartiles over 11 trials by computer simulations with N = 10000.