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#### Abstract

P olym er system $s$ in slab geom etries are studied on the basis of the recently presented G aussian Ellipsoid M odel [J. C hem . Phys. 114, 7655 (2001)]. The potential of the con ning walls has an exponential shape. For hom ogeneous system $s$ in therm odynam ic equilibrium we discuss density, orientation and deform ation pro les of the polym ens close to the walls. For strongly segregated $m$ ixtures of polym er com ponents A and B equilibrium pro les are studied near a planar interface separating $A$ and $B$ rich regions. Spinodal decom position processes of the $m$ ixtures in the presence ofneutralw alls show upon strong con nem ent an increase of the lateralsize of $A$ and B rich dom ains and a slow ing dow $n$ of the dem ixing kinetics. T hese ndings are in agreem ent w ith predictions from tim e dependent $G$ inzburg\{Landau theory. In the case, where one wall periodically favors one of the tw o m ixture com ponents over the other, di erent equilibrium structures em erge and lead to di erent kinetic pathw ays of spinodal decom position processes in such system s.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

T he tailoring of polym er blends in thin lm geom etry is a problem of active current researchin w ith a w ide spectrum of possible technological applications. O f particular interest is the design of suitably pattemed structures. Spontaneous phase separation processes of incom patible polym erblends $m$ ay be used to translate a chem icalpattem on the surface (ase.g.produced by them icro-contact printing technique) into a pattem of varying polym er com positions'2 A nother technique developed recently involves the alignm ent-ofpolym er m elts in inhom ogeneous strong electric elds $\mathbf{3}_{4}^{3,151}$

Optim ization of these processes requires know ledge of the long-tim e kinetics of polym eric system $s$ on surfaces. Unfortunately, direct num erical investigation of this problem by $m$ eans ofm olecular dynam ics sim ulations is extrem ely di cult due to the enorm ous di erence betw een typical vibrationaltim es ( 1 ps) and the characteristic tim e scales for di usionalprocesses of the macrom olecules (of the order of seconds). O ne possible way to tackle this problem is to use dynam ic self-consistent
eld theory which leads to coupled partial di erential equations for the $m$ onom er densities of the various poly$m$ er com ponents. A nother prom ising approach was suggested based on the idea that on sem i-m acroscopic tim e and length scales, polym ers can-pe regarded as soft particles w ith an ellipsoidal shape' (a di erent version of this idea, using soft spheres instead of ellipsoids, has re-
 spring $m$ odel $w$ ith repulsive Lennard-Jones interactions w as used to determ ine the interaction param eters of the soft ellipsoids. Recently, we have set up a sim ilar ellipsoidalm odel, where the param eters are derived from the $G$ aussian chain $m$ odel instead 10 W hile the underlying bead spring m odel is a m ore realistic basis for describing polym ers, the G aussian ellipsoid model (G EM ) allow s one to deal w ith e ective interaction param eters
that are independent of the chain length, provided the self-interaction of the ellipsoids is taken into account.

In our previous work we have focused on bulk properties of polym er $m$ elts and $m$ ixtures, and veri ed that the $m$ odel can faithfully reproduce the basic scaling relations and kinetic properties of polym eric system $s$ on large tim e and length scales. In this publication we extend this study to system $s$ in con ned geom etries. T he interactions of the ellipsoids $w$ ith the con ning walls are $m$ odeled by a linear coupling of the $m$ onom er densities w ith an extemal wall potential. The latter is assum ed to have an exponential form $w$ ith decay length $l_{\mathrm{w}}$, which is num erically very convenient and allow s us to tune the softness of the wall by changing $l_{w}$. The detailed procedure to account for the wall interactions is presented in Sec.III. Som e representative results for hom opolym er system sare given in Sec.III. In particular, we show density pro les as well as wäll induced shape and orientation e ects. T he $m$ ain focus of this work is, how ever, to study phase separation phenom ena in binary $m$ ixtures of tw o polym ens A and B. In Sec. 'IIV' we provide evidence for surface directed spinodal decom position w aves, when the w all prefers one of the com ponents A or B. In the case of neutral walls, lateral structure form ation is observed in accordance $w$ ith results derived on the basis of G inzburg\{Landau type treatm ents. M oreover, we quantify the orientation of the ellipsoids along the phase boundaries.

Finally, in Sec. ${ }^{-1} \mathbf{V}$ : we consider structured system s , where one wall is considered to be chem ically pattemed and the m agnitude $w$ of the wall potential varies along one wall direction in a sinusoidal $w$ ay $w$ ith periodicity $L_{k}$. This structuring of the surface induces a periodicity in the polym er $m$ elt. For large $l m$ thicknesses periodic polym er structures only occur in the vicinity of the pattemed wall. W hen the $m$ thickness becom es com parable to $L_{k}$, the wall induced dom ain structure propagates through the m .

## II. W ALL IN TERACTIONS W ITH IN THE GAUSSIAN ELLIPSOID MODEL

T he G aussian E llipsoid $M$ odel is described in detail in a previous publication $\mathbf{I}^{101} \mathrm{~T}$ herefore, we keep the de nition of the $m$ odel short and $m$ ainly present the necessary extensions to describe the interaction of the soft particles w ith the walls.

## A. Free Energy Functional

The free energy functional of an ensemble of $M$ soft particles, corresponding to polym ens w ith N bonds, is given by an intram olecular part, which accounts for the possible intemal con gurations, and an interm olecular part, which describes the interaction betw een the ellipsoids. In the presence ofboundaries we add a term which accounts for the interaction betw een the particles and the surfaces,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {intra }}+\mathrm{F}_{\text {inter }}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{wall}}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he intram olecular part is determ ined by the probabil-止y $P$ ( $S$ ) for a polym er to have the eigenvalues $S$ of the radius of gyration tensor $S \quad$ (in order $S_{x} \quad S_{y} \quad S_{z}$ ),
where $k_{B}$ is the Boltzm ann constant and $T$ is the tem perature. T he interm olecular part is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\text {inter }}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{\substack{X^{M}}}^{Z^{j \neq i}} F_{\text {inter }}^{(i j)}+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{M}} F_{\text {inter }}^{\text {(ii) }} ;  \tag{3}\\
& F_{\text {inter }}^{(i j)}=\wedge b^{3} d^{3} y \circ_{o_{i}}^{0}(y) \circ_{j}^{0}(y): \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second term of eq. (3') accounts for the selfinteraction of an ellipsoid. Equation ( (4íl) shows that the interaction energy is expressed in term $s$ of the $m$ onom er densities ofellipsoids i and_ji (denoted by $\circ_{i}^{0}(y)$ and $\circ_{j}^{0}(y)$ in the laboratory system ${ }^{1111}$ ). $H$ igh $m$ onom er concentrations due to a contraction of a single ellipsoid (selfavoidance) or due to a strong overlap of two ellipsoids are disfavored energetically (m utual avoidance). T he param eters ${ }^{\wedge}$ and $b^{3}$ are a \contact energy" and a \contact volum e", respectively. W e denote all reduced energy param eters w thout a hat, e.g. $\quad={ }^{\wedge}=\mathrm{k}$ T. The param eter b sets the length scale of the m odel, i.e. $\mathrm{b}=1$.

T he additional interaction term betw een the polym ens and the walls is chosen to be of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(z)=\hat{\omega}_{w} \exp \frac{z}{21_{w}}+\exp \frac{L_{z} z}{21_{w}} \quad ; \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }_{\mathrm{w}}$ is the strength of the $m$ onom er\{wallinteraction and the w all interaction range $l_{\mathrm{w}}$ characterizes the hardness of the w all. T he slab thickness is given by $L_{z} . N$ ote, how ever, that for the special form of the extemalpotential de ned in eq. ('G/) tw o param eters $\hat{w}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=1$ and $L_{z}$ are equivalent to tw o other param eters ${ }^{{ }_{w}}=k_{B} T=w$ and $L_{z} \quad 2 \eta_{\mathrm{F}} \ln \mathrm{w}$, respectively, assum ing all other param eters to be constant. W ithout loss of generality we therefore set $\hat{w}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=1$ in the follow ing and de ne $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ as our $1 m$ thickness. $T h$ is is reasonable, since for $l_{w}!0$ the system becom es con ned by hard walls at positions $\mathrm{z}=0$ and $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$.

An exponential wall potential is frequently used to model, a laterally structureless and purely repulsive wall 12 H ere, it has the advantage, that the overlap betw een the potential and the $m$ onom er densities, that are of $G$ aussian form, are analytically integrable. T he for$m$ ulas are given in appendix A". By choosing $s m$ all values for $l_{w}$ one can $m$ odel hard substrates, $w$ hile for large $l_{w}$ one m odels soft substrates, that e.g. correspond to poly$m$ er m s on polym erbrushes $\mathbf{1}^{131} \mathrm{~W}$ e note that the unper-
 do not a prioribuild in layering e ects w hich are typically, observed in sim ulations ofpolym erm elts close to w alls ${ }^{141}$ $T$ his is in the spirit of the G EM , where the unpertunbed chain is used as input and all other properties are determ ined by interaction term $s$. In sum $m$ ary, eqs. [1] $\left\{\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array} \underline{1}\right)$ de ne the therm odynam ics of the $m$ odel for a hom ogeneous system of ellipsoids in a lm geom etry.

T o generalize them odelto binary m ixtures ofellipsoids oftype $A$ and $B$ in 1 m , we choose an equal interaction betw een polym ens of the sam e type $\hat{A A}^{A}=\hat{B B}^{B}=\wedge_{\text {, while }}$ the interaction betw een unlike polym ers is enhanced by a $m$ ism atch , i.e. $\hat{A}$ в $=\wedge(1+)$. The sam e degree of polym erization, i.e. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{N}$, is assum ed for both com ponents. To account for di erent interactions betw een the substrates and the two com ponents, we introduce an additionalw allm ism atch param eter w,w ith
$1<{ }_{\mathrm{w}}<1$. The interaction param eters ${ }_{\mathrm{w}}\left(1+_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ and $\hat{w}_{\mathrm{w}}(1 \quad \mathrm{w})$ refer to the $A\{$ and $B$ \{com ponents, respectively. $N$ ote that the $m$ ism atch param eters and w only change the strength of the repulsive $m$ onom er-m onom er or $m$ onom er-w all interactions. P hase separation is thus driven by the disparity of exchuded volum e interactions, and not by attraction.

T he kinetic properties of the GEM are given by a discrete tim e M onte-C arlo algorithm, where three di erent $m$ oves of the ellipsoids are allowed: Translation of the center ofm ass, free rotation of the principal axis and deform ation, i.e. a change of the eigenvalues $S_{i}$. For details we refer the reader to $R$ ef. 1

## B. P aram eters and Geom etry in Film Sim ulations

The sim ulations in this work were carried out for ellipsoids corresponding to a chain length of $\mathrm{N}=50$. The num ber of particles is $M=2000$ in the case of hom ogeneous system $s$ and $M=4000$ for binary $m$ ixtures. We alwayschoose ${ }_{\mathrm{w}}=1$.

For binary $m$ ixtures a sym $m$ etric com position is considered, where the fraction of the $A$-com ponent is $f_{A}=$ $0: 5$. For given lm thickness $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ we determ ine the lateraldim ension $L$ by requiring a constant $\backslash$ bulk $m$ onom er concentration" $C=M \quad(N+1)=L_{z} L^{2}=0: 85$. Tables'it and iII list the geom etries of the sim ulated system $s$.

The hom ogeneous system s are therm alized several thousand M onte C arlo steps (M CS) and averaged over at least 10000 MCS. In the case of binary mixtures, the phase diagram s were determ ined in the sem i\{grand canonicalensem ble. A verages are perform ed over several thousand M CS.

## III. EFFECTSOFHARD WALLSON HOMOGENEOUSSYSTEMS

In this section we present the results for hom ogeneous ellipsoid system $s$ bound by two walls. As the focus of this work will be on binary $m$ ixtures in con ned geom etries, we defer a m ore detailed analysis of hom ogeneous system $s$ in a slab to a forthcom ing publication.

A s a reference length to com pare with, we use the unperturbed average radius of gyration in a bulk system w ith m onom er concentration $\mathrm{c}=0: 85$, which is given by, Cf. Ref.' 10

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{G}=3: 6: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A. C oncentration of $M$ onom ers and of $C$ enters of
$M$ ass
$T$ he results for the concentration of the $m$ onom ers

$$
C(z)=X_{i=1}^{X^{M}} d x d y \circ_{i}^{0}(y)
$$

and the concentration of the centers of $m$ ass $c_{c m}$ are show $n$ in $F$ ig. '111 for a thick $\operatorname{lm}\left(L_{z}=25\right)$, where bulk-like features are found inside the slab. T wo wall interaction ranges are considered. In the rst case, $I_{w}=0: 5, \mathrm{~m}$ eaning that $2 l_{w}$ is equal to the average bond length of the $G$ aussian chain m olecules, while in the second case the interaction range is much sm aller, $l_{\mathrm{w}}=0: 15$, m odeling a harder wall. In both cases, the concentration pro les rapidly decay to zero as z ! 0. H ow ever, whereas the pro le of the center of $m$ ass, $c_{c m}$, is zero at $z=0$, jus tifying our de nition of the lm thickness, the $m$ onom er concentration vanishes only for $z<0$. This behavior
re ects the softness of the wall potential, which is also responsible for less pronounced layering e ects, typically observed in polym, er melts con ned betw een strongly repulsive wallsitin Here, we nd the signature of these density oscillations only for $l_{v}=0: 15$, w hereas the softer wall gives rise to a m onom er pro le com parable to that obtained, for sm all bulk concentrations in the hard wall


The $m$ onom er concentration pro les for di erent m thicknesses $L_{z}$ are show $n$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ for the case $I_{N}=0: 5$. For the thicker slabs ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=17 ; 25$ ) a plateau in the proles is reached at a distance of about $2 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{G}}$ from the wall . H ow ever, the plateau value still varies slightly w ith the system size and is larger than the bulk value $c=0: 85$, that will be obtained for $L_{z}$ ! 1 . For $L_{z}<4 R_{G}$ the m s do not show bulk-like behavior any m ore. $T$ he prole rather runs through a pronounced $m$ axim um $c_{m}$ ax at $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=2$.

If $L_{z}$ decreases, starting from $L_{z}=25, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax rst increases, since the reduction of the $m$ onom er density close the walls becom es $m$ ore relevant for the overall Im behavior, the sm aller the thickness is. It has to be com pensated by a higher density in the lm center to keep the density constant. For $L_{z}<4$, how ever, we observe the opposite trend, i.e. a decrease of $\mathrm{cmax}_{\mathrm{m}}$. T his is due to the fact that the overlap of the wall potentials becom es im portant. This overlap e ectively decreases the gradient of the wall potential and thus allow s the $m$ onom ers to penetrate the wall m ore deeply. T he increased $m$ onom er density in the wall region entails a reduction of the density in the $m$ iddle of the lm in com parison to the thicker
m s . The relative changes of $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax are about 20 percent. In the case of harder walls ( $l_{\mathrm{w}}=0: 15$ ) we found a stronger variation and a largest value $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $=1: 36$ is obtained for $L=3$. W ith respect to the binary $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{ix}-$ tures studied in Sec. 'IV', we wanted to keep the in uence of these concentration variations $s m$ all. W e thus chose $l_{w}=0: 5$ in the follow ing. H ow ever, very sim ilar results could have been obtained for $l_{\mathrm{w}}=0: 15$.

## B. O rientation

In this section we study the orientation of the ellipsoids as a function of the distance of the center of $m$ ass, $z$, from the wall.

Let us denote by $R$ the $m$ atrix, that de nes the orientation of the principalaxis $w$ ith respect to the laboratory coordinate system. As R consists of the norm alized eigenvectors of the principalaxis system, $R^{2}=$ 1 holds. In an ensemble of random ly oriented ellipsoids the average value of all squared $m$ atrix elem ents is $h R^{2} \quad i=1=3$. Thus we expect th is value for large distances $z$ from the walls. A parallel orientation of one of the principal axis to the laboratory axis corresponds to $\mathrm{hR}^{2}$ i=1, while a perpendicular orientation corresponds to $h R^{2} i=0$. Hence $R^{2}$ is a convenient
$m$ easure to characterize the orientation. In the follow ing, we denote an average of som e quantity $Q$ over all particles, whose center of $m$ ass is at distance $z$ from the left wall by hQ (z)i.
$F$ igure ${ }_{1}^{2}$ 3, show s the results for the orientation of the principal axis $w$ th respect to the $z$-axis, i.e. perpendicular to the walls for di erent lm thicknesses. W e rem ind the reader that the system of principal axes is chosen such that $S_{x} \quad S_{y} \quad S_{z}$. For thick ms ( $L_{z}=17$ ), we nd $\mathrm{hR}^{2}{ }_{z}(z) i=1=3$ far from the $w a l l s$, as expected. W hen approaching the wall the sm allest principal axis, $z$, becom es aligned perpendicular to the wall, hR ${ }_{z z}^{2}(z) i \quad 1, w h i l e$ the other tw o principalaxesbecom e aligned parallell ${ }^{18}$. This behavior is observed for and
m thicknesses and is also found in other sim ulations ${ }^{11}$ ?
$T$ he anisotropy in the orientation occurs on a length scale $2 R_{G}$ at $m$ ost. The range is sm aller along the $y\{$ principalaxis than along the $x$ \{principalaxis, since due to $S_{x}>S_{y}$ the energy cost for a rotation of the $y\{a x i s$ in the direction of the $z\{$ laboratory axis is sm aller than that of the $x$ pprincipal axis. It is rem arkable that the anisotropy e ect shows only very little dependence on the lm thickness, even when $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ becom es com parable to or sm aller than $R_{G}$.
C.D eform ation

F igure ${ }_{[17}^{4}$ show show the shape of the ellipsoids is altered by the presence of the walls. For large distances, we nd that the averaged eigenvalues hS ( $z$ )i are independent of $z$ and coincide $w$ ith the bulk values. These values, determ ined from the data of $R$ ef. $11{ }^{1}$, are $S_{x}=10: 1 ; S_{y}=2: 0$ and $S_{z}=0: 71$.

H ow ever, the ellipsoids near the walls are distorted. $T$ he size of the two major axes $x$ and $y$ is enhanced, $w$ hereas $\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{i}$ is reduced. W hen z increases, $\mathrm{hS} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{z})$ i approaches the bulk value alm ost m onotonously, while $h S_{x}(z) i$ and $h S_{y}(z)$ i run through a $m$ inim um before crossing over to the bulk behavior ${ }^{181} \mathrm{~T}$ hism eans that the ellipsoids near the surface are strongly oblate. $T$ his in uence of a repulsive wall on the structure of the chains is also con m ed by other sim ulations (see Ref. '15 erences therein). As the $m$ thickness $L_{z}$ decreases, we nd that the $m$ axim al values of $\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{z})$ i becom e sm aller for $L_{z}<2 R_{G}$.
D . D iscussion

Combining the results of the orientational properties and the deform ation we nd that ellipsoids at a distance $z>2 R_{G}$ are virtually unperturbed by the boundaries and exhibit bulk behavior. On approaching the walls there is a slight deform ation of the two $m$ ajor principal axes, accom panied by an alignm ent of the principalaxes. $T$ his alignm ent continues $m$ onotonously, up to the point,
where the sm allest principal axis of the ellipsoids is oriented perpendicular to the walls. N ear the walls, the ellipsoids are com pressed in $z$-direction and elongated $w$ ith respect to the tw o m a jor axes, thus exhibiting an alm ost two-dim ensionalbehavior.

It is interesting to com pare these ndings $w$ ith results obtained by other authors. Let usm ention that the analysis of the shape of polym ers near walls is usually based on \com ponents" of the radius ofgyration $w$ ith respect to the laboratory system. T hese com ponents are the square roots of the diagonal elem ents of the radius of gyration tensor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{G}=P \bar{S} \quad \text { or } R_{G k}^{2} \quad S_{x x}+S_{y y} ; R_{G ?}^{2} \quad S_{z z}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S={ }^{X} R \quad S R \quad \text {; esp. } S{ }^{X} R^{2} S: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This kind of analysis makes it di cult to distinguish between an orientation and a deform ation of the polym er coils.
$W$ hen calculating the quantities $h R_{G k}^{2}(z) i$ and $h R_{G}^{2}$ ? ( $z$ )i for our model, we nd reasonable agreem ent w ith the results of other sim ulations (see Ref. $1{ }^{15} 19$ and references therein), nam ely an increase of $h R_{G k}^{2}(z) i=1$ near the wall and a strong decrease of $h R_{G}^{2}$ ? ( $z$ )i. T he results for $h R_{G k}^{2}(z) i$ are sim ilar to that for $h S_{x}(z) i$ and $h S_{y}(z) i$ in $F$ ig. ' $\overline{4} 1$, and the results for $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{G}}^{2}$ ? (z)i are sim ilar to $\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{i}$, except that the extrem a near the walls are $m$ ore pronounced.
$P$ aifP anandikeret. alran exam ined orientationale ects of the end \{to \{end vector in a M onte\{ C arlo Sim ulation of dense polym er m s . They found an increasing align$m$ ent of the end\{to\{end vector $w$ ith reducing $L_{z}$, but averaged their quantities over the whole lm . As there is a strong correlation betw een the end \{to \{end vector and the $m$ a jor principal axis $x$, their results support the picture presented above.

Thew ork ofV liet and B rink ${ }^{-{ }^{2}{ }^{2} 11}$ is dealing $w$ th a principal axis transform ation to analyze orientational degrees of freedom, for a single self\{avoiding walk con ned betw een two hard walls. Them ain conclusion of this work is that $w$ ith decreasing $m$ thickness the principal axis of the polym ers are aligned due to the con nem ent, long before their shape changes. W hile their situation is not directly com parable to the case of a polym er $m$ elt betw een tw o plates considered here, our results support the view that the orientationale ect is dom inant. This is not unexpected, as a reorientation of the polym er only constraints on one or two degrees of freedom, while a deform ation a ects the whole chain statistics, therefore a ecting of the order of $N$ degrees of freedom.
IV.DEM IX IN G PROCESSES IN THIN FILM S

In this section we consider the e ect of two neutral ( $w=0$ ) and planar $w a l l s$ on a binary $m$ ixture of ellipsoids. Results $w$ ill be discussed for xed $I_{\mathrm{w}}=1=2$.
A. D ependence of $P$ hase $D$ iagram on $F$ ilm $T$ hickness

For tem peratures below a criticaltem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$, separation into tw o phases, rich in type A and type B poly$m$ ers, occurs. First, we determ ine how $T_{c}$ depends on
m thickness $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}_{2}}$. $G$ inzburg\{Landau theory $\underline{2}^{2!}$ predicts and sim ulations ${ }^{233}$ have show $n$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(1) \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(1)} / \frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{z}}} ; \quad \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{b} ;  \tag{11}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}(1) \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}(1)} / \frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}} ; \quad \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \mathrm{~N} \cdot \mathrm{~b}: \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations ( 11 tions are virtually unperturbed, i.e. $L_{z}>R_{G}$, and $m$ ean eld theory applies. T his requires that the bulk correlation length (characterizing the interfacial, width close to $T_{C}$ ) is sm aller than the crossover length ${ }^{23}$. $/ \mathrm{Nb}$, which determ ines the change from Ising\{type to $m$ ean eld behavior. W hile for $L_{z} \quad N$ b the surface interaction is dom inant [eq. (11 $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ ], it is the nite size of the m , which determ ines the shift of $T_{c}$ for $L_{z} \quad N$ b (eq. (12 $2_{1}$ )]. Since N = 50 and 2 $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad 25$ in our case, we expect eq. ( $\overline{1}_{1}^{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) to apply, at least for $L_{z}>R_{G}=3: 6$ [eq. ( $\overline{7}_{1}$ )] .

For the sake of e ciency the sim ulations are carried out in a sem i\{grand canonical ensem bleft ${ }^{24}$ i.e. the total num ber of particles is xed, while the fractions $f_{A}$ and $f_{B}$ of $A$ and $B$ polym ers can uctuate. $F$ igure $\bar{N}_{1}^{1}$, show $s$ the order param eter $h f_{A} \quad f_{B}$ il as a function of the $m$ is$m$ atch param eter for various $L_{z}$.

In order to test the expectation that our $m$ odel obeys eq. (111), we sim ply estim ated c/ $1=T_{c}$ from an extrapolation of the steepest descent of the coexistence curve to zero. N o detailed nite size analysis of the lateraldim ension L was attem pted. Such an analysis does not seem to be really necessary, since $T_{c}\left(L ; L_{z}\right) m$ onotonously appreaches the asym ptotic lim it $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ for large enough L L3'. For the L-values used in this study (see Table (III), inspection of F ig. 5 of Ref.'23'3 suggests that qualitatively reliable results should be obtained from our estim ates of $T_{c}$. In fact, we see that $T_{c}$ decreases $w$ ith $L_{z}$. The inset of $F$ ig. $\bar{W}_{1}^{1}$, shows that $T_{C}\left(L_{z}\right) \quad T_{C}(1) / \quad 1=L_{z}$, in agreem ent $\bar{w}$ ith eq. (1II).

## B. O rientation at P hase B oundaries

To study the in uence of phase boundaries on the intemal degrees of freedom of the ellipsoids, we prepared a fully phase separated system w ith periodic boundary conditions in alldirections $L=L_{z}=62: 14$. TheA $\{$ ellipsoids
are random ly distributed in the halff space $z=\left(0 ; L_{z}=2\right)$ and the $B$ \{ellipsoids in the half\{space $z=\left(L_{z}=2 ; L_{z}\right)$. W e chose $=0.2$, which corresponds to the strong segregation regim e (cf. Fig. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ (I) for thick m s . T he system was them alized for 2000 M C S, a tim em uch larger than the autocorrelation tim ef the intemal degrees of freedom (shape and orientation). M oreover, this tim e is su cient for an ellipsoid to di use typically over the distance from one interface to the center of one of the two phases. A $l l$ averages w ere recorded over additional 18000 M C S.

In $F$ ig. 'iga the di erent center of $m$ ass densities for both com ponents $C_{c m, A}, C_{c m, B}$, and $C_{c m}=C_{c m, A}+c_{c m, B}$, norm alized by the chain length $(\mathbb{N}=50)$ are displayed. $W$ e see that the total density $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{cm}}$ of the ellipsoids becom es sm aller at the interfaces in order to reduce the interaction betw een the $m$ ixture com ponents. A $n$ additionale ect occurs in $F$ ig. $\overline{6}$. b , where the squared $m$ atrix elem ents $\mathrm{hR}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{z}}$ i are shown. C om parable to the behavior near a wall (cf. Sec. 'IIIIB') the ellipsoids align their $x$ \{ axis (largest principalaxis) parallel to the interface, while the $z$ \{axis (sm allest principalaxis) tends to be oriented perpendicular to the interface. H ow ever, this e ect is much less pronounced than for walls. In particular, there is alm ost no ect on the orientation of the $y$ \{axis.

Finally, Fig. ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{c}$ c characterizes the deform ation of the ellipsoids via the eigenvalues $S$ that are norm alized by their bulk values. N ear the interfaces the ellipsoids are com pressed w ith respect to all axes. The relative com pression increases w th the length of the principal axis, m eaning that the asphericity becom es reduced. This effect was also observed in extensive $M$ onte Caŗlo sim ulations of the bond- uctuation lattige $m$ odelㄴ․ and is analogous to the reduction of the radius of gyration in a strongly segregated $m$ ixture, where the polym ers of the $m$ inority com ponent shrink to reduce energetically unfavorable contacts $w$ ith the the surrounding $m$ ajority phase $1^{10}{ }^{2}$ 2a

## C. Spinodal D ecom position $B$ etw een $N$ eutral $W$ alls

Studites based on tim e\{dependent G inzburg\{Landau theory $y^{273}$ have show $n$ that for spinodal decom position in thin neutral lm s lateraldecom position waves of a characteristic wavelength $k_{k, m}$ characterize the dom ain pattem at short tim es, where the concentration inside the A and $B$ rich dom ains has not yet reached the equilibrium value. These studies predict that $k_{k, m}$ becom es sm aller $w$ ith decreasing $L_{z}$, when $L_{z} . m$, where $m$ denotes the bulk dem ixing length. Furtherm ore, if $L_{z} \cdot m$, the grow th rates of the decom position $m$ odes becom e sm aller so that the overall dem ixing process slow $s$ dow $n$. T hese predictions will be tested here for the G EM.

W e consider a system con ned betw een two planar and neutral walls ( $\mathrm{w}^{=} 0$ ) that is prepared at in nite tem perature at a time $t<0$. All orientations and particle
positions are random and the distribution of the eigenvalues is given by those of free $G$ aussian chains. At $t=0$ we quench the system into the spinodal region of the phase diagram by setting $\quad \wedge=\mathrm{kT}=1$ and $=0.2$ (for $L_{z}=2$ we used $=0: 25$ ). The dynam ics of the system is speci ed by $M$ onte\{C arlo $m$ oves of the individual ellipsoids corresponding to translations, reorientations and deform ations. T he detailed procedure is described in Ref. $100_{1}^{1}$.

To quantify the dem ixing process of the lm s , we determ ine the lateral interm ediate scattering function

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{k}\left(k_{k} ; z ; t\right)=h_{A k}\left(k_{k} ; z^{\prime} ; t\right)_{A k}\left(k_{k} ; z ; t\right) i \quad \delta_{A} \quad\left(k_{k}\right) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A k\left(k_{k} ; z ; t\right)$ is the Fourier transform of the to-
 A \{chains with respect to the lateral coordinates $x ; y$. The power\{spectrum depends on $k_{k} \quad k_{x}^{2}+k_{y}^{2}{ }^{1=2}$ only, therefore, it is possible to perform an additional circular average ${ }^{8!}$ in the $k_{x} ; k_{y}$ \{space. An explicit expression for $A k\left(k_{k} ; z ; t\right)$ is given in appendix in
$F$ igures lila chow $I_{k}\left(k_{k} ; z ; t\right)$ for a slab of thickness $L_{z}=6$ and various xed tim es. As one can see from these gures, $I_{k}\left(k_{k} ; z ; t\right)$ exhibits a $m$ axim um that corresponds to a characteristic size $2=k_{k, m}$ of the $A$ and $B$ rich dom ains in the lateraldirection. $W$ ith increasing tim e the $m$ axim um grow $s$ and shifts to sm aller values of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}$, re ecting lateraldom ain coarsening.

To quantify the speed ofth is dom ain coarsening and to exam ine its dependence on 1 m thickness $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$, we consider the rst $m$ om ent of $I_{k}\left(k_{k} ; z ; t\right)$ averaged over $z k$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{1 ; \mathrm{k}} \text { (t) } \frac{1^{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{z}}}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}} \mathrm{dz} \frac{R_{1} \mathrm{Q}_{1} \mathrm{dk}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t}\right)}{\mathrm{dk} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t}\right)}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his quantity is a $m$ easure of the average lateral dom ain size as a function of tim e. The results are given in $F$ ig. for di erent values of $L_{z}$.

In our system the bulk dem ixing length $m$ can be estim ated by m $2=k_{\text {( }}\left(t_{0}\right) \quad 14, \mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{F}$ ig. 15 of Refili ( $\mathrm{t}_{0}=500 \mathrm{MCS}$ ). From this we expect that the e ects predicted by tim e\{dependent $G$ inzburg\{Landau theory should occur for $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$. 14 .

Indeed, we nd that $k_{1 ; k}\left(t_{0}\right)$ determ ined at $t_{0}=1000$ M C S shrinks w ith decreasing $L_{z}$, Cf. Fig. $\overline{1}$. M oreover, in the thinner $\mathrm{m} s$ the coarsening sets in later, which is illustrated by the fact that curves at equal tim es have a steeper slope for thick $\mathrm{m} s$ than for thin ones. This supports the result that the grow th rates of the decom position $m$ odes decrease. $W$ e note that these e ects are not due to a shift of the critical tem perature $T_{c} w$ ith $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}} . \mathrm{W}$ e carried out additional sim ulations w ith a m is m atch $=0: 3$ for $L_{\mathrm{z}}=2 ; 3 ; 4$ and found that the lateral dem ixing length depends only weakly on the $m$ ism atch param eter at xed m width.

To sum $m$ arize this section, our results support the predictions ofR ef. $2 \mathcal{T}_{1}$, i.e. the increase of the lateraldem ixing
length and the slow ing dow $n$ of the dem ixing kinetics for $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}<\mathrm{m}_{-}$. This qualitative agreem ent w th the results of Ref. 12 or tuning of the param eters, supporting the view that the e ects occur quite generally. H ow ever, a suppression of spinodal decom position below a critical m thickness $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{c}}$, as predicted in Ref. $\overline{2}_{-1}$, is not found. T his is due to the fact that noise term $s$ in the kinetic equations of the theory have been neglected.

## D. Surface D irected Spinodal D ecom position

In the case, where one wall preferentially attracts one of the tw o comppnents, surface directed spinodal decom position waves ${ }^{99}$ (SDW) form when a hom ogeneous mixture is quenched into a therm odynam ically unstable part of the phase diagram. H ow ever, in thin $\frac{m m}{}$, s the SDW $m$ ight be \suppressed" by the con nem ent 301
$H$ ere, we investigate the case, where both w alls attract ellipsoids of com ponent B.F igure ', ${ }_{1}^{\prime}$, show s the em erging $m$ onom er concentrations $C_{B}(z)$, averaged over the lateral dim ensions. For rather large slabs ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=50$ ) sym m etric $S D W$ 's form, cf. $F$ ig. 'g, I a. Their wavelength at short tim es is given by the bulk dem ixing length $m$ 14, and at large tim es increases due to dom ain coarsening. F inally, at $t=100000 \mathrm{MCS}$ a $\mathrm{B}\{\mathrm{A}\{\mathrm{B}$ stripped pattem has form ed in the $z$ \{direction. In the case of thin m s $\left(L_{z}=12: 5\right.$, cf. Fig. 1 gh), this kind of pattem em erges already for short tim es ( $t=1000$ MCS). For $L_{z}<m$ the propagation of the SDW is suppressed, and only a fast segregation of the $B$ \{com ponents at the walls occur. For even thinner $\operatorname{lm} s\left(L_{z}=6\right)$ the two $m$ axim a seen in $F$ ig. 1 , t b , are less pronounced and $m$ erge into one in the case of $L_{z}=3$.

## V.STRUCTURED SURFACES

In this section we study polym erm ixtures betw een tw o walls where one of the two surfaces is chem ically pattemed. O ne part of the pattemed wall attracts com ponent A, while the other part attracts com ponent B. T he second hom ogeneous wall is neutral w ith respect to the two com ponents. The surface pattem is a periodic arrangem ent of equally spaced stripes, cf. F ig. ${ }^{1} 1 \mathbf{1 d}$, m odeled by a surface potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(z)=\hat{w}_{w} \exp \quad \frac{z}{2 \rrbracket_{\mathrm{w}}} \quad 1 \quad{ }_{\mathrm{w}} \cos \frac{2 \mathrm{y}}{L_{k}} \quad: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The positive sign refers to com ponent $A$, the negative to com ponent B. As for hom ogeneous walls, the overlap integral [eq. ('ㅜㄴ)] can be calculated analytically, see App. ${ }^{\text {An }}$. . In the follow ing we consider the case $l_{w}=0: 5$ and $\mathrm{w}^{-}=0: 5$, where both com ponents are strongly favored by the respective parts of the wall. O ur focus w ill
be on the in uence of the $m$ thickness $L_{z}$ and of the pattem periodicity $L_{k}$ on the dem ixing process.

## A. Structural P hase D iagram

From a technological point of view it is im portant to explore regions in $L_{z}\left\{L_{k}\right.$ space, w here the pattem of the surface can be translated into a corresppnading polym er structure that pervades the whole $\mathrm{m} \cdot \frac{2}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{2} 1_{1}^{1}$

W e carried out sim ulations in a sem i\{grand canonical ensemble for di erent combinations of $L_{z}$ and $L_{k}$ sum m arized in Tab . ITI. A s we require $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}$ to be an integer multiple of $L_{k}$ and work $w$ th a xed num ber of particles $M=4000$, we adjusted $L_{x}$ so that the $m$ onom er density (or volum e) is constant. The quantities of interest are determ ined after an equilibrated stationary dom ain pattem has evolved.

To characterize the equilibrium pattems we recorded the center of $m$ ass density $C_{c m}$. Two representative results for a slab of thickness $L_{z}=6$ are given in $F$ ig. 1111 . It show s the nom alized center of $m$ ass density $(\mathbb{N}+1) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{cm}}$ as a function of one laboratory axis ( $y$ or $z$ ), while the density pro le was integrated over the respective rem aining axes. For $L_{k}=25, F$ ig. 'I $\overline{1}{ }^{\prime} a$, the $A$ and $B$ ellipsoids are separated in a periodic $m$ anner due to the structure of the wall. A s one can see from the inset, this structure propagates through the whole lm ( $\backslash$ full structure"). By contrast, for $L_{k}=6, F$ ig. $\overline{1 I}_{2}$ con ned to a sm all region near the structured surface (cf. the inset). In this case, sm all cylindrical caps of one phase are present at the favored parts of the surface (\partial structure"). These situations, full and partial structures, are schem atically depicted in Fig. IId.

A system atic study of the em erging structures as a function of $L_{z}$ and $L_{k}$ is show $n$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} 12_{1}^{\prime}$. The $\left(L_{k}, L_{z}\right)$ \{ plane is divided into two regions, where system s w ith $s m$ all $L_{k}$ and large $L_{z}$ are only partially structured, while system $s w$ th large $L_{k}$ and $s m$ all $L_{z}$ are fiully structured. To explain this behavior, we associate w ith the half periods of the cosine term in eq. ( $\left(\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ tw o hom ogeneous surface regions and , cf. Fig it . The contact angles in these regions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos =\frac{A \quad B}{A B} \quad \operatorname{COS}=\frac{A \quad B}{A B}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Near the \{ interface the contact angle can assum-a any value between the two lim iting cases and ${ }^{2!}$ Requiring w thout loss of generality that $c_{A} \quad \Phi$, the contact angle is restricted to $0 \quad=2$. A sim ple consideration of the area of the interfaces in both cases (a) and (b) given in $F$ ig. ind y ields a di erence of the free energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=A_{A B} L_{x} L_{y} \quad 2 L_{z} \quad \overline{\sin } L_{k} \quad: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transition between both structures occurs, if $\mathrm{F}=$ 0 , resulting in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{k}}}=\frac{}{4 \sin }: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The crossover regim e betw een the two structures in the $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}\left\{\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}\right.$ diagram is betw een the two lines with slope $1=4$ (for ! 0) and slope $=8$ (for ! $=2$ ). B oth lines are $m$ arked in $F$ ig. 12 for com parison, show ing agreem ent w ith the sim ulations.
$T$ his result is also con $m$ ed by experim ents of an $A=C$ dem ixing process in thin m s $\mathrm{I}^{111}$ In the experim ent, the pattemed substrate w as realized by physisorbing the $B$ \{ com ponent of an ABC triblock copolym er m icrophase separated brush. This leads to a checkerboard like $A=C$ surface structure, instead of the striped structure considered above. H ow ever, the di erent geom etry yields only a di erent constant in the righthand side of eq. (181).

## B. P attern D irected Spinodal D ecom position

In this section we consider the kinetics of a system sim ilar to the one described in the previous section, but for xed fraction $f_{A}=0: 5$. The initial conditions are as in Sec . $\overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{\mathrm{V}}^{-1}$.
$T$ he- interm ediate scattering function

$$
I_{Y}\left(k_{\mathrm{V}} ; z ; \mathrm{t}\right)=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{dk}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t}\right) ;
$$

w ith $I_{k}\left(k_{k} ; z ; t\right)$ given in eq. (13) characterizes the dom ain form ation $w$ ith respect to the pattem $\{$ direction.
$F$ igure '13. show $I_{y}\left(k_{y} ; z ; t\right)$ for a thin slab of thickness $L_{z}=6$ and pattem periodicity $L_{k}=25$, corresponding to a fill structure in equilibrium, at tw o tim es. A t early tim es ( $t=1000 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{S}$, see F ig . 1 arises near the pattemed substrate on the length scale $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{1}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=2$. T his structure propagates in z \{direction until it reaches the opposite wall ( $t=10000$ M C S , see Fig. 11 would expect from Fig. 12 change in $I_{y}\left(k_{y} ; z ; t\right)$ until $t=30000 \mathrm{MCS}$.

Figure '14' shows $I_{y}\left(k_{y} ; z ; t\right)$ for a $m$ of thickness $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=25$ and pattem periodicity $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=12: 5$, corresponding to a partial structure in equilibrium. In this system the pattem-induced dem ixing is $m$ uch faster than the lateralspinodaldecom position. T he pattem-induced structure for $s m$ all values of $z$ leads to a $m$ uch larger corresponding peak in $I_{y}\left(k_{y} ; z ; t\right), F$ ig. 1 dered lateral dom ain pattem em erging for larger $z$. The ordered periodic structure propagates into the lm over a nite distance only (z 6, see Fig. 1 1 4 b ). For later tim es $(t=30000 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CS})$, the am plitude of the lateral decom position waves is com parable to the am plitude of the pattem-induced waves. H ow ever, the peak in $I_{y}\left(k_{y} ; z ; t\right)$ occurs at sm aller values of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}$. T hus, the periodic dom ain
structure is stable near the pattemed wall, but further aw ay the dom ain pattem coarsens.

Such a behavior, i.e. a rapid form ation of a pattemed equilibrium structure in thin m s , but a lateral dom ain coarsening afterw ards in thick lm s , has also been observed in num erical treatm ents of the C ahn $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Hilliard }\end{array}\right.$ equation $w$ th appropriate boundary conditionst 3 3; 4 in experim entsis ${ }^{331}$

In both cases considered so far the periodicity $L_{k}$ was com parable to or larger than the bulk dem ixing length $m$. The question arises of $w$ hat happens for $L_{k} \quad m$. In this case, we expect that the pattemed surface induces structures, which are too sm all to drive spinodaldecom position because the interfacialenergy of such structures is higher than the free energy gained by decom position.

To investigate the interplay between the two length scales $L_{k}$ and $m$-we consider a slab of thickness $L_{z}=$ $12: 5$ and vary $L_{k}{ }^{139}$ F igure ${ }^{1} 1 \overline{5}_{1}^{1}$, show s corresponding lateral structure factors $I_{y}\left(k_{Y} ; z ; t\right)$ for $t=1000 \mathrm{MCS}$ and for $L_{k_{-}}=6 ; 12: 5$; and 25. In the case of $L_{k}=6 \mathrm{~m}$, Fig. 1 LSa, the peaks corresponding to the surface induces structure and the lateral m odes are com parable. The dem ixing process is not dom inated by the surfaceinduced pattem. A lso, the induced structure develops m uch m ore slow ly com pared to the case of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=12: 5^{\prime}$
m , shown in Fig . 1 15 j . In this case, the peak corresponding to the ordered structure is a factor of about 10 higher, and the lateral dem ixing $m$ odes are thus alm ost invisible. For $L_{k}=25 \quad \mathrm{~m}$ a double peak structure em erges. This can be intenpreted in term $s$ of a pattem directed spinodal decom position w ave, i.e. if one looked at the concentration uctuations $G(y)=\frac{\circ 0}{\circ_{A}}(y) \quad G$ in the $y z\{p l a n e ~ a n d ~ l a b e l e d ~ p o s i t i v e ~ a n d ~ n e g a t i v e ~ r e g i o n s ~$ of $G(y)$ black and white fespectively, one would see a checkerboard-like pattem ${ }^{34}$

To seem ore directly how in the last case such a pattem propagates into the m , we plotted in F ig. $1 \mathbf{1}$, the inter$m$ ediate scattering function $I_{y}\left(2=L_{k} ; z ; t\right)$ as a function of $z$ for various tim es $t$ for a system $w$ th $L_{z}=25$ and $L_{k}=50$. This picture describes how the surface-induced periodic dom ain pattems grow in perpendicular direction. Since our lm thickness is lim ited, we did not try to quantify the propagation of this kind of kinetics further. For very large 1 m thicknesses we would expect the usual Lifshitz\{Slyozov dom ain grow th to occur at large tim es.

## V I. SU M M ARY

The G aussian Ellipsoid M odelin (G EM) is based on the fact that the $m$ ost probab,le shape of a polym er is not spherical, but ellipsoidals 6 bles a attened Am erican football, the eigenvalues $S$ of the three principal axes being all di erent. In the G EM, the eigenvalues and their distribution are calculated for a G aussian chain. Thus, the m odel should faithfully rep-
resent the large scale chain structure in a -solvent or in a $m$ elt. In order to extend the $m$ odel to dilute and sem idilute solutions in good solvents excluded volum e interactions have to be added. This is achieved by determ ining the localm onom er density in the G aussian ellipsoid. A strong overlap of the density in the ellipsoid or betw een di erent ellipsoids entails a large energy penalty which m im ics the self-and $m$ utualexcluded volum e interaction of soft polym er coils.

In the bulk, the $m$ odel reproduces well established properties on large length and time scales ind For hom opolym ens, it yields the correct crossover scaling from dilute to sem i-dilute solutions and the correlation hole in the $m$ elt. For sym $m$ etric hom opolym er $m$ ixtures, one (approxim ately) nds a linear dependence of the critical tem perature on chain length, a contraction of the chain size of the $m$ inority com ponent, and a Lifshitz-Slyozov behavior for the late stages of spinodal decom position.
$T$ hese results prom pt us to test the $m$ odel if interfaces are present. These interfaces are either self-generated, as it is the case in the strong-segregation regim e of binary polym er $m$ ixtures, or im posed extemally by the introduction of two repulsive walls on opposite sides of the sim ulation box. The $m$ ain results of this extension $m$ ay be sum $m$ arized as follow $s$ :
(1) In the vicinity of a repulsive wall the ellipsoids can no longer adopt all possible orientations. They becom e aligned and distorted. T he two longer axes are stretched and oriented parallel to the wall, whereas the short axis shrinks and orients penpendicular to it. These wallinduced perturbations of the chain's structure cross over to the isotropic bulk behavior for large m thicknesses (i.e., $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}>4 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{G}}$ ). These results parallel those obtained from less coarse-grained sim ulation $m$ odels ( $R$ efs. 1 indid and references therein).
(2) Chains close to the interface in a strongly segregated polym er m ixture behave sim ilarly. In order to reduce unfavorable interactions the largest axis of the ellipsoids orients parallel to the interface and shrinks in size so as to increase the number of favorable selfcontacts inside the chain. These results qualitatively agree with those obtained in a detailed M onte C arlo study of the phase behavior of polym er blends by the bond-uctuation $m$ odel ${ }^{29}$,
(3) If a binary m ixture of ellipsoids betw een tw o neutral walls undergoes spinodal decom position, a lateral dem ixing length $\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{m}$ is found, which increases for m s thinner than the bulk dem ixing length ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}<\mathrm{m}$ ). These results are in acreem ent w ith tim e\{dependent $G$ inzburg $\{$ Landau theory $2^{27}$ Experim entalstudies of thise ect have, to the best of our know ledge, not been perform ed yet. H ow ever, both the present sim ulations and theory lead to sim ilar results and suggest that such studies should be prom ising.
(4) In the case where one of the tw o walls is structured, two di erent equilibrium pattems are found. E ither the structure propagates through the whole lm , leading to
a striped dem ixing pattem, or the surface-induced structure is con ned to the vicinity of the wall. Both types of pattem are separated by a straight line in the $L_{z}\left\{L_{k}\right.$ space, as can be explained by a consideration of surface energies only. D i erent equilibrium pattems lead to different kinetic pathw ays for the spinodaldecom position in such a lm . In the case of an equilibrium pattem w ith full structure, pattem directed spinodaldecom position is the dom inant process. The periodic ordered pattem freezes after reaching the opposite wall. On the other hand, the penetration depth of the pattem directed spinodalw ave rem ains nite and lateraldom ain coarsening takes place in the late stages of decom position. In the case of sm all pattem periodicity ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}<\mathrm{m}$ ), pattem directed spinodal decom position is less pronounced, as the pattem induces unfavorable structures w th too large interfaces.

In summ ary, the presented results suggest that the G EM is a prom ising $m$ odelto study large length and tim e scales not only in the bulk, but also in the presence of interfaces. To test them odelfurther we envisage to analyze the dependence of the structure of a thin polym er lm on chain length. Several sim ulation $3^{9914} \cdot \frac{1}{2}$. have already been devoted to this problem, w ith which we can compare our results. Furthem ore, recent experim ents $\mathrm{s}^{41,42!}$ investigated the dependence of the radius of gyration on
m thickness and obtained diverging results. W e hope to contribute to this current discussion by the sim ulations envisaged.
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APPENDIX A: CALCULATION OF
ELLIPSOID-WALL INTERACTION

The integrals in eq. (F핀) w ith a potential of the form eq. ( $\overline{6}$ (i) and $m$ onom er densities, that are essentially sum $s$ and products of $G$ aussian functions, cf. eqs. ( $25 a-c$ ) in Ref. 11d, can be cast into the $m$ ore general form already treated in the appendix of $R$ ef. 11 . The six resulting integrals of eq. ( $\mathbf{S}^{1}$ ) are of the form

$$
\stackrel{z+1}{d^{3} y \quad(y) \quad\left(y_{3}\right) ;}
$$

where the functions ( $y$ ) and ( $y_{3}$ ) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& (y)=\frac{1}{(2)^{3=2^{2}} \frac{1}{=1}} \exp \frac{1}{2}^{x} \quad \underline{x ~(y)}^{2} \quad ; \\
& x \quad(y)=\begin{array}{llll}
X & R & (y \quad w) ; \quad=R \quad \text { and }
\end{array} \\
& \left(y_{3}\right)=\exp \quad \frac{y_{3}}{2 \beth_{w}} \quad: \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

W e adopted the notation and de nitions of $R$ ef. 1 analogous calculation yields the sam e result for the integralas in eqs. ( $12-C$ 14) of $R$ ef. $1 \underline{O}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$, exœept for the values of $A$; $B$ and $C$, that in the present case read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { A }=\mathrm{L} \text {; }  \tag{A3}\\
& B=\frac{3}{I_{W}} 2^{X} \quad L \quad w \quad \text {; }  \tag{A4}\\
& \text { X } \\
& \mathrm{C}=\quad \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{w}: \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

The negative sign in front of the $K$ ronecker-delta in
 vige versa.

In the case of a pattemed substrate, we de ne $l_{k}=$ $L_{\mathrm{k}}=4$ and $w$ rite for the rst part of the $w$ all potential

$$
V\left(y_{3}\right)=\hat{w}_{w} \exp \quad \frac{Y_{3}}{2 l_{w}} \quad 1 \quad{ }_{w} \cosh \frac{i y_{2}}{2 l_{w}} \quad: \quad \text { (A 6) }
$$

$T$ hus the integration of the respective exponential functions can be perform ed as above. Only the form of B changes, which now reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{3}{l_{w}} \quad \frac{2 i}{l_{k}} \quad 2^{X} \quad L \quad w \quad ; \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the negative sign refers to the rst im aginary exponential function in eq. ( $\bar{A}-\overline{1})$ ), while the positive sign refers to the second one, respectively.

W e note, that in our im plem entation the interaction betw een the walls and the ellipsoids is never cut $\rho_{1}$, in contrast to the interactions betw een the ellipsoids $\underline{I}^{10}{ }^{\prime \prime}$

> APPENDIX B:CALCULATION OF THE LATERALFOURIERTRANSFORM OF THE DENSITY

The calculation of

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{k}\left(k_{k} ; Y_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{M(\mathbb{N}+1)}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{M}} \underbrace{Z}_{1} d^{2} y_{k} e^{i k_{k}}{ }_{\circ_{i}^{\circ}}^{\circ}\left(y_{k} ; Y_{3}\right) \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is analogous to the derivation of $(k)$ given in appendix D of Ref.'1d. Therefore we only present the result,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{k}\left(k_{k} ; Y_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\left(2+C_{1}\right)_{12}} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{X^{M}} \frac{1}{S_{1}^{(i)} S_{2}^{(i)} S_{3}^{(i)}} \\
& P \frac{1}{\operatorname{detG}{ }^{(i)}} \frac{1}{2 G_{33}^{(i)}} \exp @ \frac{1}{2} X^{2} X^{2} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{G}^{(\mathrm{i})}{ }^{1} \mathrm{k} A
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +{ }_{=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{2}} \mathrm{kR}_{1} \mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{1}^{\mathrm{S}^{(\mathrm{i})}} 5+\mathrm{Cl}_{1} \stackrel{9}{=} ; \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G^{(i)} \quad \begin{array}{l} 
\\
\\
g^{(i)} R^{(i)} R^{(i)}, ~
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{G}_{3}^{(\mathrm{i})} \quad \mathrm{G}_{3}^{(\mathrm{i})}{ }^{1}+\mathrm{G}_{3}^{(\mathrm{i})^{1}} \text { and } \\
& \mathrm{g}^{\text {(i) }} \quad{ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~S}_{1}^{(\mathrm{i})}{ }^{1} ;{ }_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{(\mathrm{i})}{ }^{1} ;{ }_{3}^{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}^{(\mathrm{i})}{ }^{1} \text { : (B5) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The quantities $\mathrm{R}^{(\mathrm{i})}$ and $\mathrm{r}^{(\mathrm{i})}$ denote the rotation ma trix and the center of $m$ ass of the $i$ 'th particle respectively. The constants $1 ; 2 ; 3 ; \mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ are given in
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TABLE I. System sizes for hom ogeneous 1 m s.

| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | 25 | 17 | 12.5 | 10 | 8 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 69.28 | 84.02 | 97.98 | 109.54 | 122.47 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1.5 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 141.42 | 173.21 | 200.00 | 244.95 | 282.84 |

TABLE II. System sizes for m s ofbinary $m$ ixtures.

| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | 50 | 25 | 12.5 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ | 6928 | 97.98 | 138.56 | 200.00 | 244.95 | 282.84 | 346.41 |

TABLE III. System sizes for lm s of binary $m$ ixtures on structured surfaces.

| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=50:$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | 50 | 25 | 12.5 | 6 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | $\{$ | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\{$ | 96.26 | 128.34 | 200.53 | 240.64 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{k}}=25:$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | 50 | 25 | 12.5 | 6 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 75 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 64.17 | 96.26 | 128.34 | 200.53 | 240.64 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{k}}=12: 5:$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | 50 | 25 | 12.5 | 6 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 75 | 100 | 137.5 | 200 | 250 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 64.17 | 96.26 | 140.01 | 200.53 | 240.64 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{k}}=6:$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | 50 | 25 | 12.5 | 6 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 72 | 102 | 138 | 204 | 246 |
| $\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 66.84 | 94.37 | 139.50 | 196.60 | 244.55 |



FIG.1. M onom er density $c(z)$ (upper curves) and center ofm ass density $C_{c m}(z)$ (lower curves) for wall potentials $w$ ith $l_{v}=0: 5$ (open sym bols) and $l_{v}=0: 15$ (full sym bols). The m width is $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=25$.


F IG .2. M onom er density as a function of distance $z$ from the wall for $l_{w}=0: 5$ for various $l m$ thicknesses $L_{z}$.


FIG. 3. Lateral averaged squared $m$ atrix elem ents $h R^{2}$ (z)i are show $n$ characterizing the orientation of the ellipsoids $w$ ith respect to the $z$-ax is of the system. T he alignm ent of the principal axis corresponding to the largest eigenvahe is given in (a), to the second eigenvalue in (b), and to the sm allest one in (c). The expected value $1=3$ for totally random oriented ellipsoids is $m$ arked in as a straight line each tim e. The results are show $n$ for di erent $m w i d t h s L_{z}$ and $I_{N}=0: 5$.


F IG . 4. Lateral averaged eigenvalues hS (z)i as a function of the separation $z$ from the wall. The expected bulk values from sim ulations w ith periodic boundary conditions ${ }_{1}^{10}$ are show $n$ additionally. The results are given for di erent lm w idth $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{w}}=0: 5$.


FIG.5. C oexistence curves for di erent $m$ thicknesses $L_{z}=25() ; 12: 5() ; 6() ; 4() ; 3(M) ; 2(N)$. The extrapolated critical tem peratures as a function of $1=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ are given in the inset.


FIG . 6. N orm alized center ofm ass density (a), squared rotationalm atrix elem ents (b) and eigenvalues (c) as a function ofdistance $z$ from the interfaces for a separated $A$ \{B m ixture.
(a)

(b)

(c)


FIG.7. Lateralinterm ediate scattering function $I_{k}\left(k_{k} ; z ; t\right)$ for a m of thickness $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=6$ shown at various times (a) $t=3000 \mathrm{MCS}$, (b) $\mathrm{t}=10000 \mathrm{MCS}$ and (c) $\mathrm{t}=50000 \mathrm{MCS}$.


FIG. 8. Film averaged rst m om ent of the lateral inter$m$ ediate scattering function $k_{1 ; k}(t)$, eq. (1-1i), as a function of time for di erent $m$ thicknesses $L_{z}$.



F IG.9. Laterally averaged monom er concentration $C_{B}(z)$ of the $B$ \{ellipsoids, for di erent times, in a lm of thickness (a) $L_{z}=50$ and (b) $L_{z}=12: 5$. The $m$ ism atch $w$ all interaction is w$=0: 5$ for both walls.
(a)

(b)


F IG .10. T w o possible structures on a pattemed substrate. U pper gure (a): The polym er m is only pattemed near the surface (\partial structure"). Low er gure (b): The polym er structure induced by the surface penetrates the m ( $\backslash$ full structure").


FIG.11. R esults for the norm alized center ofm ass density $c_{c m}$ as a function of $y$ and $z$ (show $n$ in the respective inset) in the case of (a) full, and (b) partial structure. The m thickness is $L_{z}=6$ in both cases and the periodicity of the structure is (a) $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=25$ and (b) $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=6$.


FIG. 12. Regions in the $L_{z}\left\{L_{k}\right.$ plane, where the dom ain pattem in the slab is fully structured ( ) and only partially structured ( ), in double logarithm ic representation. T he dividing lines are given by the two lim iting cases of eq. (18), $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=1=4 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ (straight line) and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=8=8 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ (dashed line).
(a)

(b)


FIG.13. Interm ediate scattering function $I_{y}\left(k_{y} ; z ; t\right)$ for $a$ system of $L_{k}=25$ and $L_{z}=6$ at tim es (a) $t=1000$ MCS and (b) $t=10000$ M CS. T he pattemed structure is stable throughout the whole m .
(a)

(b)


FIG.14. Interm ediate scattering function $I_{y}\left(k_{y} ; z ; t\right)$ for $a$ system of $L_{k}=12: 5$ and $L_{z}=25$ at tim es (a) $t=1000 \mathrm{MCS}$ and (b) $t=30000$ M CS. Lateral dom ain coarsening occurs aw ay from the vicinity of the pattemed wall. N ear the wall the structure changes only weakly.


FIG.15. Interm ediate scattering function $I_{Y}\left(k_{Y} ; z ; t\right)$ for early dem ixing times $t=1000 \mathrm{MCS}$ and m thickness $L_{z}=12: 5$. The pattem periodicity is (a) $L_{k}=6$, (b) $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=12: 5$ and (c) $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=25$.


FIG.16. Interm ediate scattering function $I_{y}\left(2=L_{k} ; z ; t\right)$ as a function of time. The $m$ thickness is $L_{z}=25$ and the pattem periodicity $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}=50$.

