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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 C om posite Particles in the FractionalQ uantum H alle ect

$T$ he technologicalinnovation that m ade discovery of the quantum H alle ect ( Q HE ) possible is called a M O SFET, or m etal oxide sem ioonductor eld e ect transistor. U nder suitable conditions, electrons are e ectively con ned to two dim ensions by an inversion layer Inversion layers are form ed at an interface of a sem iconductor and an insulator or betw een two sem iconductors w ith one of them acting as an insulator. The original system in which the QHE was discovered was betw een Si (sem iconductor) and $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (insulator). M ore recently the sem iconductor-sem iconductor system $G$ aA $s-A l_{x} G a_{1} \times A s$ (w ith GaAs acting as the sem iconductor) has been used. The param eter is approxim ately x 0.2 . Typically the layers of $G$ aA s and A $1 G$ aA s are grown w ith atom ic precision using m olecular beam epitaxy. $T$ he necessary donors that are required for the inversion layer to form are im planted aw ay from the interface allow ing very high electron $m$ obility $w$ ithin the inversion layer. For som e sam ples, an extemal voltage $m$ ay be used to control the density of electrons.

The original discovery by von K litzing, D orda, and Pepper in 1980 has come to be known as the integer quantum H alle ect ( I LHE ). In brief, they found that when a m agnetic eld is applied perpendicular to the electron layer, the electron current response is purely transverse and quantized. M ore precisely, the current density responds to an electric eld
by $j_{i}={ }^{P}{ }_{j}{ }_{i j} E_{j}$, where the conductivity tensor is

$=$| 2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 0 | 3 <br> 4 |
|  | $e^{2}=h$ | 0 |

$h$ is $P$ lanck's constant, $e$ is the electron charge, and is a sm all integer. Thus the conductivity is quantized in fundam ental units, independently of speci cm aterial param eters. The diagonal conductivity vanishes, so the state is dissipationless. The actual m easured quantities are the conductance and/or resistance. Theoretical understanding of thise ect is based on $m$ odels of independent electrons in the presenœ ofdisorder, and is quite developed by now .

In this thesis we will be concemed with an e ect that was discovered shortly after the IQ HE, which is known as the fractional quantum H alle ect ( FQHE ). In 1982, T sui, Storm er, and Gossard found that, in extrem ely pure sam ples at very low tem perature, the integer above can be replaced by a hierarchy of rational num bers, $=\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}$. In the quantum $\lim$ it $!_{c} \quad 1$, where $!_{c}=e B=m$ and is the electronic scattering tim $e$, show s plateaus at these fractions as the chem ical potential is varied. T he leading fractions were found to have $q=o d d$, form ing an incom pressible quantum liquid. Since then, $q=$ even states are understood to have their own com plem entary set of phenom ena $\overline{\mathrm{z}}$ ]. In contrast to the odd denom inator llings, they are com pressible Ferm i liquid-like states and are not characterized by plateaus in the conductivity (at least for $\mathrm{p} \quad 3 ;=5=2 \mathrm{~m}$ ay be an exception $\left.{ }^{-1} 1\right]$. Theoretical understanding of the FQHE has progressed rapidly, but is not yet com plete. For instance, problem swhich depend in detail on the interaction and disorder, such as transitions betw een the plateaus, are not well understood. $Q$ uestions have also arisen recently on the nature of the e ective theory for $<1$ even in pure samples. This is the issue we w ill tackle in this part of the thesis.

Let us brie y sum $m$ arize the salient ingredients of the theory. The fundam ental length scale for electrons in a $m$ agnetic eld $B$ is

$$
\grave{B}=\frac{h c}{e B}^{1=2}:
$$

It is independent ofm aterial param eters and is in the range of 50 100A. T he independent particle states are param eterized by Landau levels (LL) of energy $E_{n}=h!_{c}(n+1=2)$. Each

LL is highly degenerate; the num ber of states per unit area of one full LL is given by

$$
0=1=2 \quad \underset{\mathrm{~B}}{2}=\mathrm{eB}=\mathrm{hc}
$$

The last equality can be rew ritten as $0=B=0$, where 0 is the unit ux quantum, so that the degeneracy of one full LL is counted by the num ber of ux quanta in the extemal eld. In this sim pli ed model, electrons successively occupy the Landau levels, and the proportion of occupied states is denoted by the lling fraction, . If the electron density is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad=0: \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The IQ HE occurs at integral, when an integral num ber of levels are fill.
H ow ever, the FQHE occurs when certain rational fractions of LL's are lled. The theoretical understanding of this phenom enon starts $w$ ith Laughlin's approach in 1983 [4] [4] He proposed a variational wavefunction for the ground state at $=1=p, p=o d d, w h i d h$ is a fractionally lled lowest Landau level (LLL). A ssum ing that ! c is large com pared to the electron-electron interaction, only the LLL should describe the physics at low energies. For $N$ electrons w ith coordinates $z_{i}=x_{i}+i y_{i}$, the Laughlin wavefunction is,

$$
\left.\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N}\right)={\underset{i<j}{Y^{N}}}_{\left(_{i}\right.}^{z_{i}} \quad z_{j}\right)^{p} e_{i}^{\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}} e^{\dot{z}_{i} \jmath^{2}=4_{B}^{2}}:
$$

$T$ his function is com posed of single particle states in the LLL and is properly antisym $m$ etric in keeping w ith ferm ionic statistics. The tw o m ain features of are (i) there is a zero on each electron, and (ii) each electron sees other electrons asm agnetic ux due to the accum ulated phase in dragging one coordinate around another. T he basic excitations are quasinoles and quasielectrons w th fractional charge $e=p$. C learly this kind ofe ect is due to strong correlations in the uid. T he quasiparticles also obey fractional statistics, as articulated by H alperin $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[5]}\end{array}\right]$ and by A rovas et al. [G]. E xperim entaldata is consistent $w$ ith fractionalcharge at $=1=3$ and that the basic excitations at $=1=2$ are neutral' ${ }^{1}$ a collective behavior that is very di erent from Ferm i liquids. In fact, the Laughlin state describes a strongly correlated quantum liquid that cannot be reached perturbatively from the Ferm i liquid. A s originally noted by Laughlin, it is accepted that the FQ HE liquid is isotropic and incom pressible.

M uch of the current understanding of quantum liquids relies on e ective eld theories. In the case of the FQHE the m ost successful has been the Chem-Sim ons (CS) theory [1] ${ }_{1}$ ]. Fortultously, C S actions were being form ally developed concurrently in a purely eld theoretic context [1]in]. O ne starts w ith a transform ation that represents each electron as a boson plus an odd num ber of ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ of - ux tubes. Fractional statistics em erges as a Berry phase when particles or vortices are dragged around ux tubes. A fter the transform ation, the action contains a $U$ (1) Chem-Sim ons term that couples to the ferm ion density. At the fractions $=1=q$ ( $q=o d d$ ), the statistical gauge potential a is determ ined by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\overline{2}^{r} \quad a \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $h=c=1$ ). The filll Lagrangian includes a by $m$ inim al coupling and the statistical gauge transform ation allow s us to replace the ferm ions by a bosonic eld, . T he com plete gauge invariant Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
L_{C S}={ }^{y}\left(i Q_{t} \quad A_{0} \quad \text { कo }\right) \quad \frac{1}{2 m} j(i r+A+a) \quad j^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \quad \text { a a a } \\
\frac{1^{Z}}{2} d^{2} y(x) V(x \quad y) \quad(y) ; \tag{1.3}
\end{array}
$$

$w h e r e A_{0} ; A$ is the extemal potential. The third term is the $U(1) C S$ term ( is the LeviC ivita sym bol) and the last tem is the interaction. The de ning equation (1) for a can be view ed as an equation of m otion. To com pletely determ ine a, one can use conservation of charge, $@_{t}+@_{i} J_{i}=0$, to obtain the dynam ics equation, $J_{i}={ }_{2}{ }^{i j} @_{t} a_{j}$. Together $w$ ith eqn. (1-12) this com pletely determ ines the statistical gauge eld a.

The net eld is the sum of the extemal eld and the -ux tubes. On the average, for a uniform density of particles, the two elds cancelwhen $=1={ }^{e}$ (that is, hA $+a i=0$ ) and we are left w ith bosons in zero net eld. The Laughlin state can thus be interpreted as a bose condensate of the electron- ux tube com posite. In principle, one can substitute bosonic particles for electrons and use an even e. Som e understanding of the states with even denom inator, e.g. $=1=2$, has been achieved in this fram ew ork $[\underline{[2]}]$. In this case, the com posite ferm ions form a Ferm isea and the resultant phase is Ferm i-liquid like. Paired states of ferm ions or bosons are envisioned as pairing of particle- ux tube com posites in zero eld, since the extemal eld vanishes at mean eld, as discussed in the introductory
 caution in any case; standard techniques are exact only in the lim it ${ }^{e}$ ! 0 , but the FQHE states require ${ }^{e} 2$.

O ther, perhaps m ore fundam ental, di culties w ith the C S approach have been appreciated by several workers since the beginning (see e.g. [1] ] ). At zero tem perature, the usual assumption is that the inter-electron interactions $\quad{ }^{1=2} e^{2}="$ ㅇ are weak com pared to the cyclotron frequency $!_{c}$ so the physics should be dom inated by LLL states when $<1$. The kinetic energy is just a constant in any given LL so we are faced with a m acroscopically degenerate perturbation theory w ith a purely dynam ical H am iltonian. In particular, consider the Ferm i liquid-like state at $=1=2$. In the $m$ ean eld approxim ation, the e ective $m$ ass of excitations close to the Ferm i surface is the bare $m$ ass $m$, how ever the low -energy excitations should have an e ective $m$ ass $m$ determ ined solely by the interactions. The problem is partially resolved by Fem i liquid uctuations, which introduce a Landau interaction param eter $F_{1}$ that renorm alizes the bare $m$ ass by $m{ }^{1}=m \quad{ }^{1}\left(1+F_{1}\right)$. The most serious problem is that interactions do not play a role in the com pressibility; whether or not interactions are included in the uctuations, the result is a nite com pressibility for the Ferm iliquid due to the mass m. On the other hand, a partially led Landau level of noninteracting particles should have an in nite com pressibility. The sam e di culty is present in the odd denom inator lling fractions; regardless of the interaction, the com pressibility
 new developm ents in com posite ferm ion theory.

It has becom e clear that a more physical way of looking at the com posite particles is as bound states of one particle and $e$ vortices. The com posites are literally dipoles. Since the quantum H all liquid cannot be reached perturbatively from a nom al electron uid, Landau's Ferm i liquid theory cannot be applied, and the new quasiparticle m ust be built up from scratch. This notion developed steadily starting from Laughlin's observation that particles see other particles as ux $[\underline{4}[1]$. Jain used it to obtain the basic sequence of
 com plete hierarchy of states. Their approach relied solely on the analytic properties of ground state wavefunctions. The corresponding eld theoretic im plem entation is the class
of CS m odels outlined above. In spite of the successes of these descriptions, neither does justice to the particle-vortex com posites as bound states (dipoles) in their own right.

This thesis will clarify that perhaps this is the essential ingredient required for a selfconsistent understanding of the e ective $m$ ass and com pressibility issues. Several authors

 recover som e of the dipole physics. H ere, we will approach the problem from the opposite direction by working in the LLL at the outset without any singular ux attachm ent. The language no longer includes - ux tubes or ${ }^{e}$, rather particle-vortex dipoles are the basic building blocks. O ur guide is a form alism introduced by $H$ aldane and $P$ asquier [19] and applied by R ead [1] $\overline{1}]$. These authors considered bosons at $\quad=1$, which is presum ably qualitatively identical to ferm ions at even denom inator lling fractions. B oth the e ective $m$ ass and com pressibility puzzles inherent in the original $C$ hem-Sim ons approach are resolved in this way (at least for bosons at $=1$ ).

O ur rst task, in the follow ing chapter, is to extend the $H$ aldane $P$ asquier form alism to arbitrary for either ferm ions or bosons. In the case ofbosons, C hapter $\overline{2}$, we w ill obtain an e ective theory microscopically by follow ing $R$ ead's analysis at $=1$. The e ective action does lead to a consistent picture of the $m$ ass and com pressibility, but di ens fiundam entally from the CS action; there is no a priori reason the tw o actions ought to look sim ilar since we project to the LLL from the beginning. H ow ever, it is gratifying that som e of our results overlap w ith those of Shankar and M urthy, who do start w ith CS.

In Chapter $\stackrel{1}{2}, \mathbf{1}$, we construct a phenom enological Landau-G inzburg eld theory for $=$ $1=p$, i.e. the underlying particles $m$ ust be ferm ions for $p=o d d$ and bosons for $p=$ even. T he spectrum in this case contains a m agnetoroton dip, which is the rst analytical observation of this phenom enon.

A central them e running through both of these chapters is the intemal structure of the com posite particles. To com pensate for the extra degrees of freedom of the vortices, a set of constraints is introduced and appears thorughout our m odels.

### 1.2 Pairing in TwoD im ensions

T he standard theory for superconductivity w as introduced by B ardeen, C ooper, and Schrie er (BCS) alm ost fly years ago [21]. The original ground state was a paired state of ferm ions in the $s w a v e ~ c h a n n e l$, or relative angularm om entum $l=0$. Since then, there have been num erous generalizations to non-zero angular $m$ om entum and to other $m$ ore com plicated order param eters. For a review, of the rich phase diagram $s$ in $\mathrm{H}^{3}$, see the book by Vollhardt and W ol e $\left.\underline{L D}_{2}^{2} \overline{2}\right]$. W e refer to the non-zero angular $m$ om entum states as anom alous in the sense that they violate both parity and tim e reversal sym $m$ etry. Typically, BC S theory is applied to ferm ionic particles, which can be thought of as pairing into bosons
 W e explore instances of both statistics in C hapters ' anisotropic superconductivity in the perovskite oxides. In $\mathrm{Sr}_{2} \mathrm{RuO}{ }_{4}$, both experim ent $\left[\underline{\left.\underline{2} \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}\right]}\right.$ and theory $\left.\underline{L}_{\underline{-}}^{1} \overline{1}\right]$ support $p-w$ ave $(l=1)$ pairing. Sim ilarly, $d-w$ ave pairing $(l=\quad 2)$ is by now well established in the high tem perature superconductors $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{\mathrm{Q}}] . \mathrm{p}$-w ave pairing has also been observed in super uid $\mathrm{He}^{3}$ in the so-called $\backslash \mathrm{A}$-phase" $[\overline{2} \overline{2}]$.

In the context of the fractional quantum H alle ect, H alperin $\underline{\underline{2} \overline{7}} \overline{1}$ proposed that under certain conditions, electrons can form pairs that condense into a Laughlin state of charge-2 bosons. Since then, various altematives for $p-$ and $d-w$ ave pairing have been explored by
 plateau at $=5=2$ is the $P$ fa an state of $M$ oore and Read

R ecently it has been proposed that the spin conductivity of the class of $p$-and $d-w$ ave states is transverse and quantized [1] $\overline{1} \overline{1}]$. A rem arkable series of earlier papers by Volovik [1] $\overline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{1}]$ contained som e of these predictions, as well. H ow ever, the precise proof of th is proposalhas not been shown. Section 15 , contains our derivation of this e ect with a conserving approxi$m$ ation. In the context of the originalBCS theory, a conserving approxim ation is required for a correct description of the collective m ode $\overline{\underline{2}} \overline{1} \overline{1}, \overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{1}]$ since it respects charge conservation A nalogously, in our case, the conserving approxim ation w ill respect the conservation of spin current, leading to the correct result.

A less fam iliar application of BCS theory is to paired states of bosons (see for exam ple $[\underline{2} \overline{3} 1])$. Typically, there is a com petition betw een the usual single-particle condensate and a
pure paired state, or a phase of coexistence is possible.
P ure p-w ave pairing of spin $-1=2$ bosons is characterized by a BCS wavefunction in the form of a perm anent. W ew ill show that p -w ave pairing can be interpreted as a condensate of spin waves. A s param eters in the H am iltonian are tuned, one reaches another single particle condensate $w$ ith helical spin order. T he perm anent sits right on the transition and contains a single anti-Skym ion, which is yet another single particle condensate.

In the context of the fractional quantum $H$ all e ect ( $F Q H E$ ), the perm anent describes
 the unique ground state w avefunction of a H am iltonian which penalizes the closest approach of three spin-1/2 ferm ions for a xed num ber of ux quanta piercing the bulk. The exact num ber of ux threading the bulk depends upon the geom etry, e.g. on whether the system is on the torus, on the sphere, or on the plane. A lthough the perm anent itself is di cult to treat analytically, its H am iltonian contains an in nite set of degenerate zero-energy eigenstates when $u x$ is added, am ong which is the polarized Laughlin state, and the rest are intenpreted in one of tw o equivalent ways as either quasiholes or as spin w ave excitations. Thep $=1$ Laughlin state is $m$ ost am enable to analysis as it is a Slater determ inant of single particle w avefunctions (Section i3. 1 ). A coordingly, it w ill serve as the prototype for our exact statem ents.

W e also consider d-wave pairing of spinless bosons, which is known as the H a nian in FQHE literature $[\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{1}]$. A rich phase diagram of com peting single particle and paired condensates em erges, w th the H a nian sitting on a phase boundary.

In the case of pairing in the FQHE, the bosons (ferm ions) are to be thought of as com posite bosons (ferm ions), as described in Chapter $\overline{\text { n. }}$. W e can use CS m ean eld theory such that the CS gauge eld cancels the extemal eld on the average, and we are left w th com posite particles in zero net eld. Then we can treat the com posite particles within a

 w as the existence of two regim es, weak-and strong-pairing. T he weak-pairing phase can be characterized by a nontrivialtopologicalw inding of the BC S order param eter in $m$ om entum space; wew illsee an exam ple in the discussion of the quantum $H$ alle ect for spin in C hapter
'I. On the other hand, the strong-pairing phase is topologically trivial, and, in the sim plest case, the two phases are separated by a transition at zero chem ical potential. For exam ple,
 is an $l=2$ state, was found to lie at the weak-strong transition point. It is useful to keep these results in $m$ ind as we consider com posite boson pairing in this chapter.

### 1.3 A dsorption on C arbon N anotubes

M onolayer adsonption of noble gases onto graphite sheets has proven to be an interesting
 can be understood with in a lattice gas $m$ odel, where the underlying hexagonal substrate layer form sa triangular lattioe of preferred adsonption sites. An equivalent form ulation is in the language of spin $m$ odels on a triangular lattioe, where the repulsion between adsorbed atom $s$ in neighboring sites translates into an antiferrom agnetic Ising coupling. The frustration of the couplings by the triangular lattice leads to the rich phase diagram of the $m$ onolayer adsonption problem [ [ $\left.41 i_{1}^{1}\right]$. Introducing hopping adds quantum uctuations,


In this $C$ hapter we address what happens if, in addition to the triangular lattice frustration, one has an extra geom etric frustration due to periodic boundary conditions. In fact, such a system is physically realized by a single walled carbon nanotube [4] [4] which $m$ ay be view ed as a rolled graphite sheet. In this context, adsonption has been the sub ject of grow ing experim ental and theoretical interest [ $[14 \overline{1}-1,1 / 4 \overline{4}]$, spurred by potential applications. Stan and Cole [ $[4-1-1]$ have considered the lim it of non-interacting adatom $s$ at low density, nding that they are localized radially near a nanotube's surface at a distance com parable to that in at graphite ( 3A). In that work, it was su cient to om it the hexagonal structure of the substrate. H ow ever, the corrugation potential selects the hexagon centers as additional com $m$ ensurate localization points [ $4 \overline{4} \bar{d}]$. In view of the sm ilarity to at graphite, we include both the substrate lattice and adatom interactions and consider a wider range of densities. In fact, very recently, it has been shown [ $\left[\overline{4} \bar{T}_{1}\right]$ that the adsorbate stays $w$ ith in a cylindrical shell for llings less than $0: 1 \neq \AA^{2}$ (or $0: 5$ adatom /hexagon), justifying the densities
studied here.
O ur adsonption $m$ odel is equivalent to a new sort of XXZ Heisenberg quantum spin tube, which is type of spin ladder w ith periodic boundary conditions. A sim ple exam ple w ith highly an isotropic couplings w as considered recently in references [4] $\overline{4} 1]$. We nd density plateau structures for arm chair, zig-zag and chiral nanotubes. In the language of spin system $s$, the density plateaus correspond to $m$ agnetization plateaus. The zig-zag tubes tum out to be special, and have extensive zero tem perature entropy plateaus in the classical lim it. Q uantum e ects lift the degeneracy, leaving gapless excitations described by a c=1 conform al eld theory w ith com pacti cation radius quantized by the tube circum ference. $T$ his is an interesting conform al sym $m$ etry because the only other system $s$ in nature $w$ ith a quantized com pacti cation radius, that we are aw are of, are the chiraledge states in the FQHE [4] [].

## Chapter 2

## Low est Landau Level I: C om posite Ferm ions

W e begin this chapter by developing the $H$ aldane $P$ asquier form alism in Section
In the rest of the chapter we apply the com posite ferm ion form alism to bosons in the lowest Landau level. In particular, we obtain an e ective theory for an incom pressible quantum $H$ all liquid of bosons w ith one attached vortex at general ling. A s discussed in the introduction, theories based on the ux attadnm ent, or Chem-Sim ons approach $\left[\underline{1} 00_{1}^{-1}\right]$, have not been entirely satisfactory. M ore recently there have been several attem pts to obtain an e ective theory m icroscopically $\left[\underline{1} \overline{1} \bar{L}_{1}, \overline{1}_{\underline{1}}^{1} \overline{9}\right.$ this w ork we avoid the $C$ hem-Sim ons approach and follow an altemative that w as developed for the Ferm i liquid-like state ofbosons at $=1$ [

The e ective lling factor in our com posite ferm ion $m$ odel can be obtained as follow $s$. A s we will see below, the composite feels an e ective $m$ agnetic eld $B=B_{L}+B_{R}$, where $B_{L}$ is the physical eld felt by the underlying particle and $B_{R}$ is an arbitrary eld felt by the vortex. The total charge of the com posite is $q=1+B_{R}=B_{L}$. In the introduction we showed that the lling factor can be written as $=0=B_{L}$, where is the density of the underlying particles and 0 is the $m$ agnetic ux quantum. Since the com posite particles $m$ ust have the sam e density as the underlying particles, the e ective lling is $\mathrm{e}=0=\mathrm{B}$,
which can be rew rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\bar{q} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The original Jain construction [1] attaches ${ }^{e}$ ux tubes. On the average, the ux tubes renorm alize the realm agnetic eld by $B_{L}!q B_{L} w$ th $q=1$ e. In our fram ew ork, $q$ can be any real number, so there is a fam ily of theories, i.e. anyons [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{1} 0 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$, for any given param eterized by $q$ and an integer e .

Strictly speaking, Jain's m odel does not require ferm ionic statistics for the underlying particles, so the bosonic case is a valid quantum $H$ all state. In fact, there have been recent theoretical proposals that quantum H all liquids of bosons are realizable in rotating B ose$E$ instein condensates $\left.{ }^{[5} \bar{H}_{1}^{1}\right]$. In this case, the $m$ agnetic eld is due to the angular velocity ! ; roughly, the velocity is modi ed in the rotating fram eby $v!v+!\quad r$, which is like $m$ inim al coupling of a $m$ agnetic eld ( $r \quad(!\quad r)$ is a constant in the sam e direction as !).

In section we obtain an e ective $m$ ass by extracting a kinetic term from the interac-
 $T$ he sim plest starting point is a $H$ artree Fock approxim ation. Next, we use a conserving approxim ation, which restores the constraints, in order to calculate the correct density-density response function. T he self-consistent diagram atics consist of sum $m$ ing ring and ladder diagram $s$; it is essentially the same fram ew ork that we use for paired states of ferm ions in C hapter', 5 ,-1 of this thesis.

### 2.1 Form alism

2.1.a Single P article in a M agnetic $F$ ield

A convenient fram ew ork for a quantum particle in tw o dim ensions is an operator description. We begin w th the sim plest case of a single charged particle in two dim ensions and a perpendicularm agnetic eld.

The H am ittonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2 m}\left(p \quad q^{A}\right)^{2} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $p$ is the canonical $m$ om entum, $A$ is the vector potential for a magnetic eld $B$, in the $\hat{z}$ direction, and $q$ and $m$ are the charge and $m$ ass. $T$ he units are set to $h=c=1$.
$T$ he kinetic $m$ om entum is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=p \quad q A \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the corresponding com $m$ utator

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\quad ; \quad]=\text { i } \quad q B \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and are space indices, $x$ and $y$, and is the Levi-C ivita symbol. The dynam ics of follow sim ply,

$$
\begin{equation*}
:=i \mathbb{H} ; \quad]=!_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $!_{c}=q B=m$ is the cyclotron frequency. Therefore precesses.
A s the particle executes cyclotron $m$ otion it is located by the guiding center operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=r+z \quad \frac{1}{q B} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which obeys

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{R} ; R \quad]=\quad i \frac{1}{q B}: \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators and $R$ com mute. Projection to the low est Landau level is accom plished by replacing the particle's coordinates by the guiding center. N ote that the coordinates no longer com $m$ ute, a consequence of frozen degrees of freedom. T he appropriate generator of translations, or \pseudom om entum " , is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=q B \& \quad R ; \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obeys $\mathbb{K} \quad ; \mathrm{K} \quad]=\mathrm{i} \quad \frac{1}{q B}$. The planar coordinates of a quantum particle in a m agnetic


N oting the com m utation relations of and $R$, we can de ne two independent harm onic oscillator operators:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a}= & \mathrm{s} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2 q B}} \overline{\mathrm{~K}} ;
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{\mathrm{s} \frac{1}{\frac{1 q B}{2 q}} \mathrm{~K}}{\mathrm{~b}=} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 q B} ; \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned} \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2 q B}}-
$$

where $=x+i_{y}$ and $=x_{y} \quad i_{y}$, and sim ilarly for $K$. The $H$ am iltonian then becom es the fam iliar harm onic oscillator H am iltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=!_{c} \quad b^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}+\frac{1}{2} \quad: \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its eigenstates are known as Landau levels (LL). Each level is m acroscopically degenerate since a com $m$ utes $w$ th $b$ and drops out of the $H$ am iltonian. T he com plete set of eigenstates is labeled by tw o integers, $m$ and $n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { jn;m } i=\frac{a^{y m}}{m} \frac{b^{y n}}{m!}-j ; 0 i \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this convention, (or b) is a purely inter-Landau level operator, and K (or a) is intraLandau level.

To obtain the wavefiunctions, we w ill use com plex coordinates, $r=z=x+i y$, and the sym $m$ etric gauge, $A=\frac{1}{2} r \quad B=\frac{1}{2} B(y ; x)$. The single particle operators becom $e$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\quad 2 i \AA_{z} \frac{q B}{2} i z  \tag{2.13}\\
K & =\quad 2 i A_{z}+\frac{q B}{2} i z \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

If we restrict ourselves to the low est Landau level (LLL), then the wavefunctions, 0 ; $(r)$, are annihilated by b. The general solution to this rst order partial di erential equation (up to gauge transform ations) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 ; \mathrm{m}(r)=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{e}^{\dot{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~J}^{\mathcal{J}}=4_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}} ; \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

 an analytic function in $z$. Further requiring that a $0 ; 0=0$ yields $f_{0}$ and the rest of the $f_{m}$ 's are generated by applying $a^{y}$. The result is the set of states spanning the LLL:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}(z)=q \frac{1}{22^{m} m!_{B}^{m+2}} z^{m} e^{\dot{k} \mathcal{f}^{f}=4_{B}^{2}}: \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be rem arked that the intra-LL ladder operator has a very sim ple action on the $u_{m}: a^{y}=z={ }^{P} \overline{2}_{B}$ and $a=\left({ }_{B}={ }^{P} \overline{2}\right) @_{z}$.

## 2.1.b Two Particles in a M agnetic F ield

In this section, we introduce a bound state of two oppositely charged particles in a perpendicular $m$ agnetic eld. This form alism will be useful in interpreting the $H$ aldane $P$ asquier approach.

Each com ponent is characterized by its charge $q_{i}$ and the $m$ agnetic eld that it feels, $B_{i}$. For convenience, we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}=q_{i} B \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ew ill assum e that $B_{1}>0$ and $B_{2}<0$, guaranteeing the existence of a bound state. In these units the charge is dim ensionless. If we $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{q}_{1}=1$ then the total charge is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{B}{B_{1}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are two sets of guiding centers, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and pseudom om enta, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$, which are de ned in the sam ew ay as in section for exam ple

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{R}_{i} ; R_{j}\right]=\quad i \quad{ }_{i j} \frac{1}{B_{i}} ; \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on.
It tums out that this algebra can bem apped exactly into a single particle in an e ective m agnetic eld B

$$
B=B_{1}+B_{2}:
$$

If we construct the e ective translation and $m$ om entum operators by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{K} & =\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2}  \tag{220}\\
& =\mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{~B}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{2}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~B}_{2}} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~B}_{1}} \mathrm{~K}_{1}} \tag{221}
\end{align*}
$$

then the com $m$ utators of this algebra are exactly that of a single particle, equations (2) de ne an e ective position $r$ by eqn. (2, $\overline{2}$ ). Solving for $r$, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
r & =\hat{2}(K+)_{B}^{2} \\
& =\frac{B_{1} R_{1}+B_{2} R_{2}}{B} \quad\left(R_{1} \quad R_{2}\right) \frac{p \overline{B_{1} B_{2}}}{B}: \tag{222}
\end{align*}
$$

In the lim it $B!0, r$ becom es $\left(R_{1}+R_{2}\right)=2$. $T$ his is not surprising from a classical point of view; two opposite but equal charges travel in a straight line due to $E \quad B$ drift, the \guiding center" $m$ oves o to in nity, and their position is given by a point exactly $m$ idw ay betw een them .

To proceed w ith the w avefiunctions of the com posite, label the real-space coordinates of each particle by $z$ and . T he di erential operators are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}=2 i \Theta_{z}+\frac{i}{2 \underset{B_{1}}{2}} z  \tag{2,23}\\
& K_{2}=2 i \& \frac{i}{2{\underset{B_{2}}{2}}_{2}^{2}} \tag{224}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote the relative $m$ inus sign, which com es from assum ing $B_{1}>0$ and $B_{2}<0$. The ladder operators are given in term $s$ of and $K$ by equation $(2010)$. The low est eigenfuction is determ ined from a $0 ; 0=\mathrm{b} 0 ; 0=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i}{2 \mathrm{~B}} \quad 2 Q_{Z} \frac{1}{2{\underset{B}{B_{1}}}_{2}^{Z}} \bar{z}+2 @+\frac{1}{2 \bar{B}_{2}^{2}}-0 ; 0=0 \\
& \frac{i}{2 \mathrm{~B}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~B}_{1}} \quad 2 \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{Z}}+\frac{1}{2{ }_{\mathrm{B}_{1}}^{2}} \mathrm{Z} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~B}_{2}} \quad 2 @ \quad \frac{1}{2{ }_{\mathrm{B}_{2}}^{2}} \quad 0 ; 0=0 \tag{225}
\end{align*}
$$

By analogy w ith a single particle, we expect that the solution is an analytic function in $z$ and tim es two Gaussian factors. Indeed, if we choose

$$
0 ; 0(z ;)=(z ;) e^{j \mathcal{j}=4_{B_{1}}^{2}} e^{j \mathcal{J}=4 B_{B_{2}}^{2}}
$$

then equations (2-25) are solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z ; \quad)=\frac{1}{2 \grave{B}_{1} \grave{B}_{2}} e^{z^{-}=2_{B_{2}}^{2}}: \tag{2,26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote the asym $m$ etry betw een $B_{1} \$ B_{2}$, stem $m$ ing from the sign of $B$. W e have im plicitly assum ed that $B>0$, but if $B<0$ then the $G$ aussian factor in eqn. (2 $2 \overline{2} \overline{6})$ would be ${ }_{B_{1}}^{2}$.

The com plete set of states is generated by $a^{y}$ and $b^{y}$ just as it was for one particle in eqn. (2.12),

The are linear com binations of the independent particle basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{hz} ;^{-} \mathrm{gn} \mathrm{ni}=u_{m}(z) \overline{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{I}}\right) ; \tag{2,28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $u$ and $v$ are LLL single particle states corresponding to the tw $o m$ agnetic lengths $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ and ${ }_{B_{2}}$, as in eqn. (2-1. $\overline{1}$ ).

## 2.1.c Fock Space, O perators, and C onstraints

$H$ aving constructed the basis functions for a particle-vortex pair in the previous section, we $m$ ove on to the Fock space for a $m$ any-particle system .

W e begin w ith canonical ferm ionic or bosonic operators which are m atrices w ith two indices, $\mathrm{cm}_{\mathrm{n}}$, w th (anti-)com mutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \mathrm{C}_{\left.\mathrm{n} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{m}} 0\right]} \mathrm{mm}^{0} \mathrm{nn}^{0}\right. \tag{229}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he left index, $m$, runs from 1 to $N$, the num ber of available states in the LLL. The right index, $n$, runs 1 through $N$, which we w ill interpret later as the num ber of vortices. In the them odynam ic lim it, the lling factor is $=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$. Strictly speaking, this construction must be carried out on a nite geom etry (e.g. m runs from 1 to $N+1$ on the sphere), but we will ignore this subtlety here since we will only be interested in the therm odynam ic lim it.
$T$ he anticom $m$ utation relations are invariant under independent transform ations on the left and right indiges:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C} \ddagger \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{CU} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{R}} \text {; } \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{L}$ and $U_{R}$ are $N \quad N$ and $N \quad N$ unitary $m$ atrioes. These transform ations are generated by the left and right \density" operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{n n^{0}}^{R}=\sum_{m=1}^{X} C_{n m}^{y} C_{n n^{0}} \\
& { }_{m m 0}^{L}={ }_{n=1}^{X_{n}^{N}} C_{n m}^{y} C_{m} o_{n} \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The left density ${ }^{L}$ w ill represent the physical density, as we will see below. The right density ${ }^{R}$ speci es a set of $N^{2}$ constraints, which we use to de ne a set of physical states,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{nn}^{\mathrm{R}} \quad \text { nn } 0 \text { j physi }=0: \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

It willbe shown shortly that the set of $j$ physi do indeed give the correct Fock space.
Because the ${ }^{R}$ generate the unitary group $U(N)_{R}$ and there is a phase factor, $U$ (1), com $m$ on to both $R$ and $L$, the physical states $m$ ust be singlets under $S U(\mathbb{N})_{R}$. The physical states solving this constraint are linear com binations of
where joi is the vacuum with no ferm ions. The Levi-C ivita symbol ensures that these states are singlets under $S U(\mathbb{N})_{R}$. If the $C^{\prime} S$ are ferm ions their antioom $m$ utation relations
 the physical space is equivalent to N bosons each of which can occupy any one of N states, i.e. the Fock space ofbosons at lling $=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{H}$ ad the $\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ 's been boson ic operators rather than ferm ionic, the result would have been a Fock space of ferm ions at the sam e lling.

W e now construct the $m$ any body operators. By mapping into a single particle, we showed that the e ective $m$ agnetic length is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\frac{2}{B}}=\frac{1}{{\underset{B}{B}}_{2}^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{{\underset{B}{B}}_{2}^{2}} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a rem inder of the physical picture, we have $m$ ade the notation change from $1 ; 2$ to $L ; R$. O ur convention guarantees that $\bar{B}$ is positive since ${ }_{B_{L}}<{ }_{B_{R}}$ (equivalently, $\mathcal{B}_{L} j>\mathcal{B}_{R} j$ and $\left.B=B_{L}+B_{R}>0\right)$.

In real space the $m$ atter eld is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(z^{-}\right)={ }_{m n}^{X} u_{m}^{L}(z) \overline{u_{n}^{R}()} G_{n n} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

 izations). A unitary transform ation connects the in ni independent particle basis to the $j$ i bound state basis in section id. A ccordingly, the ferm ions $\mathrm{cm}_{\mathrm{n}}$ transform into
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad i=\frac{a^{y}}{P}=\frac{b^{y}}{P}=j 0 ; 0 i: \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

 density operators, we observe that they take a plane wave form in the operator language: $\underset{q}{\wedge R}={ }^{P}{ }_{i} e^{i q} R_{i ; R}$ and $\underset{q}{\sim}{ }_{q}={ }^{P}{ }_{i} e^{i q} R_{i ; L}$, where $R_{i ; R}$ and $R_{i ; L}$ are the two guiding center coordinates of the i'th particle[' In second quantization, the left density becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{q}}{\stackrel{\sim}{L}}=\frac{\mathrm{X}}{; 00} \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{c} 00 \mathrm{oh} \quad j e^{\text {iq } R_{\mathrm{L}}} j^{0} 0_{i} \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly for $\underset{q}{\wedge}$. T hem atrix elem ent can be calculated by solving for $R_{R}$; in in term $S$ of K , , giving

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{L}=\wedge\left(K+\frac{\grave{B}_{L}}{\grave{B}_{R}}\right)_{B}^{2} \\
& R_{R}=\wedge\left(K+\frac{B_{R}}{\grave{B}_{L}}\right)_{B}^{2}: \tag{2.39}
\end{align*}
$$

W e have introduced the shorthand notation, $\wedge a=\hat{z} a$ (for the vector $a$ ). Because $\mathbb{K}$; $]=0$, the plane $w$ ave factors into an intra-and an inter-Landau levelpiece. $W$ e w rite
where the -ooe cients are de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& q\left(j^{0}\right)=h \operatorname{jexp} i_{B}^{n} q^{\wedge} K^{0} j^{0} i^{0} \\
& { }_{q}^{L}\left(j^{0}\right)=h \operatorname{jexp} i{ }_{B}^{2} \frac{\backslash_{B_{L}}}{{ }_{B_{R}}} q^{\wedge} j^{0}{ }_{i}  \tag{2.41}\\
& { }_{q}^{R}\left(j^{0}\right)=h \operatorname{jexp} i_{B}^{2} \frac{{ }_{B}}{B_{B_{L}}} q^{\wedge} \quad j^{0_{i}}:
\end{align*}
$$

The operation $a{ }^{\wedge} b$ stands for $a{ }^{\wedge} b$. N ote that ${ }_{q}{ }^{\wedge}$ is identical to ${ }^{\wedge} q$ for a particle in eld $B$ and therefore follow the sam e orthonorm ality properties and com m utation relations. ${ }_{q}^{L}$
 com m utator.

Since K and are nothing other than harm onic oscillator operators, the ^'s can be calculated explicitly. The one that we need later is $几$, so we use it as an example. First,

I was rem inded by R. Shankar that he had guessed the sam e density expressions based on a sm all $q$ lim it of the $C$ hem-Sim ons form ulation [1].].
rew rite iq ${ }^{\wedge}$ in complex coordinates as $\frac{1}{2}(\underline{q} \quad \bar{q})$. Secondly, recall that b and j i $\quad \mathrm{B}$ joi, which reduces the calculation to a harm onic oscillator $m$ atrix elem ent. The rest is straightforw ard and we quote the nal result:

A bunch of factors were absorbed into $q$ on the left-hand side to avoid repetitiously w riting them on the right.

The nal step in the construction is the $H$ am iltonian. In coordinate space it involves only the diagonal com ponents of $\wedge$ [ $[\overline{1} \bar{q}]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{2} z_{1} d^{2} z_{2} V\left(r_{1} \quad r_{2}\right):{ }^{L}\left(z_{1} ; \bar{z}_{1}\right)^{L}\left(z_{2} ; \bar{z}_{2}\right): \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or in Fourier space (A ppendix),

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} \frac{d^{2} q}{(2)^{2}} V(q) e^{\dot{\mathcal{G}}{ }^{2}=2_{B_{L}}^{\wedge}}:{\underset{q}{L}}_{\wedge L}^{q}: \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $V(q)$ is the ordinary Fourier transform of $V(r)$. A s required, this $H$ am iltonian is both translationally and rotationally invariant. By construction, the right density $A R$ is a constant of the $m$ otion because the H am iltonian acts only on the left indioes, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H} ; \stackrel{\wedge R}{\sim}]=0: \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system of H am iltonian plus constraints is the starting point for Read 's analysis of com posite bosons at $=1$ [

### 2.2 H artree $F$ ock A pproxim ation

For convenience, we restate here the H am iltonian that we derived in the last section.

$$
\mathrm{H}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{q}}{(2)^{2}} \forall(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}
1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \mathrm{~J}_{2} & 2 ; & 4 \tag{2.46}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{C}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} 2 \text {; }
$$

w here the $m$ atrix elem ent is given by
and $\geqslant(q)=V(q) e^{\dot{q} \mathcal{H}=2_{B_{L}}^{2}}$ is the apodized potential. The vertex is shown in $F$ ig.


Figure 2.1: The vertex $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1} \mathrm{j}_{2}\right){\underset{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{L}}}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(1 \mathrm{j}_{2}\right)$. The dotted line represents the interaction $(q)$

The $H$ artree Fock (H F ) approxim ation consists of replacing pains of ferm ion operators by their expectation value at zero tem perature:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h c^{y} \operatorname{cooi}=\quad 0 \quad 0 \quad(\max \quad) ; \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which lls max +1 Landau levels of com posite bosons. In Jain's mapping [1] sponds to an e ective lling fraction $e=m a x+1$. At nite temperature, the -function is replaced by the Ferm idistribution $f(" \quad$ c), where $c$ is the chem ical potential. Since the chem ical potential is restricted to $"_{m a x}<c_{c}<"_{m a x}+1$ but is otherw ise arbitrary, we w ill drop it in the follow ing.

Expanding the H am iltonian around this ground state allows us to sum over the intralevel indices $i$. In the follow ing, we ignore the direct term, which only shifts the chem ical potential. In the exchange term, completeness of the $j i$ basis $w$ ithin a Landau level, P
$j$ ih $j=1$, gives $1_{4}$ or $2_{3}$. Completeness of the intra-LL basis is tantam ount to translation invariance. R otation invariance, on the other hand, show sup in $\forall(q)$, which is required to be a function of only $\dot{M} j$ for an isotropic system. $T$ his gives term $s$ diagonal in , $1_{4}$ or ${ }_{2}{ }_{3}$, since the others van ish when we consider the explicit expression for $\hat{f}^{L}$ in equation (2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{0}={ }^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \text { " } \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{c} \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the exchange energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
"=\frac{Z}{(2)^{2}} \|^{2} q(q)^{X a x} j_{q}^{L}\left(j^{0}\right) \mathcal{J}: \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

For concreteness, we choose the simplest non-trivial case: we $l l$ only the LLL, $m a x=0$, and take a hard-core repulsive interaction, $V(q)=V(0) . U$ sing the explicit form of $\hat{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{L}}$ from equation (2.42) the energy becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& ={\frac{\grave{B}_{L}}{\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{R}}}}^{2} \mathrm{~V}(0)^{-} \text {; } \tag{2.51}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{-}=1=2 \quad \frac{2}{B}$ is the density of the com posite ferm ions. $N$ ote how the apodized potential

 exponential form implies a linear dependence on at sm all, exactly the kind ofbehavior that one would expect for non-interacting particles in a m agnetic eld. T he cyclotron frequency, from equation [2]:19), is given by $!_{c}=1=m{ }_{B}^{2}$ so we can identify an e ective $m$ ass $w$ th the gap by $1=m=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0\end{array}\right) \frac{2}{B}$. M ore generally when $m$ ax $>0$, the low energy physics is dom inated by transitions betw een the highest occupied LL and the low est unoccupied one, which gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{m} & \left.=\left("_{\text {max }}+1 \quad "_{\text {max }}\right)\right)_{B}^{2} \\
& ={ }_{B}^{2}: \tag{2.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Further justi cation for this interpretation will em erge as we consider uctuations around the ground state. At any rate, our calculation provides a fram ew ork to calculate $m$ in the LLL. $N$ ote that equation $[2$ com posite particles) and identi es it w ith a particular integral over the interaction .

As a stand-alone approxim ation, HF does not preserve the constraints because the com $m$ utator $\left.\mathbb{H}_{0} ;{ }_{q}^{\wedge R}\right] 0$ for all $q \in 0$. In the next section we augm ent $H F$ in a fully self-consistent $m$ anner to restore this sym $m$ etry.

### 2.3 C onserving A pproxim ation and the $W$ ard Identity

O ur goal is to nd a pertunbative series such that all correlation function that involve the constraint $\underset{q}{\mathcal{R}} \mathbf{- ~}_{\mathrm{q} ; 0}$ vanish. In other words, the constraints would vanish to any
order of approxim ation. This is guaranteed by an exact (non-perturbative) W ard identity, which is derived below. W e illustrate the $m$ ethod by calculating the response functions, or generalized susceptibilities, of $\wedge R \quad \mathbb{R}, ~ \wedge R \quad \lesssim$, and $\wedge L \pi . T$ he latter is related to the physical quantity of interest, the com pressibility.
 used for the two-particle G reen's fiunction, then a fiully self-consistent approxim ation that conserves charge includes ladder and bubble diagram $s$ in the response functions. In fact this $m$ ethod preserves the constraints in the com posite boson problem at $=1$ as well $\overline{[1]}[\mathbf{l}$ ], and we will show that it works here, too.

In im aginary tim $e$, the response functions take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{A B}\left(q ; i!_{n}\right)=h_{q}^{\wedge A}\left(i!_{n}\right)^{\wedge B}{ }_{q}\left(i!_{n}\right) i ; \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $A ; B$ stand for $R$ or $L$ and $!_{n}=2 n=$ are $M$ atsubara frequencies. $W$ e im plicitly keep only the connected part, thus dropping a term containing $h^{\wedge A} i^{\prime} s . T$ he fundam ental diagram s are those that are irreducible, i.e. those that cannot be separated by cutting an interaction line. For a short range interaction, these are the qualitatively relevant pieces [2] $\left.\overline{1}_{1}, 1,1\right]$ obtained as geom etric series of the irreducible parts [1]

T he form of the conserving approxim ation in our case states that the irreducible resp onse functions, $\underset{i}{A B}$, are to be calculated by including the ladder series w th the HF G reen's function lines. W e sum the series by solving D yson's equation; the next few equations will describe the structure of the theory.

First, let us recall the H F G reen's function [5]

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{0}(; i!)=\frac{1}{i!\left(" Z_{z}\right)} ;  \tag{2.54}\\
& " \quad=\underline{1}^{X} X_{0}^{Z} \frac{d^{2} q}{(2)^{2}} \theta(q){\underset{q}{L}\left(j^{0}\right)}_{L_{q}}\left({ }^{0} j\right) G\left({ }^{0} ; i!\right) \\
& =\quad X_{0}^{Z} \frac{d^{2} q}{(2)^{2}} \nabla(q) j_{q}^{L}\left(j^{0}\right) \jmath^{2} f\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & c
\end{array}\right) \text {; } \tag{2.55}
\end{align*}
$$

where ! = $(2+1)=$ is a ferm ionic M atsubara frequency, and $f\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & c\end{array}\right)$ is the Ferm i distribution w ith respect to the LL index ( $c$ and should not be confused $w$ ith the intra- $L \mathrm{~L}$ index and the lling fraction).

Second, the renorm alization of the vertices, A, by the ladder series can be written as a m atrix $D$ yson equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { A ; } 00\left(q ; i!_{n}\right)={ }_{q}^{A}\left(j^{00}\right) \tag{2.56}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{k}$ has been de ned in equation (2, G reen's functions,

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{12}\left(i!_{n}\right) & =\frac{1}{}^{X} G_{0}\left({ }_{2} ; i!+i!_{n}\right) G_{0}\left({ }_{1} ; i!\right)  \tag{2.57}\\
& =\frac{f\left("_{2} \quad{ }_{c}\right) \quad f\left("_{1} \quad c\right)}{"_{2} \quad "_{1} \quad i!_{n}}: \tag{2.58}
\end{align*}
$$

Because the G reen's function is independent of the intra-LL index, it is convenient to de ne a purely inter-LL vertex, ${ }^{e}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{A} ; 00\left(q ; i!n_{n}\right)=q\left(j^{0}\right)^{e^{A}} 0(q ; i!n) \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that D yson's equation becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{\mathrm{A}} \underset{\mathrm{Z}}{\left(q ; i!{ }_{n}\right)=} \underset{q}{\mathrm{~A}}\left(j^{0}\right)  \tag{2.60}\\
& \frac{d^{2} k}{(2)^{2}} \nabla^{2}(k)_{k}^{L}\left(j_{1}\right)^{L}{ }_{k}\left(2 j^{0}\right) D_{12}\left(i!n_{n}\right) e^{i q^{\wedge} k} \sum_{B}^{2} e_{12}^{A}(q ; i!n):
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he phase factor is due to the $m$ agnetic translation com $m$ utator algebra| see the discussion im $m$ ediately follow ing equation (2.42 2 ).

The response functions are given in term $s$ of the renorm alized vertiges by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{i r r}{A B}\left(q ; i!{ }_{n}\right)=\quad 0^{X} \quad e_{12}^{A}\left(q ; i!_{n}\right) D_{12}\left(i!n_{n}\right)_{q}^{B}\left(2 j_{1}\right) ; \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0=1=2 \underset{B}{2}$ is the density of particles per LL, com ing from the trace over 's. The diagram atic structure is show $n$ schem atically in $g$.
$T$ he key to show ing that all response function containing ${ }^{R}$ vanish, i.e $\underset{i r r}{\mathrm{RR}}=\underset{\mathrm{irr}}{\mathrm{L} R}=$ $\underset{i r r}{\mathrm{R} L}=0$, is the $W$ ard identity for ${ }^{\mathrm{R}}$, which we now derive. $T$ he rst principles derivation follow s standard eld theoretic techniques $\underline{\underline{2}} \overline{1} 1]$. C onsider the exact vertex in real tim e:


Figure 2 2: D iagram atics of the conserving approxim ation. (a) The exchange self energy; (b) the ladder series renom alization of the vertioes, ; and (c) the response fiunctions in term s of .
$w$ here $T$ is the tim e ordering symbol. By taking the tim e derivative $@_{t}$ ofboth sides, using $@_{t}{ }_{q}^{A R}=0$, and then Fourier transform ing back to frequency space, we nd the exact $W$ ard identily
$H$ ere, $G$ is the exact $G$ reen's function, and i! on the right-hand side cancels identically, but is introduced for convenience. T he tw o term s are due to di erentiating the tim e ordering; physically, they are due to $c^{y}\left(t_{1}\right)$ and $c\left(t_{2}\right)$ acting as souroes in equation (2,62). For the particular HF and ladder series that we use here, we can verify the $W$ ard identity by substituting $G_{0}$ for the exact $G$ and plugging the whole expression into the right-hand side of the $D$ yson equation $(2-\overline{2} \overline{0})$. U pon using the de nition of $G_{0}$ from equation $(2,5 \overline{5})$, we nd that the ladder series satis es the $W$ ard identily.

Therefore our diagram atic schem e preserves the constraints, and we can be sure that the physical quantities that we calculate in this approxim ation will be consistent.

### 2.4 Response Functions

It is now straightforw ard to use the $W$ ard identity in the response functions, equation $(2,6])$, to verify that
and in fact for any correlator containing ${ }^{R}$. There is one proviso in this procedure, that is discussed in detail in reference [1] $\overline{1} 1$, , having to do w ith the constraints at $\mathrm{i}!_{\mathrm{n}}=0$. O ur procedure is only valid at non-zero frequencies because we divided the $W$ ard identity by $i!n$ to isolate ${ }^{R}$. A com plete proof requires m ore care, but we will not pursue this here. In any case, there is no problem w ith taking the lim it! ! 0, which requires only sm allbut non-zero frequencies.

It rem ains to calculate the physical density-density response, LL .To this end, we rst rew rite the vertex in a $m$ ore sym $m$ etric fashion by introducing the scattering $m$ atrix, , for the ladder series. A lthough the intemal $G$ reen's functions do not carry $m$ om entum, which com es in only through the vertices, we can nonetheless absorb som e of the $m$ om entum dependence into by taking advantage of translation invariance again. We de ne

The D yson equation for scattering, also known as the B ethe-Salpeter equation, takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{0}^{Z} \frac{d^{2} k}{(2)^{2}} \forall(k)_{k}^{L}\left(j_{2}\right)^{L}{ }_{k}\left({ }_{2}^{0} j^{0}\right) e^{i q^{\wedge} k_{B}^{2}} D_{0} \quad 0\left(i!n_{n}\right)^{e}{ }_{1}^{0} ; \quad 0\left(q ; i!n_{n}\right): \tag{2.66}
\end{align*}
$$

 and $\left(q ; i!{ }_{n}\right)$ are param eters. $T$ hen the problem reduces to inverting a $m$ atrix in the indices ( $\left.2 \begin{array}{c}0 \\ 2\end{array}\right)^{0}$.

T he form alstructure of thism atrix equation is elucidated by reducing it to the eigenvalue equation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X } \tag{2.67}
\end{align*}
$$

where the kemel is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}^{2} ; \quad 0\left(q ; i!{ }_{n}\right)=\quad 20_{2}^{0+} \frac{d^{2} k}{(2)^{2}} \forall(k) \underset{k}{L}\left(j_{2}\right)_{k}^{L}\left({ }_{2}^{0} j^{0}\right) e^{i q \wedge} k_{B}^{2} D \quad 0\left(i!{ }_{n}\right) \tag{2.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u$, $\mathcal{A}$ are the eigenvahes, eigenvectors. W e have obtained an exact zero eigenvahe solution of this equation at $i!{ }_{n}=0 . U$ sing the properties of the com $m$ utatator $\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathrm{L} \\ \mathrm{k}\end{array} \underset{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ ] (c.f.
equation (2. and ofD $0(2-50)$, we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R}_{1}{ }_{1}^{0} 0(q ; 0) & =\|{ }_{q}^{R}\left(j^{0}\right) \quad{ }_{q}^{R}\left(j^{0}\right) " 0 ;  \tag{2.69}\\
u_{1}{ }_{1}(q ; 0) & =0: \tag{2.70}
\end{align*}
$$

The sim ilarity of this solution to the $W$ ard identity $(\overline{2}-6.03)$ suggests that the existence of the scattering zero m ode is related to the vanishing of correlators containing ${ }^{\wedge R}$.

A nother advantage of the Bethe-Salpeter equation is that we can rew rite the response functions sym $m$ etrically,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{\text { irr }}{A B}\left(q ; i!{ }_{n}\right)=\int_{0}^{A B}\left(q ; i!{ }_{n}\right)+ \tag{2.71}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }_{0}^{A B}$ is the bare bubble

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{A B}\left(q ; i!{ }_{n}\right)=0_{0}^{X}{ }_{q}^{A}\left(j^{0}\right) D 0\left(i!{ }_{n}\right)^{B}{ }_{q}\left(0_{j}\right) \tag{2.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig. $2 \cdot \frac{2}{2}=1$ illustrates the sum $m$ ation.


Figure 2.3 : (a) Ladder series for the scattering $m$ atrix and (b) response functions in term $s$ of .

To obtain the $m$ om entum expansion of LL , consider the expansion of ${ }^{\mathrm{L}}$ from its de nition in eqn. (2,

The nst term, diagonal in ${ }^{0}$, cannot contribute to the response because the transition am plitude D 0 is purely an inter-LL operator. T he expanded response becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X } \\
& +{ }_{0}^{X}{ }_{1}^{0} \dot{\mathcal{M}} \dot{q}^{\wedge}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{i}{ }_{i}^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where is an overall constant.
To obtain irr through $O\left(q^{2}\right)$, we need only ${ }^{e}\left(0 ; i!{ }_{n}\right)$. At $q=0$, the B ethe-Salpeter equation is
$w$ here the interaction $m$ atrix elem ent is

$$
\left.\forall_{2}^{0} ;{ }_{2}^{0}{ }_{1}^{0}=\frac{d^{2} k}{(2)^{2}} \forall(k){\underset{k}{L}\left(1 j_{2}\right)^{L}{ }_{k}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{2.76}\\
2 \\
j
\end{array}\right): ~}_{0}^{0}\right):
$$

$R$ otation invariance at $q=0$ requires that the $m$ atrix elem ents vanish unless

$$
1+{\underset{2}{0}={ }_{1}^{0}+2: ~}_{1}
$$

N ow let us make two further restrictions that a ord an exact solution for ${ }^{e}$. First, we choose $\max =0$ as we did above to illustrate the exchange energy. Secondly, we work at zero tem perature where the Ferm i function is $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{V} \quad)=(\mathrm{max} \quad)$, restricting the D -am plitude to

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{0}\left(i!_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{i!!_{n}} \\
& D_{0}\left(i!_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{+i!_{n}} \tag{2.77}
\end{align*}
$$

A long w ith rotation invariance, these restrictions allow us to solve equation (2.75) for the scattering $m$ atrix (at $q=0$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{e}_{0 ; 0}=\frac{\theta_{0 ; 0}}{1+\theta_{0 ; 0} \mathrm{D}_{0}} \\
& \mathrm{e}_{0 ; 0}=\frac{\theta_{0 ; 0}}{1+\theta_{0 ; 0 \mathrm{D}}^{0}}: \tag{2.78}
\end{align*}
$$

The two channels above represent a particle in the 0 'th $L L$ propagating on one leg of the ladder diagram and a particle in the 'th LL on the other, and vige versa. T hese channels
do not $m$ ix in our exam ple. In fact, we will only need $=1$ for the lowest order term in
${ }^{L L}$ because of the vertices $h \dot{q}^{\wedge} \quad j 0_{i}$. At this point we nd a crucialidentity for $=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{01 ; 01}=V_{10 ; 10}=1 ; \tag{2.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is easily proven by com paring equations $(\underline{2}-\overline{7} \overline{6})$ and $(2,50)$. P lugging equations $(2,78)-$ (2.79) into equation $[2.75)$ for the response function, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& =0+0\left(\text { gh }^{4}\right) \tag{2.80}
\end{align*}
$$

(an overall factor has been left out). Thus, the low est order term in the density-density response is of order $\dot{\text { MंJ }}{ }^{4}$.

This is the m ain physical result that we wanted to reproduce w thin our com posite ferm ion fram ew ork. Its $m$ ain content is that the system is incom pressible, i.e. the com pressibility, , vanishes. T he connection of com pressibility to the density-density response is contained in the de nition ${ }_{[12}^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\lim _{q!0} \operatorname{LL}(q ; 0): \tag{2.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the irreducible diagram s that we have considered so far, $\mathrm{LL}=\underset{\operatorname{irr}}{\mathrm{LL}}$, so that $=0 . \mathrm{We}$ expect that the order of lim its is consistent w ith our calculation of the ladder series, which is a Taylor expansion around $q=0$ at nite frequency.

The full response function $L$ LI can be obtained from the irreducible part by a bubble


$$
\begin{equation*}
L L=\frac{\operatorname{LL}}{\operatorname{irr}} \underset{1+V_{(q)}^{\mathrm{LL}}}{\mathrm{irr}}: \tag{2.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a short range interaction, such as ours, this geom etric sum has no qualitative e ect, so
 contains general argum ents for the $m$ om entum dependence of the density response function for incom pressible liquids in the LLL, and is consistent w ith our result.

B efore we close this section and $m$ ove on to the e ective eld theory, the interaction-gap identity of equation ( $2-\overline{2} 9$ ) is worth a couple $m$ ore words. A slightly $m$ ore general case is
when max $>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta ;+1 ; ~ ;+1=V+1 ; ~ ;+1 ;="+1 \quad \text { " ; } \tag{2.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad \mathrm{max}$. W e expect that the com pressibility w ill vanish again, although we have not perform ed this calculation explicitly. This identity seem s to have an analog in the Ferm i liquid-like state of bosons at $=1$ [1] [1]. There, the system has a divergent com pressibility due to a xed Landau param eter, $F_{1}=1$, and $m$ is also com ing from an integral of the interaction below the Ferm i surface. In both cases, the identities lead to the correct com pressibility because there is no bare kinetic term due to the LLL projection.

The form of the left and right density response functions, suggest a physical interpretation of the vertices. To low est order in $q$, all response functions van ish, and we can take any linear com bination $\underset{q}{L} \quad x_{q}^{R}$ for the physical resp onse $w$ thout changing the result. Suppose we choose the weighted com bination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{q}{L}!\quad{ }_{q}^{L}+\frac{B_{R}}{B_{L}}{ }_{q}^{R}: \tag{2.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

In operator language the densities are ${ }_{q}^{A}=e^{i q} R_{A} . U$ sing the operatorm apping in equations (2-21 $\overline{1})$ and $(2 \overline{2} \overline{2})$, the $m$ om entum expansion of the new vertex leaves

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{q}{L} \quad!\quad \frac{B}{B_{L}}+\frac{1}{B_{L}} i q^{\wedge} K+O\left(q^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{B}{B_{L}}\left(1+q \quad \text { r) } \frac{1}{B_{L}} i q^{\wedge}+O\left(q^{2}\right):\right. \tag{2.85}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term is the rst order term of a plane $w$ ave for the com posite particle $w$ ith charge $q=B=B_{L}$ at position $r$, which is consistent $w$ the tw o-particle mapping of section $T$ he second term is interpreted as the dipole $m$ om ent. T he charge of the com posite does not show up in the response functions, but presum ably would com e out ifback ow corrections are included as in the work of Lopez and Fradkin $\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\right]$.

If the expansion is exponentiated, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{q}{L}!e^{i q} r \frac{B}{B_{L}} \quad{\underset{B}{B_{L}}}_{2}^{i q} \wedge \quad: \tag{2.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form agrees w the the wh of Shankar [1] $\overline{1} 1]$, which starts from a di erent approach using the $C$ hem-Sim ons theory at the outset. As the rst line of eqn. (22.85) show $s$, this
particular choige of $\mathrm{x} m$ akes the physical density a purely intra-LL operator. A s such, it is obvious that the density-density response vanishes to $O\left(q^{2}\right)$ in the ladder apporxim ation, since the vertioes contain only inter-4L transitions. Further, our weighted com bination agrees w th Read's [1] $\overline{1}]$ density for $=1$ where $B_{R}=B_{L}=1 . N$ onetheless, we stress that our derivation does not specify $x$ by itself.

### 2.5 E ective Theory

In this section we w ill show that the ladder series can be replaced w ith a dynam ic gauge eld, yielding an e ective theory much like that for bosons at $=1$. It is not a ChemSim ons eld theory, but the fam iliar relation of density to the curl of a gauge eld will appear.

In accordance w ith the previous section, the low energy physics of bosons at 1 is that of com posite ferm ions lling an integral number, $e==q$, of Landau levels. Let us take an ordinary ferm ion eld $C(x ; t)$ in a static $m$ agnetic eld $B=r \wedge A$ such that exactly e levels are lled, and couple it to a nondynam ic gauge eld a:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{e}=d t d^{2} x \frac{1}{2 m} j\left(\text { ir A a) }{ }^{2} j \quad \text { ě } C:\right. \tag{2.87}
\end{equation*}
$$


is tuned to lie between the upperm ost lled LL and the lowest empty one. $m$ is the only param eter in the theory and is obtained from the sm allest energy gap of the original problem as de ned in equation (2,52). The action of the theory is an action for a as well as for $\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{c}^{y}$, but in contrast to C hem-Sim ons theory, there is no kinetic term for a ; it is a \strongly coupled" gauge eld in the language of eld theory. There is also the term $\mathrm{a}_{0} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{c}$, how ever we will choose the tem poral gauge in which $a_{0}=0$ at nite frequency, so that its uctuations do not a ect the response.

The gauge sym $m$ etry of $H e$ is ordinary $U(1)$, whidh can be view ed as the long distance $\lim$ it of the global $U(\mathbb{N})_{R}$ sym $m$ etry of the right coordinates. $T$ he gauge invariant density of this $m$ odel, $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{c}$, is identi ed w ith the constraint ${ }^{\mathrm{R}}$, which xes

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}=- \text { : } \tag{2.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition is the long distance lim it of the full constraint that was constructed in Section ${ }^{2}-1 . \underline{1}$. . To obtain an expression for the physical density, consider the gauge invariant m om entum density before a is included,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r)=\frac{1}{2 i} c^{n} c^{y}(r \quad i A) c^{h}(r+i A) c^{i} c^{i} \quad: \tag{2.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he single-particle version is the operator of Section '1. Th is allow s us to rew rite the density suggestively. T he rst term in equation $\left(\overline{2}-\overline{-} \sigma_{1}\right)$, $q e^{i q} r$, is a plane wave for a charge ofm agnitude $q$; at tree level its expectation value can be replaced by ${ }^{-}$, which leaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-\quad q_{B}^{2} r^{\wedge} g ; \tag{2.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=B=B_{L}$. Sim ilarly, at tree level the gauge potential is related to the $m$ om entum density by $a=g=-$. Therefore the physical density becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-\quad \overline{2}^{\wedge}{ }^{\wedge} a ; \tag{2.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used $e==$. Equation $\left.[2-9]_{1}\right)$ is precisely the uctuation piece of the C hemSim ons equation (12), despite the absence of a kinetic term for a! It should be bome in $m$ ind, how ever, that we have im posed the particular linear combination ${ }^{L} \quad x^{R}$ with $x=B=B_{L}$, which is responsible for this appealing result; in principle, any coe cient of $r^{\wedge} a$ is obtainable in this way.

Let us now consider the correlation functions. The basic conductivities are ij $=$ $h g_{i} g_{j} i=m{ }^{2}$. Because of the O nsager relation $\quad x y=\quad y x$ and isotropy $x x=y y$, it is conven ient to use com plex coordinates $g=g_{x}+i g_{y}$ and $\bar{g}=g_{x} \quad$ igy so that the expectation values hggi and hg $\bar{g} i$ vanish. O ur aim is to com pare the susceptibility

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\mathrm{LL}}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{h} \quad(\mathrm{q}) \quad(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{i}={ }_{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{L}}}^{\mathbf{~}} \mathrm{hq}^{\wedge} \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{q}) \quad \mathrm{q}^{\wedge} \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{i}  \tag{2.92}\\
& =\quad{\stackrel{i}{B_{L}}}_{4}^{\frac{\dot{q} \dot{J}}{4}} \operatorname{hg}(q) \bar{g}(\quad q)+\bar{g}(q) g(\quad q) i
\end{align*}
$$

to the ladder series in the previous section. W e will show that within a random phase approxim ation (RPA), the responses are identical (at least to $O\left(q^{2}\right)$ ).

The RPA has been applied in the context of the quantum $H$ alle ect by several authors $\left[108,15 \overline{1}_{1}\right]$. It is a bubble sum for the gauge eld uctuations. The basic term $s$, show $n$ in $g$. $\overline{2} . \mathbf{H}_{1}$, consist of a diam agnetic and a bubble piece. T he gauge eld correlator is

(a)
nnn $=\sim \sim \sim \sim+$ $\sim \sim \sim \sim$ (b)

Figure 2.4: Bubble sum $m$ ation for the gauge eld propagator. (a) $T$ he shaded circle includes the diam agnetic coupling and the hgg $i_{0}$ bubble; (b) T he thick wavy line represents ha $\bar{a} i$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ha}(\mathrm{q}) \overline{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{q}) i=\quad \frac{-}{\mathrm{m}}+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \operatorname{hg}(\mathrm{q}) \overline{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{q}) \dot{\mathrm{i}}^{1} \text {; } \tag{2.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h g(q) \bar{g}(q) i$ is the bare bubble, which we can evaluate in the single particle basis:

The calculation of ha(q)a( $q$ ) $\dot{i}$ is analogous, but $w i t h ~ h \bar{g}(q) g(q) i$. The $m$ atrix ele-
 D o connects only states on opposite sides of the Ferm i surface, which restricts ; ${ }^{0}$ to $m a x$; $m a x+1$. The sum over 's gives the density per $L L, 1=2 \underset{B}{2}$, with the end result

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2 m}{ }_{2} h g(q) \bar{g}(q) i= & \frac{-}{m} D_{m a x ; m a x+1}\left(i!!_{n}\right)  \tag{2.95}\\
& \frac{-}{m} \frac{+i!n}{} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where one factor of $m$ has been replaced by $1={ }_{B}^{2}$.
N ow, the RPA $g$ $\bar{g}$ response consists of the bubble sum shown in $g$.


Figure 2.5 : L L as a bubble sum . The wavy line is the gauge eld propagator from equation $\left(\underline{2} . \overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. See also g.2.4.

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{hg}(q) \bar{g}(\mathrm{q}) i= & \mathrm{hg}(\mathrm{q}) / \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{q}) \dot{\sigma}  \tag{2.96}\\
& \quad 2 \mathrm{hg}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{q}) \dot{\mathrm{i}} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \text { ha }(\mathrm{q}) \overline{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{q}) \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \operatorname{hg}(\mathrm{q}) \overline{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{q}) \dot{\mathrm{q}}
\end{align*}
$$

 into the expression for LL , equation $\left[\overline{2}-\overline{9} 2^{\prime}\right)$, we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{LL}^{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q})=0+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{q}^{4}\right): \tag{2.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the sam e result as in the conserving approxim ation of the last section. T he structure of the RPA is such that, the gauge eld propagator replaces the scattering $m$ atrix , and the tw o channels ${ }^{e}{ }_{01 ; 01},{ }^{e} 10 ; 10$ corresp ond to hā̄i, hāai. D iagram atically, the second bubble term in Fig. 12.5 is exactly the ladder sum in $F$ ig.

A nother way to test the e ective theory is by integrating out the ferm ions. Since there are ordinary ferm ions lling e Landau levels, we expect a Chem-Sim ons term in the e ective action for a.

T he RPA prescription in $F$ ig. '2. A' im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L[a]={\frac{1}{2 m^{2}}}_{i ; j}^{X} a_{i}{h g_{i} g_{j} i_{0} a_{j}+\frac{-}{2 m}_{i}^{X} a_{i} a_{i} ;}^{2 m} \tag{2.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

The diam agnetic piece com bines w ith the bare bubble am plitude in equation (2.95) to give $\frac{-}{m} \frac{!_{n}^{2}}{2+!!_{n}^{2}} a_{i} a_{i}$. In the lim it! ! 0 , this diagonal term vanishes. On the other hand, the cross term is proportional to $!n$ in the sam e lim it, leaving

$$
L[a]=\frac{e}{4}{ }^{i j} a_{i}!{ }_{n} a_{j} ;
$$

where ij is the Levi-C ivita symbol. Since the original problem was gauge invariant, the com plete Lagrangian $m$ ust contain the scalar potential $a_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L[a]=\frac{e}{4} i \quad a @ a ; \tag{2.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ; ; $=t$; x;y and $Q \quad i!_{n} . T h i s$ form is correct to leading order in $q$, ! and show $s$ the correct H all conductivity of the com posite ferm ions.

## Chapter 3

## Low est Landau Level II: C om posite Bosons

In the previous chapter, we considered com posite ferm ions. In this one, we will consider com posite bosons. In the form er case, we derived an e ective theory from rst principles. H ow ever, this is not possible form ore than one attached vortex and we follow a phenom enological approach instead. The $m$ ain feature that we nd is the magnetoroton excitation, which was predicted and analyzed by several authors [ physical picture of this excitation.

### 3.1 Form alism

In this section we generalize the $H$ aldaneP asquier form alism by considering $p$ ob jects attached to the underlying particle. T he physical picture that will em erge is sim ilar, w ith the com posite particle being a bound state of $p$ vortioes and one particle. W e specialize to $=1=\mathrm{p}$ so that each vortex carries charge $1=\mathrm{p}$ to m aintain neutrally and the m agnetic lengths are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{B_{R}}{2}}_{2_{B_{L}}}^{2}: \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3.1.a Fock Space

For fractional llings the number of vortices in the com posite particle is $p$. T he $m$ atter operators are now $p+1$ rank tensors $G_{m} ; n_{1} p m W$ th

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \mathrm{n}_{1} \quad \mathrm{p} \dot{\mathrm{n} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}^{0}}^{\mathrm{y}}} \quad \underset{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{m}^{0}}{0}\right]=\mathrm{mm}^{0} \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{1}^{0} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}^{0} \tag{3,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ runs from 1 to $N$ and the $n$ 's from 1 to $N$. The left (physical) density is obtained by tracing over all the right indiaes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{mm}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\underset{\mathrm{n}_{1}}{\mathrm{X}} \underset{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{C}_{1}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \mathrm{n}_{1} \quad \mathrm{p} \mathrm{n} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, there are now p right densities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{m n^{0}=}{R_{a} ; n_{j} ; \hat{n}_{a}} \quad C_{n_{1}}^{y} \quad i \operatorname{nnn}_{i+1} \quad p ; m C_{m} ; n_{1} \quad i n^{0} n_{i+1} \quad p \dot{r_{i}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=1 ;::: ; p$ and $\hat{n}_{a}$ im plies that there is no sum over $n_{a}$. Sim ilarly, there are p copies
 right indiges. A straightforw ard extension of the $p=1$ case (eqn. (23)) show that the basis is
where there are N G reek indioes of the type
. The Levi-C ivita symbols and antioom $m$ utation relations of the $C^{\prime}$ 's ensure sym $m$ etry under the interchange of any pair of physical indices $m_{i} \$ m_{j}$, and we are left with a bosonic Fock space of $N$ particles in $N$ orbitals. A gain, had we started w ith bosonic c's, we w ould have ended up w ith a Fock space of com posite Ferm ions.

## 3.1 b P hysical O perators and C onstraints

The eld operator hasp right coordinates i,

It is convenient to change the vortex coordinates to the so-called \center-ofm ass" (or Jacobi) coordinates, which have been used in few boody problem s in the context of atom ic
physics [5] $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1\end{array}\right]$. This reduces the p-com plex to one center-ofm ass coordinate $\mathrm{an}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{l}_{1}+\right.$

$$
p) \text { and } p \quad 1 \text { relative coordinates }(=1 ; \quad ; p \quad 1) \text {, which are linear com binations }
$$ of the ${ }_{i}$. W e w ill denote the linear transform ation by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=R_{i j} ; \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=0 ;::: ; \mathrm{p} 1$ and $=0$ stands for am . Generally, G reek indiges w ill be used for the 's and Latin indioes for the 's.

O ne of the nice properties of Jacobi coordinates is that ${ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{R}$ is orthogonal:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.j a m f+j_{1} f+\quad p 1\right\}=\frac{1}{p}\left(j_{1}\right\}+\quad p \text { fel }\right) j \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the special where each vortex carries charge $1=\mathrm{p}(\quad=1=p)$, we can use this property to set the $m$ agnetic length of each to ${\underset{B_{\mathrm{L}}}{2}}_{{\underset{B}{R}}^{R}}^{B_{R}}=\mathrm{p}$. The utility of this transform ation is that all coordinates now have only one $m$ agnetic length, ${ }_{B_{L}}$.

The particular way in which Jacobi coordinates are constructed is well-illustrated by two special cases, $p=2$ and $p=3$, both of which we will utilize below. For two vortices there is only one relative coordinate, so the Jacobisystem is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{cm} & =\frac{1}{2}(1+2) \\
1 & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The norm alizations are chosen so as to preserve the norm alization in eqn. (3). the $1=2$ factor in 1 is the reduced $\backslash m$ ass", $m$ ( $w$ ith $1=m=1=m_{1}+1=m_{2}$ ) of the $t w o$ vortioes| each vortex is taken to have unit $\backslash m$ ass" ( $m_{i}=1$ ).

For $p=3$, the coordinates are arranged so that 1 is a vector from 1 to 2 , and 2 connects 3 to the center ofm ass of 1 and 2 . Each is nom alized by the square root of the reduced $m$ ass of the two ob jects which it connects. Fig. in in illustrates th is construction. The particular linear com binations are


F igure 3.1: The com posite w th three vortices attached. T he underlying particle is at z w ith charge +1 , and the vortices are at $i$ w ith charge $1=3$. The (unnorm alized) Jacobivectors are dotted lines. $z$ and the center ofm ass of the vortioes, cm , are connected by ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}$, which wewill later interpret as a dipole $m$ om ent. Since the com posite is neutral, it drifts $w$ th $m$ om entum $k$.
$T$ here is a certain degree of freedom inherent in assigning the . For instance, we could have chosen 1 to connect 1 to 3 , and 2 to connect 2 to the center of $m$ ass of $1 ; 2$. H ow ever, each choice obeys the norm alization condition in eqn . (3.3). V iew ed classically, $j \mathrm{~cm} \mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{J}}$ is a constant in the absence of extemal forces, so the norm alization im plies that
 other by a member of SO (p 1). A though this is a fundam ental and generally usefiul property of the coordinate system, we will not use it in this thesis, but point it out for com pleteness. The $m$ ost im portant property for us is that eqn. [3.8) scales the in such a $w$ ay that all coordinates have the sam em agnetic length.

For higher values ofp, the Jacobicoordinates can be constructed recursively by grouping the vortioes into pairs and connecting the centers of $m$ ass, and then repeating the process $w$ th the centers ofm ass. T he details of the general procedure are described elsew here [1] $\overline{\underline{9}}]$; how ever, here we only need the $p=2 ; 3$ cases.

N ow, the com bination of the particle at $z$ and the center of $m$ ass of the vortices at am is just like a particle and vortex of equal but opposite charge, which can be treated by the
noncom $m$ utative Fourier transform (A ppendix). The transform ed eld operators becom e

The -functions im plem ent the Jacobi coordinate transform ation of eqn. ${ }^{0}=R_{i}{ }_{i}{ }_{i}$. Since the them selves are coordinates with a magnetic length ${ }_{B_{L}}$, the eld can be cast into the com plem entary \spin" basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{k}\left(f^{-} g\right)={ }^{X} G_{k_{1}} \overline{p^{u_{1}(1)}} \overline{\left.L_{p 11} u_{p 1}\right)}: \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantum numbers are nonnegative integers. They are angular $m$ om enta of the relative coordinates, but we will refer to them sim ply as \spin". In th is chapter we consider spinless ferm ions and bosons so there should be no chance for confiusion.

The left density is integrated over all right coordinates and has the sam emom entum structure as in the $p=1$ case:

The right densities are $m$ ore com plicated,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{q}{R_{i}}= \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

N otice the $m$ agnetic translation in $\quad$ an $\quad$, which is the vector from the center-ofm ass to the i'th vortex.

Finally, the $H$ am iltonian looks just like the unequally charged case, eqn. $\left(\underline{2}=\overline{4} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, in the previous chapter,

A gain, only \left" operators appear since $H$ is a physical quantity and $\underset{q}{R_{i}}$ are constants of the $m$ otion.

## 3.1.c $R$ em arks on $M$ any $P$ article $W$ avefunctions

In this section, we augm ent the physical picture of the com posite ferm ions and bosons by outlining the $m$ any-body wavefunctions that they can describe. In particular, we recover Laughlin's function at $=1=p$ [ [4] .

Consider rst N bosons at $=1=\mathrm{p}$. There are N particle coordinates $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and pN vortex
 that the $-_{s ; i}$ dependence of the wavefunction be that of a full Landau level for each $s\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\bar{q} \bar{q}] \text {, }, \text {, }}\end{array}\right.$

The last factor is the product of p Laughlin-Jastrow factors (the G aussian factors have been left o ). A nother way of writing it is the product of $p$ Slater determ inants of the $m$ atrioes $m{ }_{i j}^{s}=f u_{j}^{R}\left(r_{s ; i}\right) g$. Each determ inant is a Vanderm onde determ inant and is the unique totally antisym $m$ etric wavefuction annihilated by the corresponding constraint.

T he sim plest ground state of com posite bosons at zero tem perature is the single-particle condensate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{P}=\underset{\mathrm{k} ; 0}{\mathrm{Y}} \quad ; 0: \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In coordinate space this can be rew ritten suggestively as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h} 0\left(z_{i}^{-} ;:: ;_{\mathrm{p}}^{-}\right) \mathrm{i}={ }_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \sim_{\left(z_{s}\right)}^{-} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here ${ }^{e}$ is the delta function $w$ th the $m$ agnetic length of the vortices, $\dot{B}_{R}$. This puts the vortioes on top of the particle, as one w ould expect from the low est state due to electrostatic attraction. Projection onto the physical basis gives

U sing the condensate $w$ avefiunction $\left(3 \mathrm{~B}_{2}^{2} \overline{1}\right), \mathrm{f}$ is the product of p identical factors,

Each factor is a Slater determ inant of the $m$ atrix $m_{i j}=f u_{i}^{R}\left(z_{j}\right) g_{\text {, }}$ which is the sam e as a $V$ anderm onde determ inant of the $m$ atrix $v_{i j}=z_{j}^{i}$ tim es an overall factor. $T$ he end result is exactly the Laughlin state at $=1=\mathrm{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{p}\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N}\right)={\underset{i<j}{Y}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right)^{p} e_{i}^{Y} e^{\dot{z}_{i} f^{2}=4 M_{B_{L}}^{2}}:}_{i} \tag{3,20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we reinterpret the Laughlin state as a com posite boson condensate.
$H$ ow ever, there is a slight surprise if $f_{p}$ is the unique characteristic of a Laughlin state. It tums out that the condensate is not required to carry the spin $=0$; any linear combination of the intemal states fu ${ }^{L}$ ( ) $g$ w ill pro ject onto the sam $e f_{p}$. In other words, the simple condensate in eqn. $(3$
where $u$ is a suitably norm alized anti-analytic function of the ${ }^{-}$. In term $s$ of com posite bosons, the Laughlin state is in nitely degenerate (for allp 1). W ewill com e back to this question in Section the ground state.

D espite the in nitely degenerate ground state, the fundam ental quasinoles can be rep-
 ${ }^{P}{ }_{m} u_{m+1}^{L}(z) \overline{u_{m}^{R}(s)}$, moving the particle at $z$ radially from the origin by one angular $m o-$ $m$ entum unit. Thee ect on $f_{p}$ is an overall factor of ${ }^{Q}{ }_{i} z_{i}$, which is the form of the quasinole w avefunction given by Laughlin [ill $]$. This can be seen by writing one of the factors in eqn. (3.19) as a Slater determ inant; the shifts $u_{m}^{L}\left(z_{i}\right)!u_{m+1}^{L}\left(z_{i}\right)$ are equivalent to $m$ ultiolying every colum $n$ iby $z_{i}$ and rescaling every row $m$ by an overall num erical factor (due to the norm alization of $u_{m}$ ), which only changes the determ inant by an overall constant. Q uasiholes can be m oved around by applying $m$ agnetic translations ${ }^{\wedge}$, which are described in the A ppendix.

### 3.2 Energy Functional

Section $\overline{12} .1$ explained how the Laughlin wavefunction is a condensate of com posite bosons. The salient feature was the degeneracy of the ground state. Let us rephrase this in term s
of the H am iltonian ( $(3.15)$, which is a function of only the left density. $\sim$ is

In eqn. $(3-\overline{2} \overline{1})$ of the previous section, we pointed out that the condensate is in nitely degenerate because the Laughlin state does not depend on the wavefunction of the intemal vortex coordinates. In other words, the expectation value of the H am iltonian is una ected by the intemal \spin" state as long as the condensate is hor $\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{p}$ i $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{k} ; 0$, whidh is enough to give $h \underset{k}{\sim} i=-$ Unlike the com posite ferm ion case in $C$ hapter, eld ground state to expand around. This degeneracy should disappear once the constraints are im posed.

H ere we will follow an altemate route which allow s us to include the constraints at the outset. W e w ill construct a phenom enological Lagrangian that respects all the sym $m$ etries and include the constraints as Lagrange $m$ ultipliers. T he resulting Landau-G in zburg theory can then be system atically expanded about a unique $m$ ean eld solution.

T he sim plest rotationally invariant contribution to the Lagrangian consists of a $m$ om entum and a spin piece

$$
L_{M}+L_{J}=\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X}  \tag{3,23}\\
\mathrm{k} ;
\end{gather*} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{k} \jmath^{2}+\frac{J}{2} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{1} \quad \mathrm{p} 1 ;
$$

where M ; J are constants and $=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{I}_{1}^{1}$. W em ay guess that the full Lagrangian should be
 term that im poses the constraints. H ow ever, this expression is not gauge invariant. L m ust be invariant under the sym $m$ etries of $c$, which preserve the com $m$ utators of eqn . (3̄2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \geqslant U_{L} c{ }_{i=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{p}}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}} \text {; } \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{i}}}$ is a untary m atrix acting on the i'th right index and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L}}$ acts on the left index of them atrix $C_{m} ; n_{1} \quad{ }_{p}{ }_{n} W$ ew ill nd that in order to preserve these sym $m$ etries, it is necessary to introduce $p$ gauge potentials that act on the right indices. The bare term $L_{M}+L_{J} w i l l$
acquire the vector components $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{i}}, \quad=\mathrm{x} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { y }} \mathrm{y}$, and the Lagrange multipliers, ${ }^{i}$, willplay the role of the scalar potential $a_{0}^{i}$. Since the $m$ ass and spin term $s$ are decoupled in $L_{M}+L_{J}$, we w ill consider their gauge invariant form s separately in the follow ing tw o subsections.

## 3.2.a M ass Term

To w rite the $m$ ass term in coordinate space we need the transform of $i k$, which is the analog of $r$ in ordinary space. To this end, de ne the LLL coordinate operators, $Z$ and $\bar{Z}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{z} \quad\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i} m}\right) \text { and } \overline{\mathrm{Z}}=\left(\bar{z}_{\mathrm{cm}}\right)_{\mathrm{cm}}^{-} ; \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are adjoints of each other, $Z^{y}=\bar{Z}$. In $C$ artesian coordinates $Z=Z_{x}+i Z_{y}$ and $Z^{y}=Z$. It is straightforw ard to verify that the $\hat{k}_{k}$ are eigenoperators of $Z ; \bar{Z}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathrm{Z} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}]=\quad \mathrm{ik} \hat{\mathrm{k}} \text { and } \frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{Z}} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{i}}=\overline{\mathrm{i}} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the comm utator is de ned in eqn. $1-\overline{1}(4)$. A s usual, $k=k+i k_{y}$ and $\bar{k}=k_{x} \quad i k_{y}$, so that $\quad\left[Z ; \hat{k}_{k}\right]=k \hat{k}_{k}$. Therefore, $\quad i \quad Z \quad$ is the analog of the ordinary derivative operator @ when acting on $\wedge$. To see how Z acts on the matter eld, we need to consider the Fock space operators in m ore detail.

In general, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \mathrm{n}_{1} ;::: \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}$ can be transform ed on the left by somematrix $\mathrm{Mm}_{\mathrm{m}} 0$ or on the right by som e m atrix $n_{i}^{0} n_{i}$ that acts on the $i$ 'th right index. In coordinate space, $M=$ $M\left(z ; \bar{z}^{0}\right)$ and $\left.=i\left(\begin{array}{c}0 \\ i\end{array}\right]_{i}\right)$. W e will continue to use the operator, but its $m$ eaning $m$ ust be clari ed when acting on the right coordinates since there are p of them. W e de ne
$T$ he index on the operator will alw ays indicate the coordinate on which it acts, rendering this notation unam biguous. A $n$ exam ple is c $Z$, where $Z$ acts on the center ofm ass coordinate:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (c } Z)\left(Z \overline{\boldsymbol{i}}_{1} ;::: ; ;_{p}^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{p}_{Z}^{Z} d^{2}{ }_{1}^{0} c\left(z ;-{ }_{1}^{0} ;::: ;{ }_{p}\right)_{1}^{0 e}\left({ }_{1}^{0} ;-{ }_{1}\right)+ \\
& +\frac{1}{p} d^{2}{ }_{p}^{0} C\left(z ;-_{1} ;::: i_{p}^{-0}\right){ }_{p}^{0 e}\left({ }_{p}^{0} ;-{ }_{p}\right) \tag{329}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us de ne the coordinate operator from the right, $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{i}}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{i}=i_{i}^{e}\left(i ;-{ }_{i}^{0}\right) \text { and } \bar{v}^{i}=e\left(i ; i_{i}^{0}\right)_{i}^{0} ; \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { c } \quad z=\frac{1}{p}_{i}^{x} \text { c } \quad \dot{z}: \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he $C$ artesian com ponents of the $v^{\prime} s$ are $H$ em itian, $v^{i y}=v^{i}$. A cting on the left, $z \quad c$ is the sam e as before,

$$
\text { c) } \begin{align*}
&\left(\bar{z}_{1} ;::: ;_{p}^{-}\right)= z^{z} \\
& d^{2} z^{0} z\left(z ; \bar{z}^{0}\right) c\left(z^{0} ;-{ }_{1} ;::: ;_{p}^{-}\right) \\
& z c\left(\bar{z}_{1} ;::: ;_{p}^{-}\right): \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

 de nition of the \derivative" operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\bar{@}_{C}=\frac{1}{2}[Z ; c] \quad \text { and } \quad @ c=\frac{1}{2} \bar{Z} ; c\right]: \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e translate this de nition into C artesian coordinates by using the conventional relations $@=\left(@_{\mathrm{x}} \quad i @_{\mathrm{g}}\right)=2$ and $\bar{@}=\left(@_{\mathrm{x}}+i @_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=2$,
@ c= i [Z ;c];
where is the Levi-C ivita sym bol. The non-com mutative Fourier transform of @ c is
ik c. Further like ordinary derivatives, @ obeys the Leibnitz property @ (a b) = @a b+ a @b, which follow s easily from the Jacobi identity $\mathbb{A} ; \mathbb{B} ; C]]+[C ; \mathbb{A} ; B]]+\mathbb{B} ;[\mathbb{C} ; \mathbb{A}]]=0$. $T$ he unitary sym $m$ etry in eqn. (325) requires that the derivative operators are covariant. The ini nitesm al version of the transform ation on the right is obtained from the product of expanding each $U_{R_{i}}=1+i \quad i$,

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
c & y & c+i c & \\
c^{y} & y & c^{y} & \bar{i} & \text { c } ; \tag{3.35}
\end{array}
$$

where is a Herm itian operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
={ }_{i}^{x} \quad\left({ }_{i}^{0} ;-{ }_{i}\right) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its conjugate is ${ }^{-}={ }^{P}{ }_{i} \quad i\left(i ;_{i}^{-}\right)$. A standard procedure from eld theory can be used to $m$ ake @ c covariant [ 6 [0] $]$. Since $Z \quad c$ is already covariant under right transform ations, let
us consider each term in $c \quad Z$ separately, which are of the form $C \quad \dot{v} . F$ irst, we introduce a gauge potential $\left.a^{i}\left({ }_{i}{ }_{i}\right]_{i}\right)$ which transform $s$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{i} 7 a^{i} \quad @ \quad i+i\left[a^{i} ; i\right]: \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative of $i$ is constructed like @ $C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ \quad i=i \quad\left[v^{i} ; i\right]: \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the com bination $c \quad\left(v^{i}+a^{i}\right)$ transform $s$ according to the rules in eqns. $\left(\overline{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3}\right)$ and (3-37) :

$$
\begin{align*}
C \quad\left(v^{i}+a^{i}\right) & 7(c+i c \quad i) \quad\left(v^{i}+a^{i}+i \quad\left[v^{i} ; i\right]+i\left[a^{i} ; i\right]\right) \\
& =c \quad\left(v^{i}+a^{i}\right)+i c \quad\left(v^{i}+a^{i}\right) \quad i+O\binom{2}{i} \tag{3.39}
\end{align*}
$$

show ing that it is covariant.
The total contribution of the gauge potentials to $Z=\frac{1}{p}^{P}{ }_{i} v^{i}$ is just the sum of the individual gauge potentials, which we term $a^{a m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{a m}=\frac{1}{p}_{i}^{X} a^{i}: \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he covariant derivative becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
D \quad c & =@ c i c c^{\mathrm{m}} \\
(\mathrm{D} \mathrm{C})^{\mathrm{y}} & =@ c^{\mathrm{y}}+i a^{\mathrm{cm}} \mathrm{c}: \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

These derivatives obey $\mathbb{D} ; D]=i G$, where $G \quad=@ a^{a m} @ a^{a m} i\left[a^{m} ; a^{a m}\right]$ is the eld strength $\left[\overline{6}^{-1} \bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. By analogy to standard non-A belian gauge theory, we w ill choose the transverse gauge for each $a^{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ a^{i}=0: \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

 form, the gauge condition is exactly $q \quad \stackrel{i}{q}=0$.

The fiully covariant $m$ ass term can now be w ritten in coordinate space as

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{M} \quad!\quad \frac{1}{2 M} \operatorname{Tr}{ }^{h}(D \quad C)^{y} \quad D c^{i} \\
& =\quad \frac{1}{2 M} \operatorname{Tr} @ c^{y}+i a^{a m} \quad k \quad @ c \quad i c \text { an : } \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

At $m$ ean eld, the saddle point of the full Lagrangian

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{ha}^{\mathrm{am}} \mathrm{i} & =0 \\
\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{i}} & =\mathrm{P}={ }_{\mathrm{k} ; 0}^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad ; 0: \tag{3.44}
\end{align*}
$$

A fter quadratically expanding $L_{M}$ about the saddle point, we nd that the term s linear in $a^{a m}$ are proportional to @ $a^{c m}$, which vanishes by the gauge choioe. Thequadratic term in $a^{a m}$ decouples from the $m$ atter uctuations, and we are left $w$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{M}=\frac{1}{2 M} \operatorname{Tr} @ c^{y} \quad @ c+-a^{a m} \quad a^{m} \quad: \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section, we will nd a sim ilar decoupling of the $m$ atter and gauge uctuations in the spin term. Even if the ordinary kinetic energy $\mathrm{k}^{2}=2 \mathrm{M}$ is generalized to an arbitrary polynom ial in $k$, the sam e decoupling would hold at the $G$ aussian level. Gauge elds will be felt, how ever, as Lagrange $m$ ultipliers in the constraint term $s$.

## 3.2 b Spin Term

Tuming now to the spin term, we will suppress the left index in this subsection.
The preceeding subsection de ned the operators $v^{i}=i_{i}^{e}\left(\begin{array}{l}i\end{array}{ }_{i}^{-0}\right)$, whose sum was related to the center ofm ass coordinate operator. For the relative coordinates, the naturalbasis is
where $R$ is the Jacobitransform ation $={ }^{P}{ }_{j} R \quad ; j$ that was constructed in Section $T$ he i prefactor ensures that, in C artesian coordinates, $w$ is related to $\mathrm{V}^{j}$. This also has the e ect of $m$ aking the $w$ antiH erm itian, $w=w, b e c a u s e v^{j}$ is $H$ erm itian.

In the single particle basis $u^{L}(), w$ and $\bar{W}$ act like $\quad={ }^{P} \overline{2}_{B_{L}}$ and ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{P}{=} \overline{2}$ ) , respectively $\mid$ see eqn. (2,id) and the im $m$ ediatly follow ing discussion. Therefore, the quadratic form $\overline{\mathrm{W}} \quad \mathrm{w}$ is exactly $=2$, and the spin term can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{J}=J^{X} \operatorname{Tr} \bar{W} \quad \text { ह } c \quad W  \tag{3.47}\\
& =J^{X} \operatorname{Tr} w \quad{ }^{X} \quad c \quad \omega \quad \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

C yclicity of the trace w as used to separate $w \bar{w}$ in the rst line．By changing to $C$ artesian coordinates in the second line，we ignore a constant term in the energy．This contribution is from the commutator $[\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{w}]=2$ to $\mathrm{w} \quad \mathrm{w}=(\mathrm{w} \quad \overline{\mathrm{w}}+\overline{\mathrm{w}} \quad \mathrm{w})=2$ ．

Just as the＠＇s were not covariant in the last subsection，the w are not，either．Recall from that discussion that each $c \quad v^{i}$ is $m$ ade covariant by $v^{i} \eta \quad v^{i}+a^{i} . W$ e thus introduce p 1 gauge potentials a ，

$$
\begin{equation*}
a={ }_{i}^{X} R_{; i} a^{i} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the covariant operators $W$ ，

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { C } W=C \quad W+C \quad a \\
& \text { W } \mathrm{y} \nLeftarrow=\mathrm{w} \quad \forall+\mathrm{a} \nLeftarrow: \tag{3.49}
\end{align*}
$$

As in the previous section，we work in the C artesian basis $=x ; y$ with $W_{x}=(\mathbb{W}+\bar{W})=2$ and $W_{y}=(W \quad \bar{W})=2 i . T$ he correct spin term is now

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{J}!\quad \mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{X}} \operatorname{Tr} W \quad \mathrm{y} \text { そ } \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{~W} \\
& =J^{X} \operatorname{Tr} w \text { そ } a \notin c \quad w+c \quad a \quad \text { : } \tag{3.50}
\end{align*}
$$

The part linear in $a$ is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { JTré } \quad c \quad[w ; a]: \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com m utator is a sum of term $s$ of the form $\left[v^{i} ; a^{i}\right]$ id $a^{i}$ because $\left[v^{i} ; a^{j}\right]=0$ unless $i=j$ ．A ccording to the transverse gauge choige，all term $s$ in eqn．（3． 3 ． 11 ）van ish．In fact we can prove a m ore general statem ent for a polynom ial spin dispersion．A ny term in the energy of the form ${ }^{n}$ can be w rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{n} c_{1}^{y} \quad p_{1} C_{1} \quad p \quad 1=\operatorname{Tr}^{y} \quad c \quad\left(W^{y} \quad W \quad\right)^{n}: \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linear piece in a alw ays looks like $[\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{5} 1)$ and vanishes in the transverse gauge．For our purposes，we w ill stick w ith the sim plest non－trivial exam ple，J ．

T he saddle point is

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { ha i } & =0 \\
\text { hc }_{1} p_{1} i & =\quad ; 0 \tag{3.53}
\end{align*}
$$

w here the left coordinates are suppressed. Q uadratic expansion about the saddle point gives the perturbation of $L_{J}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{J}=\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{X}} \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{w} \text { ह } \mathrm{c} \mathrm{w}^{+}-\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{a}: \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term is also proportional to ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ itr $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{i}}$ à because ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{p}} R$ is orthogonal. It rem ains to treat the constraints gauge invariantly, the sub ject of the next section.

### 3.3 C onstraints

W ew illtreat the constraints by including p Lagrangem ultipliers, ${ }^{i}\left({ }_{i} ;{ }_{i}{ }^{-0}\right)$.The Lagrangian then includes the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\text {constr }}=i_{i=1}^{X^{p}} \operatorname{Tr}{ }^{i} \quad R_{i}: \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $R_{i}$ were constructed in eqn. $[\overline{3} \cdot \overline{15})$. G auge invariance under the transform ation in eqn. (3"-35) requires that

$$
{ }^{i} \eta \quad{ }^{i}+i\left[i ;{ }^{i}\right]:
$$

$T$ he saddle point for ${ }^{i}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{i}\left(i ; i_{i}^{0}\right) i=e\left(i ;-i_{i}^{0}\right) \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $h{ }_{q}^{i} i=q ; 0$ in $m$ om entum space. Expansion of the uctuations is analytically feasible if the ${ }_{q}^{R_{i}}$ are expanded in powers of $q$. It can be done exactly for $p=2$, but we w ill also consider $p=3$ to $n$ ite order.

At $p=2$ there is only one relative coordinate, $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2\end{array}\right)=2$. T he saddle point is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{hc}_{\mathrm{q}}(\overline{( }) i & =\mathrm{P}={ }_{\mathrm{q} ; 0} \overline{\mathrm{u}_{0}^{\mathrm{L}()}} \\
\mathrm{h}{ }_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{i} & =\mathrm{q} ; 0 \tag{3.57}
\end{align*}
$$

The displacem ent of the vortiaes from the center ofm ass was am $1=$ and $\mathrm{cm} \quad 2=$

(3]. $\overline{1}-15)$, and expanding in powers of $c$ about the saddle point we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\quad \overline{2} d^{2} d_{q}() e^{\left.\left.\frac{1}{4} j\right\}+\frac{i}{2} q^{-} \frac{1}{4} \dot{q}\right\}^{2}} \text {; } \tag{3.58}
\end{align*}
$$

where c is the uctuation about hci and $\quad \mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{R} \quad-.{ }_{B_{\mathrm{L}}}^{8}$ is set to one above, and in what follow $s$. If $w e m$ ake use of the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} e^{\left.\frac{1}{4} j\right\} \frac{i}{2} \bar{q} \frac{1}{4} \text { jq} \mathcal{T}}=\left(; \text { iq) } \sum_{=0}^{x^{1}} u(\overline{) u(i q)} ;\right. \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{q}^{R_{1}}={ }^{p} \bar{p}^{X} \quad C_{q} u(\overline{\overline{I q}})+{ }_{c}{ }_{q} u(i q) ; \\
& { }_{q}^{R_{2}}={ }^{p} \bar{p}^{X} \quad C_{q} u(i \bar{q})+c_{q}{ }_{q} u \text { (iq) : } \tag{3.60}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is an explicit expansion in pow ers of $q$ since $u$ (q) q (aside from an overall $G$ aussian factor). The superscript $L$ has been dropped from $u$ as we are setting ${ }_{B_{L}}=1$.
$M$ oving on to $p=3$, there are two relative coordinates, $1 ; 2$ that are given by eqn. (3) Them atter eld is $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}\left(\overline{( }_{1} ;_{2}\right)$ w ith expectation value

$$
\left.\mathrm{hc}_{\mathrm{q}} \overline{l_{1} ;}{ }_{2}\right) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{P}={ }_{\mathrm{q} ; 0} \overline{\mathrm{u}_{0}(1)} \overline{u_{0}(2)}:
$$

A $s$ in the $p=2$ case, we obtain $a n \quad i$ in term sof the Jacobicoordinates from eqn. (3iñon), put the result into the de nition of ${ }^{R_{i}}$ in eqn. in powers of c . For exam ple, $\mathrm{am} \quad 1=\mathrm{q}_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \mathrm{q}^{\frac{1}{2}} 2$, which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{Z}=
\end{aligned}
$$

 and we obtain in spin space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.p_{p} X^{X^{2}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{q}_{1} 2_{2} u_{1}(\text { iq }}^{\mathrm{q}} \overline{3=2}\right) \mathrm{u}_{2}(\text { iq } \overline{1=2} \text { ) ; }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.4 D ensity $R$ esponse and the $M$ agnetoroton

T he results of the last three sections add into the uctuations of the Lagrangian density,

$$
L=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
(\mathrm{C} & \text { @c) } & \mathrm{Im} \quad \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{p}}  \tag{3.62}\\
\mathrm{i}=1
\end{array} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{i}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{R}} ;\right.
$$

where the rst term is the usualBerry phase, being com plex tim $e$, and the other pieces are given by eqns. (3.45), $(3-54)$, and $(3,5 \overline{5})$.

W e can drop the uctuations in the vector potentials, $a^{a m}$ and $a^{i}$ since they are decoupled and $w$ ill not a ect the physical quantities. Then in $m$ om entum space, $L_{J}+I_{M}$ becom e

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{M}+L_{J}={ }^{X} E_{k} ; C_{k}^{y} \quad p_{1} \quad Q_{k} \quad p_{1} ; \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} ;} & ="_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{J} \\
"_{\mathrm{k}} & =\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{M}} \\
\mathrm{~J} & =\mathrm{J}: \tag{3.64}
\end{align*}
$$

A sobserved previously, both $"_{k}$ and $J$ can be arbitrary polynom ials in $k$ and , respectively, but the present form su ces for our purposes.

Let us rew rite the Lagrangian as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}={ }_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}^{1} \mathrm{k} \tag{3.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he propagator $m$ atrix $G$ carries the physical inform ation, including the correlation functions and the spectrum of excitations via the zeros of its determ inant. W e consider the $p=2 ; 3$ cases in the follow ing sections.

## 3.4.a The case $p=2$

It is a lengthy, but straightforw ard, calculation to obtain the determ inant by $G$ auss-Jordan elim ination. It proves convenient to use the basis $=1 \quad 2$, and we nd,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { D etG }{ }^{1}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {even }} \mathrm{P}_{\text {odd }} ; \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
and $u$
u (k).
The general features of the spectrum em erge already if we keep only the term s up to
$=3 . \mathrm{W}$ e nd that the lowest m ode is

$$
\begin{equation*}
i!_{n}=E_{k ; 0} E_{k ; 2} \frac{\mathrm{k}^{4} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} ; 0}+8 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} ; 2}}{\mathrm{k}^{4} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} ; 2}+8 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k} ; 0}} \quad \#_{1=2} \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is plotted in g. $k=0$. In general, the $m$ odes have energy gaps equal to $J w$ ith $2 . T$ he low est $m$ ode is a mixture of only = even channels, the next one up is a mixture of $=$ odd, and so forth, altemating in even/odd $m$ ixtures. B ecause the gap would vanish if the constraints were not included, or ifJ! 0, the low energy physics is dom inated by the intemal vortex excitations of. Them ain feature in $g$. it appears $w$ henever $J>1=M$. W e have plotted the spectrum by including term $s$ up to
$=4$, i.e. to $O\left(q^{8}\right)$, and the shape is virtually identical to g. ${ }^{3} 2$. . In proper units, the dip


Figure 32: Low est $m$ ode at $=1=2$ obtained from the action expanded to $O\left(k^{3}\right) \cdot k$ is in units of inverse $m$ agnetic length. $T$ he param eters are $m=3$ and $J=1$.
is alw ays located at $\mathrm{k} j \quad 1=\bar{B}_{\mathrm{L}}$. It w ould seem to correspond to them agnetoroton that has been proposed by several authors $\left[\frac{5}{5} \overline{5}\right.$, , 5 of this phenom ena; earlier predictions relied on num erics. B ased on the com posite ferm ion picture, $k$ is proportional to the dipole $m$ om ent, or separation betw een the particle and the center ofm ass of the vortices. It is tem pting to conclude that the dip is an electrostatic-like feature, occurring w hen the relative onbit radius of the vortioes is equal to the distance from the particle to their center of m ass, i.e. $=2 \mathrm{~cm}$.

T he density-density response function, ${ }^{\text {L }}(q)$ can be calculated w ithin the above fram ework as well. The connected part of this correlation function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{L L}(q)=h \underset{q}{L} \quad{ }_{q}^{L}{ }_{q}^{i} ; \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=(q ; i!n)$ and ${ }^{L}$ is the uctuating part of $L$ (eqn. (322i)). Expanding about the condensate in eqn. $(3,57)$ gives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{L}}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{q} ; 0} \mathrm{u}_{0}+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{u}_{0}}^{\mathrm{y}}\right): \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne way to get the low est order term in is to substitute the expansions for ${ }^{R_{1 ; 2}}$. A sim ple linear combination from eqn. (3.60]) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& ={\underset{q}{L}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{p}}^{X} \quad c_{q} u\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{q}} u(\text { iq }): ~}_{\text {: }} \tag{3.72}
\end{align*}
$$

On the average, the left-hand side is zero because the constraints vanish at $m$ ean eld, h $\underset{q}{R} i=0$, so that $\underset{q}{L} \quad O(\dot{q} \hat{j})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{LL}(q)=O\left(\dot{q} \dot{\mathcal{J}}^{4}\right) \tag{3.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

just as we had before in the com posite ferm ion case.
The sam e result can be obtained by an explicit calculation that extracts the eld correlators from eqn. (3. 3 (]). A $n$ expansion in $m$ inors ( $C$ ram er's rule) of the propagator $m$ atrix G leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& h c_{k ; 0}^{y} G_{k ; 0} i=\frac{\left(i!_{n}+"_{k}\right) Q+j u_{0} \jmath^{2}}{!n_{n}^{2}+"_{k}^{2} Q+2 j u_{0} f^{2} "_{k}} \\
& h g_{k ; 0}^{y} C_{k ; 0}^{y}=\frac{j u_{0}{ }^{2}}{!_{n}^{2}+"_{k}^{2} Q+2 j j_{0} f^{2} "_{k}} \tag{3.74}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\mathrm{X}}{=2 ; 4 ; 6 ;::: \frac{2 j u \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} ;}{!_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} ;}:} \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P$ lugging these correlators into ${ }^{L L}(k)=-h\left(q_{; 0}+c_{k ; 0}\right)^{2} i$, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k!0} L^{L}\left(k ; i!!_{n}=0\right)=\frac{k j^{4}}{16 J}: \tag{3.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his result is consistent $w$ ith an incom pressible quantum $H$ all uid $[\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}]$. $N$ ote that the $\lim$ it is independent of $M$ and is valid so long as $J \in 0$, which is consistent $w$ ith the vortex excitations dom inating the long distance physics.

## $3.4 \mathrm{~b} \quad$ The case $\mathrm{p}=3$

The expansions of the constraints, eqn. (3.-62), is prohibitive in general. To get an idea of the spectrum, we cut the expansion o after $1 ; 2=2$. The spectrum, as obtained from the zeros of $G$ is

$$
i!_{n}=\begin{array}{lll}
8 & h & E_{k ; 0} E_{k ; 2}  \tag{3.77}\\
\frac{k^{4} E_{k ; 0}+4 E_{k ; 2}}{k^{4} E_{k ; 2}+4 E_{k ; 0}} & i_{1=2} \\
\mathrm{E}_{1} & \\
E_{k ; 1} E_{k ; 2} & \frac{k^{4} E_{k ; 1}+4 E_{k ; 2}}{k^{4} E_{k ; 2}+4 E_{k ; 1}} & i_{1=2}
\end{array}
$$

The second branch in eqn. (3,7 be lifted in a better approxim ation. T he two m odes are show in g.
of $M$ athematica, we have analyzed the spectrum in $m$ ore detail and nd that the gaps are J ,
2. A non-zero gap, of course, is consistent with an incom pressible uid. O nce


Figure 3.3: Low est tw o m odes at $=1=3$ obtained by expanding the action to $0\left(k^{2}\right)$. The higher $m$ ode is doubly degenerate. $k$ is in units of inverse $m$ agnetic length. The param eters are $m=3$ and $J=1$.
again, the $m$ ain feature is the $m$ agnetoroton gap. It seem $s$ to be a general feature for all $p$, provided that $J$ is large enough relative to $1=\mathrm{M}$.

## Chapter 4

## Paired States of B osons in Two D im ensions

In this chapter we analyze p-and d-w ave pairing of bosons from two points of view. First as an exact gound state of a particular Ham iltonian in the FQHE, where the bosons are really com posite bosons. A nd second, in the fram ew ork of BCS theory of paired states of ordinary bosons.

We nd that the perm anent is an anti-Skym ion texture sitting on the transition betw een ferrom agnetic and helical ordering, both of which are single-particle condensates. The transition is of second order so that we can w rite a continuum quantum ferrom agnet action [611] for the local m agnetization in its vicinity. An analogous description on a lattioe is straightforw ard and will be discussed brie y. T he results are com pared to num erics with excellent agreem ent.

In Section construct a three-body H am iltonian for which the trial wavefunction is exact, and from which num erical diagonalization techniques can extract the spectrum. Unfortunately in this case we cannot calculate the spectrum analytically and we resort to an e ective BCStype ham iltonian at the outset. A m ean eld analysis suggests three possible phases in the presence of an attractive channel at $l=2$ : (i) a single particle condensate, or Laughlin state, (ii) a pure pair state and (iii) a charge-density-wave phase. W e con jecture that
the H a nian lies on the special point separating (i) from (ii). In support, our num erical evidence suggests that the H a nian is com pressible and that it m ay contain incipient long lange correlations in the density.

### 4.1 T he P erm anent: $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{w}$ ave P airing

## 4.1.a A nalytic Structure of the G round State

B efore discussing the actual calculations, w e brie y sum $m$ arize the relevant properties of the
 For the $m$ om ent we begin by choosing to put the system on a sphere with a magnetic m onopole in the center $[\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4}]$.

For $=1=q$, the perm anent state is a spin-singlet ground state of spin-1/2 ferm ions for $q=$ odd and of spin $-1 / 2$ bosons for $q=$ even. T he form er case is the relevant one here, the sim plest being $q=1$. At this lling factor, the $m$ icroscopic three-body $H$ am iltonian is the projection onto the $m$ anifold of states spanned by the closest approach of three ferm ions. It takes an especially com pact form on the sphere, where each particle in the low est Landau level (LLL) has orbital angular m om entum $N=2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=V_{i<j<k}^{X} P_{i j k}\left(\frac{3}{2} N \quad 1 ; \frac{1}{2}\right): \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he argum ents $(3 N=2 \quad 1 ; 1=2)$ are the total orbital angular $m$ om entum and $z$-com ponent of spin, respectively, of three ferm ions at closest approach. $P_{i j k}$ is the projection operator onto these states for each triplet of particles. $N$ ote that the angular $m$ om entum is allow ed to be half integral because the relevant single particles states are monole harm on ics [6]ī]. D ue to sym $m$ etry, three ferm ions can never have total orbital angular $m$ om entum $3 \mathrm{~N}=2$, so $H$ is properly regarded as a projection onto triplet states of $m$ om entum greater than or equal to $3 \mathrm{~N}=2$ 1. To specify a unique ground state, the total $u x, N$, through the sphere must be xed. At $N=\mathbb{N}$ 1) 1, the perm anent is the densest zero-energy eigenstate of H. The densest state being the one w ith the sm allest N. For our purposes, it is m ost convenient to project stereographically onto the plane, where the i'th particle has the complex coordinate $z_{i}=x_{i}+i y_{i}$. Denoting the spin com ponent of the $i^{\prime}$ th particle by
${ }_{i}="_{i} ; \#_{i}$, casts the perm anent into the form :
$T$ he prefactor is the perm anent of an $N \quad N m$ atrix, which is a determ inant $w$ th the sign of the perm utation om itted, and $P$ stands for all perm utations of $N$ ob jects. The second factor is the usual Laughlin-Jastrow ansatz w th the G aussian factors om itted. The BCS pairing structure of the prefactor is $m$ anifest in the above form ; the spatialpart of the pair wavefunction is in an orbital angular $m$ om entum $l=1$ eigenstate and the spin part is a singlet. T herefore the prefactor is totally sym $m$ etric, representing a paired $w$ avefunction of bosonic coordinates, which are non other than the com posite bosons.

The factors in the denom inator ensure that the relative angular m om entum of each disjoint pair ( $z_{i} \quad z_{j}$ ) is reduced such that each projection by $P_{i j k}$ gives zero. In other words, H penalizes those states which do not appear in the wavefiunction. A ddition of one ux quantum preserves this property and there is a space of zero energy states inchiding the Laughlin state. Equivalently, reducing the ux by one quantum through the Laughlin state creates an anti-Skym ion, which is a uniform spin con guration on the sphere (a \hedgehog") costing zero energy. Stated in yet another way, extra ux in the perm anent creates quasiholes (or edge states), which belong to a degenerate $m$ anifold of zero-energy
 of single particle states, which in this case is a Vanderm onde determ inant:
where $(10)_{i}$ is the spin state of the $i^{\prime}$ th particle, so that the total $z$ - com ponent of spin is $S_{z}=N=2$. The spin $w$ ave states correspond to superpositions of the degenerate states de ned by $S_{z}=N=2 \quad 1 . N$ otice that the prefactor of the Laughlin Jastrow factor is trivially constant and sym $m$ etric under particle interchange. By analogy to the perm anent (4), we interpret the prefactor as a wavefunction of com posite bosons.

In the follow ing subsection we sw itch to the plane and construct the spin w ave excitations in the LLL using $m$ agnetic translation operators, $w$ ith $w$ hich we obtain the exact spin $w$ ave
spectrum at all wavevectors. We do not utilize the com posite boson picture yet and all results in the next section are com pletely exact.

## 4.1.b M agnetic Translations on the P lane

A single $m$ agnon $m$ ode $w$ th $m$ om entum $k$ is represented in term $s$ of spin density operators by $\hat{S_{k}}={ }^{P} \underset{j=1}{N} e^{i k} \underset{f^{\wedge} \wedge_{j}}{ }$, which periodically ips one spin of a com pletely polarized state. H ow ever, all operators m ust not have any components in the higher Landau levels. To im pose this constraint, it proves necessary to project all operators into the LLL using the
 spins along the direction perpendicular to k .

T he fundam ental operator that we w ill need is the pro jected one-body density operator $-_{k}\left(r_{i}\right)=\overline{e^{i k}} \underline{\text {. }}$ H ere and throughout this chapter the overbar denotes projection into the LLL. This operator is discussed in detail in the A ppendix. Because translations no longer com $m$ ute in the LLL due to broken tim e translation sym $m$ etry in the presence of a $m$ agnetic eld, a charged particle picks up an A haronov-B ohm phase as it traverses a closed circuit. H ow ever, there is a one-to-one corresp ondence betw een the ordinary translations in zero eld and the $m$ agnetic translations. In zero eld, $k\left(r_{i}\right)$ translates the $i \prime$ th particle by a distance $r$, and the corresponding $m$ agnetic translation, $k$ ( $i$ ), is a translation by $l_{B}^{2} \hat{z} k$,where $I$ is the $m$ agnetic length and $\hat{z}$ is the direction of the $m$ agnetic eld. T he cross-product appears as a consequence of the Lorentz force. The explicit relation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(i)=e^{\frac{k^{2}}{4}}-{ }_{k}\left(r_{i}\right) \text {; } \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ agnetic length has been set to unity $\mid$ as it $w$ illbe throughout the chapter. The ubiquitous $G$ aussian factor can be thought of as the $m$ om entum space version of the $m$ ost localized w avepacket in the LLL , or the pro jected delta function. T he total spin and charge density operators are easily obtained from ( $\left.\underline{4}_{-}^{-} \mathbf{q}_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{k}=X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} e^{\frac{k^{2}}{4}} k \text { (i) }  \tag{4.5}\\
\bar{S}_{k}^{a}=X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} e^{\frac{k^{2}}{4}} k(i){ }_{i}^{a} ; \tag{4.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where ${ }^{a}$ is the a'th Paulim atrix. T he projected spin density ips one spin and translates the resulting state by $\hat{z} \quad k$, which is a quasiholequasiparticle pair. T he lim it of large $k$ corresponds to a large pair so we expect that the spin $w$ ave spectrum approaches an asym ptotic value in this lim it.

The Laughlin state ( $\overline{4}-3$ ) is a Slater determ inant, which allow $s$ the density-density correlation to be determ ined analytically [1] at $=1$. In term $s$ of the $m$ agnetic translations in ( 4

$$
h_{L j}: \bar{q}: j_{L} i=\sum_{i \neq j}^{x} e^{\frac{q^{2}}{4}} e^{\frac{k^{2}}{4}} h_{q}(i) \quad k(j) i=\quad h \sum_{k q} e^{\frac{q^{2}}{2}} ;
$$

or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X } h_{q}(i) \quad k(j) i=h \sum_{k q} \text {; }  \tag{4.7}\\
& \text { if }
\end{align*}
$$

where the colons rem ove self-correlations by nom alordering. Equation ( $4-\overline{4}=\overline{4})$ is the building block form uch of the subsequent analytical results.

The non-com $m$ utativity ofm agnetic translations is irrelevant in (4.7.) because the products involve di erent particles. H ow ever, the translations do not com $m$ ute for the sam e particle. $R$ ather, they form a representation of the $m$ agnetic translation group $w$ th the algebra:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[q(i) ; k(j)]=2 i_{i j} q+k(i) \sin \frac{q^{\wedge} k}{2}}  \tag{4.8}\\
q(i) k(i)=q+k(i) e^{\frac{i}{2} q^{\wedge} k} ; \tag{4.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the wedge product stands for ( $q$ k) $\mathcal{z}$.
U sing the projected spin density operator we can easily construct a single $m$ agnon by applying the spin density operator (4.6) to the Laughlin state: $k=\bar{S}_{k} \quad \mathrm{~L} \cdot$

## 4.1.c Spin $-W$ aves and Instability; E xact $R$ esults

 be w ritten as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=V \sum_{i \neq j \notin k}^{X} r_{i}^{2} \quad\left(r_{i} \quad r_{j}\right) \quad\left(r_{i} \quad r_{j}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, all observables are to be calculated after projection into the LLL. Since the H am iltonian conserves the total spin, as well as being translationally invariant, the state
$w$ th one $m$ agnon is an exact eigenstate of $\bar{H} w$ th energy given by the usualexpression [ $\overline{6} \bar{\sigma}]$ :
$W$ ithin the expectation value of $\bar{H}$, the tw o gradients reduce the orbitalangularm om entum of a triplet in $k$ and in $k$ by one, hence the identity $w$ th the spherical $H$ am ittonian $(\overline{4}-1.1)$.
$\overline{\mathrm{H}}$ can be rew ritten in term s of the real-space density operator $(r)={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\begin{array}{rll}r & r\end{array}\right)$ in a sim ilar $m$ anner:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{H}=V^{Z} d^{2} x d^{2} x^{0} d^{2} x^{\infty}: r_{x}^{2}-(x)^{-}\left(x^{0}\right)-\left(x^{\infty}\right): \quad\left(x \quad 8 \quad\left(x^{0} \quad x^{\infty}\right)\right. \\
& =V_{q p}^{X} q^{2} e^{\frac{q^{2}}{4}} e^{\frac{(q p)^{2}}{4}} e^{\frac{p^{2}}{4}}{ }_{i \neq j \neq k}^{X} \quad q(i) q p^{(j)} p(k): \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The last line is obtained by a Fourier transform and by the relation betw een the density and $m$ agnetic operators (4.5). Substitution of the last line into the energy expression (4) and use of the $m$ agnetic operator algebra ( $\overline{4}-8)$ and $(4) .9)$ reduces the dispersion to:

$$
\begin{align*}
!_{k}= & V^{X} q^{2} e^{\frac{q^{2}}{2}} e^{\frac{(q p)^{2}}{2}} e^{\frac{p^{2}}{2}}  \tag{4.13}\\
& \underset{X}{X} q_{q}^{(i)} q_{p}(j) p(k) i_{L} \quad e^{i p \wedge k}+e^{i(q p)^{\wedge} k}+e^{i q \wedge k} \quad 3:
\end{align*}
$$

$R$ ather than calculating the triple-density correlator directly, observe that everything inside the expectation value is already in the LLL.Thus, the magnetic translations can be replaced by density operators according to the rule in [íd). A fter Fourier transform ing back into real
 be evaluated by writing the density operator in second quantization, $(x)=h^{\wedge}(x) \wedge(x) i$, and using $W$ ick's theorem. For the spin-polarized $=1$ state, the $G$ reen's function is known exactly [1]1], being, in the sym $m$ etric gauge,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\wedge y}(x)^{\wedge}\left(x^{0}\right) \dot{i}_{L}=h \text { i } e^{\frac{1}{4} \dot{x} x^{0} \mathcal{F}^{\mathcal{G}}} e^{\frac{i}{2} x^{\wedge} x^{0}}: \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The end-result is the exact spin $w$ ave spectrum :

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{k}=C \quad 1 \quad e^{k^{2}=2} \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{2}+1 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the area of the system, $\mathrm{h} i=1=2{\underset{\mathrm{~B}}{2}}_{2}$, and the asym ptotic value is $\mathrm{C}=16 \mathrm{Vh}$ i. Equation (4.15) is the central result of this section. It should be bome in $m$ ind that,
although it was obtained for the Laughlin state ( $\overline{4}-\overline{3})$, it is also valid for the perm anent (4-2), since the two are degenerate in the presence of our three-body interaction (4). 1 com parison to exact num erics is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 'in' 12 '.


Figure 4.1: Spin-W ave D ispersion: Points are num erical data for $\mathrm{N}=10, \mathrm{~N}=9$ (com puted by E. Rezayi). Solid line is the spectrum in ( $4 . \overline{1} \overline{15})$, with $C$ determ ined by $m$ atching to the data at the highest $k$. For com parison, the dotted line is the spectrum for a two body interaction (4, (1-1 $)$ w ith $\mathrm{V}_{2}=0$.

At large $k,!_{k}$ approaches an asym ptotic value as expected. $T$ his is the energy of a widely separated quasihole-quasiparticle pair, as in earlier work on twołoody interactions [6] $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$. H ow ever, the novel feature of $(44.15)$ em erges at sm all $k$, where $!_{k} k^{4}$, in contrast to the usualquadratic dependence. In other words, the spin sti ness is exactly zero when the interaction is the threebody H am iltonian $(4, \overline{1})$. This is precisely what was con jectured earlier based on num erical evidence [ $\left.{ }^{\bar{\beta}} \overline{\mathrm{O}}\right]$ ]. Here, how ever, we have an exact calculation verifying that clain, and the com parison with the original data is quite good, as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 'In'. Therefore, the perm am ent is poised on the brink of an instability because $!_{k}$ becom es negative as soon as the spin sti ness dips below zero.

The degeneracy of the polarized Laughlin state and the unpolarized perm anent can also be understood in light of the long wavelength behavior of the spectrum . At zero spin sti ness, it should cost no energy to create a slow ly varying spin texture of unbounded size. In fact num erical calculations of the spin-spin correlator $\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{y})$ i on the sphere con m that the spins are approxim ately anti-aligned at antipodal points, indicating an anti-Skym ion texture with long range order (F ig. .ine in in . In the next subsection we will see
that pairing of com posite bosons predicts precisely this ordering. Skyrm ions are not new in the FQ HE $[\underline{6} \overline{1} \overline{1}, 1, \overline{6} \overline{9} \overline{9}]$ and are typically associated w ith excitations when extra ux is added. H ere, how ever, they appear at one fewer ux quantum.


Figure $42: \mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}$ i of the Perm anent and, for com parison, of the Laughlin state w th one fewer ux. is the $m$ agnetic length. (C om puted by E. Rezayi)

The threebody spectrum obtained above cannot exhibit an instability because it is alw ays stable| i.e. pro jection operators generally have no negative eigenvalues. H ow ever, a negative quadratic term can be restored by including a short-range, tw o-body interaction. For concreteness, we m odel such a potential by the sim plest, non-trivial expansion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}={\underset{i \notin j}{X} V_{0} \quad\left(x_{i} \quad x_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{2} V_{2} r_{i}^{2} \quad\left(x_{i} \quad x_{j}\right) ; ~ ; ~ ; ~}_{i} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in place of $H$. There is no restriction on the sign of $V_{2}$, but $V_{0}$ should be positive. Except for the special case $V_{2}=0$, for which $H_{2}$ is thepseudopotential $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ at $=1$, the Laughlin state is no longer an eigenstate. H ow ever, due to translational invariance, the state w ith one $m$ agnon is an eigenstate, so that its spectrum $m$ ay be calculated exactly once again. Projecting $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ onto the LLL and follow ing the sam e procedure as before yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{k}=\frac{1}{2}_{q}^{X} V_{q} e^{q^{2}=2} e^{i q^{\wedge} k}+e^{i q \wedge k} \quad 2 ; \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{V}_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{q}^{2}$. Eqn. (4.17) agrees w th earlier calculations using m any-body
techniques $\left[\overline{[ }_{[6} \bar{T}_{1}\right]$. U nlike the three-body case, the coe cient of the quadratic term in the dispersion does not vanish in general. H ow ever, by tuning the interaction param eters, the sti ness can be forced to zero, and the tw o-and three-body interactions willbehave sim ilarly at large distance. For exam ple, the ratio $V_{2}=V_{0}=2=3 \mathrm{~m}$ im ics the three body spectrum (4.15) at sm all k .
$W$ hen $V_{2}=V_{0}<2=3,!_{k}$ looks like the fam iliar $\backslash M$ exican $H$ at" potential, $w$ ith $a$ $m$ inim um at the $w$ avevector $Q^{2}=J_{0}=V_{2} j$. At zero temperature, all the $m$ agnons (or quasiparticle-quasinole pairs) condense into the $m$ om entum $Q . N$ onetheless, the total velocity of the super uid is zero because the up-spins condense into $Q=2$ and the dow $n$-spins into $Q=2$. W e ilhustrate this explicitly by a H artree Fock function in the LLL w ith the variational param eter $Q$. At this point this is $m$ ore of an ansatz than anything else, but we will justify this in the next subsection. For the $m$ om ent, consider the single particle orbitals
where $Q=2(r)$ is the one-body density operator that is to be pro jected into the LLL according to the rule in [4.4). The Slater determ inant, $\sim_{Q}$, of these orbitals exhibits helical spin order and reduces to $L$ at $Q=0$. In term $s$ of the $m$ agnetic operators, $e_{Q}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \quad 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us rew rite ${ }^{e}{ }_{Q}$ as the spinor operator tim es the purely spatial part, ${ }^{e}{ }_{Q}=T_{Q} \quad{ }_{0}$. The energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ of $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ can be calculated in exactly the sam e way as the spin-wave energy (by using (4.in) 4 . We nd that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ is identical to $!_{Q}$, ie.

$$
\begin{align*}
& !_{Q}=\frac{\bar{S}_{Q}^{+} \bar{h}_{2} ; \bar{S}_{Q}^{i^{i}}}{\overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{Q}^{+} \bar{S}_{Q} i_{i}}= \tag{4,20}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, this identity holds generally for any translationally invariant interaction that can be expressed as a product of charge density operators. In particular, it is true for both of
the three- and tw oboody interactions, illustrating that our picture of a m agnon condensate is intemally consistent.

The wavevector $Q$ plays the role of an order param eter which increases continuously from zero as one crosses over from the ferrom agnetic into the helical phase. On the other hand, the $m$ agnetization undergoes a rst order transition. T he boundary betw een the tw o phases is de ned by $s=0$. In the follow ing subsection, we prove that helical order is incipient in the ground states of the perm anent by adopting the com posite boson point of view. A sim ilar procedure on the sphere will show that the analog of the helical ordering is exactly the anti-Skym ion, as was suggested by the num erical data in F ig. "in

## 4.1.d Spin O rder of the P erm anent

Let us begin by recalling the general theory of paired bosons in zero magnetic eld $\overline{\underline{2}} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}]$. An e ective $H$ am iltonian which captures this physics is of the BCS type:
where $k="_{k} \quad$ and $k$ is the single-particle kinetic energy and $k$ is the gap function. In the fractional quantum H all e ect, the quasiparticles entering K eff are the com posite bosons, $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{k}}$, which see no magnetic eld. W e assum e that $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ at sm all k , where $m$ is an e ective $m$ ass of the com posite (see for exam ple $C$ hapter $\overline{2}$ ). For $p-w$ ave pairing, we take $k$ to be an eigenfunction of rotations in $k$ of eigenvalue $l=1$. At $s m$ all $k$ the generic form of the gap function is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{\prime} \quad{ }^{\wedge}\left(k_{x} \quad i k_{y}\right) \tag{422}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\wedge}$ is a constant. A though there is no explicitt single particle condensate in $K$ eff, it w illbe em erge naturally below as being equivalent to pure p-wave order.

M ore rigorously, one m ust solve the self-consistent gap equation when the interaction contains an attractive l= 1 channel. Consider a non-singular interaction whid has a power series expansion at short distance: $V(k)=a_{0}+a_{2} k^{2}+:::$. The gap equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{1}{2}_{k^{0}}^{X} V\left(k \quad k^{0}\right) \frac{k^{0}}{E_{k^{0}}}: \tag{423}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here the quasiparticle energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}=\frac{q}{{\underset{k}{2}}^{j_{k} \mathcal{J}}} \tag{424}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote the $m$ inus sign in contrast $w$ ith the fam iliar ferm ion case. $T$ his is a com plicated nonlocal integral equation, but it separates if we assum e that the gap function contains only one angular momentum channel: $k=j k \dot{j}^{i l}$, where is the polar angle of $k$. The interaction expands sim ilarly into angular $m$ om enta:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(k \quad k^{0}\right)=X_{m=1}^{X^{3}} V_{m}\left(k ; k^{0}\right) e^{i m(\quad 0)} \tag{425}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coe cients $\mathrm{V}_{1}\left(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}^{0}\right)$ depend only on the m agnitudes of k and $\mathrm{k}^{0}$. It is straightforw ard to show that the leading order behavior of $V_{l}$ in $k$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}\left(k ; k^{0}\right)^{\prime} k^{1} \tag{426}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the expansion $(4-25)$ into the gap equation (4)3in) yields precisely the p-w ave gap (420) at leading order in k whenever $\mathrm{V}_{1}\left(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}^{0}\right)$ is negative and $\mathrm{V}_{1}=0$ for 16 1. For general lw ave pairing the gap is proportional to $\left(k_{x} \quad i k_{y}\right)^{l}$ at long distance.

The quasiparticle energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$ contains im portant physicalinform ation. W hen $<0$ and ${ }^{\wedge}$ is $s m$ all enough, the gap is $\mathrm{E} 0=$ and $2 \mathrm{E}_{0}$ is the energy needed to break a condensed pair. On the other hand, as the gap closes the paired state ceases to exist and a single particle condensate appears [12].]. P recisely at $=0$ the spectrum is unstable for any nite ${ }^{\wedge}$. H ow ever, as we w ill show shortly, the pure pair state is really a single particle condensate and the solution of $K_{\text {eff }}$ is fiully consistent $w$ hen $w e$ expand about this new $m$ in im um.

In the absence of any single particle condensates, a pure pair state of spin $-1=2$ bosons is

$$
j i=\frac{1}{N} \exp \frac{1}{2}_{k}^{x} g_{k}{C_{k " n}^{y}}_{k \#}^{\prime} \quad j 0 i
$$

where N is the nom alization given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \frac{1}{1 \dot{\text { M }}^{2}{ }^{2}}: \tag{428}
\end{equation*}
$$

To ensure that $j i$ is norm alizable it is necessary that $\dot{g}_{k} \rho<1$ for all $k$ and $p-w$ ave order requires that $g_{k}$ is antisym $m$ etric in $m$ om entum space: $g_{k}=g_{k}$. Therefore, due to
bosonic statistics, the low est allow ed spin state of a pair is the spin singlet ${ }_{i} \#_{j} \quad \#_{1}{ }_{j}$ so j i has totalspin $S=0$.

In real space, the (unnorm alized) com ponent of the pair wavefunction $w$ ith $N$ particles ( N even) is
where $g(r)$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $g_{k}$ and $P$ runs over all perm uations of $N$ ob jects. $T$ his is the form of a perm anent ofan $N \quad N \mathrm{~m}$ atrix, which looks like a determ inant, but with the sign of $P$ om itted. In fact, it is the analog of the $P$ fa an (which is the determ inant of an antisym $m$ etric $m$ atrix) for paired ferm ions.

If we are dealing $w$ th the $F Q H E$, then the bosonic operators $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}$ really originated as com posite bosons. D uring projection to the LLL, one typically picks up a cuto factor on
 that follow S w ith the understanding that $K$ eff and $g_{k}$ are valid at long distance. $W$ ith this caveat, it is easy to see that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k}=\frac{}{\left(k_{\mathrm{x}}+i k_{\mathrm{y}}\right)} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith a constant, then the asym ptotic behavior at long distance of the inverse Fourier transform is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r), \frac{1}{z}: \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om paring this $w$ th $(4-\overline{2} 9)$, we nd that at long distance, the pair wavefunction is precisely the perm anent prefactor of the LLL state de ned by perm [-4.2).

W hile the Laughlin-Jastrow factor is taken care of by the transform ation to com posite bosons, it should be bome in $m$ ind that the price of this pro jection is an extra constraint or a uctuating (C hem-Sim ons) gauge eld. W e are neglecting these ects at the $m$ ean eld level. A nalogous questions for pairing of com posite ferm ions have been raised recently $\overline{\underline{1}} \overline{2}]$.
$N$ ow let us consider the occupation num ber at wavevector $k: h_{k} i=h c_{k}^{y} q_{k} " i+h c_{k \#}^{y} q_{k \#} i$ : From the form of $j$ i ( $(\overline{4} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{5})$ this can be written as a function of $g_{k}$ only,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}=\frac{\dot{\bar{j}}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{3}}{1} \frac{\dot{j}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathcal{J}^{2}}{}: \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the asym ptotic behavior $(4-\overline{3} 00)$, we can w rite the total num ber of particles as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N={ }_{k}^{x} \ln _{k} i={ }_{k}^{x} \frac{j \mathcal{J}}{k\}^{2} \quad j \xi} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The occupation num bers fallo algebraically at $s m$ all $k$ and even $m$ ore quidkly (exponentially) on the scale of $k>1={ }_{\mathrm{B}}$. The condition of norm alisability of the ground state guarantees that $j=k{ }^{\rho}<1$ for all $k \mid$ in other words, $j$ jmust be less than the $m$ in $m$ um wavevector, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $j$. To $m$ ake sense of this expression we now im pose boundary conditions that com pactify the plane into a torus. For sim plicity, consider an $L \quad L$ torus in the $x y-p l a n e ;$ generalization to $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}$ and $m$ odular param eter is straightfow ard.

On the torus there are four degenerate ground states for the perm anent H am iltonian [4-2) corresponding to periodic ( + ) or antiperiodic, ( + ), ( + ), ( ), boundary conditions in each of the two directions. W hen both directions are periodic ( ++ ) the $m$ inim um reciprocal lattice vector allowed is $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=0$ and N becom es sharply peaked (in fact it is a delta function) at $n_{0} . O$ ne of the spin directions (say, ") is singled out by the correlations and we are left w ith nothing other than a Bose condensate with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad i={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{k} ; 0} \quad ; " \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the spin-polarized Laughlin state. On the other hand, in the antiperiodic sector, ( $+\quad$ )
 therm odynam ic lim it one of these tw o sectors is m acroscopically occupied. For exam ple, in the $(+\quad)$ direction, $k_{n n}$ in $=(=L ; 0)$. Since the totalm om entum of the condensate $m$ ust be zero, we occupy ( $+=\mathrm{L} ; 0$ ) and ( $=\mathrm{L} ; 0$ ) w ith equal probability:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h q_{k} \| i=\bar{S}_{\frac{N}{2}}^{k ; k_{m} \text { in }} \text { and } h a_{k \# i} i=\bar{S}_{\frac{N}{2}}^{k ; k_{m} \text { in }} \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

In real space this is precisely the helical winding with $Q=2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in which corresponds to the spins winding exactly once over the length of the system $L$ in the $\hat{x}$ direction. For the rem aining antiperiodic sector, $(\quad), j_{m}$ in $j={ }^{p} \overline{2}=L$ and the $w$ inding is along the diagonal.

In any case, we have show $n$ that three of the ground states of the perm anent $H$ am iltonian are really single particle condensates w ith helical spin order and the rem aining one is the

Laughlin spin polarized state. As we tune through the transition, one or the other long
 subsection 1 Landau-G inzburg theory instead of $\mathrm{K}_{\text {eff }}$.
$F$ inally, we repeat the analog of the above on a sphere, which provides a nioe intuitive picture of the anti-Skym ion texture. Recall that the perm anent state on the sphere has one fewer ux quantum than the Laughlin state. Thus, com posite bosons live on the surface of a sphere w th a $m$ agnetic $m$ onopole of strength one. The appropriate single particle states are $m$ onopole harm onics, $Y_{L ; M}(;)$, w ith angularm om entum $L \quad 1=2$ and $M$ is the $m$ agnetic quantum number in the range $L \quad M \quad L_{L}^{-[ }[\$ 3]$. A pair wavefiunction $m$ ust be rotationally invariant just as it is translationally invariant on the torus or the plane. T he unique bilinear scalar is

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{A} \quad x^{L} \\
& Y_{L ; M}(;) Y_{L} ; M\left({ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) f_{L} h 0 ; 0 \leftrightarrows ; M \text {;L; M i; }  \tag{4.36}\\
& \mathrm{L}=1=2 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{L}
\end{align*}
$$

 into the orbital singlet $j 0$; 01 and $f_{L}$ is a function of $L$ only. $T$ his particular $C$ lebsch- $G$ ordan coe cient is equal to a function of $L$ times $(1)^{L} M$, i.e. the only $M$-dependence is in the phase factor $(1)^{M} \quad\left[\begin{array}{l}-1\end{array}\right]$. If we com bine all of the $L$ dependence of $f_{L}$ and the angular $m$ om entum coupling into a single pair amplitude, $g_{L}(1)^{M}$, then we can w rite the $m$ anybody paired state as
$N$ ote that $M$ is half-integral so the pairing amplitude $g_{L}(1)^{M}$ is antisymmetric under $M \quad$ ! $\quad \mathrm{m}$ wich is consistent $w$ ith bosonic statistics and $w$ ith the planar sym metry $k$ !
$k$. The relationship of angular $m$ om entum to linear $m$ om entum is $L=k \cdot R$, where $R$ is
 that $g^{\prime} 1=\mathrm{L}$ at sm all L , although we have no explicit proof of th is statem ent. Fortunately, the exact form of $g_{\mathrm{L}}$ is not im portant for the follow ing.

T he low est Landau levelof the com posite bosonshas only tw o available states: $\mathfrak{l}=2$; $1=2 i$. By analogy to the torus, the spins ought to condense into these tw o low est states $w$ ith equal
probability. In real space this says that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{hcm}_{\#}(;) i=Y_{1=2 ; 1=2}(;)=\text { é }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{1} \cos \\
& \mathrm{hcm}_{\mathrm{n}}(;) i=Y_{1=2 ; 1=2}(;)=\frac{\mathrm{cos}}{1+\cos } \tag{4.38}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression for the rst few $m$ onopole harm onics $m$ ay be found in ref. [6] $\left.\overline{3}_{1}\right]$, and a factor of ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{N}=2}$ has been om itted from the right hand sides of $(4,3)$. W ith the above condensate
 the i'th Paulim atrix w ith spin indiges and :

| $h S_{z} i=$ | $\cos$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $h S_{x} i=$ | $\sin \cos$ |
| $h S_{y} i=$ | $\sin \sin$ |

$T$ his is precisely an anti-Skym ion spin ordering as the num erical data in $F$ ig. 14
In sum $m$ ary, the $m$ ean eld theory of com posite bosons is com pletely consistent $w$ th the analytical and num erical results of the previous subsection. Furtherm ore, it seem s that pure p-w ave pairing ofbosons in tw o dim ensions should be view ed as a single particle condensate.

## 4.1.e E ective F ield T heory N ear the T ransition

An e ective continuum quantum ferrom agnetic action (CQFM) for the polarized FQHE has been proposed recently by Read and Sachdev [ $[\underline{1} 11]$. T he idea is to w rite a sigm a m odel for the localm agnetization $[\underline{[ }] \overline{6}]$, $\hat{n} . T$ heir $m$ odel includes term $s$ up to $m$ om entum squared, the higher order term $s$ being irrelevant in the renorm alization group sense. W e m odify this CQFM by stabilizing it in the helical region $(s<0)$ by adding term $s$ that are quartic in $m$ om entum. At the end of this subsection, we will brie y discuss this theory on a lattice.

The CQFM Lagrangian density is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}[\hat{n}]=\text { iA }(\hat{n}) \quad @ \hat{n}+\frac{\mathrm{s}}{2}(\mathrm{r} \hat{\mathrm{n}})^{2} ; \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is com plex tim e and the tim e derivative term is the Berry phase. A is the m onopole vector potential such that $r_{\hat{r}} \quad \mathrm{~A}=\hat{\mathrm{n}}$. A though the model de ned by $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ is unstable when the sti ness is negative, higher order derivative term $s$ can stabilize this region. T he sym m etry broken helical phase contains an SO (2) SU (2) residual sym m etry; SO (2) for
rotations of $Q$ in the plane and $S U$ (2) for the spins. T here are three term $S$ at leading non-trivial order that obey this requirem ent:
$w$ here $a ; b=1 ; 2$ and $i ; j=1 ; 2 ; 3$ and repeated indiaes are sum $m$ ed over. A renor$m$ alization group analysis show $s$ that $m$ ode elim ination in $L_{0}$ generates a com bination of only the rst two term $s: L J=J\left(2 @_{a} n_{i} @_{b} n_{i} @_{a} n_{j} @_{b} n_{j} \quad Q_{a} n_{i} Q_{a} n_{i} Q_{b} n_{j} @_{b} n_{j}\right)$, which is associated $w$ ith spin wave scattering [6]ī]. The third term, $L_{K}=K \quad r^{2} \hat{n^{2}}{ }^{2}$, is associated w ith a second-nearest neighb or interaction on a lattice. If only these tw o term $s$ are retained, then the total C Q FHM Lagrangian is given by

$$
\mathrm{L}[\hat{i}]=\mathrm{L}_{0}[\hat{\mathrm{n}}]+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{J}}[\hat{\mathrm{i}}]+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{K}}[\mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}]:
$$

A though $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{J}}$ cannot introduce any $\mathrm{k}^{4}$ term s into the dispersion since it arises from m ode elim ination, it w ill em erge that $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is su cient to reproduce all of the long wavelength features found in the previous subsection. We choose to keep $L_{J}$ at this point for added generality.

U sing sphericalangles, if can be param eterized by , its direction in the plane and , the uctuation out of the plane. Sm all deviations (\#;') from helical ordering w ith wavevector Q are given by $=\#,=\mathrm{Q} \quad \mathrm{x}+$ '. The uctuations in the spherical angles should obey
 and the ferrom agnetic phase is recovered when $Q=0$. The Berry phase reduces to the sim ple expression, i\#@ ' .

The mean eld energy density of the helical state is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}\left(Q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}^{h} s^{2}+(J+K) Q^{4^{i}} ; \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has the desired shape when the sti ness is negative. T he spectrum of $L$ can be found by including uctuationsto second order in' and \#. TheGreen'sfunctionsh' (k; !)' (k; !)i and h\# ( k; !) \# (k; ) i both have poles at

$$
\begin{array}{rllllllll}
i!k & &  \tag{4.42}\\
& & & & \\
& \gtrless & \frac{1}{2} \quad 4 J\left(Q \quad k^{2}\right)+K\left(k^{2}\right. & \left.Q^{2}\right)^{2} & 4(J+K)(Q & \left.k^{2}\right)+k^{4} \quad 1=2 & & \\
& ? & \frac{1}{2} s k^{2}+\frac{1}{2} K k^{4} & & & \text { s } & 0 ;
\end{array}
$$

$w$ here $Q$ is them om entum which $m$ inim izes $E_{0}$. For certain ranges of the param eters ( $J ; K$ ), the spectrum is alw ays positive, and L describes a stable system. For sim plicity, consider the helical state w ith $J=0$ and $K$ positive. Then the spin wave energy of the CQFHM sim pli es to

$$
\begin{equation*}
i!_{k}=\frac{K}{2} j^{2} \quad Q^{2} j \bar{q} \overline{k^{2}} \quad k^{2}+k^{4} \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s required, ! ${ }_{k} k^{4}$ when $s$ zero. The threebody spectrum obtained in the previous subsection can be reproduced by identifying $K=2 w$ th the coe cient of the $k{ }^{4}$ term in (4.-43). O $n$ the other hand, when $s$ is negative, we obtain the reasonable behavior, at sm all k:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
& \geqslant \mathrm{k}^{2} \text { if } \mathrm{k} \text { ? Q } \\
& \text { i! k }  \tag{4.44}\\
& ? \mathrm{k} \quad \text { if } \mathrm{kkQ}
\end{align*}
$$

This $m$ ay be expected because the spins are alligned ferrom agnetically penpendicular to $\hat{Q}$ but anti-ferrom agnetically parallel to $\hat{Q}$.

## 4.1.f Lattice M odel

Before leaving the spin waves and moving on to the charged excitations, we brie y sum $m$ arize a lattice $m$ odel of spin $-1 / 2$ bosons that exhibits the helical transition. W e represent the spin sector by tw o types of hard-core bosons hopping on a lattioe w ith a short-range, spin-dependent interaction.

De ning $b_{i}$ to be the bosonic destruction operator on site $i$, consider the follow ing H am iltonian:
$w$ here $n_{i}$ is the total num ber of bosons per site, and hiji denotes the sum over nearest neighbors. The U -term is required since we are dealing $w$ th hard-core bosons; the singular gauge transform ation which $m$ apped the ferm ions to bosons $m$ aintains the repulsion at the same site. The nal, antiferrom agnetic, term is very $m$ uch like the ferm ion $t \quad J$ interaction $[6]$ on . In the continuum lim it, it reduces to the rotationally invariant interaction, $j \wedge r \wedge$ 予. W e will not discuss $H$ lat further, save to point out its $m$ ean- eld features.
$T$ his two-com ponent $m$ odel is sim ilar to the one-com ponent lattige boson $m$ odel con-
 by large $t=U$ or special values of $w$ here $H$ lat has particle-hole sym $m$ etry, one is free to consider bose condensation of the particle elds. If one also $m$ akes the self-consistent restriction $t=U<J n=2 U$, $n$ being the average num ber ofbosons per site, then the free energy of the helical phase is low er than that of the ferrom agnetic phase. As in the continuum, this winding is described by the condensation:

$$
\begin{align*}
h b_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{y} i & =\frac{s}{\frac{N_{n}}{N_{L}}} e^{i \ell} x  \tag{4.46}\\
h b_{i \#}^{y} i & =\frac{N_{\#}}{N_{L}} e^{i Q} x
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}=\mathrm{N}_{\#}=\mathrm{N}=2$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the num ber of lattige sites. T he optim al condensate w avevector lies along the diagonal of the lattice: $Q=Q \hat{x}+Q \hat{y} w$ ith $Q$ determ ined by the solution of cosQ $=2 \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Jn}$ (in units of the inverse lattioe constant).

As the hopping decreases, provided that one is in a given region of $=U$, the ground state crosses over into a M ott insulator and it is no longer valid to argue based on Bose condensation. H ow ever, at points of particle-hole sym $m$ etry, the super uid persists dow $n$ to in nitesim alhopping. For the $H$ all liquid, the insulating phase is $m$ ost relevant since the charge excitations $m$ ust be gapped. It would be interesting to m ap out in detail the phase diagram of this $m$ agnetic super uid to insulator transition.

### 4.2 The H a nian: d-w ave Pairing

The previous section has analyzed the spin sector of the perm anent in som e detail. Its spinw ave dispersion w as show $n$ to be soft, allow ing a m agnetic transition. W e now want to ask the question whether there is a ground state w avefunction whose density sector exhibits an analogous behavior. To this end, we introduce a d-w ave paired w avefiunction, or $\backslash \mathrm{H}$ a nian", describing hard-core, spinless bosons at lling factor $=1=2$. In the FQHE, it is a d-wave paired state of com posite bosons. We will then argue that the H a nian is com pressible and sits on the phase boundary betw een incom pressibility and non-uniform charge density order. At present we have no e ective eld theory that captures this behavior.

A though d-wave paired states have been proposed in a di erent contex by $W$ en and W u [3] ${ }^{2}$ ], their H a nian wavefunctions describe incom pressible states of ferm ions. A s our proposal is som ew hat di erent, in the follow ing subsection we present the relevant constructions in som e detail, $m$ ainly along the lines used to investigate non-abelian statistics [

## 4.2.a A nalytic Structure of the H a nian

The H a nian builds in pairing into the Laughlin state, $m$ uch like the $P$ fa an of M oore and R ead $\overline{2} \overline{\underline{8}} \overline{1}_{1}$ :

This describes an even num ber, $N$, ofspin lessbosonsat half-ling and ux $N=2 \mathbb{N}$ (on the sphere). The prefactor is know $n$ as a $H$ a nian in linear algebra and is also the per$m$ anent of the $N \quad N(\mathbb{N}>2) m$ atrix $M_{i j}=1=\left(z_{i} \quad Z_{j}\right)^{2}(i \not j ; M i=0)$. The Hanian, Pfa an and determ inant are related by several identities, which $m$ ay be found in, for instance, $G$ reiter et al. [3]_1]

To construct the parent H am iltonian for H f , it is convenient to work on the sphere, where each particle has orbital angular $m$ om entum $N=2$. U sing the sam e notation as in the perm anent (4).

$$
H_{H f}={\underset{i \neq j \notin k}{X} V_{0} P_{i j k}(3 N=2)+V_{2} P_{i j k}(3 N=2 \quad 2)+V_{3} P_{i j k}(3 N=2 \quad 3): ~}_{\text {(3N }=2}
$$

$H f$ is the unique zero-energy eigenstate of $H_{H f}$ at $N \quad u x . T$ he proof of this statem ent proceeds.by show ing that them axim um angularm om entum ofany triplet in $H$ is $3 \mathrm{~N}=2$ 4 ; the details are in Section '4. 2 2. absent. This is a consequence of the sym $m$ etries of $C$ lebsch-G ordan coupling; three spinless bosons of angular $m$ om entum $L$ cannot be in a total angular $m$ om entum state of 3L 1.

A ltematively, $\quad \mathrm{f}$ f can be rew ritten explicitly as a paired state of com posite bosons. By analogy w th the perm anent, the order param eter (on the plane or torus) is an eigenstate of angular $m$ om entum $w$ ith eigenvalue $1=2$, i.e. $\quad$ ' ${ }^{\wedge}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{i} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}$ to leading order in k . L ikew ise the $m$ any-body state is a BCS wavefunction of $d-w$ ave bosons in two dim ensions
and the asym ptotic behavior of the pair state reproduces the $1=z^{2}$ nature of the H a nian prefactor $(4-4.4 . \overline{4})$.

## 4.2 b The H a nian H am iltonian on the Sphere

To see that Hf does not contain any triplets of total angular m om entum greater that 3N =2 4, we generalize H aldane's original argum ent for two-body interactions [i] 4 h$]$. Th is argum ent is easily applicable to $n$-body interactions. The factors ( $z_{i} z_{j}$ ) on the plane correspond to $\left(u_{i} v_{j} u_{j} v_{i}\right)$ on the sphere, $w$ ith $\left(u_{i} ; v_{i}\right)$ being the spinor coordinates of $z_{i}$. The totalangularm om entum of a triplet ( $i j k$ ) on the sphere is one-half of the maxim um of the coherent state operator $S_{i j k}=\wedge\left(F_{i}+L_{j}+L_{k}\right)$, where ${ }^{\wedge}(;)$ is any direction on the sphere and $L_{i}$ is the angular $m$ om entum of the $i$ 'th particle. ( $L_{i}+L_{j}+L_{k}$ ) com $m$ utes with any factor involving only particles $i ; j ; k$; i.e. all factors ( $u_{a} v_{b}$ w $_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) with $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} 2$ fi; j;kg. Translating this to the plane, we can nd the maximum of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ acting on Hf alm ost by inspection. W hen all factors involving exactly one of $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ or $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are multiplied out, the result $w i l l$ be a polynom ial $w$ ith term $s$ of the form $z_{i}^{A} z_{j}^{B} z_{k}^{C}$. The $m$ axim um value of $1=2(A+B+C)$ is exactly the $m$ axim um value of the total angular $m$ om entum of the triplet ( $i j k$ ). In particular, this $m$ axim um is $3 \mathrm{~N}=2$ for $\mathrm{f} f$, so it is certainly a zero energy eigen state of $H_{H f}$.

It m ust still be shown that $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the unique ground state. To this end, we will use
 H a nian prefactor in (4) as three particles ( ijk ) approach each other, the other particles rem aining far aw ay from the three. The Laurent series $m$ ust contain term $s$ of the form $\left(\begin{array}{lll}z_{i} & z_{j}\end{array}\right)^{q_{i j}}\left(z_{j} \quad z_{k}\right)^{q_{j k}}\left(z_{k} \quad z_{i}\right)^{q_{k i}} w$ ith $q_{k b}$ positive or negative integers. By continuity, the total function $m$ ust contain this Laurent factor for any position of the particles. In order for $\mathrm{H} f$ to be analytic, as it m ust be in the LLL, each $q \mathrm{~m}$ ust not be sm aller than 2 , or Q $\quad q_{j}+q_{j k}+q_{k i} \quad$. In particular, $\mathrm{f} f$ is annihilated by $P_{i j k}(3 N=2)$ if the inequality is strict, $Q>6$. A nother way to see this is on the plane: $P_{i j k}(3 N=2)$ is the pro jection onto the closest approach of a triplet| all three particles are clum ped at the north pole, taking them axim um $L_{z}$ value | which takes the form ${ }^{(2)}\left(\begin{array}{lll}\left(z_{i}\right. & Z_{j}\end{array}{ }^{(2)}\left(\begin{array}{ll}z_{j} & z_{k}\end{array}\right)\right.$ on the plane. Thus, if $Q=6$, the delta finction interaction does not annihilate $\mathrm{H} f$. Reducing the totalangular
m om entum (on the sphere) by one corresponds to restricting further the particles' closest approach, or increasing the low er lim it of Q by one. In this way, we obtain the requirem ent $Q>3$ in order for $P_{i j k}(L)$ to annihilate the ground state whenever $L>3 N=2$ 4. The extrem e case $Q=2$ corresponds to the densest eigenstate. $T$ here are four possible such factors:

$$
\left.\frac{1}{\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right)^{2}} ; \frac{1}{\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right)\left(z_{k} \quad z_{j}\right)} ; \frac{\left(z_{i} z_{j}\right)^{2}}{\left(z_{j}\right.} \begin{array}{c}
\left.z_{k}\right)^{2}\left(z_{k}\right. \\
\left.z_{i}\right)^{2}
\end{array} ; \frac{\left(z_{i} z_{j}\right)}{\left(z_{j}\right.} z_{k}\right)^{2}\left(z_{k} \quad z_{i}\right) \quad:
$$

Sym $m$ etrizing these factors w ith respect to ( $i j \mathrm{j}$ ) leaves only term $s$ like the rst one. Therefore $H_{H} f$ autom atically requires a pairing structure of its ground state that is given by the Hanian.

O ther zero-energy eigenstates are obtained by multplying in factors sym $m$ etric in the particle coordinates, being form ally allowed since they can only increase Q . T hese states describe quasiholes and edge excitations and are less dense than the ground state since they contain added ux. They are enum erated explicity in the Section 4. they are indeed zero-energy eigenstates of $H_{\text {H }}$. W ithout loss of generality, pick a de nite triplet, $(i j k)=(123)$, for convenience. T here are several cases to check, corresponding to the possible term s appearing in som e quasinole state $\left[\overline{4}-50\right.$ ) : (i) the pair $\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)$ is broken, (ii) the pair involving $z_{3}$ and another particle, say $z_{4}$, is broken, (iii) both pairs $\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)$ and $\left(z_{3} ; z_{4}\right)$ are broken, and (iv) neither pair is broken. For ilhustration, we check case (ii), the others being done sim ilarly. A pplying the generalization of H aldane's argum ent, the $m$ axim um degree of the triplet (123) is $2(\mathbb{N} \quad 3)+2(\mathbb{N} \quad 3)+2(\mathbb{N} \quad 3)+2 n+\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{n} & 2\end{array}\right)$. The rst three contributions com e from the term $s\left(z_{i} \quad z_{a}\right)$, where $i=1 ; 2 ; 3$ and $a \in 1 ; 2 ; 3$, the fourth term is due to the quasihole operator $\left(z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{5} ;:::\right)$, and the last takes into account the $m$ axim um orbital quantum num ber of the unpaired boson, $z_{3}^{n}{ }^{2}$. U sing the ux condition $N=2(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) 2+n$, leads to onehalf the maxim um degree (orm axim um total orbital angular m om entum of a triplet) being $3 \mathrm{~N}=2$ 4, which is consistent with requirem ent that it be less than $3 \mathrm{~N}=2$ 3. N ote that it has tacitly been assum ed that $\mathrm{n} \quad 2$; if $\mathrm{n}=1$ then the unpaired boson is in the zeroth orbital and half of the m axim um degree is $3 \mathrm{~N}=23 \mathrm{n}=2$. The other three cases can be checked straightforw ardly by such counting, proving that the quasihole states (4-50) are anninilated by $H_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{f}$.

## 4.2.c Zero E nergy E igen states

In addition to the H a nian, there are other zero energy eigenstates of $H_{H} f$ generated by adding $n=1 ; 2 ;:::$ ux quanta. The structure of these excitations is $m$ ore com plex than that of the fam iliar Laughlin quasiholes, having an in nite degeneracy in the therm odynam ic lim it. In previous w ork [ $\left.\overline{3} \overline{0} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, this degeneracy has been suggested to provide the necessary m anifold of states for nonabelian statistics, which we discuss below. P roper construction of the zero energy states is useful for understanding the num erical spectrum of $H_{H} f$, so we w ill go through them in som e detail.

The quasinole in the paired state, like in the Laughlin state, is generated by one ux, but it is built of two vortiges at $\mathrm{w}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ each carrying one-half $u x$ quantum. Let $n$ be the num ber of ux added to the H a nian, i.e. $N=2\left(\mathbb{N} \quad\right.$ 1) $2+n, w$ ith $w ;:: ; w_{2 n}$ being the positions of the vortioes. D enoting $B=2$ as the num ber of broken pairs in the $H$ a nian and $\mathrm{fm}_{1} ; \mathrm{m}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{g}$ as the quantum numbers of the orbitals into which the upaired bosons are placed, the explicit form for the $m$ anifold of zero-energy eigenstates is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}_{1 ;} \mathrm{m}_{2} ;::: \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{Z}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{w}_{1} ; \mathrm{w}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{w}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)= \tag{4.49}
\end{align*}
$$

is the quasihole operator given by:

$$
\left(z_{1} ; z_{2} ; \mathrm{w}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{w}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)=\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}  \tag{4.50}\\
& 2 \mathrm{~S}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{r}=1
\end{align*}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} \quad \mathrm{w}(2 \mathrm{r} 1)\right)\left(\mathrm{z}_{2} \quad \mathrm{w}(2 \mathrm{r})\right):
$$

It can be veri ed that the $m_{1} m_{2} ;:: ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{w}_{1} ; \mathrm{w}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{w}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right)$ are in fact zeroenergy eigenstates of $H_{H f}$ (Section 4 tw o vortices $\mid$ each $w$ thin half of the bosons| for each of the $n$ ux. H ence the interpretation that the vortioes carry charge $1=4$, or $1=2$ form ore general lling factors. T he unpaired bosons are labeled by the orbitalquantum num bers $\mathrm{fm}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{g}$, which m ust satisfy the condition $0 \quad m_{1} \quad::$ m $\quad m_{B} \quad n \quad$ 2. The upper lim it follow $s$ from the constraint on $N$ and the ordering is sim ply to avoid overcounting upon sym $m$ etrization. This is equivalent to putting B bosons into n 1 orbitals, forwhich the multiplicity is

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
0 & & 1 \\
B_{@} & B+n & 2^{2} \\
A & :
\end{array}
$$

$N$ ote that for $n=2$ all of the bosons com ing from broken pairs are in the low est onbital, $z^{0}$, and there are no unbroken pairs at $n=1$.

There is an additional degeneracy com ing from the positions of the quasiholes them selves, which is calculated by expanding in the w's using the elem entary sym $m$ etric polynom ials $e_{m}(w)={ }^{P} i_{1}<::<i_{n} W_{i_{1}}::: W_{i_{m}}$. The $e_{m}$ have the property that, for $m=0 ;::: ; j$, they form a basis for the algebra of all sym $m$ etric polynom ials in $j$ variables. T hus, zeroenergy states $m$ ay also be obtained as linear combinations of the $e_{m} . W$ hen is expanded in this way we obtain all the symm etric polynom ials in $\mathrm{w}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{w}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ in which the degree of any $w$ is no greater than $(\mathbb{N} \quad B)=2$. T he total num ber of linearly independent states, for a xed B and a xed set of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, is at m ost the total num ber of linearly independent sym $m$ etric functions of $w$ in the expansion of , which establishes the upper bound on the positional degeneracy of the quasinoles. That this is also the correct degeneracy, w ithout overcounting, is proven elsew here [ $\left.3 \overline{0} \overline{0}_{1}\right]$. W e can now w rite dow $n$ this num ber by regarding the vortiges as som e kind of bosonic particle, interpreting the $e_{m}$ ( $w$ ) as the states for $2 n$ bosons occupying the $(\mathbb{N} \quad B)=2+1$ orbitals $\left.f 1 ;::: ; w^{\mathbb{N}} \quad B\right)=2 g$ (recall that appears


$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{B} \quad(\mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{~B})=2+2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{C}}:  \tag{4.52}\\
2 \mathrm{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

Throughout th is construction it has been tacitly assum ed that $N$ is even, so $B$ is necessarily even as well. The construction for N odd proceeds w th only slight m odi cation, but the counting in $(4,51)$ and in $(4)$ case, the total num ber of linearly independent quasihole states is obtained by m ultiplying the two com binatorial factors and sum $m$ ing over the allow ed values of B :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X} \\
 \tag{4.53}\\
\mathrm{~B} ;(1)^{\mathrm{B}}=(1)^{\mathrm{N}}
\end{gather*}
$$

To com plete this description, one should check that all states in (400) exhaust all zeroenergy eigenstates at xed $N$ and $n$ and that they are linearly independent. Since this is som ew hat involved and is discussed at length elsew here $[3 \overline{3} \underline{d}]$, we w ill om it it here.

A s a special case, notioe that for tw o added $u x(n=2)$ the Laughlin state, forbosons at $=1=2$, is recovered when allpairs are broken $(B=N)$. Therefore, up to two ux quanta,
the Ha nian and the Laughlin states are degenerate eigenstates of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{f}$. It is convenient to adopt the com posite boson interpretation of the quasihole positional degeneracy (4.5̄). $R$ ew riting this com binatorial factor as

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 1 \\
\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{B}} & (\mathbb{N} \quad & \mathrm{B})=2+2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{C}}  \tag{4.54}\\
(\mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{B})=2
\end{array}
$$

a ords an interpretation as $(\mathbb{N} \quad B)=2$ com posite bosons in $2 n+1$ orbitals. On the sphere at $\mathrm{n}=2$ this is the correct degeneracy for an $\mathrm{L}=2$ angular m om entum m ultiplet, independently ofboth N and B. The unpaired bosons are all forced into the low est orbitalm $=0$, $w h$ ich is $m$ anifested by the degeneracy factor ( $4-51$ ) reducing to unity. Thus, the $m$ anifold ofdegenerate states is com posed of $N=2$ states (one for each of $B=0 ; 2 ;::: ; N \quad 2$ ) carrying angular $m$ om entum $L=2$ and one Laughlin state (for $B=N$ ) carrying $L=0$, which is a rotationally invariant. The $m$ ain point of the expression ( $4 . \overline{5} \overline{4}$ ) is that the zero energy eigenstates of $H_{H} f$ contain both $d-w$ ave pairs and unpaired com posite bosons in the $m=0$ orbital

The degeneracy at $L=2$ is a feature that is rem inescent of the perm anent. In that case, an analogous argum ent at $n=1$ leads to a Laughlin state at $=1$ and a set of $N=2$ states carrying $L=1$. The $(\mathbb{N} \quad B)=2$ paired bosons w ere interpreted as spin waves [ are, of course, gapless, but one may expect that the $L=2$ modes in the Ha nian are $m$ assive, being density excitations. H ow ever, we argue that this is not the case and $H_{H} f$ is com pressible, indicating that it sits right on the transition from an incom pressible state to one w th non-uniform charge density order.

## 4.2.d d-w ave P airing of Spin less B osons

In the previous subsection we show ed that the low est single particle onbitalm ay be macroscopically occupied by breaking pairs (4.54). A proper description of this system $m$ ust therefore include a single particle condensate at the outset. As soon as there is such a condensate there is also isotropic scattering out of the super uid, whidh gives rise to an swave pair am plinude.

O n the torus or plane, the full H am iltonian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\sum_{k}^{X} \frac{k^{2}}{2 m} \quad C_{k}^{y} q_{k}+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{k ; k^{0} ; q}^{X} V(q) C_{k+\frac{1}{2} q^{y}}^{y} C_{k^{0}}^{y} \frac{1}{2} q^{C_{k}} \frac{1}{2} q^{C_{k} 0+\frac{1}{2} q} \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e assum e that the low est state $\mathrm{k}=0$ is m acroscopically occupied w ith an am plitude
$=h c_{0 i}$ and expand around this condensate: $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}!+\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}}$. In addition there is the possibility of $s$ and d-w ave pairing of the anom alous correlators he $e_{k} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}$. T he resulting e ective H am iltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\text {eff }}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{k}}+\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{kc}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}}+{ }_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}_{k} \quad \text {; } \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prim e on the sum $m$ ation indicates that the $k=0$ term is to be om ilted when
$>0$; otherw ise the sum $m$ ation is over all $w$ avevectors. T he chem ical potential is shifted by the $h_{0}{ }^{y} c_{0}$ i contribution from expanding the interaction so that the single particle energy is now $k^{\prime} k^{2}=2 m+2 V(0) j$ J. For consistency, it is necessary that $V(0)$ is positive, which is a standard assum ption in interacting B ose system $s$. It is also com $m$ on to assum e that the exchange contributions do not modify $m$ signi cantly.

T he pair order param eter $m$ ust satisfy the self-consistent gap equation in the presence of a condensate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k={ }^{2} V(k) \quad \frac{1}{2}_{k^{0}}^{X}{ }^{0} V\left(k \quad k^{0}\right) \frac{k^{0}}{E_{k^{0}}} \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

To m ake sense of this com plicated integral equation, we shall assum e that $k$ is dom inated by the (st d)wave sym $m$ etry and, furtherm ore, that its leading order behavior is

$$
\mathrm{k}=\underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~s}}+\underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{w} \text { ith } \begin{align*}
& 8  \tag{4.58}\\
& \gtrless \\
& \underset{k}{\gtrless} \underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{~d}}, \wedge_{\mathrm{k}}, \wedge_{\mathrm{d}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad i \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}$;d are constants. Recall that the interaction can be expanded into angularm om entum eigenstates ( $4-2 \overline{-1}$ ) and that each channelhas the asym ptotic behavior $V_{1}\left(k ; k^{0}\right)^{\prime} k^{1}$. In other words, it is im plicit in the above form (4) (4) that the long distance property of each order param eter is dictated by its respective angular $m$ om entum channel.
$T$ he standard procedure is to diagonalize $K_{\text {eff }} . T$ his is the fam iliar B ogoliubov transform ation that replaces the original particles and holes by quasiparticles $k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om m utation relations are preserved if $j_{k} \xlongequal{\rho} \quad \dot{j} k \xrightarrow{\rho}=1$ and sym m etry requires that $u_{k}=$ $u{ }_{k}$ and $v_{k}=v_{k}$. The follow ing solutions for $u_{k}$ and $v_{k}$ put $K_{\text {eff }}$ into the form $K_{\text {eff }}=$ $P_{k} E_{k} \underset{k}{y}{ }_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{k}^{2} & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{E_{k}} 1 \frac{k}{j k j} \\
u_{k}^{2} & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{E_{k}}+1  \tag{4.60}\\
E_{k}^{2} & =2 \quad j k J: \tag{4.61}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ here is a kind ofgauge freedom in that both $u_{k}$ and $v_{k}$ can bem ultiplied by a $k$ dependent phase factor, $w$ thout changing the physics. $W$ e adopt the convention that $u_{k}$ is real and positive, while $v_{k}$ carries the possible $d$-w ave phase factor.

If both $s$ and d-w ave am plitudes are nonvanishing, an interesting feature em erges im $m$ ediately. T he quasiparticle spectrum contains an anisotropic piece com ing from the cross term $S$ in $j k j$,
$w$ here $k$ is the $m$ agnitude of and is the polar angle of $k$. Choosing the constants ${ }^{\wedge} s ; d$ to be real for the $m$ om ent, the anisotropy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}^{\wedge} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}^{2}} \cos 2 \tag{4.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has two minim at $=0$; . There is a relative $U$ (1) phase degree of freedom betw een the pairing am plitudes, which is equivalent to an $S O$ (2) rotation of the $m$ in im a. In other words, the transform ation ${ }^{\wedge} s!e^{2 i} \wedge^{\wedge}$ is the sam e as ! + . It is interesting that this anisotropy arises not from an attraction in $V(k)$, which is isotropic, but rather from the coexistence of tw o distinct pairing sym $m$ etries in $m$ om entum space.

A unique ground state wavefunction exists for each solution of the gap equations. Its generic form is like that of the perm anent (4.15) but w ith an allow ance for the single particle condensate

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=\frac{1}{N} \exp c_{0}^{y}+{ }_{k}^{x} g_{k}{c_{k}^{y} c_{k}^{y}}^{\prime} j 0 i: \tag{4.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The norm alization is the sam e as in Eq. (428) but with an extra factor of $\exp \left(j j^{2}\right)$ : The reciprocal space pair w avefunction, $g_{k}$, can be expressed in term $s$ of the B ogoliubov
param eters $u_{k}$ and $v_{k}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}} \tag{4.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generic form of the $N$ boody paired wavefunction has already been discussed in Eq . (4-29) and the H a nian exists only when $=0$ since it is a pure paired state. Furtherm ore, the asym ptotic behavior of the real space pair wavefiunction $g(r)^{\prime} 1=z^{2}$ is obtained when

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}}{\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}+i \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}}: \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The N boody state of com posite bosons constructed in this way is exactly the H a nian
 validity of this $m$ ean eld approxim ation, apply here.

Unfortunately, unlike the perm anent case, the occupation num ber $h n_{k} i=h c_{k}^{y} q_{k} i$ does not contain any new inform ation since it is constant:

$$
\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i}=\frac{\mathrm{j} \xi}{1 \mathrm{j}^{\jmath}}:
$$

H ow ever, the required form of $g_{k}$ does constrain the param eters at which the $H$ a nian can exist. At sm all $k$, the asym ptotic behavior of $g_{k}(4.6 \bar{d})$ is consistent $w$ ith ${ }^{\wedge} s=0$ only if $u_{k}$ and $v_{k}$ have the sam e asym ptotic behavior.

To understand where this point lies we m ust understand the phase diagram as derived from the self-consistency condition (4, 4 expansion for $k$ in Eq. (4) and by expanding $V\left(k \quad k^{0}\right)$ into angular $m$ om entum eigenstates as before ( $4-25$ ). This decom position separates the BCS gap equation $(4,571)$ into two coupled integral equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
\wedge s & ={ }^{2} V(k) \frac{1}{2}{ }_{k^{0}}^{0} V_{0}\left(k ; k^{0}\right) \frac{\wedge^{s}+{ }^{\wedge} d_{k^{02}} \cos 2{ }^{0}}{E_{k^{0}}}  \tag{4.67}\\
\wedge d_{k^{2}} & =\frac{1}{2}{ }_{k^{0}} V_{2}^{0}\left(k ; k^{0}\right) \frac{\wedge^{s} \cos 2^{0}+{ }^{\wedge} d_{k^{02}}}{E_{k^{0}}}: \tag{4.68}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he assum ption that the swave am plitude is constant then implies that $k=0$ in the nst equation to leading order. The coe cient $V_{0}\left(k ; k^{0}\right)$ reduces to $V\left(k^{0}\right)$ at $k=0$, and the leading order term of $V_{2}\left(k ; k^{0}\right)$ is proportional to $k^{2}$ so the second equation is consistent (c.f. Eq. (4-2])). Further sim pli cation is possible by choosing a particular gauge for the
phases of the condensates. A convenient choice is to pick a real ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{d}$ and to absorb the phase of ${ }^{\wedge}$ s into ${ }^{0}$; this only rotates the inhom ogeneity of the spectrum $\left.\overline{4}-\overline{6} \overline{3} \overline{3}\right)$. Since the phase of is locked to that of ${ }^{\wedge} s$, all order param eters can be chosen to be real and positive. Finally, since the inhom ogenely is even under parity, $0!\quad 0$, the odd term $s \sin 20$ in the num erator cancel and only cos2 ${ }^{0}$ appears.

T he crudest criterion for the solutions of the gap equations is w hether or not vanishes. C onsider rst the situation when $=0$ and the uid consists ofpure pairs. In principleboth $s$-and d-w ave pairing can coexist since the gap equations allow this generally. H ow ever, ifw e $m$ ake the reasonable assum ption that $V(k)$ is positive everyw here then only ${ }^{\wedge} d$ is allow ed. $T$ his $m$ ay be seen from a closer inspection of the ${ }^{0}$-dependent part of the integrand in (4-6 $\overline{6} \overline{7})$. Let us split it into two disjoint pieces characterized by cos2 ${ }^{0}$ negative or positive. $T$ he corresp onding $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} 0$ is alw ays larger in the form er case because the inhom ogeneity (4.63) has a negative sign. T herefore, the total contribution of the ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{d}$ term to the right hand side is alw ays negative. T hat is to say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{0} \mathrm{~V}\left(k^{0}\right) \frac{\cos 2^{0}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}^{0}}} \quad 0: ~: ~} \tag{4.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since ${ }^{\wedge} s$ is also positive in our gauge there is no consistent solution of $(4, \bar{i})$ when $=0$. $T$ he only possibility is a pure $d-w$ ave gap that satis es (4.68) w ith ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}=0$. In this phase
$<0$ and $2 E_{0}=2 j j$ is the gap to breaking a pair (see also $[\underline{\underline{2}} \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ ). Thus, when there is no single particle condensate the system is speci ed by the follow ing gap equation and quasiparticle spectrum :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \wedge_{d_{k}^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}_{k^{0}}^{X} V_{2}\left(k ; k^{0}\right) \frac{\wedge^{d_{k^{0}}}}{E_{k^{0}}} \\
& E_{k}^{2}=2+\frac{j j_{k}^{2}}{m} k^{\prime \prime} \frac{1}{2 m}{ }^{2} \quad \wedge d^{2} k^{4} \tag{4.70}
\end{align*}
$$

${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{d}$ is real in this gauge.
On the other hand, when $>0$ there is a new set of considerations, which require $V_{0}\left(k ; k^{0}\right)=0$ for consistency. Firstly, in order for $K$ eff to be a stable approxim ation to the full H am iltonian, hor i m ust be a m in im um of the free energy. T he resultant constraint, som etim es known as the $G$ ross $P$ itaevsky equation, pins the chem icalpotential to the single particle condensate by $=V(0)^{2}$ (in the gauge where is real). Furtherm ore, the
spectrum is dom inated by the gapless $G$ oldstone phonon $m$ ode at low $k$, requiring $E_{0}=$ ${ }_{0}^{2} \mathrm{j}_{0} \mathrm{~J}^{2}$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
+2 \mathrm{~V}(0)^{2}={ }^{\wedge s}: \tag{4.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

In conjunction with the pinning condition $=V(0)^{2}$, this implies that ${ }^{\wedge} s=V(0){ }^{2}$. But, using the positivity condition in Eq. (4-69), this is consistent w ith the gap equation
 the latter as it is much m ore tractable. U ltim ately, this condition is traced back to the asym ptotic behavior of the gap function (4), which is som ew hat restrictive. H ow ever, we now have a tractable and fully consistent system. Sum $m$ arizing these results for $>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{\wedge} \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{V}(0)^{2}= \\
& \wedge \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}^{2}}=\frac{1^{\mathrm{X}}}{\mathrm{k}^{0}} \mathrm{~V}_{2}\left(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}^{0}\right) \frac{{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{s} \cos 2{ }^{0}+{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}^{02}}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}^{0}}}  \tag{4.72}\\
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}=2 \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~m}} \wedge{ }^{\wedge} \cos 2(+) \mathrm{k}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 m}{ }^{2} \wedge^{2} 2^{\#} \mathrm{k}^{4}:
\end{align*}
$$

W e have used the gauge in which all order param eters are real, which shifts by , the phase of ${ }^{\wedge}{ }^{s}$. The single particle condensate constraint ${ }^{\wedge} s=$ has also been used in the last expression.

We can now $m$ ap out the phase diagram in the space of and ${ }^{\wedge}{ }^{d}$ by looking at the spectra in Eqs. (40) and (4.72). Fig. indicates the instability of both spectra when ${ }^{\wedge} d>1=2 m$. For clarity, in each of the four regions there is an inset of the typical spectrum $E_{k}^{2}$. The spectrum in region (IV) is presum ably stabilized at higherm om enta (w hich is represented by the dashed curve) so that the instability is really a kind of \d-w ave roton". T he spectrum in region (III) is clearly unstable, indicating a new phase. As increases from (IV) into (III), the pair gap and probably the roton gap, too, go to zero. A though we have no explicit calculations, it is probable that the phase in (III) is a charge-density-w ave at the roton wavevector. On the other hand, there are no instabilities in regions (I) and (II). (I) is a pure, isotropic, d-w ave condensate, in which the gap is half of the energy required to break a pair. A s the gap closes, and we m ove into (II) at xed ^d, a single particle condensate develops so that all three condensates,,$^{\wedge} s$, and ${ }^{\wedge} d$ exist; accordingly, there is a linear phonon $m$ ode and an
anisotropy due to the coexistence ofboth pairings. A $\mathrm{s}^{\wedge}{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ increases from (II) to (III), the anisotropy is strong enough that the gap closes at nite $m$ om entum, in addition to $k=0$. $T$ his is consistent w ith the transition from (IV) to (III).

The H a nian itself can only exist at $=0$, which can be seen from the asym ptotics of $u_{k}$
 is the asym ptotic form of the $m$ any-body wavefunction, ${ }^{\wedge} s \mathrm{~m}$ ust vanish. Furtherm ore,
 and $v_{k}$, and the explicit solution in Eq. (4.6in) im plies that $=0$. The thick line in the gure $m$ arks the transition region, $=0 \mathrm{w}$ th a stable spectrum ( ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{d}<1=2 \mathrm{~m}$ ), where the H a nian represents the long range behavior. E. Rezayi


F igure 4.3: Phases ofbosons in two dim ensions.

H a nian $H$ am iltonian in eqn. paired state, while for $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ positive it is a Laughlin state, which is a Bose condensate. This is consistent with the H a nian being on a phase boundary.

## Chapter 5

## Ferm ion $P$ airing: Q uantum H all E ect for Spin

In this C hapter, we provide a detailed derivation of the $H$ all conductivity for spin transport in the $d$-and p-w ave pairing of ferm ions. In the FQHE, the ferm ions are really com posite ferm ions, and we ignore gauge eld uctuations. This is equivalent to show ing that the induced action for the system in an extemal gauge eld that couples to the spin is a C hemSim ons (C S ) term. In the dwave case, the system is spin rotation invariant, so we obtain an $S U$ (2) CS term, while in the p-wave case, there is only a $U$ (1) sym metry, so we nd a $\mathrm{U}(1) \mathrm{C}$ S term. In both cases, the H all spin conductivity is given by a topological invariant. W thin the BCS m ean eld approach, using a conserving approxim ation, this topological invariant is the w inding num ber of the order param eter in m om entum space and is an integer, which is the statem ent of quantization. W e argue that the quantization in term $s$ of a topological invariant is $m$ ore general than the approxim ation used.

### 5.1 BCS H am iltonian

C onsidering rst the spin-singlet paired states, we use the $N$ am bu basis where the sym $m e-$ tries are transparent. De ne
w ith

$$
\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{B}} \begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Cn} & 1 \\
\mathrm{C} & \mathrm{C}  \tag{52}\\
\mathrm{C} & \mathrm{~A}
\end{array} ;
$$

so that transform sas a tensorproduct ofparticle-hole and spin-space spinors. W e consider an interacting system and approxim ate it as in BCS theory, then $w$ ith a $m$ in im alcoupling to the gauge eld, we use a conserving approxim ation to obtain the spin response. In Fourier space, we should note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \quad 1 \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

In the N am bu basis, the kinetic energy becom es (w ith $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{N}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{0}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{0}\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Cq}_{\mathrm{k}} "+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} \#}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} \#}\right) \\
& =\begin{array}{llll}
X^{k} & { }_{k}^{\mathrm{y}} \underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{y}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & I
\end{array}\right) \\
k
\end{array} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }_{k}^{0}=j \mathcal{J}=(2 \mathrm{~m}) \quad$ is the kinetic energy, containing the barem assm, and the products in the spinor space are understood. P roducts like $z \quad I$ act on the $N$ am bu spinors, with the rst factor acting in the particle-hole factor, the second in the spin-space factor. The interaction term, for a spin-independent interaction $V$, is

H ere the colons : :: : : denote norm al ordering, that is all the $c^{y} s$ are brought to the left. In the BCS-extended H artree Fock approxim ation, the e ective quasiparticle $H$ am iltonian (for later reference) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { k } \\
& \operatorname{Im}{ }_{k}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{y} & \mathrm{I})]_{\mathrm{k}}: \\
\text { : }
\end{array}\right. \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

This is for singlet pairing, where $k=k$, and not just for d-wave. Here $k$ is ${ }_{k}^{0} p h i$ the H artree Fock corrections. If we de ne a vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}=\left(\operatorname{Re}_{k} ; \operatorname{Im} k ; k\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the quasiparticle energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}} j$ and

$$
K_{e}=\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X}  \tag{5.8}\\
\mathrm{k}
\end{gather*} \underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{y}} \underset{\mathrm{k}}{ } \quad \quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{k}}:
$$

### 5.2 Spin Response in a C onserving A pproxim ation

In the $N$ am bu notation, it is clear that $K=K_{0}+K_{\text {int }}$, and $K_{e}$, are invariant under global SU (2) rotations that act on the spin-space, that is the second factor in the tensor products. The spin density, the integral of which over all space is the total spin and generates such global transform ations, and the spin current densities are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{0}^{a}(q)=\frac{1}{2}_{k}^{X} \quad \stackrel{y}{k} \quad q=2(I \quad \text { a) } k+q=2 \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $i=x, y$ is the spatialindex, and $a=x, y, z$ is the spin-space index. Spin conservation im plies the continulity equation, as an operator equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ J^{a}=@ x=0 ; \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=0, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and the sum m ation convention is in force.
So farwe have not introduced a gauge eld for spin. Since the spin is conserved locally, we can tum the sym $m$ etry into a local gauge sym $m$ etry by introducing an $S U$ (2) vector potential, and $m$ aking all derivatives covariant. T hee ect on $K$ is to add the integral of

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{a} J^{a}+\frac{1}{8 m} A_{i}^{a} A_{i}^{a}{ }^{y}(z \quad \text { I) : } \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gauge eld is to be used solely as an extemal source, w ith which to probe the spin response of the system, and then set to zero.

If we now consider integrating out the ferm ions, then we can obtain an action in the extemal gauge elds, which can be expanded in powers of $A^{a}$. The zeroth-order term is the free energy density, tim es the volum e of spacetim $e$, and the nst-order term vanishes by spin-rotation invariance. T he second-order term corresponds to linear resp onse: the second functional derivative $w$ th respect to $A{ }^{a}$, at $A^{a}=0$, yields the ( $m$ atrix of) linear response functions. In particular, the spatial com ponents yield the conductivity tensor in the usual way. Therefore we consider the im aginary-tim e tim e-ordered function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b=i h J^{a}(q) J^{b}(\quad q) i ; \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where tim e-ordering is understood, and from here on we use a convention that $p, q$, etc. stand for three-vectors $p=\left(p_{0} ; p\right)$, and further $p_{0}=i!$ is im aginary for im aginary tim e. For $=\quad=i=x$ or $y$, an additional \diam agnetic" term $n a=4 m$ is present in $a b$, which we do not show explicitly. A s consequences of the continuity equation and the related gauge invariance, must be divergenceless on both variables, $q=q=0$. Tom aintain these when using the BCSH artree Fock approxim ation for the equilibrium properties, one $m$ ust use a conserving approxim ation for the response function, whidh in this case $m$ eans sum $m$ ing ladder diagram s (com pare the charge case in $R$ ef. $\left[\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$, pp. $224\{237$ ). W e used the identicalm ethod in treating com posite bosons at $\in 1$ in C hapter,

O ne begins w ith the BCSH artree Fock approxim ation, which can be w ritten in term sof $G$ reen's functions as (w e consider only zero tem perature, and ${ }^{R} d p_{0}$ is along the in aginary $p_{0}$ axis throughout)

$$
\begin{align*}
& G^{1}(p)=p_{0}{ }_{p}{ }_{p} \quad z \quad I \quad(p) ;  \tag{5.14}\\
& (p)=i^{Z} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
z & I
\end{array}\right) G(k)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
z & I
\end{array}\right) V(k \quad q): ~ \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that $G(p)$ and (p) are $m$ atrices acting on the tensor product space. T he equations are solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{1}(p)=p_{0} \quad E_{p} \quad I \text {; } \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(we write 1 for $I \quad I$ ) as one can also see from the e ective quasiparticle $H$ am iltonian $K_{e}$, and p obeys the standard gap equation.

In the response function, the ladder series can be sum $m$ ed and included by dressing one vertex, to obtain (again not show ing the diam agnetic term )

$$
\begin{gather*}
a b(q)=\quad{ }^{\mathrm{z}} \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} t^{h}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{p}+q) G(\mathrm{p}+q) \\
{ }^{\mathrm{b}(p+q ; p) G(p)} \text { i } \tag{5.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

where ${ }^{a}$ is the bare vertex,

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}^{a}(p ; p+q)=\frac{1}{2} I \quad a ;  \tag{5.18}\\
& a_{i}^{a}(p ; p+q)=\frac{\left(p+\frac{1}{2} q\right)_{i}}{2 m} z \quad a ; \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and ${ }^{a}$ is the dressed vertex satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{ }^{b}(p+q ; p)={ }^{b}(p+q ; p)+i \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \\
z \quad I G(k+q)  \tag{520}\\
{ }^{b}(k+q ; k) G(k)_{z} \quad I V(p \quad k):
\end{array}
$$

At $s m$ all $q$, we can obtain useful inform ation about this function from the $W$ ard identity that results from the continuity equation. The particular $W$ ard identity we use here is an exact relation of the vertex function to the selfenergy, and the conserving approxim ation (the ladder series) w as constructed to ensure that it holds also for the approxim ated vertex and self energy functions.

Follow ing Schrie er's treatm ent $\underline{\underline{2}} \overline{1} \overline{1} 1]$, we consider the vertex function w ith extemal legs included:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{a}}\left(r_{1} ; r_{2} ; r_{3}\right)=h J^{\mathrm{a}}\left(r_{3}\right) \quad\left(r_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{y}}\left(r_{2}\right) \mathrm{i} ; \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for spacetim e coordinates $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$. A pplying $@=@ r_{3}$ to both sides and using the operator continuity equation, we obtain the exact identity in Fourier space

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \quad a(p+q ; p)=\frac{1}{2} I \quad{ }_{a} G^{1}(p) \quad \frac{1}{2} G^{1}(p+q) I \quad \quad a: \tag{5,22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since G ${ }^{1}$ is trivial in the spin-space indiges, it com m utes with I a. Hence at q! 0, the right-hand side vanishes, so ( $p+q ; p$ ) has no singularities as $q!0$. This di ers from the charge case, for exam ple, w here this calculation (using the ladder series approxim ation) leads to the discovery of the collective $m$ ode [3] 3 ]. Since the spin sym $m$ etry is unbroken, no collective $m$ ode is necessary to restore this conservation law, and so there is no singularity in the vertex function for spin.

O ne can verify that the $W$ ard identity is satis ed using the BCSH artree Fock G ${ }^{1}$ and the ladder series for . At $q=0$, this yields the im portant results

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{a}(p ; p)=\frac{1}{2} @ G^{1}(p) I \quad a ; \tag{523}
\end{equation*}
$$

or explicitly,

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{o}^{a}(p ; p) & =\frac{1}{2} I \quad a ; \\
\underset{i}{a} & =\frac{1}{2} @_{i} G^{1}(p) I \quad a ; \tag{5.24}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $@_{i}$ and $@$ stand for $@=@ p_{i}$, $@=@ p$ from here on, and the extra $m$ inus in the nst relation is consistent because im plicitly $q \quad=q_{0} \quad 0 \quad q i$.

W e now calculate at sm all q. To zeroth order, use of the $W$ ard identity show $s$ that the $J J$ fiunction gives zero, except when $=\quad=$ i. In that case, it reduces to a constant that cancels the diam agnetic term also present in just that case. H ence we require only the part rst-order in $q$. In the expression for above, we rst shift p! p $\frac{1}{2} q$, so that $q$ no longer appears in any bare vertioes, but does appear in the $G$ reen's functions on both sides of the ladder, betw een the rungs which are the interaction lines. Hence to rst order, we obtain a factor $\frac{1}{2} @ G=\frac{1}{2} G @ G{ }^{1} G$ in place of $G$ in one position in the ladder. Since there $m$ ay be any num ber of rungs (including zero) betw een this and either of the vertioes at the ends, the term $s$ can be sum $m$ ed up into a ladder dressing each vertex, evaluated at $q=0 . H$ ence we obtain to rst order

$$
\begin{gather*}
a b(q)=\frac{i^{z}}{2} \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} t^{h}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{p}) q @ G^{b}(p ; p) G(p) \\
{ }^{a}(p ; p) G(p)^{b}(p ; p) q @ G^{i}: \tag{5,25}
\end{gather*}
$$

$U$ sing the $W$ ard identity, this becom es

$$
\begin{gather*}
a b(q)=\frac{i}{8} q^{z} \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} \operatorname{tr}^{n}\left(I \quad{ }^{a}\right)\left(I \quad{ }^{\circ}\right) G @ G^{1} \\
{\left[G @ G^{1} ; G @ G^{1}\right]} \tag{526}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the G's are independent of the spin-space indices, the explicit 's factor o, and the result is ${ }^{a b}$ tim es a spin-independent part. T he latter can be sim pli ed using the BCSH artree Fock form of G, by writing the latter as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p)=\frac{p_{0}+E_{p}}{p_{0}^{2} E_{p}^{2}}: \tag{527}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he spin-independent factor contains since it is antisym $m$ etric in these labels. K eeping track of the signs, we nd for the quadratic term in the induced action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \frac{M^{Z}}{4} d^{3} r A^{a} \frac{@ A^{a}}{@ r} ; \tag{5,28}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith M given by the topological invariant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.M=\frac{Z}{8} d_{i j} E_{p} \quad \text { 迪 }{ }_{p} \quad @_{j} E_{p}\right)=E_{p}^{3}: \tag{529}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he right hand side is exactly the $P$ ontriagin $w$ inding num ber $m$, and is an integer as long as $E$ is a continuous, di erentiable function of $p$; it is 2 for the $d-w$ ave case.

To ensure $S U(2)$ gauge invariance, the CS term should include also a term cubic in A, w th no derivatives. For this term we evaluate the triangle one-loop diagram $s$ w th three insertions of $J$, w ith each vertex dressed by the ladder series. Setting the extemalm om enta to zero, the $W$ ard identity can be used for all three vertiges, and the result can be seen to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { abc }(0 ; 0)=\frac{1}{24}^{Z} \frac{d^{3} p}{(2)^{3}} \operatorname{tr}^{h}\left(I \quad \text { a) } G @ G^{1}\right. \\
& \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{~b}) \mathrm{G} @ \mathrm{G}^{1} ;\left(\mathrm{I} \quad{ }_{c}\right) \mathrm{G} @ \mathrm{G}^{1} \mathrm{~g}^{i} \text { : } \tag{5,30}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he antioom $m$ utator $f$; $g$ arises since the result $m$ ust be sym $m$ etric under perm utations of the index pairs , a, etc. Theproduct a b c, when traced over the spin-space indioes, yields a factor $2 i \operatorname{abc}$, which is antisym $m$ etric, and so the rem ainder $m$ ust contain to $m$ aintain sym $m$ etry; the rest of the structure is the sam e as before. $H$ ence the full result is the $S U$ (2) CS term, which we w rite in term sof the $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix vector potentials $\mathrm{A}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{a} A^{a}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k^{Z}}{4} d^{3} x \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(A @ A+\frac{2}{3} A A A\right): \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $k$ is the conventional notation for the coe cient of such a term, in this sam e norm alization; if we w ished to quantize the theory by functionally integrating over A, we would need $\mathrm{k}=$ an integer. In our case $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{M}=2=1$ for $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{w}$ ave.

For the spin-triplet case w ith an unbroken $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry, we m ust use the fact that
$k=k$. For exam ple, in the two-dim ensional A-phase, as occurs in the 331 state in the double-layer FQ HE system w ith zero tunneling, the pairs are in the isospin $S_{z}=0$ triplet state ${ }_{i} \#_{j}+\#_{i} "_{j}$, and the $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry generated by $S_{z}$ is unbroken; we recall that the underlying $H$ am iltonian is not assum ed to have a fiull SU (2) sym $m$ etry. T he e ective quasiparticle H am iltonian becom es, in the N am bu-style notation,

The U(1) vector potentialA couples to $S_{z}$, and the vertex functions contain $I \quad{ }_{z}$, which com m utes w th the BCSH artree Fock G reen's function G. The tensors appearing in the
three term $s$ in $K_{e}$ obey the sam e algebra as the three in that for the spin-singlet case (where they were trivial in the second factor), and as in that case com $m$ ute $w$ ith $I \quad z$. C onsequently, the derivation for the induced action to quadratic order in $A$ is sim ilar to that for the $S U(2)$ singlet case above, and the traces in the $N a m b u$ indioes can be carried out w ith the sam e result as before, to obtain the abelian C $S$ term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} M^{Z} \quad d^{3} r A \frac{@ A}{@ r} \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and no cubic term. In this case, $M$ is again given by the $w$ inding number $m$ widh is 0 or
1 in the $p-w$ ave strong and weak-pairing phases (respectively) discussed in this chapter.

### 5.3 D iscussion and G eneralizations

W e note that the ect of the vertex corrections we included as ladder series is to renorm alize the $q=0$ vertioes as show $n$ in eq. (523) for the spin-singlet case, and use these in one-loop diagram $s w$ th no further corrections. This corresponds to the $m$ inim alcoupling $p!p \quad A$ in the action, as one would expect by gauge invariance. If we assum e such a coupling, and treat the low -energy, long-w avelength theory near the weak-strong transition as D irac ferm ions w ith relativistic dispersion and $m$ inim al coupling to the extemal gauge eld, then the expression for $M$ as an integral over $p$ covers only half the sphere in $n$ space, and we would get 1 (d-wave), $1=2$ ( $p-w a v e$ ). The $m$ issing part results from the ultraviolet regulator in the eld theory version of the calculation [ $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} 1]$ or from a second ferm ion $w$ ith a xed $m$ ass in som e lattioe $m$ odels $\underset{\sim}{2} \bar{Z} \eta$. . In our calculation, the rem ainder is provided by the ultraviolet region, where $k!0$ as $k!1$. At the transition, $=0$, the m ap is discontinuous and covers exactly half the sphere in the $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{w}$ ave case, $\mathrm{so} \mathrm{M}=1=2$, as in other problem s. In the $d-w$ ave case $w$ ith rotational sym $m$ etry, the value of $j_{k}=u_{k} j a s k!0$ is nonuniversal, and hence so is the value of $\underset{\mathrm{xy}}{\mathrm{s}}$ at the transition. This is a consequence of the non-relativistic form of the dispersion relation of the low-energy ferm ions in this case. W e m ay also note that for a paired system on a lattioe, as in models of high $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ superconductors, a sim ilar calculation will give an integral over the Brillouin zone, which is a torus $T^{2}$, instead of the $k$ plane which can be compacti ed to $S^{2}$. But $m$ aps from $T^{2}$ to $S^{2}$ are again classi ed by the integers, and the integer $w$ inding num ber is given by the
sam e expression, so quantization is una ected.
W e can also argue that the quantization result aw ay from a transition is exact in a translationally-invariant system, at least in all orders in pertunbation theory. For this we use the form in eq. $(5-2 \overline{2})$ or $(5-30)$, w here the $W$ ard identity for the vertex has been used. D iagram $m$ atically, it is clear that the exact expression can be sim ilarly w rilten, using the exact (i.e., all orders in perturbation) G reen's function and vertex function. ( $T$ his is also true when the CS gauge eld interaction is included.) TheW ard identity that relates them is exact, and the result for $\underset{x y}{S}$ is of the sam e form as show $n$. T he next step, the frequency integrals, cannot be done explicitly in this case, because the precise form of the G reen's function is unknow $n$, and the analogs of $k$, $k$ (or of $u_{k}, v_{k}$ ) do not exist. The latter do not exist because in general the poles in the G reen's function, which would represent the quasiparticles, are broadened by scattering processes, except for the low est energies for kinem atical reasons. H ow ever, the form in eq. $\binom{[5-1}{$\hline-2} is itself a topological invariant, as we w ill now argue. As long as there is a gap in the support of the spectral function of $G, G(p)$ is continuous and di erentiable on the im aginary frequency axis, and tends to $I \quad I=p_{0}$ as $p_{0}!\quad i 1 . T h u s G^{1}$ exists and never vanishes. C onsidering the spin-singlet case for conven ience, the spin-space structure is trivial, so wem ay perform the corresponding traces, and then $G$ or $G^{1}$ is a 22 m atrix, w th the sam e reality properties on the im aginary $p_{0}$ axis as in the BCSH artree Fock approxim ation. (T he spin-triplet case should work out sim ilarly, because of the algebraic structure already mentioned.) It thus represents a real non-zero 4-com ponent vector, in $R^{4} \quad 0$, which topologically is the sam e as $S^{3} . S^{3}$ is obtained by dividing $G$ by its norm , $\left(\operatorname{trg}^{y}{ }^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)^{1=2}$, and the norm alized $G$ is a 2 unitary $m$ atrix $w$ ith determ inant 1 ,so it lies in $S^{3}$. The $k$ space can be com pacti ed to $S^{2}$ as before, and the frequency variable can be view ed as an elem ent of the interval I $=(1 ; 1)$, so the integral is over $S^{2}$ I. H ow ever, since the lim it of the $G$ reen's function asp! il for xed $k$ is independent of $k$, we can view this as sim ply $S^{3}$. Thus we are dealing $w$ th $m$ aps from $S^{3}$ to $S^{3}$, the equivalence classes of which are classi ed by the hom otopy group ${ }_{3}\left(S^{3}\right)=Z$. $T$ he integral we have obtained sim ply calculates the integer winding num ber or P ontryagin index of the $m$ ap, when properly norm alized ( $G$ can be norm alized to lie in $S U(2)$ w thout a ecting the integral). This establishes the quantization of $\underset{x y}{s}$ in a translationally-invariant
system w ith a gap, at least to all orders in perturbation theory, and probably can be m ade fiully non-perturbative (as the $W$ ard identity is already).

## C hapter 6

## A dsorption on C arbon N anotubes

In this chapter we sw itch gears into one dim ension and consider adsorption on nanotubes as discussed in the introductory chapter, Section '1.

### 6.1 N anotube geom etry

F ig. $\mathrm{i}_{6}^{-1}$ illustrates the way in which a nanotube is obtained by w rapping a graphite sheet. $T$ he hexagons are at positions $R_{n \rightarrow m}=n a_{+}+m a$, where a are prim tive lattioe vectors of the honeycom b lattice. The standard convention is to identify $R_{0 ; 0} \quad R_{N} ; M$, and to sim ply label the tube ( $\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{M}$ ). The case $(\mathbb{N} ; N)$ is known as the arm chair tube, $(\mathbb{N} ; 0)$ is the zig-zag, and all others are chiral. There is a geom etric frustration whenever the w rapping destroys the tripartite nature of the in nite sheet, which occurs when $(\mathbb{N} M$ )mod 3 is
 zig-zag and chiral tubes can be frustrated geom etrically, whereas arm chair tubes cannot. The adsonption sites form a triangular lattioe $w$ rapped on the cylinder, which is show in Fig. $\overline{6}$ 亿 2 for the $(7 ; 0)$ zig-zag. In the follow ing section we specify the $H$ am iltonian and consider the sim plest (classical) lim it in which intersite tunneling of adatom $s$ is prohibited. W e will then tum on the hopping perturbatively (the quantum case).


F igure 6.1: A n exam ple ofw rapping of the graphite sheet to $m$ ake $a(2 ; 1)$ tube. a are the prim itive lattice vectors of the honecom b lattice. The solid rectangle is the prim itive œlll of the tube. The tube can also be built up by stacking the dotted region along the axis w th the solid dotted lines identi ed. A lso shown is the tripartite lattioe labeling A , B , C .


Figure 6.2: A dsonption sites on a (7;0) zig-zag nanotube


Figure 6.3: P hase diagram of the $(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{M})$ tube

### 6.2 The H am iltonian and C lassical Lim it

W hen the adsorbed gas is a hard-core boson, the lattice gas is de ned by the B ose H ubbard


$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\underset{h_{i j i}}{t^{x} b_{i}^{y} b_{j}+b_{j}^{y} b_{i}+V{ }_{\text {hiji }}^{x} n_{i} n_{j} \quad{ }_{i}^{x} n_{i} ; ~ ; ~} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $n_{i}$ is the boson density at site $i, V$ is the nearest neighbor repulsion and $t$ is the hopping am plitude. The occupation num bers $n_{i}$ are restricted to $0 ; 1$ by the hard-core condition. There is a fam iliar H eisenberg spin representation $\left[\underline{0} \bar{j}_{1}\right]$, which identi es $S_{i}^{z}=$ $n_{i} \quad 1=2, S_{i}^{+}=b_{i}^{y}$, and $S_{i}=b_{i}$. The H am iltonian is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\underset{\text { hiji }}{2 t^{X}} S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x}+S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}+V{ }_{\text {hiji }}^{X} S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z} \quad H_{i}^{X} S_{i}^{z} ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{i}^{z}=n_{i} \quad 1=2$ and $H=3 V$ is an e ective extemalm agnetic eld. $T$ hroughout the paper we w ill use the spin and density representations interchangeably. T he spin m odels obtained in this w ay are sim ilar to recent exam ples of \spin tubes" [4]

The Ising lim it of the spin models, $t=0$ corresponds to the case $w$ hen hopping is forbidden, and already contains $m$ any interesting features. W e start the analysis in this regim $e$, obtaining the phase diagram as a function of the $m$ agnetic eld, and then consider quantum uctuations perturbatively in $t=V$. W e sum $m$ arize our results rst.
$T$ he phase diagram in the tem perature-m agnetic eld plane of a typical tube is show $n$ in $F$ ig. '6. When the index $q=(\mathbb{N} \quad M) \bmod 3$ is 1 or 2 , we nd four lobes (solid lines), corresponding to tw o plateaus w ith $m$ agnetizations $m<1=3$ and $m+>1=3$. Here,
we use the standard Ising notation in which spin is $1 . N$ ote that the plateaus are real phases only at zero tem perature because the tube is one-dim ensional. At nite tem perature, the boundaries should be interpreted as crossovers. N onetheless, deep within a lobe, at $k_{B} T \quad V$, the $m$ agnetizations are well-de ned. Speci cally, for $q=1$, we obtain the exact expressions

$$
\begin{gather*}
m_{+}=\frac{1}{3} 1+\frac{2}{2 M+N} \quad m \quad=\frac{1}{3} \quad 1 \quad \frac{2}{2 N+M} \\
H_{c}=4 \frac{2 M}{N+M} \quad V \tag{6.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The com plem entary case of $q=2$ is obtained by interchanging $N \$ M$. On the other hand, those tubes w thout geom etric frustration ( $q=0$ ) behave sim ilarly to the at sheet (dotted lines) which has only tw o lobes w ith magnetizations $1=3$ " $\overline{[1]} \overline{1}]$ ]. In the at sheet, the dotted lines are second order phase transitions in the universality class of the P otts-3 m odels $[\overline{\underline{l}} \overline{2} \overline{2}]$. In our w rapped case, as the tube perim eter approaches the at sheet lim it, one expects that the geom etric frustration becom es irrelevant. Indeed, as N or M ! 1 , $m+$ and $m$ squeeze $1=3$ as the inverse of the tube diam eter and becom e indistinguishable. B eyond the lobes, where the eld is strong enough to overcom e all nearest neighbor bonds ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{F}>6$ at $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=0$ ), the tube is fully polarized. The lling fractions are obtained from the $m$ agnetizations by $m=2(n \quad 1=2)$. The phase diagram, how ever, is m ore easily visualized in term s of spin since spin reversal, m \$ m, corresponds to particle-hole sym m etry, $n$ \$ 1 n.

W e have veri ed this prediction num erically by transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethods [8]in $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$ for zig-zag tubes up to $N=11$ and for the chiral tubes up to $N+M=7!-1$ The transfer $m$ atrix row $s$ for a sam ple tube are delineated by dotted lines in Fig. '1.'. It should be noted that sim ilar transferm atrix calculations have been carried out for the special case of unfrustrated zig-zag tubes $(q=0)[8]\left[\begin{array}{c}2 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. The m otivation in these earlier works was a nite size scaling analysis of the solid phases on at graphite.

A though 7 is probably too sm all to be physical, we believe that the argum ents in this paper generalize to any tube. In $F$ ig.

The program ming used the G nu im plem entation offortran 77 on a PC.Them ain stum bling block is very large contributions to the partition function at low $T$; the Lapack routine library was used to handle num bers outside ordinary m achine range.


Figure 6.4: M agnetization and entropy per site at $k_{B} T=0: 05 \mathrm{~V}$
di erent $q:(7 ; 0)$ and $(8 ; 0)$. The $m$ agnetization curves show clear plateaus whose values and transition elds $m$ atch those predicted by Eq. (6). By increasing the tem perature and follow ing the evolution of the plateaus, we generate the phase diagram above.
$W e$ nd that a rather interesting feature of the zig-zag ( $N$; 0 ) tubes em erges, $m$ aking them exceptional. The insets in $F$ ig. $\overline{6}$ which has plateaus, too. Upon enum erating the degenerate space explicitly (Section below ), we shall show that the entropy is exactly $s=(\ln 2)=N$ and that it occurs in $m+$ for $q=1$ and in $m$ for $q=2$. In the presence of hopping, the non-degenerate plateaus retain their gaps, whereas the degenerate ones becom e correlated states $w$ ith a unique ground state and gapless excitations. M ore precisely, conform alinvariance develops and the e ective theory has central charge $c=1 \mathrm{w}$ th a com pacti cation radius, R , quantized by the tube circum ference, $R=N$.

In order to understand the $m$ agnetizations and nature of the geom etric frustration, it is $m$ ore intuitive to use the original bosonic picture. As a result of hard-core repulsion on the in nite graphite sheet, the $m=1=3$ plateau corresponds to lling one of the three sublattices, $A$; $B$ or $C$, of the triangular lattice. This con guration $m$ in im izes the repulsion, $V_{n} n_{j}, w h i l e m$ axim izing the lling, $n$. It is natural to try the sam efor nanotubes, as we illustrate in F ig. ${ }^{-1}$ '5 for $(5 ; 0)$. U pon w rapping, how ever, the thick vertical lines are identi ed and the lattioe is no longer tripartite. In fact, the num ber of sublattice sites is no longer equal, and there is a mism atch along the thick line, which we term the \zipper". O n the left we ll the A sublattioe, obtaining the lling fraction $n_{+}=2=5$, and on the right either $B$ or C $m$ ay be led w ith the result that $n=3=10$. For general $(\mathbb{N} ; 0)$ there are 2 N hexagons in


Figure 6.5: F illings and zipper of the $(5 ; 0)$ zig-zag tube. n corresponds to $m$
the unit cell, and the lling fractionsare $n_{+}=d 2 N=3 e=2 N$ and $n=b 2 N=3 c=2 N$, where dxe and bxc denote the larger and $s m$ aller of the tw o bounding integers of $x$, respectively. The $m$ agnetizations in Eq. [6] follow directly by using the correspondence $m=2(n \quad 1=2)$. Furtherm ore, due to the sublattioe $m$ ism atch, the num ber density of ad jacent particles, $n_{b}$, $m$ ay be non-zero. In the case of $(5 ; 0)$, there are two broken bonds per unit cell in $n_{+}$, and none in $n$. This result generalizes to any $q=2$ zig-zag tube: $n_{b+}=2=2 N$ and $n_{b}=0$. For $q=1$, the argum ent goes through as before, except that $n_{b+}=1=2 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{W}$ e sum m arize this com pactly by $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{b}+}=\mathrm{q}=2 \mathrm{~N}$.



$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{q}}{2 \mathrm{~N}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} 2 \mathrm{~N}=3 \mathrm{e}}{2 \mathrm{~N}}=\quad \frac{\mathrm{b} 2 \mathrm{~N}=3 \mathrm{c}}{2 \mathrm{~N}} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving for and using the correspondence $H=3 V$ gives precisely the critical eld in Eq. ( 6 $N$ ote that, for the special case of the zig-zags, the critical eld depends only on $q$ and not on $N$ perse.

In the above analysis, we have $m$ ade only one assum ption, nam ely that the zipper runs parallel to the tube axis. In general, the zipper $m$ ay $w$ ind helically around the tube or w iggle sidew ays. H ow ever, in all the cases that we considered, the straight zipper has the low est energy, and m oreover, our transfer m atrix com putations, which are blind to this assum ption, are consistent $w$ th our analysis.

The chiral tubes are di erent. D ue to their geom etry the zipper is forced to w ind, but, again, we nd that the choige of the straightest possible zipper reproduces our num erics for $N+M$ up to 7. The determ ination of the llings and level crossings is $m$ uch $m$ ore involved than that of the zig-zag, and we leave it for a m ore detailed paper. In any case, our analysis reveals that the plateaus in a chiral tube are not $m$ acroscopically degenerate, so that the zig-zags are at a special degenerate point.

### 6.3 M acroscopic D egeneracy and Q uantum F luctuations

$H$ aving understood in detail the Ising lim it, we now tum on a smallhopping, $t \quad V$, that introduces quantum uctuations. D eep $w$ ithin a plateau, the substrate is $m$ axim ally led since adding a particle increases $n_{b}$. C onsequently, all plateaus begin $w$ ith a classical gap of order $V$, and we w ork in the $H$ ibert space of the classical ground states. $T$ hose plateaus $w$ hich have only a discrete sym $m$ etry $m$ ust retain their gaps, but the $m$ acroscopically degenerate plateaus are $m$ ore com plicated.
 hop laterally by one site $w$ thout changing $n_{b}$, as we illustrate in F ig. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{G} . \mathrm{G}, \mathrm{left}$. Im agine building a typical $n_{+}$state layer-by-layer from top to bottom, with a totalofl layers. Each new layer m ust add exactly two lled sites and one nearest-neighb or bond ( $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{b}}=1=5$ ). This constraint im plies that no two adjacent sites may be occupied within a layer; if they were, then, to conserve $n_{b}$, tw o adjacent sites m ust be occupied in the next, and so on up the tube. H ow ever, this state is not connected to any other by a single hop. Sim ilarly, the particles cannot hop from layer to layer because this adds another intra-layer bond. A n allowed state can be represented as a string of occupied sites, $=f i g, i=1 ;::: ; L$, which in our example is $=\mathrm{f}(5 ; 3)(5 ; 2)(5 ; 3) \quad$ g. At each layer, there are exactly tw o possibilities for the follow ing one. For exam ple, $(1 ; 4)$ can be followed by $(1 ; 4)$ or by $(2 ; 4)$. H ow ever, the total num ber of possibilities at any given level is ve. $F$ ig. structure succinctly as a square lattice w rapped on the cylinder. A typical state, then, is a lattioe path along the tube. $T$ here is a recent $H$ ubbard $m$ odel considered by $H$ en ley and Zhang [ $[\underline{8} \overline{3} \overline{3}]$ of spinless ferm ions on a square lattice in which the bookkeeping of states is


Figure 6.6: LEFT:Typicalcon guration in $n_{+}$(orm ) of the ( $5 ; 0$ ) tube. A ltemating numbering w ithin layers allow s a sym m etric description from bottom -to-top or top-to-bottom. R IG H T : A llow ed states as paths on a w rapped square lattice. The vertex labels $m$ ay be dropped.
very sim ilar.
Generalizing to $(\mathbb{N} ; 0)$, we nd $N$ possible states in each layer and two in the succeeding one, and the structure of states is again that of a w rapped square lattioe w ith N squares along the circum ference. The dim ension of the $H$ ilbert space is the num ber of lattice paths, $\mathrm{N} 2^{\mathrm{L}}$, so that in an in nitely long tube, the entropy per site is exactly (ln2)=N, as claim ed earlier. N otice that constrained paths introduce correlations along the length of the tube, despite the absence of inter-layer hopping.

Them atrix elem ents of the pro jected H am iltonian connect only those states that di er by a single hop:

It tums out that this H am iltonian is exactly solvable, being closely related to a class of solid-on-solid $m$ odels that were introduced by Pasquier [ derive the continuum lim it of $H$, and we con $m$ the result num erically in the succeeding section.

## 6.3.a C ontinuum Lim it

In the previous section, the paths were labeled, for clarity, by a string of occupied sites on the nanotube. A sim pler representation is to work w ith the w rapped square lattioe directly,
where the path is uniquely speci ed by an initialpoint and its direction in each layer. W e will label the topm ost layer by $i=1 \mathrm{w}$ th $i$ increasing by 1 w th each dow nward $m$ ove. $T$ here are $L$ layers of hexagons and we im pose periodic boundary conditions, $L+1 \quad 1$. In order for the layers to $m$ atch, $L$ has to be even.

To specify the initial point on , we chose an lanchor" on one of the N sites in the $i=1$ layer ( $=1 ;::: ; N$ ). N ow, represent a step to the right in layer iby a ferm ion, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}}$, and a step to the left by a hole, $c_{i}$. A state $j i$ in the $H$ ilbert space, $S$, is represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=j i \quad{\underset{i}{1}}_{y}^{C_{i_{2}}} \quad{ }_{i_{p}}^{y} j \text { joic; } \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here is the anchor site in the rst layer and $i_{1} \quad p$ are the layers where the path steps
 The ferm ionic representation is convenient since there is exactly one step in each layer, but hard-core bosons can also be used. In any case, in one dim ension they are equivalent. T he num ber of particles (steps to the right) and holes (steps to the left) must add up to $L$ in order for the path to close on itself along the length of the tube. Each path also has a topological character for the num ber of tim es that it $w$ inds around the tube, which m ust be a m ultiple of $N$ for the path to close. T hese tw o conditions $m$ ay be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{L}  \tag{6.7}\\
& \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{bN} ; \tag{6.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $N_{p ; h}$ is the num ber of particles or holes, and $b$ is an integer. If the particles are assigned a charge, then $b N$ is the total charge. $N$ ote that $b=0$ corresponds to half- 17 ing, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{L}=2$.

W henever it is allow ed w ithin a layer, a single hop changes the step sequence right-left to left-right and vice versa, which corresponds to $c_{i+1}^{y} c_{i}$ or $c_{i}^{y} c_{i+1}$. In a layer without a kink no hops are possible, and the hopping term $s$ vanish by ferm ionic statistics. Since we are working in periodic boundary conditions, the boundary term $s, c_{1}^{y} c_{L}$ and $c_{L}^{y} c_{1}, m$ ust be treated m ore carefilly. A hop at this point is necessarily accom panied by a translation of the anchor point by jiTin $j$ i. Let us represent this operation by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \quad j i=j \quad 1 i \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $R^{y}=R_{+}$. Cylindrical wrapping requires a $Z_{N}$ sym metry because j $\quad N$ i $\quad j$ i, i.e. $R^{N}=R$. Putting the bulk and boundary hopping term $s$ together, the $H$ am iltonian of eqn. ( $\overline{6}-5$ ) becom es

We can think of $H$ as describing free ferm ions on a periodic one dim ensional chain with a $Z_{N}$ im purity on one of the bonds.

H can be diagonalized exactly in m om entum space. G oing around the tube lengthw ise contributes a phase $e^{i k L}$ while going around the perim eter contributes $e^{i}$, $w$ ith $=2 a=N$ ( $a=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1$ ). Therefore toroidalboundary conditions require

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\mathrm{i} k \mathrm{~L}} e^{\mathrm{i}}=1: \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\frac{2 \mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{n}+\frac{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{~N}} \text {; } \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e v=2 t$ is the velocity and $n$ is an integer. The Ham iltonian contains the usual free particle dispersion, but w th the allowed k given by eqn. (6.12]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=4 t_{k}^{X} \operatorname{coskc} c_{k}^{y} a_{k}: \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the spectrum is linearized around the Ferm im om entum, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{j}$ (at half- lling), then at sm all $k$, nonzero a states cost an additionalenergy of (2 v=L) $(a=N)^{2}$.

The a=N o set in k can be thought of as a minin ally coupled vector potential such that the $m$ agnetic eld is a - ux tube through the torus containing ( $a=N$ ) ux quanta. In other words, one of the bonds along the chain (the \anchor") had $Z_{N}$ sym $m$ etry, whose e ect is equivalent to a ux tube. Fig. ${ }^{-167} 7^{-7}$ illustrates this equivalence. Theo set in $k$ is like a total current in the ferm ion system .

At th is point, one can see tw o topologicale ects of the torus. $F$ irst is the $Z_{N} \quad u x$ tube, or total current. A swe have seen, its contribution to the energy near $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{j} w$ as $(2 \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{L})(\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{N})^{2}$. Second is the path winding along the length of the tube, or total charge, eqn. $(\overline{6}-(\overrightarrow{1})$. Its


Figure 6.7: The left ring show s the $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ im purity on the anchor bond (w avy line). $T$ he right ring show $s$ the equivalent altemative, where the im purity is replaced by a ux tube through the torus.
contribution to the energy near $j_{F} j$ is sim ilar, $(2 \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{L})(\mathrm{bN}=2)^{2}$. The total energy due to these topological sectors is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a ; b}=\frac{2 v}{L} \frac{a^{2}}{N^{2}}+\frac{b^{2} N^{2}}{4}: \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his expression is fam iliar from the Luttinger liquid $m$ odel of one-dim ensional spinless Ferm ions [

W e can now obtain the continuum lim it ofourm odel. It is well known that free ferm ions in one dim ension are equivalent to free bosons. The corresponding Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{8}\left[\mathrm{v}^{1}\left(@_{\mathrm{t}}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \quad \mathrm{~V}\left(@_{\mathrm{x}}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] ; \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where' is the bosonic eld. L is a conform ally invariant theory $w$ ith central charge $c=1$. W e con jecture that the topologicale ects that we described above com e from com pactifying ' on a circle of radius R ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
+2 \mathrm{R}: \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By com pactifying the boson, topological modes (or zero modes) appear. In eld theory, they are conventionally obtained from electric and $m$ agnetic $m$ onopoles. The energy of the zero $m$ odes is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}}^{0}=\frac{2 \mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{~L}} \frac{\mathrm{a}^{2}}{\mathrm{R}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{b}^{2} \mathrm{R}^{2}}{4} ; \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $a$ and $b$ are integers labeling the fundam ental cycles on the torus. $C$ om paring $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a} \text {; }}^{0}$ to $E$ a;b $(6,-14)$, we nd that $R=N$. The ordinary phonon, (oscillator) $m$ odes exist on


Figure 6.8: The gap scales as $1=\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{~L}$.
top of each topological sector and sim ply contribute the usual phonon energy, so that the com plete dispersion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{a ; b}^{0}+\frac{2}{L} \eta i j: \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The overall picture of a com pacti ed boson w ith central charge $\mathrm{c}=1$ is consistent w ith the solid-on-solid $m$ odels of $P$ asquier [ [8"

## $6.3 \mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{~N}$ um erics

W e have diagonalized the original H am ittonian, eqn . (6.5) num erically w ith periodic boundary conditions for system sizes up to $N=11$ and $L=10$. D ue to the sparseness of $H m$ we were also able to obtain the ground state energy up to $L=16$. W e will $\times 2 \mathrm{t}=1$ in what follow s .

W e nd that the degeneracy is lifted and the ground state becom es unique and uniform. The ground state energy, $\mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathrm{~L})$, follow s $\mathrm{E}_{0} \quad 0: 61 \mathrm{~L} \quad 0: 31 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{L}$. The low est $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ excited states are given by $a=a^{2}=\left(\mathbb{N}^{2} L\right)$, with $=12: 9 \quad 0: 5$, which is shown in $F$ ig. $\overline{6}$ for $a=1 ; 2 ; 3$. A $l l$ of these levels are doubly degenerate. This ground state energy and spectrum are in perfect agreem ent $w$ th free bosons com pacti ed on a radius $R=N$, as we described in the previous section.
$R$ ight-and left-m oving oscillator $m$ odes of energy $!_{n}=v k_{n}$, where $k_{n}=2 n=L$, appear in the spectrum, but for $a<N$ the zero $m$ odes are the low est. O ur spectrum in $F$ ig. .6.
corresponds to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a;b}, \mathrm{~b}}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{b}=0$. The m odes w th non-zero b are very high in energy and are w ashed out by our sm all system size. To x , we look at higher low-lying levels (w hich also scale like $1=\mathrm{L})$. We nd that the N 'th excitation energy is independent of N and quadruply degenerate. This can happen only if the $N$ 'th zero mode, $E{ }^{0}{ }_{N} ; 0=2 \mathrm{~V}={ }^{2} \mathrm{~L}$, is degenerate w th the lowest oscillator mode, ! $1_{1}=2 \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{L}$, which $\mathrm{xes}=1$. Thus, the com pacti cation radius is $R=N$. The velocity can be read o from the slopes in $F$ ig. as $v==2$. W ithin our accuracy, $v=2$. The rest of our spectrum is consistent $w$ ith these param eters. For instance, we nd a unique, zerom om entum state $w$ ith $a=b=0$, which consists of one right-and one left-m oving oscillator mode with $n=2=\mathrm{L}$ at energy $E=2 \mathrm{E}_{1} . \mathrm{N}$ ote that is xed only by counting degneracies, not by tting any param eters.

## 6.3.c H igher O rder C orrections

$T$ he preceeding discussion is valid to rst order in $t=V$. The next term $s$ are of order $t^{2}=V$ and involve virtual transitions to adatom con gurations that are not in the degenerate subspace $S$. The generic form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{t^{2}}{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}} 4_{\text {hijihk li }}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{1}^{5} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}} ; \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $P_{S}$ is a projection operator into $S$. A nother way of $w$ riting the second order perturbation is the fam iliar form,
where ji; ${ }^{0}{ }_{i} 2 \mathrm{~S}$ while jizS.E is the energy of the virtual state. $E=E$ are, of course, constant, and allenergy di erences are due to the nearest neighb or repulsion $V n_{i} n_{j}$.

There are three types of virtual processes: (i) single particle hopping from to 0 , (ii) tw o particle correlated hopping from to $\sigma^{0}$ and (iii) single particle diagonalhopping from back into. For concreteness, consider process (iii) in the ( $5 ; 0$ ) state in $9 . \overline{1} \overline{6} . \overline{6}$. W henever there is a kink in, such as in the third layer from the top, the contribution to eqn . $(6-20)$ from allvirtualhops is $(35=6) 4 t^{2}=V$. For exam ple, the adatom on site 3 can hop into any one of its six neighbors w the energy denom inators $1=2+1=2+1=2+1=2+1=2+1=3$ (in units of $t^{2}=V$ ). Sim ilarly, the adatom on site 5 contributes $1+1+1$, for a total of

35=6 (计 is forbidden to hop one site over to the right because the resulting state is in S ). On the other hand, if there is no kink, the contribution is $8 \quad{ }^{2} \notin V$. The criterion for a kink in layer i is $2\left[1=4 \quad\left(n_{i} \quad 1=2\right)\left(\Omega_{i+1} \quad 1=2\right)\right]=1$, where $R_{i}=C_{i}^{y} C_{i}$; otherw ise this quantity vanishes. Sim ilarly, the absence of a kink is synonym ous w the nonvanishing of $2\left[1=4+\left(r_{i} \quad 1=2\right)\left(r_{i+1} \quad 1=2\right)\right]$. Thus, the total diagonal contribution to $H$ can be wrilten

H ! H

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{8 t^{2}}{V} X \quad X \quad \frac{35}{6} \quad \frac{1}{4} \quad R_{i} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad R_{i+1} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad+8 \quad R_{i} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad R_{i+1} \quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4} j \text { ih } j \\
& =H \quad{\frac{4 t^{2}}{V}}_{V} \quad \frac{13}{3}{ }_{i}^{X} R_{i} R_{i+1} \quad \frac{13}{3}_{i}^{X} R_{i}+8 j \text { ih } j: \tag{621}
\end{align*}
$$

For generalN, the correction scales like N. T he essentialterm in the last line ofeqn $(\overline{6} 21 \mathbf{1})$ is the rst one. This four-ferm ion interaction renom alizes the radius R by corrections of order $t=V$.

Let us retum to processes (i) and (ii). An exam ple of (i) is the adatom in the third layer from the top, site 5 , hopping to the second layer, site 1 , and then back into the third layer, site 1. The interm ediate state is not in $S$. This process serves only to renorm alize $t$ because its amplitude is the sam e for all kinks. A $n$ exam ple of (ii) is the particle in the fourth layer, site 5, hopping to site 4, followed by the particle in the third layer, site 5, hopping to site 1 in the sam e layer. This correlated hopping occurs in a con guration containing the sequence particle-hole-hole or hole-particle-particle, whidh corresponds to a next-nearest neighb or interaction $C_{i+2}^{y} C_{i+1}^{y} C_{i+1} C_{i}+h: c: W$ e have not analyzed all such term $s$ in detail, and it is possible that there is a delicate cancellation of the term $s$ (ii) and (iii) when the elds are linearized around $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} j$ j but we consider it m ore likely that they do not cancel so that $R$ is renorm alized at order $t=V$.

O ne can also consider the extrem e lim it in whidh $t \quad V$. In this case, the XXZ Ham iltonian in eqn. [6" ${ }^{2}$ ) is sim ply the XY m odel on a cylinder. Let us denote the spin angle relative to the cylindrical surface by ${ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{x}$; ) , where x is the coordinate along the tube and
is the coordinate around the perim eter. Uniqueness of the wavefiunction requires that ' has the periodicity ${ }^{\prime}(x ;+2)=\prime(x ;)+2 m, w h e r e m$ is an integer. The low energy excitations are purely along the length of the tube; excitations around the perim eter will cost an energy on the order of $1=\mathrm{N}$, which is large com pared to $1=\mathrm{L}$. T hus we can freeze
(a)

(b)

(c)


Figure 6.9: The llings for $N=2 . n_{+}=1=2$ (a) and $n=1=4$ (b). Each adatom can live at either site in its layer because each site is connected to every site in the neighboring layers. (c) show s the triangular lattice in the plane; the horizontaldouble bond is due to the periodicity around a cylinder. (c) is exactly the geom etry of the spin ladder studied by other authors (albeit with di erent coupling).
the coordinate, and the energy density is proportional to $j_{x}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ J. Since the periodicity is still ${ }^{\prime} \quad$ ' 2 m , we end up w th a free boson com pacti ed on radius $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{y}=1$. The question is how the adsonption regim e $t \quad V$, which is also a com pacti ed boson but on radius $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N}$, is reached.

### 6.4 Special C ase: $N=2$

Before concluding w ith the e ective theory, we should point out that the geom etry of the $(2 ; 0)$ tube is special; all sites in ad jacent layers are interconnected. A s a result, all of its plateaus have an extensive entropy, and we nd that hopping opens a gap in both plateaus.
 to either site| both con gurations are iso-energetic because each site is contiguous to all sites in the neighboring layers. H ence both plateaus are $m$ acroscopically degenerate. In the presence of hopping, each adatom lives in a double well potential, which has a gap of order $t$. In fact, this tube can be w rilten as a spin chain that has been studied at isotropic coupling [ $\left[\overline{\mathrm{B}} \bar{Z}_{1}\right], \quad 2 \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{V} . \mathrm{T}$ w o plateaus w ere found in this case, and it is tem pting to speculate
w hether the tw o regim es are connected adiabatically.

### 6.5 D iscussion and C onclusion

O ne observable consequence of conform al sym $m$ etry is that the low tem perature heat capacity is xed by c $[\overline{1} \overline{4} \overline{1}]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{c} \frac{\mathrm{vk}_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}}{3} \mathrm{~T}=\frac{\mathrm{vk}_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}}{3} \mathrm{~T} \tag{6,22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is notew orthy that, even though the dispersion of the oscillator $m$ odes is independent of $N$, the spectrum rem em bers, via the zerom odes, the nite radius of the nanotube. Furtherm ore, $R$ is quantized by $N$; in the language of Luttinger liquids, this $m$ eans that the Luttinger param eter is xed by topology, sim ilarly to the case ofedge states in a fractional quantum $H$ all uid $\left.\overline{0} \bar{D}_{1}^{1}\right]$, and in contrast to quantum $w$ ires (w here the Luttinger param eter can vary continuously). Because there is no inter-layer hopping, is tied to transverse, rather than to longitudinal, density uctuations along the tube.

Finally, let usbrie y view the spin tube as a quantum spin ladder to see if it yields a gapless state in the degenerate plateaus. A standard approach is to use a Lieb-Schultz-M attis (LSM ) argum ent, in which the spins are deform ed slow ly along the length $\overline{\mathrm{B}} \overline{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{]}]$. A pplying it to our tube, we nd that a plateau is gapless if $S \quad M$ is not an integer, where $S$ and $M$ are the total spin and $m$ agnetization, respectively, per layer (a layer being the $N$ sites around the perim eter). U sing $S=N=2$ and the $m$ agnetizations from Eqn. (6) that $S \quad M$ is an integer in the $m$ acroscopically degenerate plateaus, so that the LSM argu$m$ ent is insu cient in this case. A conclusive argum ent $m$ ust take the geom etric frustration into account, which is further evidence that our state is strongly correlated.

In conclusion, we have studied the problem ofm onolayer adsorption on carbon nanotubes and identi ed several interesting lling fraction plateaus. Since the di erence betw een the plateaus decreases slow ly, as the inverse of the tube diam eter, experim ental m easurem ent should be feasible for large enough tubes. W e have identi ed the zig-zag tubes as exceptional, in which the geom etric frustration together with quantum uctuations lead to conform alsym $m$ etry. Thee ective theory is free com pacti ed boson, which has a quantized radius to rst order in the hopping. The only other such theory in nature that we are aw are
of are the chiral edge states in a quantum H all uid, where the radius is quantized by the bulk lling fraction. There are interesting questions related to the large hopping lim it.

## Chapter 7

## Sum m ary

It is widely believed by both theorists and experim entalists that the com posite particle construct is required for a fillunderstanding of the fractionalquantum H alle ect ( FQ HE ). In this thesis we take the point of view that a great deal of the FQHE physics can be understood by projecting to the low est Landau level (LLL) at the outset, which allow s us to develop a com posite particle form alism at various lling fractions, . Initially the com posites are abstract operators building up the $m$ any-particle Fock space, but later analysis of physical operators, $m$ any-particle $w$ avefunctions, and response functions reveals an interpretation in term s of vortioes bound to an underlying particle. O ur theory departs from $m$ ost other theoretical work in this area, which uses singular ux attachm ent to $m$ ap the problem into a C hem-Sim ons action. W e treat both ferm ionic and bosonic statistics of the underlying particles.

W hen the underlying particles (orparticles, for short) arebosons, the appropriate form ulation is in term sof com posite ferm ions. The originalH am iltonian for the bosons is $m$ apped exactly into a $H$ am iltonian for com posite ferm ions $w$ ith an in nite number of constraints. To preserve the constraints, we use a conserving approxim ation to derive an e ective theory $m$ icroscopically. In addition to a self-consistent theory of incom pressible quantum liquids in the LLL, our approach provides a $m$ ethod to calculate the e ective $m$ ass (gap) and the single particle spectrum of the com posite ferm ions, which arise solely from the interactions betw een the particles. This calculation extends previous work in the special case $=1$ to arbitrary . A recent proposal raises the intriguing prospect of observing a $F Q H E$ ofbosons
in rotating atom ic Bose Einstein condensates.
The com plem entary case is com posite bosons. The ground state for a perturbation expansion is $m$ acroscopically degenerate, which precludes a microscopic derivation of an e ective theory. W e follow an altemate approach by constructing a phenom enological Landau-G inzburg action based on a sym metry analysis. A crucial ingredient in the action is the intemal structure of the com posite particle, which, at $=1=p$, consists of $p$ vortices bound to the particle. For $\mathrm{p}=$ even the particles are bosons w hile for $\mathrm{p}=$ odd they are ferm ions. O ur m odel incorporates this structure through gauge potentials that couple to the intemal degrees of freedom. T he spectrum of the e ective theory contains the so-called $m$ agnetoroton excitation, which seem $s$ to be the rst analytic observation of this phenom enon.

T he next portion of the thesis is an exam ination of paired states ofbosons or ferm ions in tw o dim ensions. T he class of pairings that we investigate includes non-zero relative angular m om entum, which is a state that breaks both parity and tim e reversal invariance. P art of ourm ethod is based on B ardeen-C ooper-Schrei er (BCS) theory and is largely independent of the FQHE. H ow ever, in the context of the FQHE, we use a m ean eld approxim ation that $m$ aps particles in a net $m$ agnetic eld into com posite particles in zero eld. The BCS approach then applies to the com posite particles.

For boson ic particles, we consider p-w ave pairing of spin $-1=2$ bosons and $d-w$ ave pairing of spin less bosons. In the FQHE, the form er case is a singlet known as the \perm anent" state and the latter is the \H a nian". E ach state can be w ritten as a trialw avefunction that is the unique ground state of its corresponding $H$ am iltonian. By analyzing the spectrum of the H am iltonian directly or by applying the $m$ ean eld BCS theory, we nd that the perm anent sits on the transition betw een the polarized Laughlin state (a B ose condensate of com posite particles) and a B ose condensate with helical order. T he spin order of the perm anent is that of an anti-Skym ion. Sim ilarly, the H a nian is on the transition betw een a Laughlin state and a strong coupling paired state. O f course, these conclusions can stand alone w ithout reference to the FQ HE because they can be derived solely w ithin the BCS fram ew ork.

For ferm ionic particles, we carry through a conserving approxim ation for the spin con-
ductivity. W e show that the induced action for an extemal gauge eld that couples to spin is a C hem-Sim ons (C S ) term. In the d-w ave case we obtain the non-abelian SU (2) C S term because the system is spin-rotationally invariant, whereas the p-w ave case gives an abelian U (1) term since it has only $U(1)$ sym m etry. In both cases, the $H$ all spin conductivity is a topological invariant, which characterizes the $w$ inding of the order param eter in $m$ om entum space. This is a microscopic proof of quantization that was proposed in earlier works by other authors.

T he last part of the thesis deals w ith adsorption on carbon nanotubes, which is a onedim ensional problem. T he hexagon centers serve as adsonption sites for hard core atom $s$, allow ing the system to be treated as a lattioe gas on a triangular lattice w rapped on a cylinder. T hism odel is equivalent to a type ofquantum spin tube. T hew rapping introduces geom etric frustration on top of the frustration of the triangular lattioe, leading to interesting physics. In the spin language, we nd $m$ agnetization plateaus in all tubes in the Ising lim it, which is con $m$ ed both analytically and num erically. H ow ever, the zig-zag tubes are exceptional and contain plateaus that are m acroscopically degenerate. W hen quantum hopping is allow ed, the specialplateaus becom e gapless phases that are described by a $\mathrm{c}=1$ conform altheory of a com pacti ed boson. T he theory is derived analytically and con $m$ ed num erically. Perhaps the $m$ ost rem arkable feature is that the radius of com pacti cation is quantized by the tube diam eter. This brings us badk to the FQHE, where the theory of edge states is also characterized by a (chiral) conform alboson com pacti ed on a quantized radius.

## A ppendix: N on-C om m utative

## Fourier Transform

O ur ultim ate aim in this subsection is to introduce constructs that will allow us to take the therm odynam ic lim it, N ! 1 , and to introduce the $m$ om entum $k$ so as to take advantage of translational invariance. A s discussed by several authors [12 num ber and $\& \quad k$ will tum out to be the dipole $m$ om ent. $T$ he form alism in this appendix has been discussed in $m$ ore detail by [1] $\overline{1}]$, and we include it here for com pleteness.

C onsider the special case of one attached vortex $w$ th $B_{1}=B_{2}$, as introduced in
 usualm atter eld:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C\left(z ;^{-}\right)=X \quad u_{m}(z) \overline{u_{n}()} \text { Gn } \\
& \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{n}} \tag{7.1}
\end{align*}
$$

O ur convention is to use $z$ for the left coordinate and - for the right. C om plex con jugation re ects the two opposite charges. There is only one magnetic length ' $B$ in this problem because the $m$ agnitude of the charges is equal. This is a rather singular lim it of our twoparticle construction in Section $\mathrm{B}_{1}+\mathrm{B}_{2}=0$, and the pseudom om entum and translation operators, and K , are identical (eqn. $(2-2 \overline{1})$ ).

In the $z$, basis, the densities becom e

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{R}\left(;^{-0}\right)={ }^{z} d^{2} z c^{y}(; \bar{z}) c\left(z ;^{-0}\right) \\
& { }^{L}\left(z ; \bar{z}^{0}\right)=d^{2} d^{y}\left(; \bar{z}^{0}\right) c(z ;-)
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hus integration has replaced sum $m$ ation over indioes. It is convenient to introduce a binary operation to represent integration over one set of coordinates,

$$
(\mathrm{a} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~b}})\left(\mathrm{z} ; \overline{\mathrm{z}}^{0}\right)={ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{z}_{1} \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{z} ; \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{1}\right) \mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \overline{\mathrm{z}}^{0}\right) ;
$$

of tw o operators, a and $\hat{b}$, which is just $m$ atrix $m$ ultiplication. It is also convenient to de ne the $\quad$-om $m$ utator by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\hat{a} ; \hat{b}]=\mathrm{a} \quad \hat{b} \quad \hat{b} \quad \hat{a}: \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This allow s us to w rite

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\wedge R & =A^{Y} \\
\wedge L & C  \tag{7.5}\\
\Delta & \angle \subset:
\end{array}
$$

$N$ ote the norm al ordering in $\sim$ necessary to avoid sign am biguities.
C onsider the plane wave, $e^{i k} \quad r$, pro jected to the LLL . Follow ing the previous discussion
 plane wave operator now becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i k} \quad r=e^{i k} \quad\left(R_{1}+R_{2}\right)=2 ; \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its representation in the $z$, coordinates is obtained by acting on the low est weight eigenfunction 0;0. Recall that, for $B_{1}=B_{2}, 0 ; 0\left(z^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{1}{4} \dot{z} \mathcal{J}^{2} \frac{1}{4} j \mathcal{J}^{3}+\frac{1}{2} z^{-}}$, where the $m$ agnetic length has been set to unity. It is not di cult to show that $0 ; 0\left(\mathrm{z}^{-}\right)$is identical to the delta function in the LLL.

In the LLL, acts as expected: $\wedge \quad \hat{a}=\hat{a}^{\wedge}=\hat{a}$. The constraint is thus ${ }^{\mathbb{R}}=\wedge$, or

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{R}}\left(\overline{;}^{0}\right)=\left(\bar{i}^{0}\right):
$$

The di erential representation of $R_{1 ; 2}$ in the $z$, coordinates $w$ as constructed in Section $\left(2 . \overline{1}-\overline{1}{ }^{-1}\right)$. A ccording to the prescription, the plane wave acting on ${ }^{\wedge}$ yields the LLL representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}\left(z_{\boldsymbol{i}^{-}}\right)=\left(z_{\overline{\boldsymbol{i}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\left.\mathrm{e}^{i(k} z+\mathrm{k}^{-}\right)} \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{k} \mathcal{J}^{2}}: \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$B y$ either straightforw ard integration or by using the com $m$ utator of $R_{1 ; 2}$, eqn. (2.19), we nd that the $\hat{k}_{\mathrm{k}}$ obey

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{k} \quad \hat{k}^{0}=\hat{k}+k^{0} e^{\frac{1}{2} i k^{\wedge} k^{0}} ; \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced the shorthand notation, $\wedge \mathrm{k}=\hat{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{k}$ and $\mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \wedge_{\mathrm{k}}$ $T$ he phase factor is the area of a triangle form ed by $k$ and $k^{0}$ so that the phase counts the ux enclosed by the triangle. H ence we interpret $\hat{k}_{\mathrm{k}}$ as a magnetic translation in the plane by ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}$ [1] $\left.\overline{\underline{G}}\right]$. T he connection to plane waves extends to com pleteness and orthonorm ality properties, which de nes a \noncom m utative Fourier transform ". In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Zr} \hat{\mathrm{k}} \hat{\mathrm{k}}^{0} & =2\left(\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}^{0}\right) ;  \tag{7.10}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{k}}{2} \mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{z} ; \overline{\mathrm{z}}^{0}\right) \mathrm{k}\left(;^{-0}\right) & =\left(\mathrm{z} \bar{\prime}^{0}\right)(\overline{;} \overline{\mathrm{z}}): \tag{7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\operatorname{Tr}$ stands for a trace de ned by $\operatorname{Tr} \hat{a}={ }^{R} d^{2} z a(z ; \bar{z})$. W e can now de ne the Fourier transform and its inverse:

$$
\begin{align*}
c\left(z_{;}^{-}\right) & =\frac{Z}{(2)^{3=2}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{z}^{-}\right) ;  \tag{7.12}\\
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} & =(2)^{1=2} \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{C} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{k}}: \tag{7.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The extra ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ factors are not used in general; they are speci c to the Fourier transform of the $e^{\prime} ' s$ in order for the com $m$ utators to retain their conventional form

$$
\left[\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}^{0}}^{\mathrm{y}}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
2 & )^{2} & (\mathrm{k} & \mathrm{k} \tag{7.14}
\end{array}\right):
$$

Therefore, we have constructed com posite particles $w$ ith $m$ om entum $k$ and dipole $m$ om ent ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}$, which em erged from m agnetic translations perpendicular to k . W hen the underlying particles are bosons, antioom $m$ uators are required in eqn. (7). 1 ferm ion. The Fock space of ferm ions at $=1$ is trivial, consisting of exactly one function, so com posite bosons are not useful in this case.

N ext we w ould like to apply the Fourier transform to the left and right densities in eqn. (72), which becom e

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{q}{\wedge R}=\frac{Z}{A^{2} k}  \tag{7.15}\\
& (2)^{2}  \tag{7.16}\\
& \underset{q}{\mathbb{L}}=\frac{d^{2}}{(2)^{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} i k^{\wedge} q} C_{k}^{y} \frac{1}{2} q C_{k+\frac{1}{2} q}^{\frac{1}{2} \wedge q} C_{k}^{y} \frac{1}{2} q C_{k+\frac{1}{2} q} ;
\end{align*}
$$

 in nite $L$ ie algebra know $n$ as $W_{1}$. The constraints appear particularly sim ple in $m$ om entum space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{q}{\wedge_{\mathrm{R}}} \quad 2^{-} \quad(\mathrm{q})=0 ; \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which enforces a uniform vortex density, -
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