

Phase di usion of B ose-Einstein Condensation close to zero tem perature

H ongwei X iong,¹ Shujian Liu,¹ Guoxiang Huang^{2,3}

¹D epartm ent of A ppiled P hysics, Zhejiang U niversity of T echnology, Hangzhou, 310032, C hina

²D epartm ent of P hysics, East China Normal U niversity, Shanghai, 200062, C hina

³Laboratoire de P hysique T heorique de la M atiere C ondensee, case 7020, 2 P lace Jussieu, 75251 Paris Cedex 05, F rance

(April 14, 2024)

The correlation function of the quantum fluctuations due to collective excitations is calculated and used to investigate the phase di usion of a B ose-Einstein condensate close to zero tem perature. It is shown that the phase di usion time of the condensate is much longer than the result obtained by assuming that the correlation time of the quantum fluctuations is in nity. hongweixiong@ hotmail.com

PACS number(s): 03.75.F i, 05.30.Jp

Keywords: B ose-Einstein condensation; Phase di usion

I. IN T R O D U C T I O N

The development of the technologies of laser trapping and evaporative cooling has yielded intriguing B ose-Einstein condensates (B EC s) [1{3], a state of matter in which many atoms are in the same quantum mechanical state. The remarkable observations of gaseous B EC s have opened up new avenues [4{6] of research into the physical properties and nature of B ose-condensed systems. The phase properties of a B EC are of particular interest because the phase of an order parameter, i.e., the macroscopic wave function of the condensate, reflects directly the coherent nature of the condensate.

For B EC created in experiment, one of the most important characters is that all the atoms in the condensate can be described by the wave function (i.e., the order parameter) with a single phase. Due to thermal and quantum fluctuations, however, the single phase of the condensate will become unpredictable beyond the phase di usion time. After the realization of B EC s, the phase di usion of the condensate has been discussed intensively [7{20]. In particular, the role of quantum fluctuations on the phase di usion process was investigated in the pioneering work by Lewenstein and You [9,10], and a far off-resonant light scattering experiment was proposed to detect the quantum di usion. Recently, a Langevin equation was given by Graham [14,15] to discuss the phase di usion due to quantum fluctuations and thermal fluctuations. The calculation of the time scale of the phase di usion is a very important problem because the phase di usion time determines when the phase of a B EC would be unpredictable. Recently, the phase correlation has been investigated experimentally by the JILA group [21]. It was found that there is no detectable di usion of the phase on time scale 100 ms. The stable interference pat-

terns shown in the experiment put forward a question [21] why the phase correlation is so robust despite the phase di usion and complicated rearrangement dynamics of the two condensates.

In the present work, we address the question of the phase di usion process of a condensate close to zero tem perature. In general, the phase di usion of the condensate can have either a thermal or a quantum origin. At extremely low temperature (in the experiment by the JILA group [21], the tem perature is only 0.1T_c, where T_c denotes the critical tem perature of the B ose gas.), the thermal fluctuations can be omitted and hence the quantum fluctuations become dominant. We give therefore emphasis on the role of collective excitations due to quantum fluctuations in a phase di usion process. Although the phase di usion process due to quantum fluctuations has been investigated by several authors such as the recent researches in [14,15,20], the analysis of the time correlation of the quantum fluctuations is not given when the phase fluctuations are calculated. Obviously, the correct consideration of the time correlation of the quantum fluctuations would make more reliable prediction on the phase di usion process. In particular, researches show that the phase di usion time is much longer than the correlation time of the quantum fluctuations. In this case, our results show that the phase di usion time calculated from the correlation function of the quantum fluctuations is much longer than that obtained in the previous theoretical researches [14,15,20].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we investigate the phase fluctuations of the condensate due to quantum fluctuations. In Sec. III, the phase di usion time is calculated for the condensate close to zero tem perature, where the effect of thermal fluctuations can be omitted. Sec. IV contains a discussion and summary of our results.

II. P H A S E F L U C T U A T I O N S O F T H E C O N D E N S A T E D U E T O Q U A N T U M F L U C T U A T I O N S

For tem perature below the critical tem perature T_c, the condensate can be described very well by the following order parameter with a phase factor (t)

$$\langle \mathbf{r}; t \rangle = \phi_0(\mathbf{r}) e^{i\phi(t)}; \quad (1)$$

where the phase of the condensate has the form

$$\langle t \rangle = \langle N_0; T \rangle t = h; \quad (2)$$

and the time-independent real component $\langle N_0 \rangle(r)$ is determined by the stationary Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation [4]:

$$\langle N_0; T \rangle_0(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} r^2 + V_{\text{ext}}(r) + g_0^2(r) \langle N_0 \rangle(r); \quad (3)$$

where $V_{\text{ext}}(r)$ is an external harmonic potential, and $g = 4 \hbar^2 a_s/m$ is the coupling constant fixed by the s-wave scattering length a_s . The chemical potential $\langle N_0; T \rangle$ in the above equation is determined by the normalization condition for the density distribution $n_0(r)$ of the condensate. With a Thomas-Fermi approximation [4], one gets easily the following expression for the chemical potential:

$$\langle N_0; T \rangle = \frac{\hbar!_{ho}}{2} \frac{15N_0 a_s}{a_{ho}}^{2=5}; \quad (4)$$

where $!_{ho} = (\!x, \!y, \!z)^{1=3}$ is the geometric average of oscillator frequencies, and $a_{ho} = \sqrt{\hbar/m} !_{ho}$ is the harmonic oscillator length of the system. From Eqs. (2) and (4), we see that the particle number fluctuations of the condensate yield fluctuations in the chemical potential, and hence lead to the phase division of the condensate.

Assuming the mean ground state occupation number $\langle hN_0 i \rangle$, the average phase of the condensate is then given by

$$\langle hN_0 i; t \rangle = \langle hN_0 i; T \rangle t = h; \quad (5)$$

The phase division of the condensate can be described by considering the phase difference $\langle t \rangle = \langle t \rangle - \langle t \rangle$

$\langle hN_0 i; t \rangle$. From Eqs. (2) and (5), it is straightforward to obtain a differential equation on $\langle t \rangle$:

$$\frac{d \langle t \rangle}{dt} = X_{\text{qua}}(t) = \frac{\partial \langle hN_0 i; T \rangle}{\partial hN_0 i} N_0(t) = h; \quad (6)$$

where $X_{\text{qua}}(t)$ is determined by collective excitations due to quantum fluctuations. In the above expression, $N_0(t)$ represents the fluctuations of the ground state occupation number around $\langle hN_0 i \rangle$. A similar equation was derived and used by Graham [4,15] to discuss the phase division of the condensate. Note that $N_0(t)$ can be either negative or positive numbers. For $N_0(t) < 0$, there are collective excitations created so that the atoms would loss in the condensate. Similarly, $N_0(t) > 0$ means the annihilation of collective excitations, and the ground state occupation number would increase in this case. In addition, $N_0(t)$ should be time-dependent because it originates from quantum fluctuations. Eq. (6)

is our starting point to discuss the phase division of the condensate. Because it is obtained from Eqs. (2) and (5), rather than directly from a time-dependent GP equation, we anticipate that Eq. (6) is still correct for longer time where the time-dependent GP equation may be no longer valid [22].

From Eq. (6), the phase fluctuations of the condensate are given by

$$h(\langle \rangle)^2 i = \frac{Z}{0} \frac{Z}{0} hX_{\text{qua}}(\langle \rangle) X_{\text{qua}}(\langle \rangle) id d^0; \quad (7)$$

where $hX_{\text{qua}}(\langle \rangle) X_{\text{qua}}(\langle \rangle) id$ is the correlation function of the quantum fluctuations. When obtaining Eq. (7), we have assumed that $h(\langle \rangle)^2 i = 0$ at time $t = 0$. The calculation of $hX_{\text{qua}}(\langle \rangle) X_{\text{qua}}(\langle \rangle) id$ plays a crucial role in investigating the phase division process close to zero temperature.

For the Bose gas trapped in a harmonic potential, it is convenient to use the following decomposition of the particle field operator

$$\hat{u}(r) = \langle r \rangle + \sum_i^X u_i(r) \langle i \rangle + v_i(r) \langle \dot{i} \rangle; \quad (8)$$

where $\langle r \rangle = \langle r \rangle^D$ is the well-known order parameter, and the index i labels the elementary excitations of the system. For the collective excitations discussed here, the energy of the collective mode indexed by n_1 is given by the dispersion law [23]

$$\hbar n_1 = \hbar !_{ho} 2n^2 + 2n_1 + 3n + 1^{1=2}; \quad (9)$$

As shown in [24,25], the contributions to condensate fluctuations due to quantum fluctuations are dominated by these phonon-type collective excitations. For the collective mode n_1 , one obtains the following leading behaviour for $u_{n_1}(r)$ and $v_{n_1}(r)$ [26]:

$$u_{n_1}(r) \propto v_{n_1}(r) \propto \frac{s}{2 \hbar n_1} \frac{gn_0(r)}{2 \hbar n_1} n_1(r); \quad (10)$$

where $n_1(r)$ is the velocity potential associated with the collective mode, and satisfies the condition $\int dr n_1(r) v_{n_1}(r) d^3r = n_1 v_{n_1}$. In addition, the average occupation number of the atoms corresponding to the collective mode indexed by n_1 is given by [27,28]

$$\hbar N_{n_1} = u_{n_1}^2 + v_{n_1}^2 f_{n_1}; \quad (11)$$

where $f_{n_1} = [\exp(\hbar n_1/k_B T) - 1]^{-1}$. When a collective excitation with index n_1 is created from the condensate due to quantum fluctuations, its energy $\hbar n_1$ originates from the energy fluctuations E of the condensate. Under this consideration, a time-energy uncertainty relation can be used to calculate the longevity τ_{n_1} of the collective mode

n_1 . The longevity n_1 of the collective mode n_1 is therefore approximated as $1 = \frac{1}{\hbar \omega_0} 2n^2 + 2n_1 + 3n + 1 \stackrel{n=2}{=} 1$. For JILA experiment [21], this means that the longevity of the collective mode is smaller than 10 ms, which is obviously much smaller than the phase diffusion time.

When all collective modes are considered, $X_{\text{qua}}(t)$ can be written as:

$$X_{\text{qua}}(t) = \frac{\langle \hat{h}N_0 i; T \rangle X}{\langle \hat{h}N_0 i \rangle} \Big|_{n \neq 0} \quad N_{n_1}(t) = h; \quad (12)$$

where $N_{n_1}(t)$ reflects the changes of the ground state occupation number due to the creation and annihilation of the collective mode n_1 . Therefore, the magnitude of $N_{n_1}(t)$ can be regarded as $\hbar N_{n_1} i$. Note that $N_{n_1}(t)$ itself can be either positive or negative, and varies with time due to quantum fluctuations. In the case of $N_{n_1}(t) < 0$, there are $|N_{n_1}(t)|$ atoms created from the condensate due to quantum fluctuations, while $N_{n_1}(t) > 0$ represents the annihilation of $N_{n_1}(t)$ atoms.

From Eq. (12), $\hbar X_{\text{qua}}(\cdot) X_{\text{qua}}(\cdot)^0 i$ can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar X_{\text{qua}}(\cdot) X_{\text{qua}}(\cdot)^0 i &= \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \langle \hat{h}N_0 i; T \rangle = \langle \hat{h}N_0 i \rangle^2 \\ &\quad \times \Big|_{n \neq 0} \quad \hbar N_{n_1}(\cdot) N_{n_1}(\cdot)^0 i; \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Assuming that there is no correlation between different collective modes, i.e., $\hbar N_{n_1}(\cdot) N_{n_1}(\cdot)^0 i = 0$ when $n_1 \neq n_1^0$, one gets the following expression for the correlation function:

$$\begin{aligned} \hbar X_{\text{qua}}(\cdot) X_{\text{qua}}(\cdot)^0 i &= \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \langle \hat{h}N_0 i; T \rangle = \langle \hat{h}N_0 i \rangle^2 \\ &\quad \times \Big|_{n \neq 0} \quad \hbar N_{n_1} i^2 e^{-j \frac{\pi}{2} n_1}; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

When obtaining the above result, we have used the following relation

$$\hbar N_{n_1}(\cdot) N_{n_1}(\cdot)^0 i = \hbar N_{n_1} i^2 e^{-j \frac{\pi}{2} n_1}; \quad (15)$$

In the above expression, n_1 is the longevity of the collective excitation n_1 . When $j \frac{\pi}{2}$ is much larger than n_1 , the correlation between the collective excitations at times \cdot and \cdot^0 can be omitted. Therefore, n_1 can be approximated as the correlation time of the correlation function $\hbar N_{n_1}(\cdot) N_{n_1}(\cdot)^0 i$.

Because the contributions to the quantum fluctuations come mainly from the low-lying collective excitations, as a reasonable approximation, the correlation function $\hbar X_{\text{qua}}(\cdot) X_{\text{qua}}(\cdot)^0 i$ can be approximated as an exponential form:

$$\hbar X_{\text{qua}}(\cdot) X_{\text{qua}}(\cdot)^0 i = Q_{\text{qua}} e^{-j \frac{\pi}{2} n_1}; \quad (16)$$

When the above exponential form is used, Q_{qua} should be regarded as the average correlation time of the collective excitations, and is determined by the following expression:

$$\frac{R_1}{Q_{\text{qua}}^2} = \frac{\int_0^T d t^2 \hbar X_{\text{qua}}(t) X_{\text{qua}}(t+\Delta t)}{\int_0^T d t \hbar X_{\text{qua}}(t) X_{\text{qua}}(t+\Delta t)}; \quad (17)$$

In terms of Eqs. (14) and (17), the correlation time of the quantum fluctuations is given by $\tau_{\text{qua}} = \frac{P}{2} = \frac{1}{\hbar \omega_0}$. In addition, in Eq. (16), the magnitude Q_{qua} of the correlation function is given by

$$Q_{\text{qua}} = \frac{1}{h^2} \langle \hat{h}N_0 i; T \rangle = \langle \hat{h}N_0 i \rangle^2 / 2N_{\text{qua}}; \quad (18)$$

In the above expression, $2N_{\text{qua}} = \sum_{n \neq 0} \hbar N_{n_1} i^2$ can be taken as the particle number fluctuations [25] of the condensate due to the collective excitations. Using the formulas (9)-(11), after a straightforward (although rather complex) calculation, we obtain the result of $2N_{\text{qua}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 2N_{\text{qua}} &= 0.958 \frac{a_s}{a_{ho}}^{4=5} \frac{T}{T_c}^2 N^{22=15} + \\ &\quad 14.174 \frac{a_s}{a_{ho}}^{4=5} N^{12=15}; \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where N is the total number of atoms in the trap. The second term on the right hand side of the above equation represents the fluctuations due to the effect of the quantum depletion which is given in [24]. This term has a finite contribution to the condensate fluctuations when the temperature approaches zero. Thus, we anticipate that there is still phase diffusion in the case of zero temperature.

We now turn to discussing the phase diffusion of the condensate due to quantum fluctuations. From Eqs. (7) and (16), the phase fluctuations of the condensate, which play a crucial role in discussing the phase diffusion, read

$$\hbar(\cdot)^2 i = 2Q_{\text{qua}} \tau_{\text{qua}} + Q_{\text{qua}} e^{-j \frac{\pi}{2} n_1}; \quad (20)$$

The phase diffusion time τ_{phase} can be obtained by setting $\hbar(\cdot)^2 i = 0$ in the above expression.

III. PHASE DIFFUSION TIME OF THE CONDENSATE CLOSE TO ZERO TEMPERATURE

We now turn to discussing the phase diffusion time using the phase fluctuations given by Eq. (20). It is

useful to discuss the phase fluctuations given by Eq. (20) for two special cases. When the time is much larger than the time scale of the correlation time τ_{qua} , the phase fluctuations of the condensate can be approximated as:

$$\langle \langle \dots \rangle \rangle^2 i \Omega_{\text{qua}}^2 : \quad (21)$$

Therefore, if the phase diffusion time τ_{phase} calculated from Eq. (20) is much larger than τ_{qua} , the phase diffusion time in this situation takes the following analytical form:

$$\tau_{\text{phase}} = \frac{2}{2\Omega_{\text{qua}}^2} : \quad (22)$$

In the case of $\ll \tau_{\text{qua}}$, however, the phase fluctuations of the condensate can be approximated as:

$$\langle \langle \dots \rangle \rangle^2 i \Omega_{\text{qua}}^2 : \quad (23)$$

Different from the result given by Eq. (21), the phase fluctuations are proportional to τ_{phase}^2 when τ_{phase} is much smaller than the correlation time τ_{qua} . Therefore, if τ_{phase} calculated from Eq. (20) is much smaller than τ_{qua} , the analytical result of the phase diffusion time τ_{phase}^0 is then

$$\tau_{\text{phase}}^0 = \frac{\hbar}{N_{\text{qua}} \partial \langle \langle N_0 i; T \rangle \rangle / \partial \hbar N_0 i}; \quad (24)$$

where $N_{\text{qua}} = \frac{P}{h^2 N_{\text{qui}}}$.

We now turn to discuss the phase correlation experiment by the JILA group [21]. The experimental values in the experiment are: $N_0 = 5 \times 10^5$, $T = 50 \text{ nK}$, $T_c = 500 \text{ nK}$, and $a_s = 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}$. It may be helpful to make a comparison between the particle number fluctuations due to quantum fluctuations and thermal fluctuations. For temperature much lower than the critical temperature, the analytical result $\langle \langle N_{\text{th}} \rangle \rangle^2 = \langle \langle N(T=T_c) \rangle \rangle^3 = 6$ [3] [25] can give a rather well description for the particle number fluctuations due to thermal fluctuations. For the values typical for the experiment by the JILA group [21], a simple calculation shows that $\langle \langle N_{\text{qua}} \rangle \rangle^2 = \langle \langle N_{\text{th}} \rangle \rangle^2 = 62.6$. Therefore, the thermal fluctuations can be safely omitted when the phase diffusion process is investigated for the experiment by JILA group [21]. Using the formula (20) (or Eq. (22)), the numerical result of the phase diffusion time τ_{phase} is 119 s, which is much larger than the correlation time τ_{qua} . When obtaining this result, we have used the exponential form of the correlation function given by Eq. (16). In fact, we can obtain τ_{phase} directly from Eqs. (7) and (14), and it is worth pointing out that there is no important correction to the phase diffusion time, in comparison with the result obtained by using the exponential form (16). The merit of the exponential form (16) is that it clearly shows the role of particle number fluctuations on the phase diffusion process, and the analytical

result of the phase fluctuations is rather concise using this exponential form.

If the correlation time of the collective excitations is assumed to be infinity, however, using Eqs. (19) and (24), the numerical result of τ_{phase}^0 is 0.62 s, which is much smaller than the result given by Eq. (22). Although the phase fluctuations due to quantum fluctuations are investigated in detail in Ref. [20], the finiteness of the correlation time of the quantum fluctuations was not considered, and the dephasing time was approximated as 1 s. In addition, it is worth pointing out that although a Langevin equation was proposed by Graham [14,15] to investigate the phase fluctuations due to thermal fluctuations and quantum fluctuations, the phase fluctuations due to collective excitations were proportional to τ_{phase}^2 , because the finiteness of the longevity of the collective excitations was not considered too.

For temperature close to zero, our result of the phase diffusion time given by Eq. (22) is reasonable because of two reasons: (i) In the experiment by the JILA group [21], the phase of the condensate was found to be very robust. In fact, the rigidity of the phase was also shown in other experiments, such as the observation of the interference between two BECs [32], and the recent experiments where the optical lattice [33,34] is used to investigate the coherent properties of the BECs. For example, recently a BEC [34] is created with up to 2×10^5 atoms and no discernible thermal component. The radial trapping frequencies are relaxed over a period of 500 ms to 24 Hz such that the harmonic potential becomes spherically symmetric. Then three optical standing waves are aligned orthogonal to each other, in order to form a three-dimensional lattice potential. In this situation, the condensate is distributed over more than 150,000 lattice sites. When the magnetic trap and lattice potential are both switched off, it is interesting to find that there is a high-contrast interference pattern, which means that phase is still robust after the BEC has been formed for nearly 1 s, and even after the interference between a large number of BECs. (ii) In the present work, the correlation time of the quantum fluctuations is calculated and found to be much smaller than the time scale of the phase diffusion time. In this situation, we should regard the quantum fluctuations as a white noise to investigate the phase diffusion process. Recall that the particular collective excitations are rather stable when it is created in the experiment [29][31] by applying a small time-dependent perturbation, it seems the longevity of the collective excitations is very long. However, we should note that in the problem discussed here for the mechanism of the phase diffusion, the collective excitations are created and annihilated through the quantum fluctuations. As pointed out in this paper, the longevity of these collective excitations is found to be much smaller than the phase diffusion time.

We should note that when obtaining the phase di-

sion time, the time-energy uncertainty relation is used to calculate approximately the longevity of the collective modes created due to quantum fluctuations. A more accurate average longevity of these collective modes can be obtained when interparticle interaction effect is included. Nevertheless, a reasonable order of magnitude on the phase diffusion time can be obtained, using Eq. (20) and the time-energy uncertainty relation. Additionally, the collective time τ_{qua} can be obtained from Eq. (20) when phase is measured in experiment. This gives us a chance to check whether standard many-body theory can be used successfully to investigate the interested quantity τ_{qua} .

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In summary, the phase diffusion time of the condensate due to quantum fluctuations is discussed at extremely low temperature. Because the correlation time of the quantum fluctuations is much smaller than the time scale of the phase diffusion, the quantum fluctuations can be regarded as white noise when the phase diffusion of the condensate is investigated for extremely low temperature. In this situation, the phase diffusion time calculated here is much longer than the result obtained in the previous theoretical researches [14,15,20]. It is obvious that the present work can not be applied directly to the experiment conducted by the JILA group [21], where the complicated rearrangement of the two condensates would be very important to the phase diffusion process. We shall extend our idea in a future work to the case of the specific situation realized by the JILA group [21] and discuss the phase diffusion at finite temperature. Recently, BECs have been realized in quasi-one and quasi-two dimensions [35], where new phenomena such as quasicondensates with a fluctuating phase [36{38] may be observed. A simple method is developed recently [25,39{41] to discuss the particle number fluctuations of the low-dimensional condensate. This makes it possible to discuss the phase diffusion process in low-dimensional condensates.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China. One of us (G.H.) is indebted to National Natural Science Foundation of China, and the French Ministry of Research for a visiting grant at Université Paris 7.

- [1] M. H. Anderson et al., *Science* 269 (1995) 198.
- [2] K. B. Davis et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 75 (1995) 3969.
- [3] C. C. Bradley et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 75 (1995) 1687.
- [4] F. Dalfovo et al., *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 71 (1999) 463.
- [5] A. S. Parkins and D. F. Walls, *Phys. Rep.* 303 (1998) 1.
- [6] A. J. Leggett, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 73 (2001) 307.
- [7] E. M. Wright, D. F. Walls, and J. C. Garrison, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996) 2158.
- [8] E. M. Wright et al., *Phys. Rev. A* 56 (1997) 591.
- [9] M. Lewenstein and L. You, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996) 3489.
- [10] A. Imamoglu, M. Lewenstein, and L. You, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78 (1997) 2511.
- [11] M. Naraschewski, A. Schenzle, and H. Wallis, *Phys. Rev. A* 56 (1997) 603.
- [12] J. Javanainen and M. W. Wilkens, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78 (1997) 4675.
- [13] K. M. Mørk, *Phys. Rev. A* 58 (1998) 566.
- [14] R. G. Graham, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81 (1998) 5262.
- [15] R. G. Graham, *Phys. Rev. A* 62 (2000) 023609.
- [16] A. J. Leggett and F. Sols, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81 (1998) 1344.
- [17] D. Jaksch et al., *Phys. Rev. A* 58 (1998) 1450.
- [18] L. M. Kuang et al., *Phys. Rev. A* 61 (1999) 013608.
- [19] D. A. R. Dalvit, J. Dziarmaga, and W. H. Zurek, *Phys. Rev. A* 62 (2000) 013607.
- [20] A. B. Kuklov and J. L. Biamon, *Phys. Rev. A* 63 (2000) 013609.
- [21] D. S. Hall et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81 (1998) 1543.
- [22] Y. Castin and R. Dum, *Phys. Rev. A* 57 (1998) 3008.
- [23] S. Stringari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996) 2360.
- [24] S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80 (1998) 5040.
- [25] H. W. Xiong et al., *Phys. Rev. A* 65 (2002) 033609.
- [26] W. C. Wu and A. Griffin, *Phys. Rev. A* 54 (1996) 4204.
- [27] N. Bogoliubov, *J. Phys. USSR* 11 (1947) 23.
- [28] S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *J. Low. Temp. Phys.* 109 (1997) 309.
- [29] D. S. Jin et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996) 420.
- [30] D. S. Jin et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78 (1997) 764.
- [31] D. M. Stamper-Kurn et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81 (1998) 500.
- [32] M. R. Andrews et al., *Science* 275 (1997) 637.
- [33] F. S. Cataliotti et al., *Science* 293 (2001) 843.
- [34] M. G. Rehner et al., *Nature* 415 (2002) 39.
- [35] A. G. Orlitz et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 130402.
- [36] D. S. Petrov et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84 (2000) 2551.
- [37] D. S. Petrov et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85 (2000) 3745.
- [38] Y. Kagan et al., *Sov. Phys. JETP* 66 (1987) 314.
- [39] H. W. Xiong et al., *J. Phys. B* 34 (2001) 4203.
- [40] H. W. Xiong et al., *J. Phys. B* 35 (2002) 2105.
- [41] H. W. Xiong et al., cond-mat/0111186.