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#### Abstract

$H$ istory-dependent $m$ axim um static friction is investigated using sim ple $m$ odels $w$ ith two and three particles in one-dim ensional periodic potentials. In som e situations, these system s possess tw o values of the maxim um static friction, w th that actually realized being determ ined by the direction of the slippage relative to the direction of the previous slippage. In particular, the $m$ axim um static friction for slippage in a given direction is sm aller when the previous slippage was in the sam e direction than when it was in the opposite direction. Ow ing to this property, a particle can continue to $m$ ove in a direction even in the case that it is sub ject to an extemal force whose direction change in tim e as in a ratchet system which is regarded as one of the sim plest m odel of m olecular m otors. PA C S num ber(s) :


Static and dynam ic frictions and the transition betw een them are universal phenom ena
 such phenom ena, and their characteristics depend on the physicalproperties of the surfaces



[^0]how long the two surfaces have been in contactiti. A lso, in som e case, dynam ic friction w ith increasing slippage velocity is larger than that $w$ ith decreasing velocityid

In this paper, the existence of history-dependent $m$ axim um static friction is investigated using sim ple system s. F irst, a system consisting of only tw o particles in a spatially periodic eld is considered. In this system, the $m$ axim um static friction depends on the direction of the previous slippage. We show that this property can cause the system to exhibit recti cation behavior. We also show that sim ilar phenom ena are observed in a system consisting of three particles in a spatially periodic eld.

W e study a one dim ensional system containing two particles in which both particles are sub ject to a spatially periodic potential and one particle is sub $j$ jct to an extemal force $F_{\text {ex }}$ ( F ig. 1). The m otion of these two particles is described by the over-dam ped equations,
$x_{1}=c_{1} c_{2} \sin \left(2 \quad\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right)\right)+c_{1} c_{p} \sin \left(2 \quad x_{1}\right)+F_{e x}$
$x_{2}=c_{1} c_{2} \sin \left(2 \quad\left(x_{2} \quad x_{1}\right)\right)+c_{2} c_{p} \sin \left(2 x_{2}\right)$
where $x_{i}$ is the ith particle's position. $W$ e set $c_{1}=c_{p}=1$ and $c_{2}=c>0$, and we consider the system to be de ned for $0 \quad x_{i}<1$, with periodic boundary conditions. This system belongs to a class of coupled phase oscillators system s that have been studied extensively ${ }^{-12}-1$

The above system can be regarded as a sim pli ed $m$ odelofa physicalsystem in which thin lubricants is spread uniform ly between two ob jects w th bum py surfaces, and an extemal force acts on one of the two ob jects. In this situation, the $m$ otion of the contact points of the tw o ob jects and the $m$ otion of the hubricant particles are, approxim ately, m odeled by the m otion of the rst and second particle, as described by Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively (Fig. 1). The condition $c_{i}>0$ means that, as the interactions in the system, we consider only the e ects of repulsive foroes like the excluded volum e e ect which plays im portant roles in liquids, solids and gels.

In the follow ing, we report the results of sim ulations em ploying above system whose purpose is to determ ine the relationships betw een the m axim um static friction and observed
m icroscopic states. W e use $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ex}}=(1 \quad \cos (\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{T}))=2 \mathrm{w}$ ith large T , so that the extemal force varies sm oothly and very slow ly. The data points ( ) in Fig. 2 indicate values of the $m$ axim um static friction $R$, plotted as a function of $c(0 \quad c \quad 1)$ for two particle con gurations, $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$ and $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$, before slippage. Here, $R$ is de ned as the $m$ axim um value of $F_{\text {ex }} j$ for which the rst particle rem ains stuck as $F_{\text {ex }} j$ increases, and $x_{i}^{b}$ is the ith particle's position at the m ost recent tim e at which $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ex}}=0$. This $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{R}$ relation is divided into three regions: I) for $\mathrm{c}<$ Corit $^{1} \quad 0: 59, \mathrm{R}$ is decreasing function of c for all $\mathrm{x}_{1}^{\mathrm{b}}, \mathrm{x}_{2}^{\mathrm{b}}$, II) for $\epsilon_{\text {crit }}<c<\epsilon_{\text {crit }}{ }^{2} \quad 0: 66, R$ has two possible values, one realized for $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$ and one for $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$, and III) for $c>\epsilon_{\text {crit }}, R$ is an increasing function of $c$ for $a l l x_{1}^{b}, x_{2}^{b}$.
$W$ hen $F_{\text {ex }}(t)$ jdecreases from som e initial value greater than $R$, the rst particle ceases slipping at a value of $F_{\text {ex }} j=R_{\text {stop }}$. Through our sim ulations, we found relations between $R_{\text {stop }}$ and $R$ for each of the above described cases: $R_{\text {stop }}=R$ in case $I$ ), $R_{\text {stop }}<R$ in case III) as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2, and $R_{\text {stop }}=R_{\text {sm aller }}$ in case II), where $R_{\text {sm aller }}$ is the sm aller of the two values of $R$.

Figure 3 displays typical tem poral evolutions of each particle's velocity and position for cases I) and III), w ith $F_{\text {ex }}$ as given above. Here, in (a) $c=0.2$ and $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$, in (b) $c=0.2$ and $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$, in (c) $c=0: 8$ and $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$, and in (d) $c=0: 8$ and $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$. In this gure, the gray curves represent position and velocity of the rst particle, and the black curves represent those of the second. In the situation depicted in Fig. 3 (a) and (b), $\mathrm{x}_{1}>\mathrm{x}_{2}$ alw ays holds just before the slippage of the rst particle, independently of $x_{1}^{b}$ and $x_{2}^{b}$. This is due to the fact that if $_{\text {ex }}$ is $s m a l l$, for su ciently $s m$ all $c_{2}$, it can be the case that the rst particle is not able to cross the potentialbarrier, while it is able to cross the second particle. In fact this is the case in the situations described by Figs. 3 (a) and (b). C ontrastingly, in $F$ igs. 3 (c) and (d), $x_{1}<x_{2}$ alw ays holds just before the slippage of the rst particle, independently of $x_{1}^{b}$ and $x_{2}^{b}$. This follows from the fact that if $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ is $s m$ all, for su ciently large $c_{2}$, it can be the case that the rst particle cannot cross the second particle, while it can cross the potentialbarrier. From these considerations, it is clear why R has only a single value for each c value in cases of I) and III).

By considering the balance equations obtained by setting $x_{1}=0$ and $x_{2}=0$ in Eqs. (1) and (2) and choosing the particle con guration before the slippage, we can obtain $c R$ curves plotted in $F$ ig. 2. For exam $p l e$, the curve of $R$ obtained as the maxim um values of $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ex }} \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{X}_{1}=0$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}=0$ under the condition $\mathrm{x}_{1}>\mathrm{x}_{2}$ is consistent w th the num erical result for $c<e_{\text {crit }}$, where $R=\quad[\sin (2 X)+c \sin (X)] w$ ith $X=\operatorname{arcoos}\left[\left(\quad c \quad\left(c^{2}+32\right)^{1=2}\right)=8\right]$. The line $R=C$ is consistent $w$ ith the num erical results for $c>\epsilon_{\text {crit }^{1}}$, which is obtained from $R=\left[c \sin \left(2 \quad\left(x_{1} \quad x_{2}\right)\right)+\sin \left(2 x_{1}\right)\right] \dot{k}_{1}=0: 5 ; x_{2}=0: 75$. Here $x_{1}=0: 5$ and $x_{2}=0: 75$ corresponds to the values for which the force of the second particle on the rst under the condition $\mathrm{x}_{1}<\mathrm{x}_{2}$ is m axim al .

In case II), them axim um static friction is determ ined by the history, which direction did the rst particle slip previously. T his can be understood as follow s. F igure 4 displays typical tem poralevolutions of each particle's velocity and position for case II). (Here, c=0:63 and in (a) $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$ and in (b) $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$.) In contrast to cases I) and III), here, the particles do not cross as $F_{\text {ex }}$ is increased until the rst particle starts to slip. W e also found that slippage begins at a later tim e for $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$ than for $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$. This $m$ eans that $R$ depends on the particle con guration: $R$ for $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$ is larger than that for $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$. M oreover, the state $w$ th $x_{1}>x_{2}$ is realized after slippage of the rst particle $w$ hether $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$ or $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$. (Because of the sym $m$ etry of this system, $x_{1}<x_{2}$ is necessary realized after slippage of the rst particle if $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ex }}<0$.) This m eans that R for slippage in the sam e direction as the previous slippage is alw ays $s m$ aller than that for the slippage in the opposite direction.

If $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ex }}>0$ and it varies slow ly and sm oothly in tim e, in case II), $\mathrm{x}_{1}>\mathrm{x}_{2}$ is realized after slippage. W e now explain the reason for this. Figure 4 (c) plots the tem poral evolutions of each particle's velocity and position for $\mathrm{c}=0: 63 \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ex}}=0: 583$, which is slightly larger than $R_{\text {sm aller }}$. If $F_{\text {ex }}$ is constant and $F_{\text {ex }} j>R$, the rst particle slips and the second particle oscillates periodically. A s shown in F ig. 4 (c), the tim e required to sw itch from $\mathrm{x}_{1}>\mathrm{x}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{x}_{1}<\mathrm{x}_{2}$ is much longer than that to SW itch from $\mathrm{x}_{1}<\mathrm{x}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{x}_{1}>\mathrm{x}_{2}$ when $\mathrm{F}_{\text {ex }}$ is slightly larger than $\mathrm{R}_{\text {sm aller }}$. If c is not large, the am plitude of the second particle's oscillation is not large. In such a situation, $x_{2}$ cannot reach such a value ( $x_{2} \quad 0: 75$ ) that the foroe of the
second particle on the rst is large enough to balance $F_{\text {ex }}$ at $x_{1}<x_{2}$. Hence, the system cannot rem ain in a state with $x_{1}<x_{2}$ for an extended time if the rst particle crosses over the potentialbarrier. in contrast to case III) depicted in Figs. 3 (c) and (d).

A lso in case III), the second particle cannot reach at $\mathrm{x}_{2} \quad 0: 75 \mathrm{when} \mathrm{F}_{\text {ex }}$ jdecreases from a value greater than $R$. H ow ever, in this case, the $x_{2}$ can reach such a value that the force of the second particle on the rst at $x_{1}<x_{2}$ balances $F_{\text {ex }} w$ th $F_{\text {ex }} j=R_{\text {stop }}$, in contrast to case II).

Since, in case II), the staticm axim um friction to the direction as the direction of previous slippage is sm aller than that to the opposite direction, for this case, this system exhibits recti cation behavior. Recently, recti cation behavior has been observed, for exam ple, in som e ratchet system $s$ that are sim plem odels ofm olecularm otorstill an extemal force that favors neither direction, particles can $m$ ove in only one direction, which is com pletely determ ined by the shape of the potential. $N$ ow, to dem onstrate that ourm odel can exhibit sim ilar behavior, we consider the case $c=0: 63$ and $F_{\text {ex }}=0: 61 \sin (t=T)$, w ith su cient large T. Thus, in this case, the extemal force acts sym $m$ etrically in both positive and negative directions. Here, we choose the value $0: 61$ because it is halfw ay betw een the two values of $R$ for $c=0: 63$. For this system, as show $n$ in the lefthand side of $F$ ig. 4 (d), the rst particle can move only in one direction, even though $F_{\text {ex }}$ altemates between positive and negative values. H ow ever, if a su cient strong force is applied instantaneously to the rst particle in the direction opposite to its $m$ otion, its $m$ otion can reverse direction [see the right-hand side of Fig .4 (d)]. This $m$ eans we can control the slippage direction in the system. This is not possible in the ratchet system s proposed as m odels of m olecular m otors.

The phenom ena discussed above can also be observed in system $s$ w ith a larger num ber of degrees of freedom. A s an exam ple, we consider a system that consists of three particles in a spatially periodic eld, with the $m$ otions of each particle obeying the equation,
$x_{i}={ }_{i \neq j}^{x} C_{i} C_{j} \frac{1+\cos \left(2\left(x_{i} \quad x_{j}\right)\right)}{2} \sin \left(2 \quad\left(x_{i} \quad x_{j}\right)\right)+C_{i} C_{p} \frac{1+\cos \left(2 x_{i}\right)}{2} \sin \left(2 x_{i}\right)+{ }_{i ; 1} F_{e x}:$

The characteristic length of the interactions between particles in this system is shorter than that of the system described by (0.1) and (0.2). A gain, we consider the system to be de ned in the region $0 \quad x_{i}<1$ and use periodic boundary conditions. In the case that $c_{1}=c_{2}=1$ and $c_{3}=C_{p}=c$, behavior sim ilar to that exhibited in cases II) for the two-particle system is observed over a w ide range of values of c w th $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ex}}=\mathrm{F}(1 \quad \cos (\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{T}))=2$, for $\mathrm{F}>0$ and su ciently large T .

The solid curves in $F$ ig. 5 represent $R$ as a function of $c(0: 1 \quad c \quad 1)$. A s show $n, R$ takes two values for each value of $c$, depending on the relationships am ong the $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{b}}$. F igures 6 (a), (b) and (c) displays typicaltem poralevolutions ofeach particle's velocity and position $w$ ith $c=0: 5$ for two particle con gurations before slippage; the $(1,2,3)$ con guration in which the particles are arranged in the order $1,2,3 \mathrm{w}$ th respect to the direction of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ (the direction of increasing $x$ in this case), as in (a), and the ( $1,3,2$ ) con guration, as in (b) and (c). H ere, $F=0: 5$ in (a) and (b), and $F=0: 36$ in (c). By com paring (a) and (b), we nd that $R$ for the $(1,3,2)$ con guration is larger than that for the $(1,2,3)$ con guration. A lso it is seen that the $(1,2,3)$ con guration is alw ays realized after slippage in both cases considered in (a) and (b). W e are thus led to the conclusion that, as in the system with two particles, under a certain condition, $R$ for the direction of the previous slippage is alw ays sm aller than $R$ for the direction opposite to the previous slippage. For the three particle system, this condition is that $F$ be larger than a particular value, which we discuss below.

A s stated above, the $(1,2,3)$ con guration is apparently alw ays realized after slippage in the situations considered in $F$ igs. 5 (a) and (b). If $F$ is not too large, how ever, the $(1,3,2)$ con guration can be preserved upon slippage. In fact, this is the case for the situation considered in Fig. 6 (c). The dotted curve in F ig. 5 represent critical values of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ex}}$, below which the particle con guration is preserved upon slippage. T hus, in this system, the $m$ axim um strength of the extemal force at the slippage detem ines whether or not there is a
direction dependenœ of $R$. We found that $w$ th a properly chosen $F_{e x}$, this system too can exhibit recti cation behavior.

In this paper, we have investigated the history dependence of the $m$ axim um static friction using sim ple system $s$ consisting of two and three particles in a one-dim ensional periodic potential. In these system, we found that, in som e cases, the maxim um static friction can depend on the direction of the slippage, being sm aller than this direction is the same as that of the previous slippage. By this property, a particle in this system can continue to slip along a single direction even in the case that the direction of the extemal foroe change in time. This behavior is sim ilar to that seen in ratchet system s regarded as one of sim plest $m$ odels of $m$ olecular $m$ otors. A nalytical study of the system $s$ considered here and fiurther investigation of system $s$ w ith three or m ore particles $w$ ill be carried out in the fiuture. The construction of a m odel that can realize m ore types ofm em ory e ects, for exam ple aging of friction'is', is also an im portant future problem.
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## FIGURES

Fig.1. Schem atic depiction of the system described by (0.1) and (0.2), consisting of tw o particles in a spatially periodic potential.

Fig. 2. ( ) points and dotted lines represent $m$ axim um value of the static friction of the system $R$ as a fiunction of $c$ for two conditions, $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$ and $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$, before slippage. The ( ) points are the results of our sim ulation, and dotted lines w ere obtained analytically. (+ ) points represent $R_{\text {stop }}$ as a function of $c$ in case III).

Fig. 3. Typical tem poral evolutions of the velocity and position of each particle for case with I) in (a) and (b) and case III) in (c) and (d) w th slow ly changing of $F_{e x}$. In (a) c=0.2 and $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$, in (b) $c=0: 2$ and $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$, in (c) $c=0: 8$ and $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$, and in (d) $c=0: 8$ and $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$. The gray curves represent the rst particle, and the black curves represent the second particle. T he thickness of each curve is proportional to the value of $c_{i}$ for the particle to which it corresponds. The thin sinusoidal curve represents $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ex}}$. T he num bers at the right of the gures are the particle label.

Fig. 4. Typical tem poral evolutions of the velocity and position of each particle for case II) w ith a slow ly changing $F_{\text {ex }}$ in (a) and (b), a static $F_{\text {ex }}$ w th the value 0:583 which is just larger than $R_{\text {sm aller }}$ in ( $c$, and a slow ly changing $F_{\text {ex }}=0: 61 \sin (t=T)$ in (d). In (a) $c=0: 63$ and $x_{1}^{b}<x_{2}^{b}$, and in (b), (c) and (d) $c=0: 63$ and $x_{1}^{b}>x_{2}^{b}$. Shades and $w$ idths of the curves, and the num bers at the right of the gures have the sam em eanings as in $F$ ig. 3.

Fig. 5. The solid curves represent typicalm axim um values of the static friction $R$ as the finction of $c$ in the system consisting ofthree particles for tw o con gurations, the $(1,2,3)$ con guration (low er curve) and the $(1,3,2)$ con guration (upper curve) before slippage. T he dotted curve represents the critical values of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ex}}$, for which the $(1,3,2)$ is preserved under slippage.

Fig. 6. Typical tem poral evolutions of the velocity and position of each particle in the three particle system with $c=0: 5$. The shades and $w$ idths of the curves have the sam e $m$ eanings as in Fig. 3. The extemal forces $F_{\text {ex }}$ used here are $F_{\text {ex }}=(1 \quad \cos (t=T))=2$ in (a), (b), and (c). The particle con guration before slippage is ( $1,2,3$ ) in (a) and ( $1,3,2$ ) in (b) and (c).
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