# Hydrodynam ic M odel for Particle Size Segregation in G ranular M edia

Leonardo Trujillo<sup>1</sup> and Hans J. Herrm ann<sup>1;2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>P M M H., Ecole Superieure de Physique et de Chim ie Industrielles, 10, rue Vauquelin, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

<sup>2</sup>ICA {1, University of Stuttgart, P fa enwaldring 27 D -70569 Stuttgart, G erm any (M arch 22, 2024)

W e present a hydrodynam ic theoreticalm odel for \B razil nut" size segregation in granularm aterials. W e give analytical solutions for the rise velocity of a large intruder particle immersed in a medium of monodisperse uidized sm all particles. W e propose a new mechanism for this particle size-segregation due to buoyant forces caused by density variations which come from di erences in the local \granular temperature". The mobility of the particles is modi ed by the energy dissipation due to inelastic collisions and this leads to a di erent behavior from what one would expect for an elastic system. U sing our model we can explain the size ratio dependence of the upward velocity.

PACS numbers: 45.70 Mg, 05.20 Dd, 05.70 Ln

# I. IN TRODUCTION

The physics of granular materials is a subject of current interest [1]. A granular medium is a system of many macroscopic heterogeneous particles with dissipative interactions. One of the outstanding problems is the so{called \BrazilNuteect" [2]: When a large intruder particle placed at the bottom of a vibrated bed tends to the top. This size segregation is due to the nonequilibrium, dissipative nature of granular media. Granular materials are handled in many industries. Many industrial machines that transport granular materials use vertical vibration to uidize the material, and the quality of many products is a ected by segregation. Size segregation is one of the most intriguing phenomena found in granular physics. A deeper understanding of this elect is therefore interesting for practical applications, and also represent theoretical challenge.

A series of experim ents  $[3\{9\}]$  and computer simulations  $[2,10\{17\}]$  have elucidated di erent size segregation mechanisms, including vibration frequency and amplitude  $[3\{8,10,15]\}$ ; particle size [3,5,6,10,11,13,14,17] and size distribution [12,15]; particle shape [16]; and other properties such as density [9,13,17] and elastic modulus [15].

Several possible mechanisms for size segregation have been proposed. One is segregation in presence of convection observed experimentally in three dimensions by Knight et al., [4], and by Duran al., in two dimensions [5], under conditions of low amplitude and high acceleration vibration. In this case, both intruder and the small particles are driven up along the middle of the cell, and while the smaller particles are carried down in a convection roll

UMR CNRS 7636

near the walls the intruder remains trapped on the top. In experiments performed by Vanel et al., in three dimensions, they observed two convective regimes separated by a critical frequency [7]. The rst regime is associated with heaping and the second regime is similar to the one observed in Ref. [4]. A lso, they reported a nonconvective regime observing a size dependent rise velocity. Employing large molecular dynamics simulations in two dimensions Poschel and Herrm ann [12], and in three dimension G allas et al., [15], have recovered several aspects that are seen in experiments and recognize the lack of a theoretical description of the exact mechanism driving the segregation and the role of convection.

O ther segregation mechanism is associated to the percolation of sm all grains. Based on a M onte C arb computer simulation, R osato et al., [2] argue that each cycle of the applied vibration causes all the grains to detach from the base of the container. Then, the smaller particles fall relatively freely, while the larger particles require larger voids to fall dow nw ards. The large grains therefore e ectively rise through the bed. In the context of large (am plitude, low {frequency vertical shaking process (tapping), Jullien et al., predict a critical size ratio below which segregation does not occur [10,11]. This provoked som e controversy [18{21] and this threshold may be an artifact of the simulation model based on the \steepest descent algorithm "[10]. Experiments in Helle Shaw cells [3,5,6] observed an intruder size dependent behavior, where the segregation rate increases with the size ratio between the intruder and the surrounding particles. Duran et al., formulated a geometrical theory for segregation based on the arching e ect [3]. They also claim experimental evidence for a segregation size threshold [5]. In this picture the intruder contributes to the form ation of an arch sustained on small grains on both sides. Between each agitation the small particles tend to 11 the region below the arch. So, at each cycle the sm all particles m ove dow nw ard and the intruder e ectively rises. Using a modi cation of the algorithm proposed by Rosato et al., D ippel and Luding nd a good qualitative agreem ent with the non {convective and size { dependent rising [14].

In another context, C aglioti et al., considered the geom etrical properties of m ixtures in the presence of compaction [16]. They established a relation for the e ective m obilities of di erent particles in heterogeneous situations.

The e ect of the intruder density was studied by Shinbrot and M uzzio [9]. They observed an oscillating motion of the intruder on the top, which corresponds to the \whale e ect" predicted by Poschel and H ernm ann [12]. A lso, they observed a reverse buoyancy in shaken granular beds. O htsuki et al., perform ed molecular dynamics simulations in two dimensions and studied the e ects of intruder size and density on the height, and found no segregation threshold [13]. Recently Shishoda and W assgren perform ed two dimensional simulations to model segregation in vibro uidized beds [17]. They reported an height dependence with the density ratio between the intruder and the surrounding particles. In their model the intruder position results from a balance between the granular pressure (buoyant force) within the bed and the intruder weight. Their approach is in some sense similar to the model that we propose in this article.

Subject to an external force, granular materials locally perform random motions as a result of collisions between grains, much like the molecules in a gas. This picture has inspired several authors to use kinetic theories to derive continuum equations for the granular

ow { eld variables [22{29]. Som e of these theories have been generalized to multicom ponent m ixtures of grains [30{33]. For di erent size particles in the presence of a tem perature

gradient, A marson and W illits, found that larger, denser particles tend to be more concentrated in cooler regions [32]. This result was con rm ed by num erical simulations [34,35]. However, this mechanism of segregation is a natural consequence of the imposed gradient of tem perature and its not related to the nature of the grains [35].

In this article we address the problem of size segregation using a kinetic theory approach in two and three dimensions (D = 2;3). We consider the case of an intruder particle immersed in a granular bed. We propose a segregation mechanism based on the di erence of densities between di erent regions of the system, which give origin to a buoyant force that acts on the intruder. The di erence of densities is caused by the di erence between the mean kinetic energy among the region around the intruder and the medium without intruder. The dissipative nature of the collisions between the particles of a granular media is responsible for this mean energy di erence, and modi es the mobility of the particles.

The plan of this article is as follows. In Sec. II we derive a continuum formulation for the granular uid, and introduce the de nition of the \granular temperature". In Sec. III we propose an analytic method to estimate the local temperature in the system. In Sec. IV we introduce the coe cient of thermal expansion. In Sec. V explicit solutions of the time dependence of height and velocity of the large particle are calculated. We can explain the size ratio dependence of the rise velocity and address the issue of the critical size ratio to segregation. To validate our arguments we make comparisons with previous experimental data.

## II.CONTINUUM FORMULATION

We consider an intruder particle of m ass m<sub>I</sub> and radius r<sub>I</sub> in mersed in a granular bed. The granular bed is formed of N monodisperse particles of mass m<sub>F</sub> and radius r<sub>F</sub>. The particles are modeled by inelastic hard disks (D = 2) or spheres (D = 3) in a D (dimensional volume V = L<sup>D</sup> of size L. The size ratio is denoted = r<sub>I</sub>=r<sub>F</sub>. The particles interact via binary encounters. The inelasticity is specified by a restitution coefficient e 1. We assume this restitution coefficient to be a constant, independent on the impact velocity and the same for the uid particles and the intruder. The post collisional velocities v<sup>0</sup> are given in terms of the pre{collisional velocities v by

$$v_{1;2}^{0} = v_{1;2} - \frac{m_{red} (1 + e)}{m_{1;2}} [(v_1 - v_2) - \hat{n}]\hat{n};$$
 (1)

where the labels 1 or 2 specify the particle,  $\hat{n}$  is the unit vector norm alto the tangential contact plane pointing from 1 to 2 at the contact time, and the reduced mass  $m_{red} = m_1 m_2 = (m_1 + m_2)$ . To calculate the dissipated energy we consider that energy is dissipated only by collisions between pairs of grains. In a binary collision the energy dissipated is proportional to  $E = m_{red} (1 - e^2)v^2 = 2$ , where v is the mean velocity of the particles.

In this work we use a generalized notion of tem perature. In a vibro uidized granular material a \granular tem perature"  $T_g$  can be de ned to describe the random motion of the grains and is the responsible for the pressure, and the transport of momentum and energy in the system [26]. The granular tem perature  $T_g$  is de ned proportional to the mean kinetic energy E associated to the velocity of each particle

$$\frac{D}{2}T_{g} = \frac{E}{N} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{2}m_{i}v_{i}^{2} :$$
(2)

We expect a continuum limit to hold for N 1, when the small particles may be considered as forming a granular uid. In order to develop an analytic study, we assume that the uniform ly heated granular uid can be described by the standard hydrodynam ic equations derived from kinetic theories for granular systems [22]. In this study, we focus on a steady state with no macroscopic ow.

The balance equation for the energy is

$$\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{;} \tag{3}$$

where q is the ux of energy and is the average rate of dissipated energy due to the inelastic nature of the particles collisions. The constitutive relation for the ux of energy,

$$q = r T_{g}; \qquad (4)$$

de nes the therm al conductivity . Consequently, we have

$$\mathbf{r} \quad (\mathbf{r}_{g}\mathbf{I}) = \mathbf{:} \tag{5}$$

A uniform ly uidized state can be realized when the granular material is vibrated in the vertical direction, typically as  $z_0(t) = A_0 \sin(!_0 t)$ , with the amplitude  $A_0$  and the frequency  $!_0 = 2 f$ , so that one can de ne a typical velocity  $u_0 = A_0!_0$ . In the experiments the excitation is described by the dimensionless amplitude  $_0 = A_0!_0=g$ , where g is the gravitational acceleration. As a rst approximation the elect of the external force experimented by the particles due to the gravitational eld is neglected in the description of the granular ow. Experimentally this corresponds to the regime  $_0$  1. So, the momentum balance, in the steady state, implies that the pressure p is constant throughout the system.

The hydrodynam ic equations close with the state equation, the collisional dissipation and the transport coe cients for a granular medium. In the lim it N 1 the constitutive relations are determ ined as function of the properties of the small grains. The transport coe cients are assumed to be given by the Enskog theory for dense gases in the lim it of small inelasticity.

The total pressure should be essentially equal to that of the small particles, the contribution of the intruder being negligible, since N 1. For a dense system the pressure is related to the density by the virial equation of state, which in the case of inelastic particles is [23,29]

$$p = \frac{1+e}{2}nT_{g} 1 + \frac{D}{2D}ng_{0}(2r_{F})^{D} ;$$
 (6)

where n = N =V is the number density of small grains,  $_{D} = 2^{D=2} = (D=2)$  is the surface area of a D {dimensional unit sphere, is the area (volume) fraction  $= _{D} nr_{F}^{D} =D$ , and  $g_{0}$  is the pair correlation function for two uid particles. In two dimensions the pair correlation function is [36]

$$g_0 = \frac{1 \frac{7}{16}}{(1 \frac{2}{10})^2};$$
(7)

with the area fraction = n  $r_F^2$ . In three dimensions the pair correlation function is [37]

$$g_0 = \frac{(2)}{2(1)^3};$$
 (8)

with the volume fraction =  $4 nr_F^3 = 3$ .

The state {dependent therm al conductivity possesses the general form [22]

$$= {}_{0}^{q} \overline{T_{g}};$$
(9)

where the prefactor  $_0$  is a function of the uid particle properties, and can be calculated using a Chapman {Enskog procedure through the solution of Enskog transport equation [23,27,29,38]. The explicit expressions of these prefactors are given in Appendix A.

To estim ate the collisional dissipation rate we consider the loss of average kinetic energy per collision and per unit time. In a binary collision the kinetic energy dissipated can be expressed in terms of the granular temperature as  $T_g = (1 \quad \stackrel{2}{e}) T_g = 2$ . For the uid particles, the average collision frequency  $!_F$  is proportional to  $!_F \quad T_g$ , and we assume that it is given by the Enskog collision frequency [38]

$$!_{\rm F} = \frac{p}{2} \frac{D}{2} ng_0 (2r_{\rm F})^{D-1} \frac{2}{m_{\rm F}} T_{\rm g}^{1=2} T_{\rm g}^{1=2}$$
(10)

This form for the frequency of collisions is justiled for a granular medium. This is a consequence that the average spacing between nearest neighbors is supposed to be less than the grain diameter (s  $2r_F$ ) [22]. Multiplying T<sub>g</sub> by the collision rate !<sub>F</sub> and the number density n = N = V, we obtain the collisional dissipation rate <sub>F</sub> for the uid particles

$$_{\rm F} = \frac{p^{\rm D}}{2 2} (1 \quad e^2) n^2 g_0 (2r_{\rm F})^{\rm D-1} \quad \frac{2}{m_{\rm F}} \quad T_{\rm g}^{3=2}$$
(11)

In order to simplify the mathematical notation let us express  $_{\rm F}$  as

$$_{\rm F} = _{\rm F} T_{\rm g}^{3=2};$$
 (12)

where the dissipation factor  $_{\rm F}$  contains the prefactors which multiply  $T_{\rm g}^{3=2}$  in Eq.(11), this is

$$_{\rm F} \quad \frac{p^{\rm D}}{2^{\rm P} \frac{1}{2}} (1 \quad e^2) n^2 g_0 (2r_{\rm F})^{\rm D-1} \quad \frac{2}{m_{\rm F}}^{1=2} :$$
 (13)

To understand the essential features of the intruder's presence in the granular medium, it is adequate to adopt a simplied point of view. If the mean velocity of the uid particles is u, the ux of uid particles which strikes the intruder's surface can be estimate as nu. Multiplying this ux by the area of the intruder  ${}_{\rm D}$  r<sub>I</sub><sup>D 1)</sup>, we can calculate the number of uid particles which strike the surface of the intruder per unit time, and written in terms of the granular temperature we have

$$!_{I} = \frac{p}{2} n g_{0} r_{I}^{D} {}^{1} \frac{m_{I} + m_{F}}{m_{I} m_{F}} {}^{1=2} T_{g}^{1=2}:$$
(14)

So, the local density of kinetic energy dissipated in the region near the intruder is

$$I = \frac{\mathbf{p}^{D}}{2^{D}} (1 \quad e^{2}) \frac{n}{V} g_{0} (\mathbf{r}_{F} + \mathbf{r}_{I})^{D-1} \quad \frac{m_{I} + m_{F}}{m_{I} m_{F}} \quad T_{g}^{3=2}:$$
(15)

In the simplied form Eq.(15) can be expressed as

$$I = I T_g^{3=2}; (16)$$

where the dissipation factor  $_{\rm I}$  is de ned as

$$I = \frac{p^{D}}{2} (1 - e^{2}) \frac{n}{V} g_{0} (r_{F} + r_{I})^{D-1} = \frac{m_{I} + m_{F}}{m_{I} m_{F}}^{1-2} :$$
(17)

#### III.LOCAL TEM PERATURE D IFFERENCE

The intruder's presence modil es the local tem perature of the system due to the collisions that happen at its surface. The number of collisions on the surface increases with the size of the particle, but the local density of dissipated energy diminishes. From Eq.(5) we can calculate within a sphere of radius  $r_0$  the value of the tem perature in the granular uid in presence of the intruder and compare it with the tem perature in the granular uid without intruder, we will denote these tem peratures  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  respectively (see Fig.(1)). This is a simple m ethod to estimate the tem perature di erence between a region with intruder and a region without intruder  $T_q = T_1$   $T_2$ .



FIG.1. Schem atic representation of the regions used to calculate the granular tem perature. (a) R egion around the intruder within a sphere of radius  $r_0$  and (b) region without intruder.

Let us concentrate on solutions with radial symmetry. The solutions of Eq.(5), for an arbitrary dimension D , satisfy the equation

$$\frac{1}{r^{D-1}}\frac{d}{dr} r^{D-1} {}_{0}T_{g}^{1=2}\frac{dT_{g}}{dr} = T_{g}^{3=2}:$$
(18)

This nonlinear dimension can be simplied by the fact that the pressure is considered constant throughout the system and remembering that p  $T_g$ . So, linearizing Eq.(18) the resulting equation may be written in terms of w  $T_q^{1=2}$ ,

$$\frac{d^2w}{dr^2} + \frac{D}{r} \frac{1dw}{dr} = {}^2w; \qquad (19)$$

where

$$^{2}$$
  $\frac{1}{2_{0}}$ : (20)

The collisional dissipation rate can be decomposed in two parts. We propose this decomposition supposing that the energy dissipation around the intruder is dominated by the collisions between the small grains and the intruder, then the dissipation rate in this region is given by Eq.(15). In the rest of the system the dissipation rate is dominated by the collisions between small grains only. In this case the dissipation is given by Eq.(11).

First, let us consider the \inhom ogeneous case" when the intruder is localized in the center of the system (r = 0), see Fig.(1a). The dissipation factor can be decomposed in two parts:  $=_{I}$  for the region near the intruder  $(r = r_{I})$ , and  $=_{F}$  for the region  $(r_{I} < r_{I})$ , where  $r_{0}$  is the radius of the considered region.

For the inhom ogeneous case we express Eq.(19) as

$$\frac{d^2 w}{dr^2} + \frac{D}{r} \frac{1 dw}{dr} = \begin{cases} 8 & \frac{2}{I} w & \text{for } 0 < r & r_{\rm I}; \\ \frac{2}{F} w & \text{for } r_{\rm I} < r & r_{\rm I}; \end{cases}$$
(21)

where,  ${}^2_{I}$   ${}_{I}=2_{0}$  and  ${}^2_{F}$   ${}_{F}=2_{0}$ . The solution of Eq.(21) is determined by the boundary conditions in posed upon the system. As boundary condition we suppose that the system is enclosed by an external surface of radius  $r_{0}$  at temperature  $T_{g}(r_{0}) = T_{0}$  (respectively,  $w(r_{0}) = w_{0}$ ).

Let us denote  $T_1$  (r) the granular tem perature for the region  $(0 < r r_1)_q$  and  $T_{1+}$  (r) the granular tem perature for the region  $(r_1 < r r_1)$  (respectively, w (r)  $T_1$  (r) and  $w_+$  (r)  $T_{1+}$  (r)). The intruder's presence in poses internal boundary conditions. On the inner surface, the tem perature should satisfy

$$w(r) j_{r_{\tau}} = w_{+}(r) j_{r_{\tau}}:$$
 (22)

The ux of energy also in poses another internal boundary condition. If we suppose the ux of energy continuous on the inner surface, from Eq.(4) the granular tem perature should satisfy

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{w} \quad (\mathbf{r})}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{w}_{+} \quad (\mathbf{r})}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{w}_{+} \quad (\mathbf{r})}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{I}}$$
(23)

# A . Solution for 2D

The solutions to Eq.(21) for D = 2 are a linear combination of the modi ed Bessel function of order zero  $w_1(r) = fI_0(r); K_0(r)g$ . The general solution is

$$w (r) = A I_0(_{I}r) + B K_0(_{I}r) \quad \text{for } 0 < r r_{f}; \qquad (24)$$

and

$$w_{+}(r) = A_{+}I_{0}(r_{F}r) + B_{+}K_{0}(r_{F}r) \quad \text{for } r_{I} < r_{F};$$
(25)

where  $A_{\,\,},\,A_{\,+}\,,\,B_{\,\,}$  and  $B_{\,+}\,$  are constants that must be determined from the boundary conditions.

The function K  $_0$  ( r) diverges when r ! 0, then

$$B = 0$$
: (26)

W hen  $r = r_0$  the Eq.(25) should satisfy the boundary condition

$$w_{+}(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{j}_{+r_{0}} = w_{0};$$
 (27)

this is,

$$A_{+}I_{0}(_{F}r_{0}) + B_{+}K_{0}(_{F}r_{0}) = w_{0}$$
: (28)

On the inner surface the boundary condition (22) w  $(r_1) = w_+ (r_1)$  leads to

$$A \quad I_{0} ( _{I}r_{I}) = A_{+} I_{0} ( _{F}r_{I}) + B_{+} K_{0} ( _{F}r_{I})$$
  
=) 
$$A = A_{+} \frac{I_{0} ( _{F}r_{I})}{I_{0} ( _{I}r_{I})} + B_{+} \frac{K_{0} ( _{F}r_{I})}{I_{0} ( _{I}r_{I})} :$$
(29)

The inner boundary condition (23) leads to

$$A = {}_{I}I_{1}({}_{I}r_{I}) = A_{+} {}_{F}I_{1}({}_{F}r_{I}) = B_{+} {}_{F}K_{1}({}_{F}r_{I}) = A_{+} {}_{I}\frac{I_{1}({}_{F}r_{I})}{I_{1}({}_{I}r_{I})} = B_{+}\frac{K_{1}({}_{F}r_{I})}{I_{1}({}_{F}r_{I})} = B_{+}\frac{K_{1}({}_{F}r_{I})}{I_$$

Equating Eqs.(29) and (30) we nd

$$\frac{A_{+}}{B_{+}} = \frac{F_{-} I_{0} (I_{-} r_{1}) K_{+} (F_{-} r_{1}) + I_{-} I_{1} (I_{-} r_{1}) K_{0} (F_{-} r_{1})}{F_{-} I_{0} (I_{-} r_{1}) I_{1} (F_{-} r_{1}) I_{-} I_{1} (I_{-} r_{1}) I_{0} (F_{-} r_{1})};$$

$$AB$$
(31)

From Eqs. (28) and (31) the constant  $B_+$  should be

$$B_{+} = \frac{W_{0}}{A_{B} I_{0} (F_{F} r_{0}) + K_{0} (F_{F} r_{0})};$$
(32)

Substituting Eq.(32) into (31) we have

$$A_{+} = \frac{W_{0 AB}}{A_{B} I_{0} (F_{r} r_{0}) + K_{0} (F_{r} r_{0})};$$
(33)

Substituting Eqs.(32) and (33) into (29) we have

$$A = \frac{w_{0}}{A = I_{0}(r_{F}r_{0}) + K_{0}(r_{F}r_{0})} = A = \frac{I_{0}(r_{F}r_{I})}{I_{0}(r_{I}r_{I})} + \frac{K_{0}(r_{F}r_{I})}{I_{0}(r_{I}r_{I})} = (34)$$

The granular tem perature in the inhom ogeneous case is

$$T_{1}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} 8 \\ \gtrless & (A \ I_{0} (I_{I}\mathbf{r}))^{2} & \text{for } 0 < \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r}_{I}; \end{cases}$$

$$(35)$$

$$(A_{+} I_{0} (I_{F}\mathbf{r}) + B_{+} K_{0} (I_{F}\mathbf{r}))^{2} & \text{for } \mathbf{r}_{I} < \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r}_{I}; \end{cases}$$

where the constant A ,  $A_+$  and  $B_+$  are given by the Eqs.(34), (33) and (32), respectively.

In the \hom ogeneous case", see Fig.(1b), the prefactor I = 0. Then the granular tem perature  $T_2$  (r) is

$$T_{2}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{I_{0}(\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r})^{2}}{I_{0}(\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}_{0})}^{2} T_{0}:$$
(36)

Now we are interested in determining the temperature difference  $T_g$  between case 1 and 2 in the granular uid. For this we calculate the granular temperatures at r = 0. When r! 0 the modified Bessel function of zero order tends to 1 [39]. So, in Eq.(35) and (36) in the limit r! 0 we not that

$$(I_0 (_{I}r))^2 = 1;$$
  
 $(I_0 (_{F}r))^2 = 1:$  (37)

Then, the tem perature di erence is

$$T_{g} = 4 \frac{1}{A_{B} I_{0} (_{F} r_{0}) + K_{0} (_{F} r_{0})} \|_{AB} \frac{I_{0} (_{F} r_{I})}{I_{0} (_{I} r_{I})} + \frac{K_{0} (_{F} r_{I})}{I_{0} (_{I} r_{I})} \|_{AB} \frac{1}{I_{0} (_{F} r_{0})} \frac{1}{I_{0} (_{F} r_{0})} \int_{B}^{I_{2}^{3}} T_{0}$$
(38)

in two dimensions.

## B.Solution for 3D

W hen D = 3, the solution of Eq.(21) is given in term s of the spherical modi ed Bessel functions of zero order  $w_1(r) = fi_0(r) = \sinh(r) = r;k_0(r) = e^{-r} = rg$ . The general solution in this case is

$$w (r) = A i_0 (_{I}r) + B k_0 (_{I}r) \quad \text{for } 0 < r r_{f};$$
(39)

and

$$w_{+}(r) = A_{+}i_{0}(r) + B_{+}k_{0}(r) \text{ for } r_{I} < r \quad r_{0}:$$
(40)

The function  $k_0$  (r) diverges when r! 0, then

$$B = 0:$$
 (41)

The constants A  $\,$  , A  $_{+}\,$  and B  $_{+}\,$  , are calculated from the boundary conditions in a similar way as before.

$$A = \frac{w_{0}}{A = i_{0}(r_{F}r_{0}) + k_{0}(r_{F}r_{0})} = \frac{i_{0}(r_{F}r_{1})}{i_{0}(r_{I}r_{1})} + \frac{k_{0}(r_{F}r_{1})}{i_{0}(r_{I}r_{1})} + \frac{k_{0}(r_{I$$

$$A_{+} = \frac{W_{0} AB}{AB i_{0} (F r_{0}) + k_{0} (F r_{0})};$$
(43)

$$B_{+} = \frac{w_{0}}{A_{B} i_{0} (F_{F} r_{0}) + k_{0} (F_{F} r_{0})};$$
(44)

where in this case the factor  $\ _{AB}$  is

$$_{AB} = \frac{_{F} i_{0} (_{I} r_{I}) k_{1} (_{F} r_{I}) + _{I} i_{1} (_{I} r_{I}) k_{0} (_{F} r_{I})}{_{F} i_{0} (_{I} r_{I}) i_{1} (_{F} r_{I}) - _{I} i_{1} (_{I} r_{I}) i_{0} (_{F} r_{I})}$$
(45)

The granular tem perature in the inhom ogeneous case in 3D is

$$T_{1}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} 8 \\ \gtrless & \dot{\mathbf{i}}_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{I}\mathbf{r}))^{2} & \text{for } 0 < \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r}_{I}; \\ \\ \vdots & \\ (A_{+}\dot{\mathbf{i}}_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{F}\mathbf{r}) + B_{+}k_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{F}\mathbf{r}))^{2} & \text{for } \mathbf{r}_{I} < \mathbf{r} & \mathbf{r}_{I}; \end{cases}$$
(46)

where the constant A ,  $A_+$  and  $B_+$  are given by the Eqs.(42), (43) and (44).

In the \hom ogeneous case" the prefactor  $_{\rm I}$  = 0. Then the granular tem perature T\_2 (r) is

$$T_{2}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{i_{0}(\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r})^{2}}{i_{0}(\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}_{0})^{2}} T_{0}:$$
(47)

A gain the tem perature di erence  $T_g$  is calculate at r = 0 between case 1 and 2. W hen r! 0 the spherical B essel function of zero order tends to 1 [39], then

$$\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{r}{r}\right)^{2}}{\frac{1}{r}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{r}$$

Then, the tem perature di erence is

$$T_{g} = 4 \frac{1}{A_{B} i_{0} (F_{F} r_{0}) + k_{0} (F_{F} r_{0})} - \frac{i_{0} (F_{F} r_{1})}{i_{0} (F_{I} r_{1})} + \frac{k_{0} (F_{F} r_{1})}{i_{0} (F_{I} r_{1})} - \frac{1}{i_{0} (F_{F} r_{0})} - \frac{1}{i_{0}$$

in three dimensions.

# C.Energy equipartition breakdown

Let us de ne the tem perature ratio

 $T_{2}(0)=T_{2}(0)$ . In two dimension we have

$$= \frac{I_{0}(_{F}r_{0})}{_{AB}I_{0}(_{F}r_{0}) + K_{0}(_{F}r_{0})} \prod_{AB} \frac{I_{0}(_{F}r_{1})}{I_{0}(_{I}r_{1})} + \frac{K_{0}(_{F}r_{1})}{I_{0}(_{I}r_{1})} + \frac{K_{0}(_{F}r_{1})}{I_{0}(_{I}r_{1})} ;$$
(50)

and for three dim ensions,

$$= \frac{i_{0}(r_{F}r_{0})}{r_{AB}i_{0}(r_{F}r_{0}) + k_{0}(r_{F}r_{0})} = \frac{i_{0}(r_{F}r_{I})}{r_{0}(r_{I}r_{I})} + \frac{k_{0}(r_{F}r_{I})}{i_{0}(r_{I}r_{I})}^{\#!};$$
(51)

since  $_{\rm F}$  >  $_{\rm I}$  we can verify that  $T_1(0) > T_2(0)$ , this means > 1. So, the temperatures ratio between the region with intruder and the region without intruder are di erent. In our model this lack of equipartition is due to a di erence between the collisional dissipation rate related to the particle sizes. In the elastic limit e ! 1 the energy equipartition is restored

! 1. In Fig. 2, we present the qualitative behavior of with the size ratio =  $r_F$ , for di erent values of the coe cient e. The granular tem perature di erence increases with

and depends on e. We can see that is nearly constant and very close to unity when e = 0.99.



FIG.2. Ratio =  $T_1(0)=T_2(0)$  of the granular temperatures, showing non (equipartition of energy ( $\leq 1$ ) for dimensional temperatures of the coefficient of restitution e.

Recently, this quantity was directly measured in experiments performed by Feitosa and Menon [40]. They observed that energy equipartition does not generally hold for a binary vibrated granular system. They reported that the ratio of granular temperatures depends on the ratio of particle mass densities. Also in uidized binary granular mixtures the breakdown of the energy equipartition was observed experimentally [41] and described theoretically in the framework of the kinetic theory [42]

#### IV.THERMAL EXPANSION

The de nition of the granular temperature allows us to establish a statistical mechanics description of the granular medium. The change of mean energy of the system is basically due to a mechanical interaction with their external parameters (e.g., the amplitude  $A_0$  and the frequency  $!_0 = 2$  f of vibration, the volume of the system V, and the pressure p). Theoretically we can derive the energy relaxation to the steady state for a driven granular medium [43]. The system increases its energy as a result of external driving while its

decreases its energy by dissipation. The work W done to change the volum e of the system from V to a certain quantity V + dV is equal to the change of its m can energy and its related to the m can pressure and volum e by dW = pdV + V dp. From the de nition of granular tem perature, the change of the granular tem perature depends on the m can kinetic energy of the particles. A volum e change dV is related to a tem perature change  $dT_g$  by the equation of state (6).

We can express V as a function of  $T_g$  and p, V = V ( $T_g$ ;p). Thus given in nitesimal changes in  $T_g$  and p, we can write

$$dV = \frac{\varrho_V}{\varrho_{T_g}} dT_g + \frac{\varrho_V}{\varrho_p} dp;$$
  
=  $V dT_g k_V dp;$  (52)

where is the therm al expansion coe cient de ned as

$$\frac{1}{V} \quad \frac{@V}{@T_g} = \frac{1}{n} \quad \frac{@n}{@T_g} ; \qquad (53)$$

and  $k_p$  is the \isotherm alcom pressibility" de ned as

$$k_{p} \qquad \frac{1}{V} \quad \frac{\varrho_{V}}{\varrho_{p}} \Big|_{T_{q}} = \frac{1}{n} \quad \frac{\varrho_{n}}{\varrho_{p}} \Big|_{T_{q}} \qquad (54)$$

If in a rst approximation we neglect the variations of the coe cients and k  $_{\rm p}$  , we can integrate Eq.(52) and nd

$$V (T_g;p) = V_0 \exp [T_g k_p p];$$

$$V_0 [1 + T_g k_p p]:$$
(55)

From the tem perature di erence, Eqs.(38) and (49), we can conclude that we also have a change in the elective density ( $= nm_I$ ) of the granular uid between case 1 and 2, through the therm all expansion of volume produced by the di erence of tem peratures, Eq.(55), thus

$$\sim = (1 T_g):$$
 (56)

The therm al expansion  $\infty$  cient can be derived from the equation of state (6) and de nition (53). The general form of the  $\infty$  cient of therm al expansion is

$$= \frac{1}{T_0} C();$$
 (57)

where C () is a correction due to the density of the system. In the dilute limit ! 0 and C () ! 1, and the above expression tends to the expected value for a classical gas  $= 1=T_0$ . The explicit form of C () is given in Appendix B.

#### V.SEGREGATION FORCES

Now we propose that this density di erence leads to an e ective buoyancy force  $f_{\rm b}$ , sim ilar to the Archim edean force

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{b}} = \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{I}}\mathbf{g}; \tag{58}$$

where =  $T_g, V_I = \frac{D}{D} r_I^D$  is the D {dimensional volume of the intruder and g is the gravity eld. The intruder also experiences a viscous drag of the granular uid. The drag force  $f_d$  is considered to be linear in the velocity of segregation u (t), and is like the Stokes' drag force

$$f_d = 6 r_f u(t);$$
 (59)

where is the coe cient of viscosity of the granular uid The state{dependent viscosity possesses the general form [22]

$$= \int_{0}^{q} \frac{1}{T_{g}}; \qquad (60)$$

where the prefactor  $_0$  is a function of the uid particle properties, and can be calculated using a Chapman {Enskog procedure for the solution of Enskog transport equation. The explicit expressions of these prefactors are given in Appendix A.

Equations (58) and (59) express the acting forces in the segregation process

$$\mathbf{f}_{seq} = \mathbf{f}_{b} + \mathbf{f}_{d} : \tag{61}$$

Therefore, the equation of motion that governs the segregation process is

$$\frac{D}{D}r_{I}^{D} \frac{du(t)}{dt} = \frac{D}{D}r_{I}^{D} T_{g}g \quad 6 \quad r_{I}u(t):$$
(62)

Now we suppose the granular system contained between two large parallel plates perpendicular to the gravitational eld. We take the reference frame positive in the upward vertical direction. A manging term s in Eq.(62) we not the following dimensional equation

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = T_{g}g \frac{6 D (r_{F})^{1 D}}{D}u(t);$$
(63)

where we have expressed the intruder's radius as function of the size ratio dependence  $r_I = r_F$ , and the solution of this di erential equation is the rise velocity of the intruder

$$u(t) = \frac{T_{g}gt_{0}}{1 D} 1 \exp^{-1 D} \frac{t}{t_{0}};$$
 (64)

where the time  $\{ scale t_0 \}$  is given by

$$t_0 = \frac{D}{6 D r_F^{1 D}}$$
: (65)

The force balance between the drag force  $f_d$  and the buoyant force  $f_b$  gives the settling velocity  $u_s$ 

$$u_{s} = \frac{T_{g}t_{0}}{1 D};$$
 (66)

The time dependent intruder height z (t) is

$$z(t) = \frac{T_{g}gt_{0}}{1}t t = 1 exp \frac{1}{t_{0}}t t = 1 exp \frac{1}{t_{0}}t t = t exp t$$

On a qualitative level our model satisfactorily reproduces the observed phenom enology: a large intruder m igrates to the top of a vibrated bed, and the rise velocity increases with the intruder size. The solutions (64) and (67) are plotted in F igs. 3 and 4. Our results resembles the experimental intruder height time evolution described in Refs. [5] and [6]. However the model can not describe the interm ittent ascent of the intruder since we calculate the mean velocities. U sing the following model parameters: mass particle density of 2.7 gcm<sup>-3</sup> (A lum inum),  $r_F = 0.1$  cm, e = 0.83, = 0.34, N = 5 1Å, g = 100 cm s<sup>2</sup>,  $r_0 = 2r_I$  and  $T_0 = 30$  1Å gcm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-2</sup> we obtain that the order of m agnitude of z (t) (F ig. 4) coincides with the values reported by Cooke et al. (See Fig. 3 Ref. [6]).



FIG.3. Intruder segregation velocity u(t). The parameters are: mass particle density of 2.7 gcm  $^3$  (A lum inum),  $r_{\rm F}$  = 0:1 cm, e = 0:83, = 0:34, N = 5  $10^3$ , g = 100 cm s  $^2$ ,  $r_0$  = 2r\_I and  $T_0$  = 30  $10^6$  gcm  $^2$ s  $^2$ .



FIG.4. Intruder height tim e dependence z(t). The parameters are: mass particle density of 2.7 gcm <sup>3</sup> (A lum inum),  $r_F = 0.1$  cm, e = 0.83, = 0.34,  $N = 5 - 10^3$ , g = 100 cm s<sup>2</sup>,  $r_0 = 2r_I$  and  $T_0 = 30 - 10^6$  gcm <sup>2</sup> s<sup>2</sup>. Inset: M easured intruder height (Fig. 3, Ref.[6]).

From the settling velocity  $u_s$  (66) we show explicitly the dependence on size. This is proportional to the size ratio and the granular temperature di erences  $T_g$  which also depends on the size ratio. Its agrees with the experimental fact that the larger the radius of the intruder, the faster is the ascent, reported by D uran et al. [5]. The plotted solution (66), Fig. 5, ts well with the experimental values from Ref. [5] for > 4, shown in Fig. 5. In this experiment, D uran et al., claim the experimental evidence of a segregation size threshold at  $_c = 3.3$ , below which the intruder does not exhibit any upwards motion. O ur model's continuous aspect doesn't allow for the existence of this threshold. We argue that this discrepancy comes from the fact that experimental measures in this regime should be very di cult to carring out. They reported to not have observed any upward motion after one hour. If we see the height probe shown in Fig. 4 for = 2, we note that it is very close to zero after one minute and the slope is very low. Therefore this threshold should not exist.



FIG.5. Intruder segregation velocity dependence on . The parameters are: mass particle density of 2.7 gcm  $^3$  (A lum inum),  $r_F$  = 0:75 cm, e = 0:85, = 0:25, N = 5  $10^3$ , g = 100 cm s  $^2$ ,  $r_0$  = 2 $r_{\rm I}$  and  $T_0$  = 126  $10^6$  gcm  $^2$ s  $^2$ . The data points come from Ref.[5].

#### VI.CONCLUSIONS

We derived a phenom enological continuum description for particle size segregation in granular media. We propose a buoyancy (driven segregation mechanism caused by the dissipative nature of the collisions between grains. The collisional dissipation rate naturally leads to a local temperature di erence among the region around the intruder and them edium without intruder. In this model we proposed that the intruder's presence develops a temperature gradient in the system which gives origin to a di erence of densities. The granular temperature di erence is due to the fact that the number of collisions on the surface increases with the size of the intruder, but the local density of dissipated energy diminishes. So, the region around the intruder its hotter than the region without intruder. From this temperature di erence we can conclude that we have a change in the elective density of the granular uid. This leads to an elective buoyancy force that is the responsible for the

intruder's upward m ovem ent.

In this work we made use of the tools of kinetic theory of gases to calculate the granular tem perature. We observed a breakdown of the energy equipartition. And this is in agreement with other reported experiments and models. In a certain sense our theory unites the different aspects observed in the size segregation phenomenon. Explicit solutions of the dependence of height and velocity are calculated. The geometrical elect of a segregation threshold is not supported by our model. The intruder size dependence appears naturally in our model.

Very recently it has been shown experimentally [44] and by computer simulations [45], that the convection phenomenon in granular uids comes from the elect of spontaneous granular temperature gradients, due to the dissipative nature of the collisions. This temperature gradient leads to a density variations. The convection rolls are caused by buoyancy elects initiated by enhanced dissipation at the walls and the tendency of the grains at the center to rise. So, this segregation mechanism could be described in the hydrodynamic framework proposed in this work subject to the appropriate boundary conditions.

## ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

We thank M. A lam for helpful comments on the manuscript. One of the authors (L.T.) would like to thank A.R. Lim a for friendly support.

#### APPENDIX A: TRANSPORT COEFFICIENTS

In this appendix the prefactors appearing in Eqs.(9) and (60) are derived. Using a C hapm an  $\{Enskog procedure for the solution of the Enskog transport equation, the transport coe cients for nearly elastic particles have been derived in Refs. [23] and [27].$ 

In 2D the therm al conductivity is [23]

$$= 3nr_{F} - \frac{1}{m_{F}} + \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{G} + \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{16}{9} - G - T_{g}^{1=2}; \quad (A1)$$

where G is  $g_0$ ,  $g_0$  is the 2D pair correlation function given in Eq.(7), and is the area fraction = n  $r_F^2$ . It is convenient to express Eq.(A1) introducing the prefactor  $_0$  de ned as

$$_{0} \qquad 3n_{\rm F} \quad \frac{1}{m_{\rm F}} \quad 1 + \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{G} + \frac{3}{4} \quad 1 + \frac{16}{9} \quad G \quad : \tag{A2}$$

The result (A1) takes the form

$$= {}_{0}^{q} \overline{T_{g}}:$$
 (A 3)

In 3D the therm al conductivity is [27]

$$= \frac{15}{8} nr_{F} \frac{1}{m_{F}} + \frac{5}{24} \frac{1}{G} + \frac{6}{5} + \frac{32}{9} + \frac{3$$

where G is  $g_0, g_0$  is the 3D pair correlation function given in Eq.(8), and is in this case the volume fraction = 4  $nr_F^3$  = 3. In 3D the prefactor  $_0$  is de ned as

$$_{0} \quad \frac{15}{8} \mathrm{nr}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{F}}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{F}}} \quad 1 + \frac{5}{24} \frac{1}{\mathrm{G}} + \frac{6}{5} \quad 1 + \frac{32}{9} \quad \mathrm{G} \quad : \tag{A5}$$

The shear viscosity in 2D is [23]

$$= \frac{1}{4} nr_{\rm F} (m_{\rm F})^{1=2} 2 + \frac{1}{\rm G} + 1 + \frac{8}{\rm G} {\rm G} {\rm T}_{\rm g}^{1=2}:$$
 (A6)

It is convenient to express Eq.(A 6) introducing the prefactor  $_0$  de ned as

$$_{0} = \frac{1}{4} nr_{F} (m_{F})^{1=2} 2 + \frac{1}{G} + 1 + \frac{8}{G} G :$$
 (A7)

So, the result (A 6) takes the form

$$= \int_{0}^{q} \frac{1}{T_{g}}$$
 (A8)

In 3D the shear viscosity is [27]

$$= \frac{1}{3} nr_{F} (m_{F})^{1=2} 1 + \frac{5}{16} \frac{1}{G} + \frac{4}{5} 1 + \frac{12}{2} G T_{g}^{1=2};$$
 (A9)

and the prefactor  $_0$  in 3D is de ned as

$$_{0} = \frac{1}{3} nr_{F} (m_{F})^{1=2} 1 + \frac{5}{16G} + \frac{4}{5} 1 + \frac{12}{16G} G :$$
 (A10)

## APPENDIX B: THERMAL EXPANSION COEFFICIENT

We can consider the volume of the system as a function of the granular tem perature and the pressure V = V ( $T_g$ ;p). A change in the granular tem perature  $dT_g$  and the pressure dp, leads to the corresponding change in the volume dV

$$dV = \frac{\varrho V}{\varrho T_g} \int_p^l dT_g + \frac{\varrho V}{\varrho p} \int_{T_g}^l dp; \qquad (B1)$$

As we have supposed that the pressuer of the system is more or less constant, we can approximate dp 0. The increment of volume dV with an increment of the granular temperature  $dT_g$  is

$$dV = \frac{\Theta V}{\Theta T_g} \int_{p}^{l} dT_g :$$
 (B2)

Thus,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}T_{\mathrm{g}}} = -\frac{\mathrm{e}V}{\mathrm{e}T_{\mathrm{g}}} \Big|_{\mathrm{p}}; \qquad (B3)$$

or

$$\frac{\text{@V}}{\text{@T}_{g}}_{p}^{!} = \frac{2}{4} \frac{\text{@T}_{g}}{\text{@V}}_{p}^{!} \frac{3}{5}_{p}^{1}; \tag{B4}$$

and in terms of the number density n, we have

$$\frac{\varrho_{n}}{\varrho_{T_{g}}} \Big|_{p,N} = \frac{2}{4} \frac{\varrho_{T_{g}}}{\varrho_{n}} \frac{5}{5} :$$
(B5)

From the de nition of the coe cient of therm al expansion Eq.(53), and from the above statement, we nd

$$= \frac{1}{n} \frac{(2^{n})^{2}}{(2^{n})^{2}} = \frac{2}{4} \frac{(2^{n})^{2}}{(2^{n})^{2}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(2^{n})^{2}}{(2^{n$$

The partial derivative  $(@T_g=@n)_{p,N}$  can be calculated from the equation of state (B7). In 2D the equation of state is

\_

$$p = \frac{1+e}{2}nT_{g}^{2} + 2 \frac{1 \frac{7}{16}}{(1 \frac{7}{16})^{5}}; \qquad (B7)$$

\_

where  $= n r_F^2$ . So, an elementary calculation leads to

$$\frac{\partial T_{g}}{\partial n} \Big|_{p,\mathbb{N}} = \frac{2}{(1+e)} \frac{p}{n^{2}} \frac{8(^{3} 3^{2} 8 8)(1)}{(^{2}+8)^{2}};$$
(B8)

From Eq.(B6) one obtains:

$$= \frac{2}{1+e^{n}} \frac{n}{8(^{3} 3^{2} 8 8)(1)};$$
(B9)

U sing the equation of state (B7) we can express in function of the granular tem perature

$$= \frac{1}{T_g} \frac{(^2 + 8)^2}{(^3 - 3^2 - 8 - 8)(-1)};$$
 (B10)

this is

$$= \frac{1}{T_{g}}C();$$
 (B11)

where the correction coe cient due to the density of the system is de ned as

C () 
$$\frac{(^{2}+8)^{2}}{(^{3}-3)^{2}-8-8)(-1)}$$
; (B12)

For three dimensions the equation of state is

$$p = \frac{1+e}{2}nT_{g} + 4 \frac{(2)}{2(1)}^{\#}$$
(B13)

In a similar way we nd for 3D that the coe cient of them al expansion is

$$= \frac{1}{T_g} \frac{\binom{3}{4} + \binom{2}{4} + \binom{1}{4} + \binom{1}{4}}{\binom{4}{4} + \binom{4}{4} + \binom{4}{4} + \binom{1}{4}};$$
 (B14)

and the correction  $\cos$  cient C ( ) in 3D is de ned as

C() 
$$\frac{\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}{\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 3 + 4 & 2 + 4 & + 1 \end{pmatrix}}$$
 (B15)

where =  $4n r_F^3 = 3$ .

- Physics of Dry Granular Media, edited by H.J.Herrm ann, J. (P.Holviand S.Luding, NATO ASI, Ser. E, Vol. 350 (Kluwer Academ ic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998).
- [2] A.Rosato, K.J.Strandburg, F.Prinz and R.H.Swendsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1038 (1987).
- [3] J.Duran, J.Rajchenbach and E.Clement, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2431 (1993).
- [4] J.B.Knight, H.M. Jaeger and S.R. Nagel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3728 (1993).
- [5] J.Duran, T.Mazoi, E.Clement and J.Rajchenbach, Phys. Rev. E 50, 5138 (1994).
- [6] W .Cooke, S.W arr, J.M. Huntley and R.C.Ball, Phys. Rev. E 53, 2812 (1996).
- [7] L.Vanel, A.D. Rosato and R.N. Dave, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1255 (1997).
- [8] D.Brone and F.J.Muzzio, Phys.Rev.E 56, 1059 (1997).
- [9] T. Shinbrot and F.J.Muzzio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4365 (1998).
- [10] R. Jullien, P. Meakin and A. Pavlovitch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 640 (1992).
- [11] R. Jullien, P. Meakin and A. Pavlovitch, Europhys. Lett. 22, 523 (1993).
- [12] T. Poschel and H. J. Herrm ann, Europhys. Lett. 29, 123 (1995).
- [13] T.Ohtsuki, D.Kinoshita, Y.Takm oto and A.Hayashi, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.64, 430 (1995).
- [14] S.D ippeland S.Luding, J.Phys. I (Paris) 5, 1527 (1995).
- [15] J.A.C.Gallas, H.J.Hemmann, T.Poschel and S.Sokolowski, J.Stat. Phys. 82, 443 (1996).
- [16] E. Caglioti, A. Coniglio, H. J. Herrmann, V. Loreto and M. Nicodemi, Europhys. Lett. 43, 591 (1998).
- [17] N. Shishodia and C. R. Wassgren, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 084301 {1 (2001).
- [18] G.C.Barker, A.Mehta and M.J.Grim son, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2194 (1993).
- [19] R.Jullien, P.M eakin and A. Pavlovitch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2194 (1993).
- [20] G.C.Barker and A.Mehta, Europhys. Lett. 29, 61 (1995).
- [21] R. Jullien, P. Meakin and A. Pavlovitch, Europhys. Lett. 29, 63 (1995).
- [22] P.K.Ha, J.Fluid Mech. 134, 401 (1983).
- [23] J.T. Jenkins and M.W. Richman, Phys. Fluids 28, 3485 (1985).
- [24] S.B. Savage, J.Fluid Mech. 194, 457 (1988).
- [25] J.T. Jenkins and M.W. Richman, J.Fluid Mech. 192, 313 (1988).
- [26] C.S.Cam pbell, Annu.Rev.Fluid Mech. 22, 57 (1990).

- [27] J.T. Jenkins, in Ref. [1], pag. 353.
- [28] V.Kumaran, J.Fluid.Mech. 364, 163 (1998).
- [29] V.Garzo and J.W. Duffy, Phys. Rev. E 59, 5895 (1999).
- [30] J.T. Jenkins and F.M ancini, Phys. Fluids A 1, 2050 (1989).
- [31] P.Zam ankhan, Phys.Rev.E 52, 4877 (1995).
- [32] B.O.A marson and J.T.W illits, Phys. Fluids 10, 1324 (1998).
- [33] J.T.W illits and B.O.A marson, Phys. Fluids 11, 3116 (1999).
- [34] S. M CN am ara and S. Luding, in Proceedings of the IUTAM Symposium on Segregation in Granular Flows, edited by A. Rosato (K luwer Academ ic Publishers, D ordrecht, 2000).
- [35] C.Henrique, G.Batrouni and D.Bideau, Phys.Rev.E 63, 011304{1 (2001).
- [36] L.Verlet and D.Levesque, M ol. Phys. 46, 969 (1982).
- [37] N.F.Camahan and K.E.Starling, J.Chem. Phys. 51, 635 (1969)
- [38] S.Chapman and T.G.Cowling, The Mathematical Theory of Non {uniform gases (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1970).
- [39] H andbook of M athem atical Functions, edited by M . A bram ow itz and I.A. Stegun (D over, New York, 1965).
- [40] K.Feitosa and W.Menon, e{print cond {m at/0111391 (2001).
- [41] I. Ippolito, et al., Phys. Rev. E 52, 2072 (1995).
- [42] L.Huilin, D.G idaspow and E.M anger, Phys. Rev. E 64, 061301{1 (2001)
- [43] P.Sunthar and V.Kum aran, Phys.Rev.E 60, 1951 (1999).
- [44] R.D.W ildm an, J.M. Huntley and D.J.Parker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3304 (2001).
- [45] R.Ram irez, D.Riso and P.Cordero, Phys.Rev.Lett. 85, 1230 (2000).