Electrorotation of colloidal suspensions

J.P.Huang¹, K.W .Yu¹ and G.Q.Gu^{1;2}

¹D epartm ent of Physics, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong ²College of Information Science and Technology, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200 062, China

Abstract

When a strong electric eld is applied to a colloidal suspension, it may cause an aggregation of the suspended particles in response to the eld. In the case of a rotating eld, the electrorotation (ER) spectrum can be modiled further due to the local eld elects arising from the many-particle system. To capture the local eld elect, we invoke the Maxwell-Gamett approximation for the dielectric response. The hydrodynamic interactions between the suspended particles can also modify the spin friction, which is a key to determ ine the angular velocity of ER. By invoking the spectral representation approach, we derive the analytic expressions for the characteristic frequency at which the maximum angular velocity of ER occurs. From the numerical caculation, we nd that there exist two sub-dispersions in the ER spectrum. However, the two characteristic frequencies are so close that the two peaks actually overlap and become a single broad peak. We report a detailed investigation of the dependence of the characteristic frequency and the dispersion strength of ER on various material parameters.

PACS Number(s): 82.70.-y, 87.22 Bt, 77.22 Gm, 77.84 Nh

I. IN TRODUCTION

AC electrokinetic pheonom ena, such as dielectrophoresis and electrorotation (ER) have been investigated to yield the selective manipulation and characterization of biological cells. Under the action of external elds, colloidal particles or biological cells in suspensions exhibit rich uid-dynam ic behavior as well as dielectric response. It is also interesting to investigate their frequency-dependent responses to ac elds, which leads to valuable inform ation on the structural (M axwell-W agner) polarization e ects [1,2]. The polarization is characterized by a variety of characteristic frequency-dependent changes known as dielectric dispersion.

In the last two decades, various experimental tools have been developed to analyze the polarization of biological cells – dielectric spectroscopy [3], dielectrophoresis [4] and ER [5] techniques. Among these techniques, conventional dielectrophoresis and ER are usually applied to analyze the frequency dependence of translations and rotations of cells in a rotating electric eld, respectively [4,5]. Moreover, one is able to monitor the cellm ovements with the aid of automated video analysis [6] as well as light scattering methods [2]. In ER, a dipole moment is induced in response to the rotating eld. Then any dispersion process is able to cause a phase shift between the induced dipole moment and the external eld vector, resulting in a desired torque which causes the cells to rotate.

In the dilute limit, the ER of individual cell can be predicted by ignoring the mutual interaction between cells. However, the cells may be aggregated under the in uence of the external eld. In this case the Brownian motion can be neglected, and thus the system becomes non-dilute even though it is initially dilute. Then the role of mutual interaction will become dominant. As an initial model, we studied the ER of two approaching spherical particles in the presence of a rotating electric eld in a recent paper [7]. We showed that when the two particles approach and nally touch, the mutual polarization interaction between the particles leads to a change in the dipole moment of individual particles and hence the ER spectrum, as compared to that of isolated particles. The mutual polarization extenses were captured via the multiple in age method [8]. From the results, we found that the

2

mutual polarization e ects can lower the characteristic frequency at which the maximum ER angular velocity occurs.

W hen the volum e fraction of the suspension becomes large, the mutual interactions between the suspended particles can also modify the spin friction, which is a key to determ ine the angular velocity of ER. For ER of two particles in a rotating electric eld, we have successfully applied the spectral representation to calculate the dispersion frequency. However, the determ ination of the spin friction was lacking.

For two particles, the basic tool is the re ection method [9], being analogous to the multiple in age method in electrostatics, but being valid for two particles only. For more than two particles, we need a rst-principles method, e.g., the Green's function (O seen tensor) formulation. For a dilute suspension, however, one may adopt the electrive medium theories [10] to capture the electrive viscosity of a suspension. Thus, in this case, the ER spectrum can be modiled further.

Regarding the spectral representation approach [11], it is a rigorous m athem atical form alism of the e ective dielectric constant of a two-phase composite m aterial. It o ers the advantage of the separation of m aterial parameters (namely the dielectric constant and conductivity) from the particle structure inform ation, thus sim plifying the study. In the present work, we will derive the analytic expressions for the characteristic frequencies by using the spectral representation approach.

II.FORM ALISM

We consider hom ogeneous, spherical particles exposed to a rotating electric eld of frequency f, in which particles of complex dielectric constant $\gamma_1 = {}_1 + {}_1 = (i2 f)$ are dispersed in a suspension of $\gamma_2 = {}_2 + {}_2 = (i2 f)$, with $i = {}^p - 1$. Here, ${}_i$ and ${}_i$ represent the dielectric constant and conductivity, respectively.

For the e ective dielectric constant \sim_{e} of the whole system , we have:

$$\frac{\frac{\gamma_{e}}{\gamma_{e}} + 2\gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{e} + 2\gamma_{2}} = p \frac{\gamma_{1} + \gamma_{2}}{\gamma_{1} + 2\gamma_{2}};$$
(1)

where p is the volum e fraction of partices. Note that the p = 0 lim it throughout the paper is just the isolated spherical result [7]. In this case, the dipole factor of the particle is given by

$$b = \frac{\gamma_1 - \gamma_e}{\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_e};$$
 (2)

In order to obtain the analytic expression for the characteristic frequency, we resort to the spectral representation approach. By introducing a material parameter

$$s = 1 \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}^{1};$$

Eq.(2) adm its the form :

$$b = \frac{F_1}{s s_1} + \frac{F_2}{s s_2};$$
(3)

where

$$F_{1} = \frac{(1 \ p) \left(\begin{array}{c} p \ \overline{8+p} & p \ \overline{p} \right)}{6 \ \overline{8+p}} ; \quad F_{2} = \frac{(1 \ p) \left(\begin{array}{c} p \ \overline{8+p} + p \ \overline{p} \right)}{6 \ \overline{8+p}} ; \\ s_{1} = \frac{1}{6} \ 2+p \ q \ \overline{p(8+p)} \; ; \quad s_{2} = \frac{1}{6} \ 2+p + q \ \overline{p(8+p)} \; : \end{cases}$$

A fiter som e sim ple m an ipulations, Eq.(3) becom es [7,12]

$$b = \frac{F_1}{s s_1} + \frac{1}{1 + if = f_{c1}} + \frac{F_2}{s s_2} + \frac{2}{1 + if = f_{c2}};$$
(4)

where the dispersion magnitudes f_c and characteristic frequencies f_c being given by

$$f_{c1} = F_{1} \frac{s t}{(t s_{1})(s s_{1})}; \quad f_{c2} = F_{2} \frac{s t}{(t s_{2})(s s_{2})};$$
$$f_{c1} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2s(t s_{1})}{2t(s s_{1})}; \quad f_{c2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{2s(t s_{2})}{2t(s s_{2})};$$

and $s = 1 = (1 _{1} = _{2})$ and $t = 1 = (1 _{1} = _{2})$ are the dielectric and conductivity contrasts, respectively. Note that we predict two characteristic frequencies f_{c1} and f_{c2} .

Regarding the dynam ic viscosity, the noninteracting spin friction expression for an isolated spherical particle must be modiled. For many particles interacting in a suspension, we must consider the suspension hydrodynam ics. The spin friction is calculated by considering the hydrodynamics of a rotating particle in a homogeneous suspension with an e ective viscosity $_{e}$. The e ective viscosity for a suspension may be obtained from an analogous M axwell-G amett approximation (MGA) [10]:

$$\frac{-e^{2}}{e^{+}\frac{3}{2}2} = p \frac{1}{1+\frac{3}{2}2};$$
(5)

where $_1$ and $_2$ are respectively the viscocity of particle and host, and we may take $_1$! 1 for hard spheres. The dilute limit expression for the elective viscocity is:

$$_{e} = _{2} + \frac{5}{2}p_{2} + \frac{5}{2}p^{2}_{2} + :$$
 (6)

This equation is a suitable method to determ ine the elective viscosity at low concentration as it predicts no percolation threshold. The elective medium approximation (EMA) is also a useful method to evaluate the elective viscosity of a suspension, which admits the form [10]:

$$p \frac{1}{1 + \frac{3}{2}e} + (1 p) \frac{2}{2 + \frac{3}{2}e} = 0:$$
(7)

W e should remark that a percolation threshold $p_c = 0.4$ is predicted by Eq.(7). From this equation, the dilute limit expression is

$$_{e} = _{2} + \frac{5}{2}p_{2} + \frac{5}{2}p_{2}^{2} + :$$
 (8)

To one's interest, Eq.(6) and Eq.(8) attain the same expansion up to rst order in p. In addition, we should also remark that both Eq.(5) and Eq.(7) are valid only at low Reynolds number.

It is known that the rotational angular velocity of a particle is related to the dipole factor as follows

$$(f) = \frac{e^{E_{0}^{2}}}{2_{e}} Im [b]$$
(9)

where E_0 is the strength of the applied electric eld, and Im []m eans taking the imaginary part of [].

During the num erical calculation, set $E_0 = 1.0 \quad 10^4 V = m$, $_2 = 1.0 \quad 10^{-3} K g = m$ s. Note $_0$ denotes the dielectric constant of free space.

Fig.1 (a) is plotted versus frequency f for three di erent $_1$ at $_2 = 2.8 \quad 10^{4}$ S=m, $_2 = 80_0$, p = 0.05 and $_1 = 2.8 \quad 10^{2}$ S=m. Given $_1$, there is always one peak for angular velocity as frequency increases. The frequency located by the peak is just the characteristic frequency. We should remark here that two peaks we predict above are located so close that only one peak behavior is shown. In our previous work [7], a pair of particles is investigated by considering the mutual depolarization e ect, and we found that one peak is dominant while the other has a lower value and is located in a quite di erent position. This shows that the particle aggregation e ect m ay enhance the second peak value, and be relocated close to the dominant one. Regarding the related quantitative explanation, please refer to Fig.3 arising from the spectral representation approach. As $_1$ increases, both the characteristic frequency and the angular-velocity peak value decreases.

Fig.1 (b) is plotted versus frequency f for three di erent $_2$ at $_2$ = 2.8 10 4 S=m, p = 0.05, $_1$ = 10 $_0$ and $_1$ = 2.8 10 2 S=m. It is evident that increasing $_2$ yields decreasing characteristic frequency, but increasing angular-velocity peak value.

Fig.1 (c) is plotted versus frequency f for three di erent $_1$ at $_2 = 2.8$ 10 4 S=m, $_2 = 80_0$, p = 0.05 and $_1 = 10_0$. It is evident that both the characteristic frequency and the angular-velocity peak value increase for increasing $_1$.

Fig.1 (d) is plotted versus frequency f for three di erent $_2$ at $_2 = 80_0$, p = 0.05 and $_1 = 10_0$ and $_1 = 2.8 \quad 10^{-2}$ S=m. For an increasing $_2$, the characteristic frequency increases whereas the angular-velocity peak value decreases concom itantly.

In Fig 2, the e ect of volum e fraction on the e ective viscosity is investigated. C hearly, at a larger volum e fraction, a larger e ective viscosity is predicted both for M G A as well as EM A. It is evident that, for a given p, EM A predicts a larger e ective viscosity than M G A.

In Fig.3, we investigate the dependence of the spectral parameters, namely the residues

(F_1 and F_2), the poles (s_1 and s_2), as well as the dispersion parameters ($_1$ and $_2$) on the volume fraction p (for MGA only).

In Fig.3 (a) and 3 (b), as the volume fraction p increases, both F_1 and F_2 increase. Increasing volume fraction p leads to decreasing s_1 , but increasing s_2 . Note that the residues satisfy the relation $F_1 + F_2 = (1 \ p)=3$. In the two gures, the distinction between F_1 and F_2 , or s_1 and s_2 is quite small at low volume fraction (e.g., p = 0.05, 0.1, and 0.15).

In Fig.3 (c), as the volume fraction increases, $_1$ rst increases, and then decreases, while $_2$ decreases monotonically. Note $_2$ becomes very close to $_1$ at low concentration region.

In Fig.3(d), as the volum e fraction increases, f_{c1} decreases, while f_{c2} increases concom itantly. M oreover, it is evident that both characteristic frequencies collapse at low concentration region.

From Fig.3, it is readily concluded that at low volume fraction, the angular-velocity peaks related to two characteristic frequencies are so close that they can almost be seen as overlapped. This is just the reason why only one rotation peak occurs in our gures. We believe that such distinction between the two peaks will become recognizable for a high-concentration case.

Fig.4 is plotted for three di erent volum e fraction p at $_2 = 2.8 \quad 10^{-4}$ S=m, $_2 = 80_0$, and $_1 = 10_0$ and $_1 = 2.8 \quad 10^{-2}$ S=m. In this gure, we investigate the e ect of particle volum e fraction on the angular velocity. Increasing volum e fraction is able to reduce the characteristic frequency as well as the angular-velocity peak value.

IV.DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Here a few comments on our results are in order. We considered hom ogeneous, spherical particles exposed to a rotating electric eld. As the strength of the rotating electric eld increases, the particles in a suspension may be aggregated into sheet-like structures. Within these structures, the concentration of particles is high. In this case, the local-eld electric eld

arising from the many-particle system must be taken into account. In this regard, we believe the e ective medium theories including both the Maxwell-Gamett approximation (also known as the Clausis-Mossotti approximation) and the eliptice medium approximation (also known as the Bruggem an approximation) are good candidate theories. The Maxwell-Gamett approximation is well-known to be non-symmetrical and May thus be suitable for low concentration. For a higher concentration of particles, we had better use the eliptice medium approximation (EMA). Both methods are valid at low concentrations whereas EMA predicts a percolation threshold [10].

We believe our theory will be valid for the case of low Reynolds numbers. In our present study, both the radii and the angular velocities of the particles are small. Thus, we may safely use the elective medium theories for the viscosity, which are valid when the particles are at rest.

We realize the appearance of a circular medium ow because all the particles rotate in the same direction. The macroscopic spin rate may drive the suspending liquid, leading to a decrease of the apparent viscosity of the suspension [13]. Finally, we can apply our form alism to single or multi shell objects, like biological cells [12].

In sum mary, we have investigated the electrorotation spectrum of many interacting particles including their electric and hydrodynam ic interactions. The results showed that the electrorotation spectrum can be modiled due to the local elde ects arising from the manyparticle system. The hydrodynam ic interactions between the suspended particles can also modify the spin friction, which is a key to determ ine the angular velocity of electrorotation. By invoking the spectral representation approach, we derived the analytic expressions for the characteristic frequency at which the maximum angular velocity of electrorotation occurs. W e reported a detailed investigation of the dependence of the characteristic frequency and the dispersion strength on various material parameters.

8

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

This work was supported by the Research G rants C ouncil of the H ong K ong SAR G ovemment under grant CUHK 4245/01P.G Q G. adknow ledges the nancial support from a K ey P roject of the N ational N atural Science Foundation of C hina under grant 19834070. The nalversion of this paper was completed during the Forum on C ondensed M atter and Interdisciplinary Physics held at N an jing U niversity, C hina on the occasion of the centennial anniversary of the U niversity. K W Y. thanks the O rganizing C om m ittee for their invitation and the hospitality received from the Laboratory of Solid State M icrostructures and the D epartm ent of P hysics during his stay at the N an jing U niversity.

REFERENCES

- [1] For a review, see J.G im sa and D.W achner, Biophys. J. 77, 1316 (1999).
- [2] J.G im sa, Ann.NY Acad. Sci. 873, 287 (1999).
- [3] K. Asami, T. Hanai and N. Koizumi, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 19, 359 (1980).
- [4] G.Fuhr, J.G im sa and R.G laser, Stud. Biophys. 108, 149 (1985).
- [5] J.G im sa, P.M arszalek, U. Lowe and T.Y. Tsong, Biophys. J. 73, 3309 (1991).
- [6] G. De Gasperis, X.-B. Wang, J. Yang, F. F. Becker, and P. R. C. Gascoyne, Meas. Sci. Technol. 9, 518 (1998).
- [7] J.P.Huang, K.W.Yu and G.Q.Gu, Phys. Rev. E 65, 021401 (2002).
- [8] K.W. Yu and Jones T.K.W an, Comput. Phys. Commun. 129, 177 (2000).
- [9] J. Happel and H. Brenner, Low Reynolds number hydrodynamics, (K luwer A cademic Publishers, D ordrecht/Boston/London, 1983).
- [10] T.C.Choy, Physica A 221, 263 (1995).
- [11] D.J.Bergman, Phys.Rep. 43, 379 (1978).
- [12] J.P.Huang and K.W.Yu, J.Phys.: Condens.M atter 14, 1213 (2002); Communications in Theoretical Physics, in press (2002).
- [13] H.Brenner, Ann.Rev.Fluid Mech.2, 137 (1970).

FIGURES

FIG.1. Dependence of rotational angular velocity on 1, 2, 1 and 2 versus frequency (for the MGA only). (a) $_2 = 2.8 \ 10^{4}$ S=m, $_2 = 80_{0}$, p = 0.05 and $_1 = 2.8 \ 10^{2}$ S=m. (b) $_2 = 2.8 \ 10^{4}$ S=m, p = 0.05 and $_1 = 10_{0}$ and $_1 = 2.8 \ 10^{2}$ S=m. (c) $_2 = 2.8 \ 10^{4}$ S=m, $_2 = 80_{0}$, p = 0.05 and $_1 = 10_{0}$. (d) $_2 = 80_{0}$, p = 0.05 and $_1 = 10_{0}$ and $_1 = 2.8 \ 10^{2}$ S=m.

FIG.2. The MGA and EMA e ective viscosity of suspension plotted versus volum e fraction p.

FIG.3. The spectral parameters F_1 , F_2 , s_1 and s_2 , as well as the dispersion parameters, 1 and 2, plotted versus volume fraction p (for the MGA only).

FIG.4. The rotational angular velocity plotted versus frequency for di erent volum e fraction p.Param eters used in the plot are $_1 = 10_0$, $_1 = 2$:8 10^{-2} S=m, $_2 = 2$:8 10^{-4} S=m, $_2 = 80_0$



Fig.1



Fig.2



Fig.3



Fig.4