One-dim ensional D isordered D ensity W aves and Super uids: The Role of Quantum Phase Slips and Therm al Fluctuations

Andreas G latz and Thom as Natterm ann Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat zu Koln Zulpicher Str. 77, D-50937 Koln, Germany (Dated: March 22, 2024)

The low temperature phase diagram of 1D disordered quantum systems like charge or spin density waves, super uids and related systems is considered by a full nite T renormalization group approach, presented here for the nst time. At zero temperature the consideration of quantum phase slips leads to a new scenario for the unpinning (delocalization) transition. At nite T a rich cross-over diagram is found which re ects the zero temperature quantum critical behavior.

PACS numbers: 71.10 Pm, 72.15 Rn, Nj, 73.20 Mp, Jc

The collective behavior of condensed modulated structures like charge or spin density waves (CDW s/SDW s) [1, 2], ux line lattices (FLLs) [3, 4] and W igner crystals [2] in random environments has been the subject of detailed investigations since the early 70th. These are motivated by the drastic in uence of disorder: without pinning CDW swould be ideal superconductors whereas type-II superconductors would show a nite resistivity. In three dimensional systems the low temperature phase of these structures is determ ined by a zero tem perature disorder xed point resulting in quasi-long range order and a glassy dynamics [3, 4]. In two dimensions this xed point is extended to a ked line which term inates at the glass transition tem perature [5, 6]. In the low tem perature phase correlations decay slightly faster than a power law and the linear resistivity vanishes (for a recent review see [4]). In one dimension the situation is di erent: the glass tem perature is shifted to T = 0. Nevertheless there remains a residual trace of the disorder which is re ected in the low temperature behavior of spatial correlations and the dynam ics [7].

C learly, at low tem peratures also quantum uctuations have to be taken into account. D isorder and quantum

uctuations in 1D CDW sat zero tem perature have been considered previously (see e.g. [8, 9]) and an unpinning transition as a function of the interaction strength was found. Finite tem perature e ects were partially incorporated by truncating the renorm alization group (RG) ow at the de B roglie wave length of the phason excitations [9]. However, for a complete study of the therm alto quantum cross-over quantum and therm al uctuations have to be considered on an equal footing [10], which will be the st goal of this paper. The second goal will be the consideration of quantum phase slips which trigger their own quantum phase transition to the disordered phase and in uence also the unpinning transition. Experimentally, 1D behavior can be seen in realm aterials e.g. in whiskers with a transverse extension smaller than the correlation length or in chain like crystals with weak interchain coupling. In the latter case there is a large cross-over length scale up to which 1D behavior can be observed [1, 2].

The results obtained for the CDW s/SDW shave a num ber of further applications on disordered quantum systems: they relate e.g. to the localization transition of Luttinger liquids [8, 9], tunnel junction chains [11], super uids [12], Josephson coupled chains of these systems if the coupling is treated in m ean- eld theory [8]. In m ost parts of this paper, we will use the term inology of CDW s.

W ell below the mean $\;$ eld condensation temperature T $_{\rm M\ F}$ of the CDW , the electron density (x) can be written in the form [1]

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = {}_{0} (1 + Q^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbf{x}}') + {}_{1} \cos[p(' + Q \mathbf{x})] + ::: (1)$$

where Q = $2k_F$, k_F is the Ferm i{m om entum, 0 the m ean electron density and 1 is proportional to the am – plitude of the complex (m ean eld) order parameter $e^{i'}$ $h_Q + b_Q^+$ i. b_k^+ ; b_k ; denotes the phonon creation and annihilation operator, respectively. '(x) is a slow ly varying phase variable. N eglecting uctuations in , the H am iltonian of the CDW is given by

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{v_{i}}{c_{i}}^{2} p^{2} + (\theta_{x}^{*})^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} U_{i}(x) (x - x_{i}) \right)^{0}}{+ W \cos \frac{q}{c_{i}}^{2} dy \hat{P}(y) dx}$$
(2)

where $[\hat{P}(x); (x^0)] = \frac{1}{1} (x + x^0)$. $c = f \frac{-v_F}{2}$ denotes the elastic constant, v_F the Ferm i{velocity, v the e ective velocity of the phason excitations and f (T) the condensate density [1]. Note that f (T) and (T) vanish at T_{MF} whereas v remains nite. The third term results from the e ect of impurities of random potential strenght U_i = $U_{im p}$ and position x_i and includes a forward and a backward scattering term proportional to $_0$ and $_1$, respectively. W e will assume, that the mean in purity distance $l_{im p}$ is large compared with the wave length of the CDW and that the disorder is weak, i.e. 1 l_{im p}Q $c=(U_{im p 1})$. In this case the Fukuyam a{ Lee length $L_{FL} = (c=(Up^2))^{2-3}$ is large compared with the impurity distance, here $U = U_{imp} _{1} = l_{imp}$. The

fourth term in (2) describes the in uence of quantum phase slips by ' = q and will be further discussed below [12]. The model (2) includes the four dimensionless parameters $t = T = c_{r} K = -v = c_{r} u^{2} = U^{2} = {}^{3} c^{2}$ and $w = W = c^{2}$, which measure the strength of the therm al, quantum, and disorder uctuations and the probability of phase slips, respectively. = =a is a momentum cut-o . Although for CDW s and SDW sK -values of the order 10¹ and 1, respectively, have been discussed at T = 0 [13], the expressions relating K and t to the microscopic theory lead to the conclusion that both diverge by approaching T_{MF} whereas the ratio K =t remains nite. The classical region of the model is given by K t which can be rewritten as the condition, that the thermalde Broglie wave length T = K = (t) of the phason excitations is sm all compared with the lattice spacing a.

In order to determ ine the phase diagram we adopt a standard W ilson-type renorm alization group calculation, which starts from a path integral form ulation of the partition function corresponding to H am iltonian (2) with u;w 1. We begin with the renorm alization of the disorder term and put w = 0 for the moment. The system is transformed into a translationally invariant problem using the replica-trick. G oing over to dimensionless spatial and in aginary time variables, x ! x and v !, the replicated action is given by $(= (u_0 = 1Q)^2)$

$$\frac{S^{(n)}}{\sim} = \frac{1}{2 K} X \frac{Z}{K} \frac{Z}{dx} \frac{Z}{dx} (h_{(0_{x}')^{2} + (0')^{2}})^{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{2K} \frac{Z}{d^{0} u^{2}} \cos p' (x;) ' (x;)^{2}$$

$$+ (0_{x}' (x;))(0_{x}' (x;))^{1} : (3)$$

Integrating over the high momentum modes of '(x;) in a momentum shellof in nitesimalwidth 2 =b jqj 2 but arbitrary frequencies and rescaling x ! $x^0 = x=b$, ! $^0 = -b$ we obtain the following renormalization

group ow equations (up to one loop):

$$\frac{\mathrm{dK}}{\mathrm{dl}} = \frac{1}{2} p^4 u^2 \mathrm{K} \, \mathrm{B}_0 \left(p^2 \mathrm{K} \, \frac{\mathrm{K}}{2t} \right) \operatorname{coth} \frac{\mathrm{K}}{2t}; \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{du^2}{dl} = \frac{11}{3} \frac{p^2 K}{2} \operatorname{coth} \frac{K}{2t} u^2; \quad \frac{dt}{dl} = t; \quad (5)$$

$$B_{i}(;y) = \begin{array}{ccc} Z^{y} & Z^{i} & \frac{dxg_{i}(;x)\cosh(y)}{h} & \frac{(\cosh y)^{1}}{1} \\ & 0 & 0 & 1 + \frac{y}{2} & (\cosh \frac{x}{y} & \cos \frac{y}{y}) \end{array}$$
(6)

where $l = \ln b$ and $g_0(;x) = (x)^2$. Note that $B_0(p^2K;\frac{K}{2t})! 0$ for K ! 0.

The equation for the ow of is more involved and will not be discussed here since it does not feed back into the other ow equations. Indeed, we can get rid of the forward scattering term by rewriting $(x) = {n \choose b}(x) + {'}_{\rm f}(x)$ with ${'}_{\rm f}(x) = {n \choose 0} \operatorname{dyc}(y)$, hc(x)i = 0 and hc(x)c(x⁰)i = $\frac{1}{2}$ (x x⁰). The phase correlation function C (x;) = h('(x;) '(0;0))^2 i = C (x;) + C_{\rm f}(x) has therefore always a contribution $C_{\rm f}(x)$ is j = f with ${f \choose 1}$ (l = log is j). Since all further remarks about phase correlations refer to $C_{\rm b}(x;)$ we will drop the subscript b.

There is no renormalization of t (i.e. c) because of a statistical tilt symmetry [14]. The special gase t = 0was previously considered in [9] (with p = 2). The ow equation for K obtained in [9] for w = t = 0 deviates slightly from (4), which can be traced back to the di erent RG-procedures. The critical behavior is however the sam e: there is a K osterlitz-T houless (KT) transition [15] at K_u between a disorder dom inated pinned and a free unpinned phase which term inates in the xed point $K_{11} = 6 = p^2 \cdot u_0$ denotes the bare value of the disorder and K_u is given by $u^2 = \frac{K_u}{p^2} \left(\frac{K_u K_u}{K_u} - \log \frac{K_u}{K_u} \right)$ with = $B_0 (p^2 K_u; 1)$. In the pinned phase the parameters K; u ow into the classical, strong disorder region: K ! 0; u ! 1 . The correlation function C (x; 0) jxj=u increases linearly with ix j. Integration of the ow equations gives for small initial disorder and K K_u an 1 (L_{FL}) (1 K = K $_{u}$) 1 e ective correlation length u at which u becomes of the order unity. Close to the transition line u shows KT behavior. For K Ku u diverges and C (x;) K ($l = \log \frac{1}{2}$) $\log \frac{1}{2}$ j where $z_{j} = \frac{1}{x^{2} + 2}$. Note that K (1) saturates on large scales at a value K_{e} (u_{0}).

For large values of u our ow equations break down, but we can nd the asymptotic behavior in this phase by solving the initial model in their strong pinning lim it $U_{im\,p}$ 1;K = 0 exactly. A straightforward but somewhat clum sy calculation yields for the pair correlation function C (x;) = $\frac{2}{p}$ (1 $\frac{1}{\sinh}$) $(2 \times j)$ where $= (pQ l_{im\,p})$. The connection to the weak pinning m odel follows by choosing $l_{im\,p}$ l_{FL} .

At nite tem peratures therm al uctuations destroy the quantum interference e ects which lead to the pinning of the CDW att = 0. The RG ow of u in the region $K < K_{11}$ rst increases and then decreases. can be found approximately by integrating the ow equations until the maximum of u (l) and t(l) = (1 + K (l)) is of order one. This can be done in full generality only numerically (see Fig. 1). It is however possible to discuss several special cases analytically. The zero tem perature correlation length can still be observed as long as this is smaller than T which rewrites for K not too close $K t_{a}^{(1 K = K_{u})^{-1}}, t_{u} (I_{FL})^{-1}.$ to K $_{\rm u}$ as t . t $_{\rm K}$ W e call this dom ain the quantum disordered region. For Κ K_u the correlation length is given by T which

is larger than given by purely therm al uctuations. For scales smaller than T, C (x;) still increases as logżj with a continuously varying $\cos \operatorname{cient} K_e$ (u₀). In this sense one observes quantum critical behavior in that region, despite of the fact, that the correlation length is now nite for all values of K [10]. In the classical disordered region $t_K < t < t_u$ the correlation length is roughly given by L_{FL} as follows from previous studies [6, 7]. In the remaining region t_u . two adopt an alternative m ethod by m apping the (classical) one-dim ensional problem onto the Burgers equation with noise [16]. In this case the RG -procedure applied to this equation becom es trivial since there is only a contribution from a single momentum shell and one nds for the correlation $\frac{1}{2}$ f(T)t(1 + (2 =p)²(t_u=t)³) . The phase length ¹ diagram depicted in Fig. 1 is the result of the num erical integration of our ow equations and shows indeed the various cross-overs discussed before.

So far the phase eld was considered to be single valued. Taking into account also amplitude uctuations of the order param eter the phase m ay change by multiples of 2 by orbiting (in space and imaginary time) a zero of the amplitude. Such vortices correspond to quantum phase slips described by the last term in (2) (with q = 2), which we discuss here under equilibrium conditions. This operator superposes two translations of ' by q left from x, i.e. it changes coherently the phase by q in a macroscopic region. For vanishing disorder the model can be mapped on the sine-Gordon Ham iltonian for the - eld (with K replaced by K 1) by using the canonicaltransform ation $\hat{P} = -\hat{Q}_x$ and $-\hat{Q}_x = -\hat{Q}_x$. To see the connection to space-tim e vortices one rew rites the action of interacting vortices as a classical 2D C oulom b gas which is subsequently m apped to the sine{G ordon m odel [17]. The initial value w_0 of w is proportional to the fue^S core^{=~} of the space-time vortices which gacity w₀ m ay be non-negligible close to $T_{M\,F}$, where the action S_{core} ~=(K) of the vortex core is small. Perform ing an analogous calculation as before (but with u = 0) the RG - ow equations read:

$$\frac{\mathrm{dK}}{\mathrm{dl}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{q}^4 \mathrm{w}^2}{\mathrm{K}^3} \mathrm{B}_2 \quad \frac{\mathrm{q}^2}{\mathrm{K}}; \frac{\mathrm{K}}{2\mathrm{t}} \quad \operatorname{coth} \frac{\mathrm{K}}{2\mathrm{t}}; \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{dt}{dl} = 1 - \frac{q^4 w^2}{2 K^4} B_1 - \frac{q^2}{K}; \frac{K}{2t} - \coth \frac{K}{2t} t; \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{dw}{dl} = 2 \quad \frac{q^2}{4K} \operatorname{coth} \frac{K}{2t} \quad w; \qquad (9)$$

where $B_{1;2}$ are given in (6) with $g_1 = 2^2 \cos x$ and $g_2 = (x^2 + 2) \cos x$. From (7) - (9) we nd, that for t = u = 0 quantum phase slips become relevant (i.e. w grows) for $K > K_w$ with $K_w = q^2 = 8$ (q = 2 for CDW s). In this region vortices destroy the quasi long range order of the CDW, C (x;) $j_2 = w$. The transition is of KT type with a correlation length w (w (log w) 1) diverging at $K_w + 0$ [12]. At nite temperatures w rst

increases, but then decreases and ow s into the region of large t and small w. Thus quantum phase slips become inrelevant at nite temperatures. This can be understood as follows: at nite t the 1D quantum sine-G ordon m odel can be mapped on the C oulom b gas on a torus of perimeter K =t since periodic boundary conditions apply now in the -direction. W hereas the entropy of two opposite charges increases for separation L K =t as log (LK =t), their action increases linearly with L. Thus, the charges remain bound. The one-dimensional C oulom b gas has indeed only an insulating phase [18].




FIG.1: The low temperature cross-over diagram of a onedimensional CDW. The amount of disorder corresponds to a reduced temperature t_u 0:1. In the classical and quantum disordered region, respectively, essentially the t = 0 behavior is seen. The straight line separating them corresponds to T a. In the quantum critical region the correlation length is given by T. Pinning (localization) occurs only for t = 0;K < K_u.




FIG.2: T = 0 phase diagram for a CDW with quantum phase slips. If $qp < 4^{\circ}$ $\overline{3}$ there is a single transition between a low-K pinned and a high-K unpinned phase. In both phases the correlation length is nite. If $qp > 4^{\circ}$ $\overline{3}$ these two phases are separated by a third phase in which phase slips are suppressed and C (x;) log jzj. Both transitions disappear at nite t.

It is now interesting to consider the combined in uence of disorder and phase slips. In doing this we write an approximate expression for the action of a single vortex in a region of linear extension L as

$$\frac{S_{\text{vortex}} \quad S_{\text{core}}}{\sim} = \left(\frac{q^2}{4K} \quad 2\right) \log L \quad \frac{u(L)}{K}: \quad (10)$$

For very low K (< $K_u; K_w$) where u(L) $u_0 L^{3=2}$ the disorder always favours vortices on the scale of the effective Fukuyam a-Lee length $_u$. These vortices will be pinned in space by disorder. On the other hand, for very large values of K (> $K_u; K_w$) phase vortices are not in uenced by disorder since u(L) is renormalized

to zero. In the remaining region we have to distinguish the cases K_u ? K_w . For $K_w < K < K_u$ (i.e. $qp < 4^{\overline{3}}$ and $u_0 = 0$ the phase correlations are lost on the scale of the KT correlation length w of the vortex unbinding transition. Not too close to this transition $e^{S_{core}=2^{-}(1 K_v = K)^{-1}}$. Switching on the disorder, w u will be renorm alized by strong phase uctuations which uhe ^{constL =} w such lead to an exponential decay of u that disorder is irrelevant for the vortex gas as long as $_{\rm w}$. $_{\rm u}$. W e expect that the relation $_{\rm w}$ u determ ines the position of the phase boundary between a pinned low -K phase where vortices are favoured by the disorder and an unpinned high-K phase where vortices are induced by quantum uctuations. This line term inates in K_w for $u_0 ! 0$ (see Fig. 2). If S_{core} is large, w will be large as well and wu will be reached only for K Κ., . For moderate values of S_{core}, the unpinning transition m ay be low ered considerably by quantum phase slips. In the opposite case $K_u < K < K_w$ (i.e. $qp > 4^{-3}$) phase

uctuations renorm alize weak disorder to zero such that vortices are still suppressed until K reaches $K_{\rm w}$ where vortex unbinding occurs. In this case two sharp phase transitions have to be expected.

Our ow equations describe also the e ect of a commensurate lattice potential on the CDW : if the wave length $=k_F$ of the CDW modulation is commensurate with the period a of the underlying lattice such that $=k_F = n = (qa)$ with n;q integer, an Um klapp term w cosq' appears in the Hamiltonian [1]. We obtain the results in this case from (7) - (9) (and the conclusions derived from them) if we use the replacements K ! K ¹;t ! t=K ² and w ! w=K ². Thus the lattice potential is relevant for K < K_w with K_w = 8=q².

Next we consider the application of the results obtained so far to a one-dimensional Bose uid. Its density operator is given by eq. (1) if we identify $Q = Q = Q_1$ $(p = 2) \cdot e_x'$ is conjugate to the phase of the Bose eld [19]. With the replacements K ! K¹, t! t=K² and w = 0, (3) describes the action of the 1D-super uid in a random potential. v denotes the phase velocity of the sound waves with v=(K) = 0 = m and $vK = = (\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$) where is the compressibility. The transition between the super und and the localized phase occurs for K_{u} = 2=3 [9]. Therm al uctuations again suppress the disorder and destroy the super uid localization transition in 1D. In contrast to CDW s here the - eld m ay have vortexlike singularities in space{time and the ow equations (7)-(9) apply again. The vortex unbinding transition appears at K_w with $K_w = 1=2$; (q = 2). If both w and u are non-zero we can use the canonical transform ation to rewrite the vortex contribution in the form $w \cos(q')$. For K < K_u;K_w both perturbations are irrelevant and the system is super uid. For $K_w < K < K_u$ the decay of u is stopped due to the suppression of the ' uctuations due to w. An Im ry-M a-argument shows further, that the q' = (n + 1=2) state is destroyed on the scale $_{\rm w} = {\rm u}^2 (\log)$ by arbitrary weak disorder, i.e. vortices become irrelevant above this scale. On larger scales one can expect that quantum uctuations wash out the disorder, the system is still super uid. Finally, at K > K $_{\rm u}$; K $_{\rm w}$ both perturbations are relevant and super uidity is destroyed.

To conclude we have shown, that in 1D CDW s/SDW s and super uids disorder driven zero temperature phase transitions are destroyed by therm all uctuations leaving behind a rich cross-over behavior. Quantum phase slips in CDW s and super uids lead to additional phase transitions and shift the unpinning transition in CDW s to sm aller K -values. C oulom b hardening and dissipative quantum e ects will be discussed in a forthcom ing publication [20].

A cknow ledgem ent: The authors thank A. Altland, S. Brasovskii, T. Em ig, L. G lazm an, S. Korshunov, B. Rosenow and S. Scheidl for useful discussions.

- G.Gruner, Rev. M od. Phys. 60, 1128 (1988); \Density W aves in Solids", Addison-W esley (1994)
- [2] Proceedings of the ECRYS-W orkshop99, S. Brazovski and P. Monceau P. (Editors), J. de Physique IV, Vol. 9, (1999).
- [3] G.Blatter, M.V.Feigel'man, V.Geshkenbein, A.J.Larkin and V.M.Vinokur, Rev Mod Phys. 66, 1125 (1994).
- [4] T.Natterm ann, S.Scheidl, Adv. Phys. 49, 607 (2000).
- [5] JL.Cardy and S.O stlund, Phys. Rev. B 25, 6899 (1982).
- [6] J.V illain and J.F.Fernandez, Z.Phys.B 54, 139 (1984).
- [7] M.Feigel'man, Sov.Phys.JETP 52, 555 (1980).
- [8] H. Fukuyam a, Lecture Notes in Physics 217, 487 (1984).
- [9] T. Giam archi and H.J. Schulz, Europhys. Lett. 3, 1287 (1987), Phys. Rev. B 37, 325 (1988).
- [10] S. Chakravarty, B. I. Halperin and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev.Lett 60, 1057 (1988), Phys. Rev. B 39, 2344 (1989).
- [11] S.E.Korshunov, Sov.Phys.JETP 68,609 (1989).
- [12] see e.g. by R M .B radley and S.D oniach, Phys.Rev.B 30, 1138 (1984), M P A .F isher and G .G rinstein, Phys.Rev. Lett. 60, 208 (1988), S R .R enn and J M .D uan, Phys. Rev.Lett. 76, 3400 (1996), A .D .Zaikin et al.Phys.Rev. Lett 78 1552 (1997).
- [13] K.Maki, Phys. Lett. A 202, 313 (1995).
- [14] U. Schultz, J.V illain, E. B rezin and H. O rland, J. Stat. Phys. 51, 1 (1988);
- [15] JM Kosterlitz, D J.Thouless, J. Phys. C 6, 1181 (1974).
- [16] D.A.Huse, C.L.Henley and D.S.Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2924 (1985).
- [17] J.V. Jose, L.P.Kadano, S.Kirkpatrick and D.R.Nelson, Phys. Rev B 16, 1217 (1977).
- [18] A. Lenard, J. M ath. Phys. 2, 682 (1961).
- [19] F.D.M.Haldane, Phys.Rev.Lett.47, 1840 (1981); note that in this paper the meaning of ' and is interchanged.
- [20] A.G latz and T.N atterm ann, to be published.