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#### Abstract

W e study an analytically tractable model with long-range interactions for which an out-ofequilibrium very long-lived coherent structure spontaneously appears. T he dynam ics of thism odel is indeed very peculiar: a bicluster form $s$ at low energy and is stable for very long tim e, contrary to statistical $m e-$ chanics predictions. W e rst explain the onset of the structure, by approxim ating the short tim e dynam ics w ith a forced Burgens equation. The em ergence of the bicluster is the signature of the shock waves present in the associated hydrodynam icalequations. The striking quantitative agreem ent with the dynam ics of the particles filly con m s this procedure. W e then show that a very fast tim escale can be singled out from a slow er $m$ otion. This enables us to use an adiabatic approxim ation to derive an e ective $H$ am iltonian that describes very well the long tim e dynam ics. W e then get an explanation of the very long tim e stability of the bichuster: th is out-ofequilibrium state corresponds to a statisticalequilibrium of an e ective m ean- eld dynam ics. K eyw ords: Statistical en sem bles. Long-range interactions. M ean- eld m odels. C lassical rotators. X Y m odel.


PACS.
$0520 .-\mathrm{y}$ C lassical statistical m echanics
05.45.-a N onlinear dynam ics and nonlinear dynam ical system s

## 1 Introduction

The H am iltonian M ean F ield model (H M F) has attracted m uch attention in the recent years as a toy m odel to study the dynam ics of system swith long-range interactions, and its relation to them odynam ics [1, 2,3]. The HM F m odel describes an assem bly of $N$ filly coupled rotators, whose H am iltonian is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{p_{i}^{2}}{2}+\frac{c}{2 N}_{i ; j=1}^{X^{N}} \cos (i \quad j) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ is the angle of the $i$-th planar rotator $w$ ith $a$ xed axis. As the interaction only depends on the angles of the rotators, this $m$ odel can altematively be view ed as representing particles that $m$ ove on a circle, whose positions are given by the $i$ and interact via an in nite-range force. If $c$ is negative, the interaction am ong rotators is ferrom agnetic, corresponding to an attractive interaction

[^0]am ong particles.W hen c is positive, the interaction am ong rotators is antiferrom agnetic and repulsive in the particle intenpretation. In this article, we will focus our study on this latter case, and put c=1.

A s rst noticed in Ref. 目], this $m$ odel has a very interesting dynam icalbehavior. In contrast to the statistical m echan ics predictions, a bicluster form sat low energy (see F ig. 目), for a special, but w ide class of initial conditions: it appears as soon as the in itial velocity dispersion of the particles is $s m$ all, for any initial spatialdistribution. T his clustering phenom enon and its unexpected dependence on initial conditions were precisely studied in Ref. [4], but rem ained essentially unexplained. Several details of the dynam ics were num erically studied and it has been in particular em phasized that the energy tem perature relation is modi ed: although still linear, the slope in the m olecular dynam ics sim ulations is di erent from the theoretical prediction. No sign in the num erics was found of the decay to the constant density pro le predicted by the canonical ensem ble. O $n$ the contrary, recently perform ed sim ulations [5] w ith a sm aller num ber of particles have shown a long-tim e degradation of the bicluster, suggest-
ing its transient non-equilibrium nature. $T$ he question of the tim e asym ptotic stability of the bicluster, in the lim it of an in nite num ber of particles, rem ains how ever open and w ill be discussed in the conclusions.

W e will consider in this work two di erent theoretical approaches that lead to an analytical explanation of the clustering process and of the long tim e stability of the structure. In section 2 , in order to explain the short tim e bichuster form ation, we rst propose a hydrodynam icaldescription that links H am iltonian (1) to a forced Burgers equation (these results were shortly presented in a previous brief note []]). This striking phenom enon is then described in Lagrangian coordinates, giving rise to intersections of trajectories and form ation of high (or even innite) particle densities. W e then solve in section 3 this equation, using extensively the $m$ ethod of characteristics. B eyond the theoreticalinterest in such structures for H am iltonian system s , we will show some connections of this problem w ith other sub jects; nam ely, active transport in hydrodynam ics, and the form ation of caustics in the ow of the associated B urgers equation.

Section 4 presents the second approach, which is particularly pow erfill for explaining the long-tim e evolution of the bicluster. The dynam ics involves two well separated tim e-scales. U sing a variational approach, we will show how to construct an e ective H am iltonian where all the fast oscillations are averaged out. N ot only this e ective dynam ics accurately represents the one of the original H am iltonian but, in addition, the com plete statistical them odynam ics can be easily derived in the $m$ icrocanonical and canonical ensem bles. It predicts the existence of the bichuster as an equilibrium state, w ith the correct density pro le and the $m$ icrocanonical tem perature energy relation found in the num erical experim ents of $R$ ef. [4]. The long lifetim e of these out-ofequilibrium states can therefore be interpreted by the fact that they appear as equilibrium states of an e ective $H$ am iltonian representing the long-tim e m otion. Section 5 is devoted to som e conclusions and perspectives of future developm ents.

## 2 H ydrodynam ical description at zero tem perature

### 2.1 Introduction

To describe the initial clustering process, we consider the associated V lasov equation, which can be rigorously derived in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it $N \quad 1 \quad$ [ [ \$ $]$. D enoting by $f(; p ; t)$ the one particle distribution function, we have:
$\frac{@ f}{@ t}+p \frac{@ f}{@} \quad \frac{1}{2}_{1}^{Z+1}{ }^{Z} u_{0}^{Z_{2}} d f(; u ; t) \sin ($
) $\frac{@ f}{@ p}=0$
Fig.1. B icluster form ation : short-tim e evolution of the particle density in grey scale: the darker the grey, the higher the density. Starting from an initial condition $w$ th all the particles evenly distributed on the circle, one observes a very rapid concentration of particles, followed by the quasi periodic appearance of $\backslash$ chevrons", that shrink as tim e increases.
and a velocity eld

$$
(; t) v(; t)={ }_{1}^{Z+1} p f(; p ; t) d p \quad:
$$

A s the num erical sim ulations reported in Ref. A] have show $n$ that the bicluster appears $w$ hen the velocity dispersion is sm all, we will consider here the zero tem perature approxim ation, i.e. we neglect the velocity dispersion and consider the ansatz $f(; p ; t)=(; t)(p \quad v(; t))$, where
refers to the $D$ irac finction. The $V$ lasov equation (q) can consequently be sim pli ed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ f}{@ t}+p \frac{@ f}{@} \quad F(; t) \frac{@ f}{@ p}=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where one notes

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(; t)=\frac{1}{2}^{Z_{2}^{2}} d \quad(; t) \sin (\quad) \quad: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us de ne as follow s , a density

$$
(; t)=\underbrace{Z+1}_{1} f(; p ; t) d p
$$

The sum of the tim e derivative of Eq. 3) and the derivative of Eq. (4), leads to

$$
\frac{@}{@ t}+\frac{@(v)}{@}={ }_{1}^{Z+1} \frac{@ f}{@ t}+p \frac{@ f}{@} d p
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\int_{1}^{Z+1} d p F(; t) \frac{@ f}{@ p}=0 \quad: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e obtain therefore the equation which accounts form ass conservation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t}+\frac{@(\mathrm{v})}{@}=0 \quad: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the tim e derivative of Eq. (4) and Eq. (8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@(v)}{@ t}=\frac{@ v}{@ t}+v \frac{@ v}{@} \frac{@\left(v^{2}\right)}{@}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, the tim e derivative of the left-hand-side gives also

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{@(v)}{@ t} & ={ }^{Z+1} p \frac{@ f}{@ t} d p \\
& =Z^{1}+1 p^{2} \frac{@ f}{@} d p+F(; t)_{1}^{Z+1} p \frac{@ f}{@ p} d p \\
& =v^{2} \frac{@}{@} \quad \frac{@ v}{@} 2 v+F(; t)(; t): \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (9) and Eq. 10) lead nally to the following Euler equation w thout pressure term :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ v}{@ t}+v \frac{@ v}{@}=\frac{1}{2}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{~d} \quad(; t) \sin (\quad) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (G) and (11) show that the dynam ics of the $m$ odel at low tem perature can be therefore $m$ apped onto an active scalar advection problem .

### 2.2 Linear analysis

Linearizing equations (8) and (11), by assum ing sm all velocities ( $v \quad 1$ ) and alm ost uniform density $(=1+$ 1 ( ; t) w ith 1 1), we are left w ith:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ 1}{@ t}+\frac{@ v}{@}=0  \tag{12}\\
& \frac{@ v}{@ t}=\frac{1}{2}_{0} \quad 1(; t) \sin (\quad) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

a system which is easily solved by a spatialFourier series developm ent. A ssum ing a zero initial velocity eld, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1(; t)={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}} \cos \cos !\mathrm{t}  \tag{14}\\
& \mathrm{v}(; \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}} \sin \sin !\mathrm{t} ; \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where the tim e-scale of the oscillation is given by the inverse of a sort of \plasm a frequency" ! = $\overline{2}=2$, which describes, as in the P oisson B oltzm ann case, the instability of the uniform density state 9]. In the attractive case ( $c=1$ in $H$ am iltonian (f)), this tim e scale would control the depart from the initial uniform density tow ards the form ation of the density pro le of the single cluster that appears at low tem perature 10, 11], in analogy w ith Jeans instability in gravitational system s 12].

This linear analysis is, how ever, not su cient to explain the form ation of the bicluster and we have to carry out a non linear analysis.

### 2.3 N on linear analysis

This analysis relies on the existence of tw o tim e-scales in the system: the rst one is intrinsic and corresponds to the inverse of the \plasm a frequency" ! ; the second one is connected to the energy per particle e. W hen the energy is su ciently sm all, these tim e-scales are very di erent, and it becom es possible to use averaging $m$ ethods. The presence of two well separated tim e-scales also explains, in som e sense, the appearance of the bicluster in the low energy lim it.

W e introduge therefore a long tim e-scale $=$ " $t$, with $"=v_{\mathrm{m}}=\overline{2}=\overline{2 e}$, and we look for solutions of the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(; t)=v_{m} \sin \sin !t+" u(;): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to evaluate the force on the rh s.ofE q. (11) in the nonlinear regim e, we w ill use expressions 14) and 15), given by the linear analysis. Indeed, due to the special form of the H am iltonian, the force depends only on the rst Fourier com ponent of the density. H ence, our hypothesis am ounts to assum e that the sinuso dalbehavior of the density, found in the linear regim $e$, holds the sam $e$ in the non-linear regim e: the results presented below con $m$ the validity of this assum ption.

In analogy w ith studies of the w ave-particle interaction in plasm a physics 13,14$]$, our system $m$ ay be seen as a bulk of particles interacting $w$ ith $w$ aves that are sustained by the bulk itself. H ere, the w ave is created by the sm all density and velocity oscillations already present in the linear analysis. In the low energy regim $e$, the phase velocity of the wave w ith the plasm a frequency! is much higher than the velocities of the bulk particles, causing a very sm all wave-particle interaction strength: the wave has therefore a very long life-tim e. T his also explains the quality of the linear approxim ation for the force that we have used in the Euler equation 11).

Introducing expression 16) in Eq. 11), tem s to rst order in " disappear by construction. O rder " ${ }^{2}$ term s give:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{@ u}{@}+u \frac{@ u}{@} & +2 \sin \cos \sin ^{2}!t \\
& +\sin \frac{@ u}{@}+u \cos \quad p^{2} \sin !t=0 \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

A veraging over the short tim e scale $t$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ u}{@}+u \frac{@ u}{@}=\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a spatially forced Burgers equation w thout viscosity, describing the $m$ otion of uid particles in the potentialV ( ) = 1=4 cos2 .
$T$ he Burgers equation has been studied in $m$ any different contexts by $m$ athem aticians interested in a pressureless description of uid $m$ otion, and by physicists in the context ofballistic aggregation $m$ odels 15]. In particular, the forced Burgers equation has been very carefully studied from a m athem aticalview point 16]. C osm ologists have proposed a very interesting adhesion $m$ odel that uses

Burgers-like equations to explain structure form ation in the universe [17]. M oreover, this equation $m$ ay be also view ed as a nice m odel of active transport of the vorticity eld in uid $m$ echanics 18].

A well known property of the Burgers equation $w$ ithout viscosity, is that the solution becom es multi-stream after a nite tim e: the appearance of shocks (see Fig. Al) in the velocity pro le u( ) corresponds to the creation of singularities in the density pro le (see Fig. 3). This is a consequence of the two $m$ ain assum ptions: the $m$ edium is supposed to be continuous and the tem perature is set at zero. W eakening either assum ption would have sm oothed out the singularities. In the original discrete H am iltonian $m$ odel, particles can cross and, after som e tim e, those that travel faster can be catched by the slow er ones that lie dow nstream, creating the spiral dynam ics exem pli ed in Fig. (4). The particle density has a peak at the center of the spiral ( F ig. 3), and diverges at the peak position in the N ! 1 lim it at the shock times. The presence of a shock at nite time in the foroed Burgers equation does not prevent the hydrodynam icaldescription from re$m$ aining valid at longer tim es, when, m oreover, severalrepeated shocks are observed at regular tim e intervals. We will indeed show that it can adequately describe even the long-tim e evolution. In addition, let us rem ark that it's the double well shape of the potential that forces the Burgens equation, which is responsible for the form ation of two clusters. Starting from the initially uniform state, the particles will move around the bottom of the both wells and the $m$ a jority of them happen to be there at the sam e tim e at the shock tim e, creating therefore tw o coherent structures that after several oscillations form the bicluster at long-tim e.

## 3 Solution of the spatially forced B urgers

 equation by the $m$ ethod of characteristicsThe $m$ ethod of characteristics is the appropriate $m$ athem aticaltoolto study $m$ ore quantitatively the forced Burgers equation 18), but it has also a direct physicalm eaning: the characteristics correspond to the Lagrangian trajectories of the Euler equation, and are therefore good approxim ations for the particle trajectories of the nite N H am ittonian system (1) when N 1.

In the case of unidirectional nonlinear $w$ ave $m$ otions, the $m$ ethod is standard and proceeds as indicated, for exam ple, in Ref. 19]. W e obtain in this case the follow ing pendulum equation for the characteristics ( ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} \sin 2=0 ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the initial conditions $(0)=0$ and $-(0)=0$. The solution of the above equation can be easily derived 20] and reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\arcsin \left[\sin 0 \operatorname{sn}\left(+K_{0} ; \sin 0\right)\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{0}=K(\sin 0), K$ is the complete elliptic integral of the 1st kind and sn, the elliptic sine function. Fig. 55a)


Fig. 2. Shock D ynam ics. Phase space portrait of $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$ particles, which at $=\overline{2 e} t=0$ are uniform ly distributed in space (dotted line) w ith a sm all sinusoidal velocity pro le, not visible in the gure. The resulting energy is $e=6: 710^{4}$. Velocity pro lesu() are then shown at $==4$ (dashed line),
$=3=8$ (dashed-dotted line) and $=s=2$, the rst shock time (solid line). O nly half the space is shown since the curves are -periodic.


Fig. 3. Particle density ( ) at $=0$ (dotted line), $==4$ (dashed line), $=3=8$ (dashed-dotted line) and $=s=2$ (solid line). The in itial condition is the same as in Fig. A. W e clearly see the appearance of one of the tw o density peaks of the bicluster.


F ig. 4. Spiral D ynam ics. P hase space portrait of $\mathrm{N}=10^{4}$ particles at $=500$. The initial condition is the same as in Fig. 目. $^{2}$
shows the characteristics, i.e. the solutions of Eq. 119) corresponding to di erent initialconditions o evenly distributed in $0 ; 2$ ] at $t=0$ and w th zero initial velocity. O ne clearly sees their oscillatory behavior inside one of the tw o wells of the e ective potentialcos2, located at $=0$, w ith a period $4 \mathrm{~K}_{0}$ which strongly depends on the initial position.F ig. (5a) em phasizes also that the characteristics cross them selves, and the associated caustics are responsible for the enhancem ent of the particle density eld that form sthe \chevrons". The tim e of the rst divergence in the density $s$ is the tim e of the rst characteristic crossing and also the tim e of the rst shock in the velocity pro le. $T$ he particles perform ing a quasi-harm onic oscillatory $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{o}^{-}$ tion in the bottom of the well are the ones with shorter periods. T he shortest period is obtained in the harm onic lim it $4 \mathrm{~K}(0)=2$, leading to $s=2$ for the tim e of appearance of the rst shock. In the original units, it reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{s}=\frac{s}{\prime \prime}=P_{\overline{8 e}}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shock results in a singularity in Eulerian space at the corresponding tim e. H ow ever this singularity disappears im $m$ ediately after form ing and two singularities of another kind arise in its place, which are the boundaries of the three stream s region. The chevron is their $m$ anifestation in the density pro $l e$. The shock tim e singularity is called $A_{3}$ in A mold's classi cation 21, 22] while the \chevrons" singularity is of $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ type. The latter is the boundary of structures and can exist at any $m$ om ent of tim e, whereas the form er exist only when a chevron originates. $T$ he recurrence tim $e$ for the appearance of chevrons



Fig.5. Panel (a) presents the characteristics of equation (18) whereas panel (b) presents the trajectories of the particles of the H am iltonian (17) w ith the sam e initial condition as in F ig. (2). O ne can see the three rst appearances of the \chevrons". Two phenom ena are not captured by the characteristics: the sm all oscillations of the realtra jectories, which are averaged out, and the presence of untrapped particles, close to the saddle-points of the e ective cos2 potential.
is $t_{n}=t_{s} \quad(2 n \quad 1)$ and the com parison $w$ th the num erics show s a very good agreem ent.
$F$ igs. (5a) and 50) show that the agreem ent is really good not only at the qualitative but also at the quantitative level. O nly tw o features are missed by the Lagrangian description. T he fast oscillations of very sm all am plitude, already hardly visible in Fig. (5lo) is of course totally absent in the characteristics because of the averaging procedure used to get Eq. 18). O ne can also easily show that the am plitude of this fast oscillation is rapidly decreasing $w$ ith the increase of the num ber of particles and is vanishingly small for N ! 1 . The second point is the presence of untrapped particles in Fig. Fb), which is a direct consequence of the oscillations of the height of the potentialbarriers. T his e ect is im portant only for highly energetic particles whose trajectories in phase space are closed to the separatrix of the $K$ elvin's cat eye off ig. (4). H ow ever, as we w ill show in the next section, these particles do not take part to the creation of caustics (i.e. of the chevrons of Fig. (1) which are generated only by the particles close to the bottom of the wells.

### 3.1 T he chevrons as caustics of the characteristics

W e w ill now explain the shape of the "chevrons" ( F ig. (1) , which correspond to zones of in nite density i.e. to the envelops of the characteristics, the so-called caustics. T he fam ily of the characteristics is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(; ; 0)=\sin (; 0) \quad \sin ()=0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the plane ( ; ) w th the param eter $0 . T$ he envelope of this fam ily would then be de ned by the tw o follow ing equations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F(; ; 0)=0 \\
\frac{@ F}{@ 0}(; \quad ; 0)=0 \tag{24}
\end{array}
$$

H ow ever, it is not possible to extract 0 as a function of and from Eq. (24), in order to obtain a closed expression F ( ; ; o ( ; )) for the caustics. W e w ill use approxim ate expressions of $F$ close to the shocks.

In the neighbornood of the shock, the tra jectories can be approxim ated by straight lines, w ith the follow ing expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(; 0)=v_{0}\left(\quad K_{0}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{0}=v\left(K_{0}\right)$ is the speed of the particle at the bottom of the potentialwell.

The envelope of this fam ily of characteristics can then be obtained 19] by solving the follow ing system of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
(; 0) & =\mathrm{v}_{0}( & & \left.\mathrm{K}_{0}\right)  \tag{26}\\
0 & =\mathrm{v}_{0}^{0}( & & \left.\mathrm{K}_{0}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*} \quad \mathrm{v}_{0} \mathrm{~K}_{0}^{0}
$$

where the prim es denotes the derivative $w$ ith respect to 0 . U sing the conservation of energy, we easily get $\mathrm{v}_{0}=$
$\sin 0$ and we obtain thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\tan 0 K_{0}^{0}+K_{0}  \tag{28}\\
& =\frac{\sin ^{2} 0_{0}}{\cos 0} K_{0}^{0} \quad: \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

It is how ever possible to go further by using higher order term $s$ in the expression of given in Eq. (23), i.e. by considering curves rather than sim ply straight lines. W e have thus

$$
\begin{align*}
(; 0)= & \left(K_{0}\right)+\frac{d}{d} \mathrm{~K}_{0}\left(K_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d^{2}} \mathrm{~K}_{0}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{0}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{6} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{3}}{\mathrm{~d}^{3}} \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{0}}{}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{0}\right)^{3}+:::  \tag{30}\\
= & \mathrm{V}_{0}\left(\quad \mathrm{~K}_{0}\right) \frac{\mathrm{V}_{0}}{6}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{0}\right)^{3}+::: \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where w e have replaced in Eq. 31) the derivatives by their expressions. Let us note in particular, that all derivatives of even order $w$ ill vanish because of the parity property of . Using the developm ent of $K_{0}$ at the sam e order, we nally end w ith the next order approxim ation for the caustics

$$
\begin{align*}
= & s+3 a{ }_{0}^{2}+5 b+\frac{2 a}{3}{ }_{0}^{4} \\
& +7 c+\frac{4 b}{3}+\frac{4 a}{15} \frac{8 a^{3}}{3}{ }_{0}^{6}+0\binom{8}{0}  \tag{32}\\
= & 2 a_{0}^{3}+4 b+\frac{a}{3} 0_{0}^{5} \\
& +6 c+\frac{2 b}{3}+\frac{31 a}{180} \quad 4 a^{3} \quad{ }_{0}^{7}+0\binom{9}{0} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(a ; b ; c)=\overline{8} 1 ; \frac{11}{48} ; \frac{173}{2880}$.
It is possible to see in F ig. (G) that the agreem ent is excellent and that this procedure is really accurate to describe the rst chevron. M oreover, one can check that because of the non isochronism of the oscillations, the $m$ ore energetic particles arrive too late in the bottom of the well to take part to the creation of the caustics, i.e. the singularity in the density space. C onsequently, the untrapped particles of the realdynam ics, visible in Fig. (5b), but absent of the averaged dynam ics of the associated B urgers equation (see Fig. 5la), are irrelevant and do not a ect the dynam ics of the caustic form ation.

This is con $m$ ed by Fig. (7) where the caustics determ ined by the Burgers' approach are superim posed on the realtra jectories: the shape of the chevrons is very well described. H ow ever, the tim e occurrence of the shock was reduced by a factor 0.84 . T he reason, clari ed in the next section, is due to the renom alization of the oscillation frequency ! which modi es the oscillation period of the particles.A s show n below using a self-consistent procedure, ! is reduced $w$ th respect to the plasm a frequency $!p$. A s the potential height is inversely proportional to ! this leads therefore to a faster appearance of the shocks.

A s already $m$ entioned, the tim e occurrence of the $n$th shock is $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{0})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 \mathrm{n} & 1\end{array}\right)_{\mathrm{s}}$ which m eans that its shape


Fig. 6. Superposition of the caustics over the characteristics of 50 particles evenly distributed betw een $=2$ and $=2$ at $t=0$. The analytical form ula for the \chevrons" (Eq. 32 and Eq. 33 ) is superim posed (bold curves). The vertical dashed lines show S the appearance tim e of the chevrons $t_{n}=t_{5} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 n & 1\end{array}\right)$.


Fig. 7. Supenposition of the caustics over the trajectories of 100 particles evenly distributed betw een 0 and 2 at $t=0$ for an energy e $=310^{6}$. The tim e occurrence of the shocks $w$ as reduced by a factor 0.84 (see text).
will be obtained sim ply by replacing in Eq. 26) K o by (2n 1) $\mathrm{K}_{0}$. Therefore, the low est order approxim ation of the nth shooks is

$$
\begin{align*}
& =2 \mathrm{a}(2 \mathrm{n} \\
& \text { 1) } \frac{n}{3 a(2 n \quad 1)}  \tag{34}\\
& \left.\left./ \frac{(t \quad(2 n}{} \frac{1}{1}\right)_{t}\right)^{3=2} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\beta$ is the num ber of appearance of the "chevron". $T$ he $1=\mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{n}}{}$ factor accounts for the shrinking of the \chevrons" and the bolded line in Fig. (6) attests that this expression is particularly accurate.

Sim ilar caustics are encountered in astrophysics, to explain the large scale structure of the universe: clusters and super clusters of galaxies are believed to be rem in iscent of three dim ensional caustics arising from the evolution of an initially slightly inhom ogeneous plasm a 23,22].

### 3.2 Probability distribution

At this point, it would be im portant to calculate the density distribution in the vicinity of the singularity. For this hydrodynam ical approxim ation, it would be fully deter$m$ ined by the intialconditions, i.e. the initialvelocity distribution. Let us recall that we earlier found [4], that the follow ing analytical form ula

$$
P\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
& \frac{1}{2}(1 \quad l o g(2 j \sin \quad j) \tag{36}
\end{array}\right)
$$

was an excellent approxim ation (see Fig. 8), even if we didn't have any theory for its derivation. Here using the B urgers' approach, let us obtain a sim ilar result.

A long the particle tra jectory, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
-=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{\cos 2}{\cos 2_{0}}} 2^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The timedt( ) spent by a particle close to a position is inversely proportional to its velocity $-(0)$. Therefore the trajectory param etrized by 0 (and initiated in o) gives a contribution to the density

$$
\begin{equation*}
0() d=z_{0}^{d t() d}=\frac{2^{p} \overline{2} d}{T(0)^{p} \frac{\cos 2}{\cos 2_{0}}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T(0)$ is the period of the tra jectory. By recalling that the initial distribution is hom ogeneous in these num ericalcom putations, one obtains the probability density by averaging over the tim e, the density of characteristics at a xed position. W e obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ( ) / } \int_{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{2^{p} \overline{2} d u}{\cos 2 \cos 2 u} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $=[0 ;=2]$ whereas the whole distribution is obtained by sym $m$ etry and -periodicity.


Fig. 8. Equilibrium distribution. C om parison betw een the num erical results (diam onds) and the analytical form ula 39) (solid line) in the case $e^{\prime} 10^{4}$. The dotted line corresponds to the form ula 36).

D ue to the num erous approxim ations, the agreem ent for long tim e is not perfect, but equation 39) gives a good result as show $n$ by $F$ ig. (8). The disagreem ent is visible in 0 and , i.e.close to the separatrix. A ctually, as w ew ill see in the follow ing, the am plitude of the e ective potential slightly oscillates; this allow s the existence of untrapped particles which sm ooth the density.

A s a conclusion, this hydrodynam ical approach has proved to be very successfiul, since it explains qualitatively and quantitatively, for short tim es, the $m$ ain features of the bicluster form ation. $N$ evertheless, the stability and the long tim e behavior of the structure is not yet understood: th is is the ob ject of the next section.

## 4 Long tim e evolution of the bicluster

Since the them odynam ics of the antiferrom agnetic HMF m odelpredicts a perfectly hom ogeneous equilibrium state, the bicluster is a non equilibrium structure. H ow ever, its long tim e stability, as well as the fact that it is reached starting from a variety of initial conditions suggest som e statistical explanation.

In addition, we have leamed from the previous section that particle $m$ otion $m$ ay be split into two parts: a sm all am plitude rapid oscillation superim posed to a large am plitude slow m otion. The idea is thus to look for an e ective H am iltonian dynam ics which would only retain the slow $m$ otion, and w ould be appropriate for a statistical description.

### 4.1 T he fast variables

The com plete Lagrangian of the antiferrom agnetic HMF being

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \quad i ; I=X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{I^{2}}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2 N}_{i ; j}^{X} \cos (i \quad j) ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equations of $m$ otion are obtained by $m$ inim izing the action $S=L d t w$ ith respect to the functions $i$. Taking advantage of the tw o w ellde ned tim e scales, we introduce the follow ing ansatz:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=i_{i}^{0}()+" f_{i}(t ;) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=$ "t and " $=\frac{p}{2 e}$ as already de ned in the section 2.3). The $f_{i}$ 's represent the $s m$ all rapid oscillations and the ${ }_{i}^{0}$ the slow variables which we are nally interested in. The idea is then to use a variational approach (least action principle), rst to obtain the equations of $m$ otion of the fast variables $f_{i}$, and then to reintroduce the solutions into the action. In a second stage, averaging over the fast tim e $t$, we w ill obtain a variational principle for the slow variables. This approach, inspired by 24], has the double advantage of allow ing to obtain an H am irtonian system for the slow variables, and the one of exhibiting a naturalconservation law for this dynam ics (the adiabatic invariant). A ppendix A brie y presents the use ofm ethod 24] for a slow ly m odulated harm on ic oscillator, in order to em phasize its power for a very sim ple model, aw ay from the rather technical context presented here.

W e rst notioe that since the energy of the system is bypde nition of order " ${ }^{2}$, the sum s $1=N \quad \cos {\underset{i}{0}}_{0}$ and $1=\mathrm{N} \quad \sin { }_{i}^{0}$, which represent the tw o com ponents of the $m$ agnetization vector in term sof the slow variables ${ }_{i}^{0}$, are of order ". W e thus de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1 x}^{0}=\frac{1}{N}^{X} \cos i_{i}^{0}+  \tag{42}\\
& \text { "M }_{1 y}^{0}=\frac{1}{N}^{X^{i}} \sin _{i}^{0}+ \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

where the phase $2[0 ;]$ is chosen such that the scalar dynam ical indicator of the clustering is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2} j=\frac{1}{N}_{i}^{X} \exp 2 i_{i}^{0}=\frac{1}{N}_{i}^{X} \cos 2{ }_{i}^{0}+ \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

W enow introduce the ansatz 41) into the Lagrangian 40), and develop the cosine up to order $"^{2}$, obtaining the new Lagrangian $L_{2}$ that depends on the ${ }_{i}^{0}$ 's, the $f_{i}$ 's and their tim e derivatives

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{2} & =L_{2} \quad{ }_{i}^{0} ; \frac{d_{i}^{0}}{d} ; f_{i} ; \frac{d f_{i}}{d t} \\
& =\frac{"}{2}_{2}^{\text {" }}{ }_{i} \frac{d_{i}^{0}}{d}{ }^{2}+2 \frac{d_{i}^{0}}{d} \frac{d f_{i}}{d t}+\frac{d f_{i}}{d t}{ }^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{n^{2} N}{2}{ }^{h}\left(M_{1 x}^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(M_{1 Y}^{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{"}{N}_{i ; j}^{X} f_{i} \sin \left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
i & j
\end{array}\right) \\
& \frac{n^{2} X}{2 N} f_{i ; j} f_{j} \cos \left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
i
\end{array}\right): \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

From this expression, considering as a constant, wew rite the equations ofm otion for the fast variables $f_{i}$ 's. $W$ e get

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i}= & M_{1 x}^{0} \sin { }_{i}^{0}+\quad M_{1 y}^{0} \cos { }_{i}^{0}+ \\
& \frac{1}{N}^{X} f_{j} \cos { }_{i}^{0}{ }_{j}^{0} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his is a linear second order equation, $w$ ith constant coefcients $w$ th respect to the fast tim $e$, whose solution thus requires the diagonalization of the N xN m atrix

$$
T=\left[T_{i j}\right]=\frac{1}{N} \cos \left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0  \tag{47}\\
i & j
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

which has only tw o non zero eigenvalues (see A ppendix B)

$$
\begin{equation*}
!^{2}=\frac{1 \quad \not M_{2} j}{2}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eigenvectors corresponding to ! ${ }^{2}$ are respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{+}=\cos \binom{0}{i}_{i=1::: N}  \tag{49}\\
& X=\sin \left(i_{i}^{0}+\right)_{i=1::: N} \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he general solution for the $f_{i}$ 's is therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{i}(t ;) & ={ }^{p} \overline{2} A_{+} \sin \left(!+t+{ }^{\prime}+\right) \quad \frac{M_{1 Y}^{0}}{!_{+}^{2}} \cos \left(0_{i}^{0}+\right) \\
& +{ }^{p} \overline{2 A} \sin \left(!t^{\prime},\right)+\frac{M_{1 x}^{0}}{!^{2}} \sin \left(i_{i}^{0}+\right):(51)^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.2 The slow variables

$T$ he previous solution for the $f_{i}$ suggests the follow ing new ansatz

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i} & ={ }^{h_{p}} \overline{2} A_{+}() \sin (+(t))+a_{+}()^{i} \cos \binom{0}{i}  \tag{52}\\
& +h_{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2} A^{\prime}() \sin (\quad(t))+a() \sin \binom{0}{i}
\end{align*}
$$

where ( $t$ ) are fast variables, and $d=d t$, A ( ), and a ( ) are slow variables. We introduce (53) in the Lagrangian 45), and we average over the fast variables D ropping the ${ }^{2}$ overall factor, the averaged Lagrangian reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{\text {eff }}= & \frac{1}{2}{ }^{X^{N}}={ }_{H} \\
& \frac{d_{i}^{0}}{d}+\frac{N^{2}}{2} A_{+}^{2}!_{+}^{2} \frac{2}{+}_{+}^{2}+A^{2}!^{2} \underline{2}^{i} \\
N & \frac{M_{1 x}^{0}+M_{1 y}^{0}}{2}+M_{1 y}^{0} a_{+}!_{+}^{2} M_{1 x}^{0} a!^{2} \\
& +A_{+}^{2}+a_{+}^{2} \frac{!_{+}^{4}}{2}+A^{2}+a^{2} \frac{!^{4}}{2}:(53)
\end{aligned}
$$

D ue to the averaging, the variables are cyclic, $P$, the conjugate $m$ om enta of , are conserved quantities. Their expression is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{@ L_{e f f}}{@-}=\mathrm{NA}^{2}!^{2}-\quad: \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sthe Lagrangian does not depend on the tim e derivatives of $A_{+}, A, a_{+}$, and $a$, there is no Legendre transform on these variables and the $H$ am iltonian reads

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{\text {eff }}= & P_{+}+P_{+} X_{i=1}^{N} p_{i} \frac{0}{i} \quad L_{\text {eff }}  \tag{55}\\
= & \frac{P_{+}^{2}}{2 N_{+}^{2}!_{+}^{2}}+\frac{P^{2}}{2 N A A^{2}!^{2}}+X_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_{i}^{0^{2}}}{2} \\
& +N \frac{M_{1 x}^{0}+M_{1 y}^{0}}{2}+M_{1 y}^{0} a_{+}!_{+}^{2} M_{1 x}^{0} a!^{2} \\
& +A_{+}^{2}+a_{+}^{2} \frac{!_{+}^{4}}{2}+A^{2}+a^{2} \frac{!^{4}}{2} \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

In the absence of conjugate variables of the am plitudes A , the corresponding Ham ilton's equation are sim ply given, from the least action principle, by $\varrho_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{H}_{\text {eff }}=0$. Togetherw ith the equations 54), this leads to the follow ing expressions for the frequencies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}=!\quad ; \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

expected of course from the previous study of the m atrix T, and for the am plitudes

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2}=\frac{P}{N!^{3}}: \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from the equations $@_{a} H_{\text {eff }}=0$, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{+}=\frac{M_{1 y}^{0}}{!_{+}^{2}} \text { and } a=\frac{M_{1 \mathrm{x}}^{0}}{!^{2}} \text { : } \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reintroducing expressions 58) and 59) in the H am iltonian 56), we end up w th the e ective $H$ am iltonian describing the slow m otion of the particles

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{e f f}={ }_{i}^{X} \frac{p_{i}^{0^{2}}}{2}+P_{+}!_{+}+P \quad!\quad: \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evolution of the full system is then approxim ated by the dynam ics of this e ective $H$ am iltonian, the constant $P_{+}$and $P$ being determ ined by the initial conditions. H ow ever, a di culty arisesw hen the tw o eigenvalues crosses, ie when $\mathbb{M}_{2}$ jbecom es 0 . Since is de ned as half the phase of the complex num ber $M_{2 x}+i M_{2 y}$, it experiences at this point a $=2$ jump, and consequently the eigenvectors X are inverted: this phenom enon of eigenvalue crossing is ilhustrated by Fig. . $^{\text {. }}$


Fig. 9.T he diam onds presents the evolution versus tim e of the m ain frequency for $\mathrm{N}=200$ particles of the original H am iltonian (B). We have superim posed not only ! + (dashed line) and ! (solid line) using Eqs. 48), but also the num erically com puted $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{j}$ (dotted line). W e clearly see the exchange of frequency when $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ jtouches zero.

H ow ever, in $m$ ost of the num erical experim ents conducted in [7] , only one fast frequency, w as excited. From now on, we w ill therefore concentrate on the case $P_{+}=0$, and $w$ ill not be concemed by the phenom enon of eigenvalue crossing. D ropping the subscript for $P$, we consider the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {eff }}=X_{i}^{X} \frac{p_{i}^{0^{2}}}{2}+P^{r \overline{1 M} \overline{M_{2} j}} \frac{2}{} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding equations of $m$ otion are

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=\frac{P}{N-P-1} \overline{1} \mathbb{M}_{2} j \text { in } 2(i+\quad) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

which have to be com pared with Eq. 19) : this is still a pendulum equation, but the potentialam plitude, depending now self consistently on the particles $m$ otion through $M_{2}$, explains the presence of untrapped particles, show $n$ in F ig. (5ib). In addition, we have now the right expression for the frequency of the fast oscillations ! = ! , and a new conserved quantity has been identi ed: the adiabatic invariant P . U sing Eq. 58), we obtain the A ( $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ ) relation which, together with! $M_{2}$ ), is perfectly veri ed by num erical sim ulation as attested by Fig. 10 .

The full e ciency of this procedure is that it preserves com pletely the H am iltonian structure of the problem, $m$ aking it w ell suited for a statistical treatm ent, presented below. H ow ever, it is im portant to em phasize be-


Fig. 10. C om parison betw een the num erical (triangles) and analytical (solid line for ! given by Eq. 48)) frequency of oscillations. Com parison between the num erical (circles) and analytical (solid line for Eq. 58)) am plitude " of oscillation . $e=3: 610^{5}$ and $t_{\text {max }}=1500$.
fore, that all fast variables having disappeared, this procedure induces a huge gain (of order $1=$ ") for num erical sim ulations. This allow s to study accurately the statistics and the dynam ics of this e ective H am iltonian up to extrem ely long tim es, giving conclusion directly relevant to the originalm odel.

### 4.3 Study of the e ective $H$ am iltonian

### 4.3.1 Statisticalm echanics of the e ective H am iltonian

W e would like to describe the bicluster as an equilibrium state of the e ective H am iltonian 61). Fortunately, due to the special form of the potential, which depends only on the global variable $\mathbb{M}_{2} j$ the statistical $m$ echanics of the e ective H am iltonian are exactly tractable in the m icrocanonicalensem ble.
$T$ here are tw o conserved quantities: the totalenergy $E$ and the total angular $m$ om entum. A s the latter only creates a globalrotation of the system totally decoupled from the rest of the dynam ics, we can consider the totalenergy w ithout restriction. T he density of states $(E)$ at a given energy, w ritten as an integral in which the energy is split in tw o parts, a kinetic and a potential one, has the follow ing expression

Z

$$
\begin{align*}
(E)= & d V \quad k i n(E \quad V) \operatorname{pot}(V) \\
& / \operatorname{diM}_{2} j_{k i n}\left(E \quad V\left(\mathbb{M}_{2} j\right) \quad \operatorname{conf}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}\right)\right.
\end{align*}
$$



Fig. 11. Spacio-tem poral evolution of 50 particles. Results given by the original H am iltonian (1) (resp. e ective $H$ am iltonian 60)) w ith (resp. w ithout) the fast sm all oscillations superim posed: they are alm ost indistinguishable. T he initial condition corresponds to particles evenly distributed on the circle and w ith a sinuso dally m odulated im pulsion corresponding to an energy density $e=2: 510^{5}$ and $"=510^{3}$.
where the sign / accounts for an unim portant constant. $k$ in (resp. pot) is the density of states corresponding to the kinetic (resp. potential) part of the $H$ am iltonian, and con $f$ is the density of angular con guration giving rise to a given $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{j}$ : since the potential only depends on $\mathrm{M}_{2} j$ pot $(V)$ is directly proportionalto con $f\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}\right)$. Their expressions are

U sing the classical result for a perfect gas, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{kin}(E)=\frac{2^{\mathrm{N}=2}}{(\mathbb{N}=2+1)} E^{\mathrm{N}=2} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

To com pute $\operatorname{conf}\left(\mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ ), 五 is possible to use the inverse Laplace transform of the $D$ irac delta function

$$
(x)={ }^{Z} \quad \operatorname{dpe} e^{p x}
$$

where is a path in the com plex plane running from $=(\mathrm{p})=1$ to $=(\mathrm{p})=+1$. The expression of conf becom es

To com pute the integral over the angles, we use now the G aussian (also called H ubbard-Stratanovich) transform

$$
e^{\mathrm{Npm}}{ }^{2} /{ }_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}+1} d u e^{\mathrm{N} \frac{\mathrm{u}^{2}}{4 \mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{Nmu}}:
$$

This decouples the integration over the angles i, and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } d p e^{\mathrm{N} p \not \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~J}^{2}} \mathrm{Z} d u d v e^{\frac{\mathrm{N}}{4 \mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{u}^{2}+\mathrm{v}^{2}\right)} \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
Z_{2} & Y \\
d_{i} e^{N} & P_{i} \\
u \cos 2 i & v \sin 2 i
\end{array} \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $r$ is the polar radius associated $w$ th $u$ and $v$, and $I_{0}$ is the $m$ odi ed Bessel function of order 0 . U sing the saddle point $m$ ethod on $p$ and $r$, we have nally

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{conf}\left(\mathbb{M}_{2}\right) / & e^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~J}^{2}+\frac{r^{2}}{4 \mathrm{p}} \ln I_{0}(r)  \tag{71}\\
& =e^{\mathrm{N}\left(2 \mathrm{p} \mathrm{M}_{2} J^{2} \ln I_{0}(r)\right)} \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p$ and $r$ are im plicitly de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{r}{2 p} & =\frac{d \ln I_{0}(p)}{d p}  \tag{73}\\
\frac{r^{2}}{4 p^{2}} & =M_{2} J^{2}: \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing this result, Eq. 63) $m$ ay be evaluated once again by the saddle point $m$ ethod, which leads after som e sim ple algebra to the equilibrium value $\mathcal{M}_{2} j$, de ned by the follow ing equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(\mathbb{M}_{2} j\right)=\frac{1}{4^{p} \frac{p}{1} \quad \mathbb{M}_{2} j} \frac{p}{\frac{2}{P}} \quad p \frac{1}{1 \quad \mathbb{M}_{2} j} \tag{7:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s shown by Fig. 12, this equation has only one solution for any ratio $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{P}$; this indicates an absence of phase transition. A s this solution is non zero, a bichusterization will alw ays take place; nevertheless in the large energy lim it the particles are alm ost free and $M_{2} j$ goes of course to zero.

O nce $\mathbb{M}_{2} j$ is known, it is easy to com plete the description of the equilibrium state. $T$ he tem perature $T$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{2}{N} h E_{C} i=\frac{2}{N}^{*} \quad E \quad P \quad \frac{r}{\frac{1}{M_{2} j}}+ \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig. 12. Statisticalprediction of the dynam icalindicator $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{j}$ as a function of the ratio betw een the energy $E$ and the adiabatic invariant P.
the distribution of velocities is a $M$ axw ellian $w$ ith tem perature $T$, and the distribution of angles has a gibbsian shape ()/ $e^{V()=T}$ w ith the potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{P}{N 2^{P} \frac{P}{1} \quad \mathbb{M}_{2} j}(1 \quad \cos (2+2)) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hispotential is in ferred from the equations ofm otion 62).

### 4.3.2 Relaxation to equilibrium

W e have therefore now a com plete description of the statistical equilibrium states of the e ective H am iltonian, govemed by long range interactions. It has been notioed by various authors that the dynam ics of such system $\mathrm{s} m$ ay sustain long lived m etastable states before relaxing to equilibrium $17,2,25,26]$. Before com paring the "e ective equilibrium " w ith the structure created by the dynam ics of the real H am iltonian, it is thus necessary to study the relaxation to equilibrium. As the relaxation properties of long range interacting system $s$ is in itself an im portant problem, we will consider them now. W e will in addition illustrate which statistical properties of the equilibrium distribution is expected to be observed in the realdynam ics, for large $N$ and on tim e scale reasonable for num erical com putations.

Fig. 13 ilhustrates the approach to equilibrium of the e ective $H$ am iltonian, for three di erent particle num bers, w ith initially im m obile and hom ogeneously distributed particles (this corresponds to the typicalinitialcondition used

$F$ ig.13. Relaxation of the rst three even $m$ om ents $<M_{2 n}>$ (tim e averaged) tow ard equilibrium according to num erical sim ulations of the e ective H am iltonian 61. T he solid (resp. dotted and dashed) lines corresponds to results for $\mathrm{N}=200$ (resp. $\mathrm{N}=800$ and $\mathrm{N}=3200$ ) particles. $\left.\left\langle\mathrm{M}_{2}\right\rangle,<\mathrm{M}_{4}\right\rangle$ and $<\mathrm{M}_{6}>$ are represented from top to bottom $\left(<\mathrm{M}_{2 n}>\right.$ is a decreasing function of $n$ ). They converge tow ard the equilibrium values $\mathrm{M}_{2}^{?}=0: 510, \mathrm{M} \underset{4}{?}=0: 144$ and $\mathrm{M} \underset{6}{?}=0: 028$.
in the real H am ittonian in [1, [1] for instance). W e have represented $<M_{2 n}>$ ( ), the tim e averages of $M_{2 n}$ from initial time 0 to time, for $n$ equal to 2,4 and 6 . Temporal uctuations are thus not visible. W e rst observe nite size e ects conceming the equilibrium values : for instance $M_{6}$ converges for the sm all system $N=200$ to a value larger than $M$ ? , the equilibrium value.

M ore interestingly, we observe also that whereas $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{j}$ quickly reaches its equilibrium value, the relaxation tim e of the successive $m$ om ents $M_{4} j^{j} M_{6} j_{s t r o n g l y ~ d e p e n d s ~ o n ~}^{\text {s }}$ the system size, and presum ably diverges w ith N . The actual dependence of the relaxation tim of each $m$ om ent, on the particle num ber, will not be studied in this paper. It would for instance address the issue of whether non equilibrium distributions $m$ ay be observed in the therm odynam ic lim it. Such phenom ena have indeed already been observed in other long range interacting system s 25,27].

W e conclude that for a large, but nite, particle num ber, them om ents of the distribution converge tow ard their equilibrium values. $W$ hen $N$ increases, the relaxation tim $e$ for the high order $m$ om ents $m$ ay how ever be larger than com putationally achievable tim es and, we thus expect the sim ulation to exhibit very slow ly evolving non equilibrium structures of the ective $H$ am iltonian. A uthors of 41 have indeed found a distribution of particles di erent from the G ibbsian shaped distribution 77) predicted by the equi-


Fig. 14. Com parison of the angular density distribution () of particles obtained with the original H am iltonian (1) (represented with circles) and with the e ective one (61) (represented with the dashed line). B oth results have been obtained for $\mathrm{N}=10^{3}$ particles and are averaged on interm ediate tim es, corresponding to $=10^{3}!10^{4}$. The energy of the original H am iltonian is $\mathrm{e}=2: 510^{5}$.
librium them odynam ics of the e ective H am iltonian (see Fig. (G).

On the contrary our analysis show sthat $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ jquickly reaches its equilibrium value $M_{2} j$.For this reason, given the com putationaltim e achievable, in the next section, we only use this statisticalequilibrium indicator for the study of the structure. T his w ill lead to the correct prediction of the equilibrium repartition of potential and kinetic energy, and of its dependance from initial condition.

### 4.4 Com parison w ith the full H am iltonian

If both tim e scales are clearly separated, $F$ ig. 11 em phasizes the striking agreem ent betw een the e ective and the real dynam ics, for short tim es. We will show in this section that the e ective Ham iltonian also provides a good description for the long tim e dynam ics.

For this punpose, wew ill rst com pare the evolution of the non-equilibrium angular density distribution ( ) for both dynam ics. T he results are reported on $F$ ig. 14 w ere circles show the repartition given by the original H am irtonian (1) whereas the dashed line show s the results of the e ective dynam ics 61). This picture clearly em phasizes that the non equilibrium character of the dynam ics is fully described by the e ective dynam ics, and we can easily conclude that the e ective H am iltonian re ects very well the dynam ics of the realH am iltonian.

A s discussed in the previous section, according to the com putationaltim es used, only the second $m$ om entum of the distribution $M_{2}$ should correspond to the equilibrium one. Let us therefore analyze the dependence of the equilibrium value ofM ${ }_{2}$ on the in itialconditions. T he typically used in itial condition for the num erical sim ulations in the original system (1) is an in itially quasi hom ogeneous distribution of particles $w$ th zero velocity [4] ]. It corresponds to the ratio $e=\overline{2} p=1$ for the e ective $H$ am iltonian. A ccording to $F$ ig. 12 , this im plies an equilibrium value $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{j}^{\prime} 0: 51$ in perfect agreem ent w ith the num erical result reported earlier [4].

From these results, it is also possible to explain the caloric curve T , 1:3 e, reported earlier [4] . The total energy of the full system is divided in three parts: the potential energy of the sm all oscillations, the kinetic energy of the sm all oscillations and the kinetic energy of the slow m ovem ents. $T$ he two rst parts are equal in average and form the potential part of the e ective $H$ am iltonian, whereas the last one is the kinetic energy of the e ective H am iltonian. U sing this rem ark together w ith the values of $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2} j$ at equilibrium, it is easy to derive the tem perature/energy relationship, using 16). In the case investigated in [4], we nd precisely $\mathrm{T}=1: 3 \mathrm{e}$.
$W$ e thus conclude that the dynam ics of the e ective H am iltonian param etrizes very well the dynam ics of the real H am iltonian, for short as well as for long tim e. T his allow s us to predict statisticalproperties of the initialsystem, as for instance the asym ptotic value of $M_{2}$ or the partitioning betw een kinetic and potential energy. M oreover, let us recall that the e ective $H$ am iltonian gives the opportunity to study num erically the relaxation tow ards equilibrium of the bichister, whereas it was not possible in the realdynam ics, because ofcom putationallim itations (let us note that the ratio of the typical tim e scale of the two dynam ics is of order 100 or larger).

All these ndings are great successes of this approach. Let us nevertheless com $m$ ent som $e$ points that we have not yet addressed.

The rst one concems the lim 进 of validity of ourm ultiple tim e scale analysis. It should be noticed that not all initial conditions w ith sm all energy would lead to the form ation of the bicluster. From our analysis, we can conclude that the class of initial conditions leading to this form ation is the one com patible w ith the ansatz used: how ever a precise description of this class is not known. In particular, we have not study the threshold value of " beyond which such a description breaks down. For " going tow ard one, the ansatz 41) w ill rst lead to a nonlinear evolution of the slow variable $f_{i}$ in place of the linear one given by Eq. 46).W e think that the criticalvalue "c above which this nonlinear dynam ics do not have any $m$ ore periodic solution should correspond to the critical value above which the bichuster can not form, explaining the transition observed in [4]. Such an hypothesis should be tested.

A second point is the phenom enon of level crossing discussed in section 4.2, leading to an exchange of excitation of the two m odes of the system. T his phenom enon is very sim ilar to level crossing in quantum $m$ echanics adi-
abatic problem s. It represents a resonance, local in tim e, in which an interaction betw een the various $m$ odes $m$ ay occur, leading to a m odi cation of the adiabatic invariant. For sake of sim plicity, we have concentrated our work on the case of excitation of only the sm allest frequency, so that such level crossing could not occur. A m ore general study w ould how ever be of interest.

The last point concems the validity of our approach for in nite tim es. A s noted in the introduction, a recent paper [5] has show $n$ a long-tim e degradation of the bicluster, for a very sm all num ber of particles, suggesting its transient non-equilibrium nature in that case. For a reasonable num ber of particles, our num erical results show that such a degradation does not exist, for com putationally achievable tim es. This point is thus not addressable num erically. From a theoretical point of view, results on $m$ uch $m$ ore sim ple system $s$, show that adiabatic invariants as described here, should be conserved for a very long tim e (exponentialwhen " goes to 0 ). M oreover, such very long time stabillity results, would not give any hint on what happens for larger tim es (stability or instability).

## 5 Conclusion

The surprising form ation and stabilization [4] of the bicluster in the HMF dynam ics, in contradiction with statisticalm echanics prediction, is now understood: the sm all collective oscillations of the bulk of particles create an effective double-w ellpotential, in which the particles evolve.

On a rst stage the dynam ics can be described in the context of a forced Burgers' equation. The particles trajectories caustics form in nite density regions explaining an initially diverging density. Early tim es dynam ics is therefore very sim ilar to the structure form ation in Eulerian coordinates for a one dim ensional self-gravitating system 28]. A s in this case, because of the con ning potential, the particles can not $m$ ove apart as easily after the rst caustic has been form ed, as in the case of the free $m$ otion on the plane 28].

On m uch larger tim escales, in order to param etrize the fast oscillations of the bulk particles, we have perform ed a variationalm ultiscale analysis. W e then have obtained an H am iltonian e ective model describing the particle slow $m$ otion. This description is in very good agreem ent with the initialdynam ics. T hee ective dynam ics allow s num erical sim ulations on tim e scale m uch larger than the initial dynam ics, as the rapid oscillations have been ltered out. W e have perform ed the statisticalm echanics of this new system. T he results thus give a statistical explanation of the bichuster form ation and stabilization. T he equilibrium properties can then explain the $m$ ean value of the second $m$ om ent of the distribution and the repartition betw een potentialand kinetic energy. Even if this analysis has only been sketched in this work, the e ective dynam ics is also a powerfiul tool to study the very slow relaxation process versus the real equilibrium structure.
$M$ any physical system s share the $m$ ain properties of this HM F dynam ics : very fast oscillations self interacting $w$ ith a slower m otion. In addition to the plasm a problem
already cited and alw ays in the context of long range interacting system s , w em ay for instance cite the problem of interaction of fast inertia gravily waves w th the vortical $m$ otion, for the rotating Shallow $W$ ater or the prim itive equation dynam ics, in the lim it of a sm all R ossby $N$ um ber 29]. Them ain interest of our study is to provide a toy $m$ odel in which such a com plex dynam ics can be treated and analyzed extensively using pow erfiul theoretical tools. W e point out in particular the usefulness of a variational approach in the procedure of averaging the rapid oscillations. This toy model also perm its a clear view of the usual problem s of such dynam ics
(i) R esonances (or level crossing) in averaging procedures (ii) U nusual relaxation processes due to the long range nature of the interactions.
The HM F m odel is to our know ledge the sim plest one in which such phenom ena occur. T he study of these phenom ena is thus a naturalextension of our work and should be of interest in analyzing $m$ ore com plex system $s$.

W e would like to thank M-C.Finpo, P. H oldsw orth, S. Lepri, J. Lebow itz, F . Leyvraz, A. N oullez, for very helpfuladvices. T h is work has been partially supported by EU contract No.HPRN-CT-1999-00163 (LOCNET netw ork), the French M in istere de la Recherche grant A C I jeune chercheur-2001 N 21-31, and the Region R hone-A lpes for the fellow ship N 01-009261-01. This work is also part of the contract COF $\mathbb{I N} 00$ on Chaos and bocalization in classical and quantum mechanics.

## Appendix A : Variationnaldescription of a sim ple adiabatic dynam ics

Let us consider a slow ly m odulated harm onic oscillator with Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}(-; \quad ; \quad \mathrm{t} ;)=\frac{-2}{2} \quad!^{2}()^{2} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=$ "t. W e consider the follow ing ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t ;)=A() \sin ^{\prime}(t) \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

with ${ }^{\prime}=O(1)$ and $A_{-}=" d A=d$, but, contrary to the usual asym ptotic expansion on the equation of m otion, we will consider the variational approach proposed by $W$ itham 24]. The idea is to separate the two di erent tim e scales of the $m$ otion at the level of the action. The average of the fast variable $t$ yields the follow ing e ective Lagrangian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=h L i_{t}=\frac{A^{2}}{4},!^{2} \quad!^{2} \quad: \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is thus straightforw ard to obtain the equation of $m$ otion of the e ective Lagrangian $L\left(A ; A ;^{\prime} ;^{\prime}\right)$. They read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{@ L}{@ A-}=\frac{@ L}{@ A}  \tag{81}\\
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{@ L}{@^{\prime}-}=\frac{@ L}{@^{\prime}} \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

ie.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t} \frac{A^{2} \prime}{2}=0 \quad, \quad A^{2} \prime_{-}^{\prime}=\text { cste } \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

This m ethod em phasizes a new constant of the motion $A^{2}$, , called adiabatic invariant, that is not exhibited by the usual asym ptotic expansion on the equations of $m$ otion corresponding to the original Lagrangian 80). This $m$ ay be of great interest in com plex system s. M oreover, th is invariant necessarily appears as the angle associated to the fast variable $t$ is alw ays cyclic after averaging. T he sam e reasoning also apply for larger order ansatzs, show ing that an adiabatic invariant should exist at any order in ".

In addition, this $m$ ethod preserves the $H$ am iltonian character of the problem allow ing for instance a statisticalm echanics treatm ent as presented in this paper.

## A ppendix B : Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the $m$ atrix $T$

To solve the linear second order equation 4.), we need to diagonalize the $N$ th-order circulant $m$ atrix $T$ de ned by $T_{i j}=$ $\cos (i \quad j)=N . W$ e introduce the two vectors $X()$ and $Y()$, w ith coordinates $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r})=\cos \left(\mathrm{i}^{+}\right)$and $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r})=\sin \left(\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}+\right.$
), and an arbitrary phase. $T$ is therefore the sum of the projections along these vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{N} X()^{t} X()+Y()^{t} Y() \quad: \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his proves that the im age of $T$ is of dim ension two, and that only two eigenvalues are nonzero. Let us restrict now to the tw o dim ensional problem in the V ect (X ; $Y$ ) plane (th is plane does not depend on of course), and let uschoose such that $X$ and $Y$ are orthogonal, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X: Y)=X_{i}^{X} \cos (i+) \sin (i+\quad)=0 \quad: \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

This de nition of leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{j}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{X}} \cos \left(2_{i}+2\right) \quad: \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nce we have the two eigenvectors $\mathrm{X}_{+}=\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{)}$ and $\mathrm{X}=$ Y ( ), their associated eigenvalues are de ned by the follow ing relationship, valid 8i:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{j} \frac{1}{N} \cos \left({ }_{i} \quad{ }_{j}\right) X_{i}=X_{i}: \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading directly to

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1 \quad M_{2} j}{2}: \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$
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