## B lack-Scholes-Like D erivative P ricing W ith T sallis N on-extensive Statistics

Fredrick M ichael and M D . Johnson

Department of Physics, University of Central F brida, Orlando, FL 32816-2385

(Dated: April 30, 2002)

## Abstract

We recently showed that the S&P500 stock market index is well described by T sallis nonextensive statistics and nonlinear Fokker-P lanck time evolution. We argued that these results should be applicable to a broad range of markets and exchanges where anom alous di usion and heavy' tails of the distribution are present. In the present work we exam ine how the B lack-Scholes derivative pricing form ula is modiled when the underlying security obeys non-extensive statistics and Fokker-P lanck time evolution. We answer this by recourse to the underlying microscopic lto-Langevin stochastic di erential equation of the non-extensive process.

E lectronic address: m johnson@ ucf.edu

For certain stochastic systems there is an interesting connection between statistics and dynamics. A family of nonlinear Fokker-Planck time-evolution equations turns out to be solved by probability distributions which are characterized by T sallis non-extensive statistics[1, 2, 3]. Recently we exploited this connection to analyze the dynamics of the S&P500 stock index[4], showing that price-change distributions had both non-extensive form and Fokker-Planck time evolution. We argued that the results should be applicable to the broad range of m arkets and exchanges characterized by anom alous (super) di usion and heavy' distribution tails[5, 6, 7]. In this paper we now investigate how the Black-Scholes derivative pricing form ula[8] is modiled when the underlying security is described by non-extensive statistics. This is based on an analysis of the m icroscopic Ito-Langevin stochastic di erential equation underlying the m acroscopic nonlinear Fokker-P lanck equation [2, 9, 10, 11].

## I. NON-EXTENSIVE STATISTICS AND TIME EVOLUTION

We begin by summarizing the probability distribution function (PDF) P (S;t) which is obtained using non-extensive statistics. Denote the value of a security at a trading time by price(). In the following we will take prices and times relative to the price at some arbitrary xed reference time  $_0$ . Thus S (t) = price( $_0$  + t) price( $_0$ ) is the (relative) security value at a (relative) trading time t. The desired form of P (S;t) is obtained by maximizing an incomplete information-theoretic measure equivalent to the T sallis entropy:

$$S_{q} = \frac{1}{1 q} 1 P(S;t)^{q} dS;$$
 (1)

subject to constraints on three m om ents [2, 3, 4, 11, 12]. The resulting PDF is

$$P(S;t) = \frac{1}{Z(t)}^{n} 1 + (t)(q-1)[S \overline{S}(t)]^{2^{o} \frac{1}{q-1}} :$$
(2)

Here q is a time-independent parameter indicating the degree of non-extensivity or equivalently the incompleteness of the information measure. Z (t) is a normalization constant,  $\overline{S}$  (t) is the mean, and (t) is related to the distribution's variance by

$${}^{2}(t) = {}^{Z_{1}}_{1} [S \quad \overline{S}(t)]^{2} P (S;t) dS = {}^{\bigotimes 1}_{\stackrel{(5 \ 3q)}{(5 \ 3q)} (t)}; q < \frac{5}{3};$$
(3)

It was shown rather unexpectedly that distributions of this non-extensive form turn out to solve a non-linear Fokker-P lanck partial di erential equation [2, 3, 10]

$$\frac{@P (S;t)}{@t} = \frac{@}{@S} [F (S)P (S;t)] + \frac{D}{2} \frac{@^2P (S;t)^{2} q}{@S^{2}}:$$
(4)

Here F(S) = S is a linear driving term dependent on the market rate of return . Eq. (4) is solved by distributions of the T sallis form Eq. (2) if the parameters in the latter evolve in time according to

$$\overline{S}(t) = \overline{S}(t_1)e^{(t t_1)}$$
(5)  
(t) = (t\_1)^{\frac{3-q}{2}}e^{(3 q)(t t\_1)}

$$t) = (t_1)^{2} e^{i(t_1)(t_1)} + 2D^{1}(2 q) (t_1)Z^{2}(t_1)^{\frac{iq-1}{2}h} e^{i(3q)(t_1)} 1^{\frac{io-2}{3q}}$$
(6)

Z (t)=Z (t<sub>1</sub>) = [ (t)= (t<sub>1</sub>)]<sup>$$\frac{1}{2}$$</sup>: (7)

Here  $t_1$  is an arbitrary time; e.g., it could be the shortest measured interval after the reference time  $t_0$ , so that  $t_1 = t_1 = t_0$  equals, say, one minute.

In [4] we investigated price changes in the S& P 500 index. We showed that price-change distributions were well-described by distributions of the non-extensive form Eq. (2) evolving in time according to Eq. (4). The super-di usion and fat tails characterizing this market are both a consequence of a non-extensivity parameter q greater than unity.

The nonlinear Fokker-P lanck equation is a macroscopic description of how a probability distribution evolves in time. It is connected to an Ito-Langevin stochastic di erential equation which describes how a particular trajectory evolves[9, 11]. The Ito-Langevin equation can be written in the general form

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = a(S;t) + b(S;t)$$
 (t): (8)

with a the drift coe cient and b the di usion coe cient. In the stochastic term (t)dt = dW (t) is the W iener process [9]. [ (t) is a delta-correlated (h (t) ( $t^0$ )i = (t  $t^0$ ), norm ally-distributed noise with unit variance (h (t)<sup>2</sup>i = 1) and zero mean (h (t)i = 0).]

Eq. (4) has a corresponding Ito-Langevin equation of the form Eq. (8) with

$$a(S;t) = F(S) = S; \quad b(S;t) = \int_{0}^{q} \frac{1}{DP(S;t)^{1q}};$$
 (9)

Here the Fokker-P lanck equation's driving term F(S) = S appears as a time-independent linear drift coe cient a. The di usion coe cient in our case is  $b = DP(S;t)^{1q}$ , which

exhibits explicitly at the level of them icroscopic stochastic process the statistical dependence of subsequent price changes on the macroscopic PDF P (S;t). That is, the memory e ect representing correlations in time enters here simply via the di usion coe cient. We argued in [4] that nonlinear Fokker-P lanck time evolution can be expected in any stochastic system in which memory e ects can be approximated in this simple manner as a probability-dependent di usion coe cient.

## II. DER IVATIVE PRICING FOR NON-EXTENSIVE STATISTICS

Now we turn to the question of how the Black-Scholes derivative pricing model is to be modiled when the underlying security has non-extensive statistics and nonlinear Fokker-Planck dynamics.

We can de ne one form of portfolio =  $G + S_{@S}^{@G}$  [8, 13, 14]. This is short one share of a derivative G and long @G = @S shares of the underlying security (stock, say) S. The change in the value of the portfolio in a time dt is

$$d = dG + dS \frac{@G}{@S}:$$
(10)

(The number of stock shares @G = @S is of course constant during dt.) The change dG during dt is given by Ito's form ula [9]. This results from Taylor expanding to storder in dt and to second in order in price change dS, and using dW (t)<sup>2</sup> = dt:

$$dG = \frac{\theta G}{\theta t} dt + \frac{\theta G}{\theta S} dS + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta^2 G}{\theta S^2} dS^2$$
$$= \frac{\theta G}{\theta t} + \frac{1}{2} b^2 (S;t) \frac{\theta^2 G}{\theta S^2} dt + \frac{\theta G}{\theta S} dS: \qquad (11)$$

Using Eq. (9), this becomes the stochastic dimension obeyed by the derivative G (S;t).

We seek a portfolio which instantaneously earns the same rate of return r as a short term risk-free security (assuming no arbitrage). Then

$$d = r dt = r G + S \frac{QG}{QS} dt:$$
(12)

Substituting Eq. (11) into Eq. (10) and equating the result to Eq. (12) gives

$$\frac{@G (S;t)}{@t} + rS \frac{@G (S;t)}{@S} + \frac{1}{2}b^{2} (S;t) \frac{@^{2}G (S;t)}{@S^{2}} = rG (S;t):$$
(13)

This pricing equation is analogous to the B lack-Scholes result [8], generalized for an arbitrary di usion term b(s;t) [14]. The explicit dependence on the market rate of return has been replaced by the risk-free rate r.

There is however a di culty hidden in Eq. (13). For our case the di usion term  $b(S;t) = \frac{p}{DP^{1q}}$  depends on the probability distribution function of the underlying stock. Hence Eq. (13) depends in plicitly on the market's rate of return . To show what di culty this entails, let us begin by review ing the Cox and Ross approach to solving Eq. (13).

First de ne a two-point function P  $(S;t_{5}^{0};t^{0})$  which obeys an equation very similar to Eq. (4),

$$\frac{\partial P (S;t \mathcal{B}^{0};t^{0})}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial S} [SP (S;t \mathcal{B}^{0};t^{0})] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial S^{2}} b^{2} (S;t) P (S;t \mathcal{B}^{0};t^{0})^{i};$$
(14)

but with a boundary condition P (S;t<sup>0</sup>;5<sup>0</sup>;t<sup>0</sup>) = (S S<sup>0</sup>). [Here we continue to use b =  $\frac{q}{DP(S;t)^{1/q}}$ .] The Cox-Ross solution is based on the fact that Eq. (14) is a forward C hapm an-K olm ogorov equation, and as such also has a corresponding backwards form [9, 14]:

$$\frac{@P (S;tB^{0};t^{0})}{@t^{0}} = S^{0}\frac{@P (S;tB^{0};t^{0})}{@S^{0}} - \frac{1}{2}b^{2}(S^{0};t^{0})\frac{@^{2}P (S;tB^{0};t^{0})}{@S^{02}}:$$
(15)

As an example, let us seek a solution of Eq. (13) for a European-style call option G (S;t) on a non-dividend-paying stock S. Following Cox and Ross, we try the form

$$G (S;t) = e^{r(T t)} G (S_T;T) \mathcal{P} (S_T;T_5;t) dS_T:$$
(16)

This involves the value of G at the maturity time T. For the European call option this is

$$G(S_T;T) = max(S_T X;0);$$
 (17)

where  $S_T$  is the term inal stock price and X the exercise price. (At maturity the value of the call option is worthless if the term inal stock price is less than the exercise price; otherwise the value is the price di erence.) Substituting Eq. (16) into Eq. (13), one nds that must solve

$$\frac{\underline{\theta}P(S_{T};T;S;t)}{\underline{\theta}t} = rS\frac{\underline{\theta}P(S_{T};T;S;t)}{\underline{\theta}S} - \frac{1}{2}b^{2}(S;t)\frac{\underline{\theta}^{2}P(S_{T};T;S;t)}{\underline{\theta}S^{2}}; \quad (18)$$

with boundary condition  $P'(S_T;T;T;T;T) = (S_T S)$ . This has the form of the backwards Chapman-Kolmogorov equation (15) but with replaced by the risk-free rate r. In all the cases considered by  $C ext{ ox and } R ext{ oss, the di usion term } \vec{b} ext{ was independent of the underlying stock's rate of return }. Then <math>\vec{P}$  was the probability distribution for a risk neutral world, and could readily be found.

Here however the di usion term  $\dot{B}$  depends in plicitly on the underlying stock's rate of return , and consequently Eq. (18) cannot be solved by assuming a risk neutral world. A ssum ing risk neutrality amounts to replacing by the risk-free rate r everywhere. Then Eq. (18) would be identical to Eq. (15) with replaced by r everywhere. This could be solved by a T sallis form. Unfortunately this replacement is not justiled, and we have to turn to an alternative approach.

In fact, we have found two variations on the Cox-Ross approach which perm it straightforward solutions of the valuation equation for securities with non-extensive statistics. We will present both.

The rst amounts to a change of variables. De neS = S(S;t) as some function of S;t. Then using Ito's form ula as in Eq. (11), we have

$$dS = a dt + \tilde{b} dW \tag{19}$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathcal{S};\mathsf{t}) = \frac{\mathfrak{G}\mathcal{S}}{\mathfrak{G}\mathsf{t}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}^2\frac{\mathfrak{G}^2\mathcal{S}}{\mathfrak{G}\mathcal{S}^2} + \mathbf{a}\frac{\mathfrak{G}\mathcal{S}}{\mathfrak{G}\mathcal{S}}; \qquad \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{S};\mathsf{t}) = \mathbf{b}\frac{\mathfrak{G}\mathcal{S}}{\mathfrak{G}\mathcal{S}}: \tag{20}$$

Options written on S can be evaluated for any  $\tilde{b}$  which is independent of the original security's market rate of return . Let us consider the simplest case, where  $\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}(t)$  is a function of t only. Then the second of Eqs. (20) can be solved for S:

$$S'(S;t) = \frac{B(t)}{p \frac{q}{D} Z^{\frac{q}{2}}} \frac{\sinh^{1} (q 1) (S \overline{S})^{i}}{q (q 1)};$$
 (21)

Here we have used Eqs. (9,2).

Now we consider an option G (S';t) and a portfolio =  $G + \frac{@G}{@S}S$ . An analysis exactly like that leading to Eq. (13) shows that follows the risk-free rate of return r if

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + rS\frac{\partial G}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}S^2\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial S^2} = rG: \qquad (22)$$

This by construction has no dependence on , and hence describes a risk-free universe as in C ox and R oss [14]. In practical applications, S can be viewed as a derivative of the original non-extensive security S, and G (S;t) is then an option involving S.

A very di erent route to valuing options for non-extensive securities com es from converting the market's Ito-Langevin equation into a coupled process with a constant di usion coe cient. This uses an idea developed for time nonhom ogeneous systems [9]. Consider the coupled process

$$d\hat{S} = [S(t) + b(S;t)y(t)]dt \quad \hat{a}dt \qquad (23)$$

dy = y(t)dt + dW(t); (24)

where is a constant. Notice that  $\hat{S}$  has no di usion term; this will perm it us to solve a two-variable B lack-Scholes-like equation (below). First, however, we need to relate the coupled process to the original security S.

Eq. (24) is form ally solved by

$$y(t) = \int_{1}^{2} e^{(t t^{0})} (t^{0}) dt^{0}:$$
 (25)

In the lim it ! 1 this becom es a stationary, -correlated G aussian process [9]. That is,

$$y(t) ! (t) as ! 1 :$$
 (26)

Consequently as ! 1 Eq. (23) becomes identical to our market Ito-Langevin equation Eq. (8)] and hence  $\hat{S}$  becomes the original security S.We can then analyze Eqs. (23,24) for nite and take ! 1 at the end.

Consider an option G ( $\hat{S}$ ; y; t). For nite, Eq. (23) has no di usion term. Consequently to nd dG we expand to rst order in t and  $\hat{S}$ , and second order in y. The result is

$$dG = \frac{@G}{@t} + a\frac{@G}{@s} + \frac{^2}{2}\frac{@^2G}{@y^2} dt + \frac{@G}{@y}dy:$$
(27)

Now construct a portfolio =  $G + \frac{@G}{@S}\hat{S} + \frac{@G}{@y}y$ . One readily nds that evolves at the risk-free rate r if

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + rS\frac{\partial G}{\partial S} + ry\frac{\partial G}{\partial y} + \frac{2}{2}\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial y^2} = rG: \qquad (28)$$

We see that again the market rate of return has dropped out. Thus we have obtained a two-variable form of the usual Black-Scholes equation. Solutions can be nd exactly as in C ox and R oss [14]:

$$G(\hat{S};y;t) = e^{r(T t)} G(S_T;y_T;T)\hat{P}(S_T;y_T;T)\hat{S};y;t) dS_T dy;$$
(29)

where  $\hat{P}$  solves the backwards equation

$$\frac{\hat{g}\hat{P}(\hat{S}_{T}; y_{T}; T; \hat{F}; y; t)}{\hat{g}t} = r\hat{S}\frac{\hat{g}\hat{P}}{\hat{g}\hat{S}} ry\frac{\hat{g}\hat{P}}{\hat{g}y} - \frac{2}{2}\frac{\hat{g}^{2}\hat{P}}{\hat{g}y^{2}};$$
(30)

Practical application of this method of valuation would require solving with a nite value of , but a value large enough so that y (t) is su ciently close to (t) for time scales considered.

We can make some connection between the two approaches described above by integrating out y. One can de ne

$$G(\hat{S};t) = {}^{Z} dy G(\hat{S};y;t) = {}^{Z} d\hat{S}_{T} G(\hat{S}_{T};T) \hat{P}(\hat{S}_{T};T)\hat{F};t);$$
(31)

where

$$\hat{P}(\hat{S}_{T};T;\hat{P};t) = \frac{1}{\frac{G}{2}(S_{T};T)}^{Z} dy dy_{T} G(\hat{S}_{T};y_{T};T)\hat{P}(\hat{S}_{T};y_{T};T;\hat{P};y;t)$$
(32)

$$G(\hat{S}_{T};T) = dy_{T} G(\hat{S}_{T};y_{T};T):$$
 (33)

Eq. (31) is formally equivalent to the solution of Eq. (22) in the Cox-Ross form Eq. (16), in the limit ! 1.

We have followed the lines of B lack-Scholes and C ox-R oss to develop an options pricing form ula for securities obeying non-extensive statistics and nonlinear Fokker-P lanck time evolution. We showed in [4] that the S&P 500 index is well described using this approach, and argued there that a description in term s of non-extensive statistics can be useful for any market in which the stylized facts of fat tails and anom alous di usion are pronounced. The pricing form ula obtained here would then be useful for options based on any such market. A san example we obtained pricing models for a European style call option on an underlying asset that pays out no dividends.

W e adknow ledge support from the NSF through grant DMR 99-72683.

- [1] C.Tsallis, J.Stat. Phys. 52, 479 (1989).
- [2] C.Tsallis and D.J.Bukman, Phys. Rev. E 54, 2197 (1996).
- [3] D.H.Zanette, Los A lam os archive cond-m at/9905064 (1999).
- [4] Fredrick M ichael and M D. Johnson, Los A lam os A rchive cond-m at/0108017.
- [5] R N. Mantegna and H E. Stanley, An Introduction to Econophysics; Correlations and Com plexity in Finance, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000).

- [6] R N.M antegna and H E.Stanley, Nature 383, 587 (1996).
- [7] R N. Mantegna, Physica A 179, 232 (1991).
- [8] F.Black and M J.Scholes, Journal of Political Economy 81, 637 (1973).
- [9] C W . G ardiner, H andbook of Stochastic M ethods, 2nd Ed., Springer, 1997.
- [10] A R. Plastino, A. Plastino, Physica A 222, 347 (1995).
- [11] L.Borland, Phys. Rev. E 57, 6634 (1998).
- [12] Q A.W ang, Los A lam os preprint cond-m at/0009343.
- [13] R  $\mathcal{L}$  . M erton The Journal of Finance 29, 449 (1974).
- [14] J.C. Cox and S.A. Ross, Journal of Financial Econom ics 3, 145 (1976).