Quasiparticle Therm al Conductivities in a Type-II Superconductor at H igh M agnetic Field

Sasa Dukan and T. Paul Powell

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Goucher College, Baltimore, MD 21204

Zlatko Tesanovic

Departm ent of Physics and Astronom y, Johns Hopkins University, Baltim ore, MD 21218

W e present a calculation of the quasiparticle contribution to the longitudinal them al conductivities $_{xx}$ (H;T) (perpendicular to the external eld) and $_{zz}$ (H;T) (parallel to the external eld) as well as the transverse (H all) them al conductivity $_{xy}$ (H;T) of an extrem e type-II superconductor in a high magnetic eld (H $_{c1}$ H < H $_{c2}$) and at low temperatures. In the lim it of frequency and temperature approaching zero (! 0, T! 0), both longitudinal and transverse conductivities upon entering the superconducting state undergo a reduction from their respective norm al state values by the factor (=)², which m easures the size of the region at the Ferm i surface containing gapless quasiparticle excitations. W e use our theory to num erically compute the longitudinal transport coe cient in borocarbide and A -15 superconductors. The agreem ent with recent experimental data on LuN $\frac{1}{2}B_2C$ is very good.

PACS num bers: 74.25Fy, 74.60Ec, 74.70Dd, 4.70Ad

I. IN TRODUCTION

The low-tem perature, high- eld region in the H-T phase diagram of an extrem e type-II superconductor is the regim e where the Landau level quantization of the electronic energies within the superconducting state is well de ned, i.e. the cyclotron energy $h!_c > , T$, where

(T;H) is the BCS gap, T is the tem perature and

(!) is the scattering rate due to disorder. This regime should be contrasted with the more fam iliar opposite lim it of low magnetic elds and high tem peratures where electrons occupy a huge number of Landau levels and where the tem perature and/or impurity scattering broaden these levels and reduce the signi cance of Landau quantization. W ith the Landau level structure fully accounted for one discovers a qualitatively new nature of quasiparticle excitations at high elds: for elds H below but near H _{c2} the quasiparticle spectrum is gapless at a discrete set of points on the Ferm i surface. These gapless excitations re ect a coherent quasiparticle propagation over m any unit cells of a closely packed vortex lattice with fully overlapping vortex cores^{1,2,3}. The presence of such low -lying excitations makes an s-wave, \conventional" superconductor in a high magnetic eld som ewhat sim ilar to an anisotropic, \unconventional" superconductor with nodes in the gap. In the low-tem perature and high-eld regime how ever, the nodes in the gap reect the center-o -m ass motion of the Cooper pairs in the magnetic eld, in contrast to d-wave superconducting cuprates where such nodes are due to the relative orbital motion. This gapless behavior in bulk systems is found to persist to surprisingly low magnetic elds Н 0:2 $0:5H_{c2}$. Below H the gaps start opening up in the quasiparticle spectrum and the system eventually reaches the low - eld regim e of localized states in the cores of isolated, well-separated vortices3. At the present

time the strongest evidence for the quantization of quasiparticle orbits within the superconducting state comes from observations of the de Haas-van Alphen (dHvA) oscillations in various superconducting materials⁴. The persistence of the dHvA signal deep within the mixed state, with the frequency of oscillations still maintaining the normal state value, can be attributed to the presence of a small portion of the Ferm i surface containing gapless quasiparticle excitations, surrounded by regions where the gap is large^{5,6}.

Another useful probe of low energy excitations in superconductors is measurem ent of their therm altran sport. The simultaneous measurements of the eld dependent longitudinal xx (H;T) and transverse xy (H;T) therm al conductivities are now feasible experimentally and can yield inform ation on both quasiparticle dynam ics and the pairing mechanism. The dependence of transport coe cients on magnetic eld is currently a hotly debated issue in the scientic community in light of the experimental observation of eld independent plateaus in the longitudinal them al conductivity of high tem perature superconductors (HTS) at low elds (H $_{c1}$ < H $H_{c2})^{7}$. The eld-independent xx is attributed to the $d_{x^2} v^2$ pairing mechanism at low elds and to the nodal structure of the resulting quasiparticle excitations^{8,9}. The presence of propagating gapless quasiparticles in the superconducting state at low temperatures and high magnetic elds should also lead to transport properties qualitatively different from those found in s-wave superconductors at low elds, where the num ber of therm ally activated quasiparticles is exponentially sm all and the only contribution to the therm al conduction is found along the eld direction and originates from the bound states within vortex cores. Recently, the therm alconductivity of the borocarbide superconductor LuN $i_2 B_2 C$ was measured down to T = 70 mK by Boaknin et al.¹⁰ in a magnetic eld perpendicular to the heat current from H = 0 to above H $_{c2}$ = 7 Tesla.

In the lim it of T ! 0, a considerable them al transport was observed in the mixed state of the superconductor $(H_{c1} < H_{H_{c2}})$, indicating the presence of delocalized low-energy excitations at the Ferm i surface. On the other hand, no therm al transport was observed at zero eld, a result consistent with the s-w ave superconducting gap without nodes at the Ferm i surface.

The purpose of this work is to exam ine the contribution of low -energy quasiparticles to the therm altransport of conventional, i.e. extrem e type-II superconductors in the regime of high magnetic elds and low temperatures. The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we develop the K ubo form alism for the transport coe cients within the Landau level pairing mechanism while in Sec. III we incorporate disorder in the G reen's function description of a three-dimensional superconductor in a high magnetic

ekd. We use the form alism of Sec. II and III in Sec. IV to exam ine both longitudinal $_{xx}$ (H;T) and $_{zz}$ (H;T) as well as transverse $_{xy}$ (H;T) conductivities in the regime of the freqency ! 0 and the tem perature T ! 0. Finally, in Sec. V we report on num erical calculations of the therm al transport in the borocarbide LuN $\frac{1}{2}B_2C$ and the A-15 superconductor V₃Si and com pare our theoretical plots with the available experimental data.

II. KUBO FORMALISM IN THE LANDAU LEVEL PAIR ING SCHEME

Therm al conductivities can be calculated within the fram ework of the K ubo form alism as a linear response of a system to an external perturbation¹¹

$$\frac{ij(iT)}{T} = \frac{1}{T^2} = \frac{1}{T^2} = \frac{1}{T^2}$$
(1)

where ret_{ij} () = ret_{ij} (i ! + i) and

$$Z_{ij}(i) = d^{3}x_{1}d^{3}x_{2} ij(1;2;i);$$

$$Z_{ij}$$

$$i_{j}(1;2;i) = d e^{i} < T j_{i}(x_{1};) j_{j}(x_{2};0) > (2)$$

is the spatially averaged, nite temperature thermal current-current correlation function tensor and $1=k_B T$. In order to derive the heat current operators j(1) and j(2) at the space-time point $1 = (x_1;)$ and $2 = (x_2; 0)$ we follow the standard s-wave derivation in zero eld^{12} and generalize it to our case of a non-uniform

gap at high elds. A similar approach was recently utilized in Ref. 9 for a d-wave superconductor in zero eld. The heat current carried by the quasiparticles can be computed within the standard variational procedure as

$$j = \frac{\partial L}{\partial (r)} - + \frac{y}{\partial (r)} \frac{\partial L}{\partial (r)}$$
(3)

from the Lagrangian density

$$L = \frac{1}{2m}r \, \overset{y}{=} \, r + \frac{e}{2m} \frac{(y}{r} \, r \, y) \quad A \\ + \frac{e^2}{2m} \frac{1}{c^2} \overset{y}{\neq} \overset{f}{=} \frac{1}{2i} \frac{(y - y)}{2i} \\ \frac{1}{2g} \frac{y}{y} \frac{y}{z} \quad (4)$$

where all the energies are measured with respect to the chemical potential and — @ =@t. The Nambu's twocomponent eld operators (r) are written in a compact notation for the sake of brevity. In extreme type-II superconductors, as soon as the magnetic eld H_{c1} (T) the vector potential A satis es H A (r) can be safely assumed to be entirely due to the external eld H = rA. This holds over most of the H T phase diagram. We have used a simple BCS-model point interaction V (r1 \mathfrak{F}) = g (\mathfrak{F} \mathfrak{F}) in expression (4). To lowest order in the concentration of impurities, the electron-in purity interaction can be om itted in com puting the heat current. The e ect of disorder will be included later in the G reen's functions for a superconductor. The variational procedure (3) yields

$$j(1) = \frac{1}{2m} \frac{@}{@_{1}} (r^{0} \frac{eA^{0}}{ci})$$
$$+ \frac{@}{@_{1}^{0}} (r + \frac{eA}{ci}) \xrightarrow{y} (1^{0}) (1) j_{0=1}$$
(5)

for the heat current operator. With this de nition it is straightforward to calculate the correlation tensor $_{ij}$ (1;2;i) within the usual Hartree-Fock approximation (i.e., bare bubble approximation) de ned by

< T _i(1) _k(2)
$$^{y}_{1}(2^{0}) ^{y}_{j}(1^{0}) > ! G_{i1}(1;2^{0})G_{kj}(2;1^{0})$$
 (6)

where G_{k1} is the N am bu's matrix G reen's function. Inserting (5) into (2) and with the help of (6) the currentcurrent correlator becomes

$$i_{j}(1;2;) = \frac{1}{4m^{2}} X \qquad i_{1}(+)(r_{1^{0}} - \frac{eA(1^{0})}{ci}) + i_{1}(r_{1} + \frac{eA(1)}{ci})$$

$$i_{1}(+)(r_{2} + \frac{eA(2)}{ci}) \quad i_{1}(r_{2^{0}} - \frac{eA(2^{0})}{ci}) Tr[_{3}G(1;2^{0}; +)_{3}G(2;1^{0};)]_{1! = 1^{0};2! = 2^{0}}$$
(7)

where $_3$ is a Paulim atrix, $= 2 \text{ m} = \text{ are bosonic M at-subara frequencies and } 1 \text{ x}_1$.

It was shown in Ref. 1 that the mean-eld H am iltonian corresponding to the Lagrangian density (4) can be diagonalized in terms of the basis functions of the M agnetic Sublattice Representation (MSR), characterized by the quasi-m om entum q perpendicular to the direction of the m agnetic eld. The eigenfunctions of this representation in the Landau gauge A = H (y;0;0) and belonging to the m-th Landau level, are

$$s = \frac{1}{\sum_{k_{z} \neq q,m}} (r) = \frac{1}{p - \frac{1}{2^{n} n!}} \frac{b_{y}}{L_{x} L_{y} L_{z}} \exp(ik_{z})^{X} \exp(i\frac{b_{x}}{2a}k^{2} - ikqb_{y})$$

$$exp [i(q_{x} + \frac{k}{a})x - 1=2(y=1+q_{z}1 + \frac{k}{a})t^{2}]H_{m} (\frac{y}{1} + (q_{x} + \frac{k}{a})1); \qquad (8)$$

where is the spatial coordinate and k_z is the momentum along the eld direction, a = (a;0) and $b = (b_x;b_y)$ are the unit vectors of the triangular vortex lattice, $l = \frac{bhe \text{ unit}}{hc=eH}$ is the magnetic length and $L_x L_y L_z$ is the volume of the system. H_m (x) is the Herm ite polynomial of order m. Quasimom enta q are restricted to the rst M agnetic Brillouin Zone (MBZ) spanned by vectors $Q_1 = (b_y=l^2; b_z=l^2)$ and $Q_2 = (0;2a=l^2)$.

Norm al and anom alous G reen's functions for a clean superconductor in this representation can be constructed as

$$G_{11}(1;2;!) = \sum_{\substack{n;k_{z};q \\ n;k_{z};q}}^{X} (1) \sum_{\substack{n;k_{z};q \\ n;k_{z};q}} (2)G_{n}(k_{z};q;!)$$

$$G_{21}(1;2;!) = \sum_{\substack{n;k_{z};q \\ n;k_{z};q}}^{n;k_{z};q} (1) \sum_{\substack{n;k_{z};q \\ n;k_{z};q}} (2)F_{n}(k_{z};q;!) (9)$$

where ! = (2m + 1) = are the electron M atsubara frequencies. Sim ilar expressions can be written for the remaining two Nambu matrix elements. In writing (9) we have taken into account only diagonal (in Landau level index n) contributions to the Green's functions. This is a good approximation in high magnetic elds where 1 and the number of occupied Landau levels =h! c n_c is not too large, which is the case for the extreme type-II systems under consideration. In this situation we are justied in using the diagonal approximation^{1,3}, in which the BCS pairs are formed by electrons belonging to mutually degenerate Landau levels located at the Ferm i surface while the contribution from Landau levels separated by h! c or m ore is included in the renorm alization of the elective coupling constant (g ! g(H;T))¹³. As long as the magnetic eld is larger than som e critical eld H (T) the o -diagonal pairing does not change the qualitative behavior of the superconductor in a magnetic eld. The critical eld H at T 0 can be estimated from the dH vA experiments to be $0:5H_{c2}$ for A -15 and

 $0.2H_{c2}$ for borocarbide superconductors⁴.

W hen Nambu matrix (9) is inserted in Eq. 7 and the space average in (2) is performed, the longitudinal $_{xx}$ (i) = $_{yy}$ (i) and transverse (Hall) $_{xy}$ (i) = yx (i) current-current correlation functions become

$$ij (i) = \frac{1}{4m^{2}l^{2}} X X (+2!)^{2} \frac{n+1}{2}$$

$$Tr[_{3}G_{n}(k_{z};q;i+i!)_{3}G_{n+1}(k_{z};q;i!)_{3}G_{n+1}(k_{z};q;i!)] (10)$$

where the + sign corresponds to $_{xx}$ (i), the sign corresponds to i $_{xy}$ (i) and ! = (2m + 1) = are electronic M atsubara frequencies. On the other hand the longitudinal (parallel to the external magnetic eld) current-current correlation function $_{zz}$ (i) becomes

$$\sum_{zz} (i) = \frac{1}{4m^{2}} \sum_{\substack{n,k_{z} \neq q \\ n,k_{z} \neq q}}^{X \times X} k_{z}^{2} (+2!)^{2}$$

Tr[_{3G_{n}}(k_{z};q;i+i!)_{3G_{n}}(k_{z};q;i!)]: (11)

In order to perform the sum mation over the M atsubara frequencies !, we introduce a spectral representation for the N am bu matrix G_n (k_z;q;!) as

$$G_{n}(k_{z};q;!) = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} d!_{1} \frac{A_{n}(k_{z};q;!_{1})}{i! \cdot !_{1}}$$
(12)

where the spectral function matrix A_n (k_z ; q; !) is dened as

$$A_n (k_z;q;!) = - \operatorname{Im} G_n^{\operatorname{ret}} (k_z;q;!):$$
 (13)

W hen the spectral representation of the G reen's functions (12) is used back in (10) and (11) respectively, we obtain

$$i_{j}(i) = \frac{1}{4m^{2}l^{2}} \sum_{\substack{n,k_{z};q \\ n,k_{z};q}}^{X} \frac{d!_{1}}{d!_{1}} \frac{d!_{2}}{n!_{2}} \frac{n+1}{2}$$

$$Tr[_{3}A_{n}(k_{z};q;!_{1}) _{3}A_{n+1}(k_{z};q;!_{2})]$$

$$_{3}A_{n+1}(k_{z};q;!_{1}) _{3}A_{n}(k_{z};q;!_{2})] S \quad (14)$$

and

$$z_{zz} (i) = \frac{1}{4m^{2}} \sum_{\substack{n,k_{z};q \\ n,k_{z};q}}^{X} k_{z}^{Z} d!_{1} d!_{2}$$

$$Tr[_{3}A_{n} (k_{z};q;!_{1}) _{3}A_{n} (k_{z};q;!_{2})] S (15)$$

where S contains M atsubara sum s i.e.

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \left((+2!)^2 \frac{1}{(i+i!)(i!)(i!)(i!)} \right)$$
(16)

The sum can be evaluated in the standard way by picking up the contributions from each of the poles of the sum m and¹¹. A fler the analytic continuation i ! + i we obtain the retarded function S_{ret}

$$S_{\text{ret}} = \frac{(2!_2 +)^2 n_F (!_2)}{!_2 !_1 + + i} (17)$$

where n_F (!) is the Ferm i function.

In order to obtain the imaginary part of $_{ij}()$ we need to nd an imaginary part of S_{ret} when calculating the longitudinal conductivity $_{xx}(;T)$ and $_{zz}(;T)$. On the other hand, since S_{ret} enters the expression for i $_{xy}()$ in (14) we need to nd the real part of S_{ret} . U sing the identity

$$\frac{1}{x+i} = P \frac{1}{x} \quad i \quad (x)$$
 (18)

and taking the in aginary part of (16), we nd that the diagonal conductivities become

$$\frac{xx}{T} = \frac{yy}{T} = \frac{X}{4m^{2}l^{2}} \frac{X}{n} \frac{X}{k_{z};q} \frac{Z}{1} d! \frac{(2!+)^{2}}{T^{2}} \frac{n_{F}(!)}{n_{F}(!+)} \frac{n_{F}(!+)}{T} \frac{n_{F}(!+)}{T^{2}} \frac{n_{F}(!+)}$$

and

$$\frac{zz}{T} = \frac{X}{4m^2} \sum_{\substack{n,k_z;q \\ n_j,k_z;q}}^{X} k_z^2 \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l}}^{Z} d! \frac{(2!+l)^2}{T^2} \frac{n_F(l) n_F(l+l)}{T} Tr[_{3}A_n(k_z;q;l+l) _{3}A_n(k_z;q;l)]$$
(20)

Sim ilarly, taking the real part of (16) with the help of (18) yields for the o -diagonal conductivity:

$$\frac{xy}{T} = \frac{yx}{T} = \frac{1}{4m^2 l^2} X X^{\frac{Z}+1} d! \frac{(2!+)^2}{T^2} \frac{n_F(!) n_F(!+)}{T^2}$$

$$\frac{n+1}{2} Tr[_{3}B_{n+1}(k_z;q;!+) _{3}A_n(k_z;q;!) _{3}B_n(k_z;q;!+) _{3}A_{n+1}(k_z;q;!)]$$
(21)

(22)

where the function $B_n(k_z;q;! +)$ is de ned as

 $B_{n}(k_{z};q;!+) = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{+1} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{bmatrix} d!_{1} \frac{A_{n}(k_{z};q;!_{1})}{!_{1}+}:$

III. GREEN'S FUNCTIONS IN THE PRESENCE OF DISORDER

Before further discussing expressions (19), (20) and (21) we should go back to the question of spectral functions or, alternatively, G reen's functions for the superconductor in a magnetic eld. The G reen's function for the clean superconductor can be easily found following Ref. 14 with their \Fourier transforms" in the quasimomentum space expressed in the Nambu formalism as

$$G_{n} = \frac{1}{(i!)^{2}} \frac{i! + {}_{n}(k_{z})}{E_{n}(k_{z};q)} \frac{i! + {}_{n}(k_{z})}{{}_{nn}(q)} \frac{i!}{i!} \frac{}{{}_{n}(k_{z})}$$
(23)

where

$$E_{n,p}(k_{z};q) = ph!_{c} \frac{q}{\frac{2}{n}(k_{z}) + j_{n+p;n-p}(q)^{2}}{\frac{2}{n}(k_{z}) + j_{n+p;n-p}(q)^{2}}$$

$$n(k_{z}) = \frac{h^{2}k_{z}^{2}}{2m} + h!_{c}(n+1=2)$$
(24)

is the quasiparticle excitation spectrum of the superconductor in high magnetic eld near points k_z = $\ k_{F\,n}$ =

2m ($h!_{c}(n + 1=2))=h^{2}$ calculated within the diagonal approximation^{1,3}, where $=h!_{c}$ 1. For the quasiparticles near the Fermi surface $(k_{z} = k_{Fn})$ it success to consider only the $E_{n,p=0}$ bands. The gap, $_{nn}(q)$, which in the MSR representation can be written as

$$\lim_{nm} (q) = \frac{p}{2} \frac{(1)^{n}}{2^{n+m} p n m!} \sum_{k}^{X} \exp(i \frac{b_{x}}{a} k^{2})$$
$$\exp(2ikq_{y}b_{y} - (q + \frac{k}{a})^{2} l^{2})H_{n+m} \left[\frac{p}{2}(q_{x} + \frac{k}{a})l\right]; (25)$$

turns to zero on the Ferm i surface at the set of points in the MBZ with a strong linear dispersion in q. The excitations from other, $p \in 0$ in (24), bands are gapped by at least a cyclotron energy and their contribution to the quasiparticle transport can be neglected at low tem peratures (T (T;H) h! c). Once the o -diagonal pairing in (9) is included, the excitation spectrum cannot be written in the simple form (24) and a closed analytic expression for the superconducting G reen's function cannot be found. Nevertheless, when these o -diagonal term s are treated pertubatively as in R ef. 3 the qualitative behavior of the quasiparticle excitations, characterized by the nodes in the MBZ, remains the same. This statem ent is correct in all orders of the perturbation theory and therefore is exact as long as the pertubative expansion itself is well de ned i.e. as long as H > H (T). Once the magnetic eld is low ered below H , gaps start opening up at the Ferm i surface signalling the crossover to the low - eld regim e of quasiparticle states localized in the cores of widely separated vortices¹⁵.

In a dirty but hom ogenous superconductor with the coherence length much longer than the elective distance $_{\rm im \, p}$ over which the impurity potential changes ($=_{\rm im \, p}$ >> 1), the superconducting order parameter is not a ected by the impurities and still forms a perfect vortex lattice. For such a system, the bare G reen's function in (9) is dressed via scattering through the diagonal (norm al) self-energy $^{\rm N}$ (i!) and o -diagonal (anom alous) self-energy $^{\rm A}_{\rm nn}$ (q; i!) 14 A dressed G reen's function is obtained by replacing ! with $\frac{1}{2}$ and $_{\rm nn}$ (q) with $^{\sim}_{\rm nn}$ (q) in (9) where

In order to calculate the spectral functions in (19) the analytical continuation should be performed so that $G_{ret}(k_z;q;!) = G(k_z;q;i! ! ! + i)$ where $\sum_{ret}^{N, rA}(!) = \sum_{ret}^{N, rA}(i! ! ! + i)$ with the impurity scattering rate in the superconducting state de ned as $(!) = \lim_{ret}^{N} \sum_{ret}^{(!)} (!)$. It was shown by the authors in Ref. 14 that the anom alous self energy does not qualitatively change the form of the gap function nn(q) at low energies and therefore $\sum_{nn}^{A}(q;!)$ will be neglected in further calculations. At the same time, the real part of the normal self energy N(!) can be either neglected or absorbed into $n(k_z)$.

IV. THERMAL CONDUCTIVITIES IN T ! 0 LIM IT

W e are interested in calculating therm alconductivities in (19) and (21) in the limit of ! 0 and small T such that T (!). In the limit of ! 0 the di erence of Ferm i functions in (19) becomes

$$\frac{n_{\rm F} (! +) n_{\rm F} (!)}{!} ! \frac{@n_{\rm F}}{@!} :$$
 (27)

This function is sharply peaked around ! = 0 at very low tem peratures so that we are justilled in expanding the integrand in (19) and (21) around ! = 0 up to second order in ! and setting the scattering rate to a constant = (! = 0). In the high-eld superconductors the largest contribution to the therm al conductivity com es from the quasiparticle excitations at the Ferm isurface with momenta q such that (q) max(T;) while the excitations gapped by large (q) give exponentially sm all contributions. Therefore, in order to simplify the integration over the MBZ and summation over the Landau level index in (19) and (21) we linearize the excitation spectrum (24) around nodes at the Ferm i surface⁵. This enables us to obtain approxim ate but analytic expresions for therm altransport coe cients which capture the qualitative behavior near H c2. K eeping this in m ind and with the help of the Sommerfeld expansion¹⁶ the longitudinal conductivity x_x (H;T) = y_y (H;T) and z_z (H;T) at low tem peratures becom e

$$\frac{xx (H;T)}{\sum_{xx}^{N} (H;T)} = (\frac{4}{2} \quad 1) \quad - \quad \frac{2}{2} + \frac{7^{2}}{5} (1 \quad \frac{3}{2}) \quad \frac{k_{\rm B} T}{2} \quad \frac{2}{(28)}$$

and

$$\frac{zz (H;T)}{\sum_{xx}^{N} (H = 0;T)} = -\frac{2}{1} + \frac{7^{2}}{15} \frac{k_{B}T}{2} : (29)$$

 $_{\rm xx}^{\rm N}$ (H ;T) is the thermal conductivity of the normal metal in a magnetic eld^{17}

$$\sum_{xx}^{N} (H;T) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{n_{e}}{2m} \frac{4^{2}}{(h!_{c})^{2} + 4^{2}} T$$
(30)

where $n_e = \frac{1}{2 l^2} P_n k_{Fn}$ is electronic density in the system. On the other hand the transverse conductivity xy (H;T) = yx (H;T) becomes

$$\frac{xy(H;T)}{\sum_{xy(H;T)}^{N}(H;T)} = (\frac{4}{2} \quad 1) \quad - \quad \frac{2}{2} + \frac{7^{2}}{5}(1 \quad \frac{3}{2}) \quad \frac{k_{\rm B}T}{2} \quad \frac{2}{3}$$

where \sum_{xy}^{N} (H;T) is the o -diagonal therm alconductivity of the norm alm etal in magnetic eld¹⁷

$$\sum_{xy}^{N} (H;T) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{n_{e}}{m!_{c}} \frac{(h!_{c})^{2}}{(h!_{c})^{2} + 4^{2}} T: \quad (32)$$

Relations (28), (29) and (31) obtained when =1 within the \linearized spectrum aproximation" tell us that there is still a considerable therm altransport in the m ixed state of the superconductor. This is in stark contrast to the exponential suppression of transport characteristic of an s-wave superconductor in zero eld. Furtherm ore, relations (28), (29) and (31) indicate that when passing from the norm alto the superconducting state, both longitudinal and transverse transport coe cients =T are reduced from their respective norm alstate values by the factor $(=)^2$ (the term linear in $(T=)^2$ is negligible at low tem paratures even for very clean superconductors). The factor $(=)^2$ m easures the fraction of the Ferm i surface G containing gapless quasiparticle excitations at T = 0. The size of G is determined by both the total number of nodes in the excitation spectrum (24) and the areas in di erent branches where the BCS gap is very small but not necessarily zero. This result, obtained here for the therm alcoe cients, is consistent with the behavior of som e other superconducting observables that m easure the presence of low -energy excitations at the Ferm i surface. One such experim entally con med behavior is the reduction of the de Haas-van Alphen (dHvA) oscillation's amplitude in both A-15 and borocarbide superconductors when the sample becomes superconducting⁴. The drop in the overall am plitude in passing from the norm alto the superconducting state reects the presence of a sm all portion of the Ferm i surface

G containing coherent gap less excitations while the rest is gapped by large .5

V. COMPARISON W ITH EXPERIMENT

Recently, the longitudinal them al conductivity of the borocarbide superconductor LuN i_2B_2C was measured down to T = 70 mK by Boaknin et al.¹⁰ in a magnetic eld from H = 0 to above H_{c2} = 7 Tesla. In the lim it of T ! 0, a considerable them al transport is observed in the mixed state of the superconductor (H_{c1} < H H_{c2}), indicating the presence of delocalized low energy excitations at the Ferm i surface. The authors argue that this observation is strong evidence for a highly anisotropic gap function in LuN i_2B_2C , possibly with nodes. On the other hand, no sizable them al conductivity was observed in zero eld, the result expected for a superconducting gap without nodes.

The Boaknin et al. result is consistent with the observation of dHvA oscillations down to elds H $H_{c2} = 5$ in YNi₂B₂C, a close cousin of LuNi₂B₂C as well as in V₃Si where the oscillations persist down to elds $H_{c2}=2.^4$ It was shown by us that the drop in Η the dHvA amplitude observed in these experiments can be atributed to the quantization of quasiparticle orbits within the superconducting state which results in the form ation of nodes in the gap⁵. This quantum regime behavior in elds H < H < H $_{\rm c2}$ is due to the centero -m ass motion of the Cooper pairs, in contrast to the d-wave or an isotropic s-wave where nodes in the gap are due to the relative orbital motion. Therefore it makes sense to compare the theory developed in this paper with the experimental data in Ref. 10. A quick check tells us that the expression (28), where (H) = (H) < 1, does not hold through the entire range of elds used in the experim ent. Therefore, we num erically com pute the longitudinal therm al conductivity directly from Eq. 19, without using any additional aproximations, for both the borocarbide superconductor LuN i2B2C as well as for the A-15 superconductor V₃Si.

In the limit of ! 0 and T ! 0 the expression (19) yields

$$\frac{1}{T} = \frac{2}{12m} \frac{X^{R_{c}}}{h!_{c}} (n+1)^{X}_{k_{z};q} \frac{1}{E^{2}_{n;p=0}(k_{z};q) + 2} \frac{1}{E^{2}_{n+1;p=0}(k_{z};q) + 2}$$
(33)

where the number of Landau levels involved in superconducting pairing $n_c = E_F = h!_c$ varies as a function of magnetic eld. In the borocarbide superconductor LuN $\frac{1}{2}B_2C$ n_c can be estimated as n_c 33 at H_{c2} and n_c 1147 at eld of H = 0.2 Tesla (these numbers were obtained using an e ective mass of $0.35m_{e}$ and Ferm i velocity $v_{F} = 2.76 \quad 10^{7}$ cm/s as reported in Ref. 18). On the other hand, the number of occupied Landau levels in the A-15 superconductor V₃Si is much larger: $n_{c} = 241$ at $H_{c2} = 18.5$ Tesla and $n_{c} = 4470$ at H = 1 Tesla (we used an e ective mass of 1:7m $_{\rm e}$ and Ferm i velocity $v_{\rm F}$ = 2.8 10^7 cm/s from Ref. 19 in this estimate). The scattering rate = (! = 0) in (33) is, in general, modi ed relative to the normal state scattering rate $_0$ when the system becomes superconducting. Indeed, the self-consistent calculation of in Ref. 14 gives (H) = $_0$ (H)=2. We assume (H) = $_1$ H =H_{c2} which is a good approximation for the range of elds used in the experiment.

The dashed line in Fig. 1 shows the magnetic eld dependence of the quasiparticle therm al conductivity =T for the borocarbide superconductor LuN i2B2C in the lim it of T ! 0 obtained by the num erical evaluation of (33), where values for the BCS gap and norm al state inverse scattering rate $_0$ are taken from Ref. 10. The full circles in Fig. 1 are the experimental data of Boaknin et al.¹⁰ for the sam e superconductor. The full line in Fig. 1 shows the theoretical plot obtained by numerical evaluation of (33) for the A-15 superconductor V_3 Siwhere values for and $_0$ are taken from Ref. 19. There is a signi cant di erence in the behavior of =T in these two superconducting systems characterized by much smaller therm altransport in V₃Siwhen com pared to the transport in LuN i2B2C in the same range of m agnetic elds. This observation indicates that the number of gapless or near gapless excitations at the Ferm i surface in the V₃Siisvery smallat H H_{c2} . In order to understand this di erence, one has to notice that in m agnetic $elds H < H = 0.5H_{c2}$ the num ber of occupied Landau levels in V_3 Sisystem is huge (4500) and that V_3 Si is away from the regime of coherent gapless excitations of high elds. On the other hand, the number of occupied Landau levels in LuN i_2B_2C is much smaller (1000) and it seems that there are still many gapless excitations left at low elds. It is suprising though that signi cant therm altransport exists down to H $0.015H_{c2}$, a eld much smaller than the critical eld H = $0.2H_{c2}$ for this system, where most of the quasiparticle spectrum should be gapped. Note, however, that a possible source for such a signi cant transport at low elds might be the highly anisotropic s-wave gap function in LuN $\frac{1}{2}B_2C$, as suggested in R ef. 10. If there is such an isotropy along one orm ore directions on the Ferm i surface, the range of validity of our theory may be extended to elds low er than the sim ple estim ate for H \cdot .²⁰ In this regard, we alert the reader that at the lowest elds in Fig. 1 (H $0:04H_{c2})$ our theory is stretched to its very lim its and its quantitative accuracy dim inishes.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we develop expressions for the longitudinal and transverse quasiparticle therm al conductivities for an extrem e type-II superconductor in a magnetic eld. We utilize the Landau level form alism of superconducting pairing in a magnetic eld to obtain, within the K ubo mechanism of linear response to an external perturba-



FIG.1: M agnetic eld dependence of the quasiparticle longitudinal therm al conductivity com puted from Equation (33) for LuN $\frac{1}{2}B_2C$ (dashed line) and V₃Si (full line). Full circles represent experimental data of Boaknin et al¹⁰ The vertical dotted line indicates the norm al-superconducting transition at H_{c2} = 7 Tesla for LuN $\frac{1}{2}B_2C$. The upper critical eld for V₃Si at H_{c2} = 18:5 Tesla is not shown. For LuN $\frac{1}{2}B_2C$ we have used experimentally determined values for = 4:4 m eV and $_0$ = 0:5 from Ref. 10 as well as the elective m ass m = 0:35m e from Ref. 18. For V₃Si, = 2:6 m eV, $_0$ = 0:61 m eV and m = 1:7m e were taken from Ref. 19.

tion, them alcurrents perpendicular and parallel to the external magnetic eld. From there, current-current correlation functions are introduced within the M atsubara nite tem perature mechanism in order to derive closed expressions for therm al conductivities _____i (;T). W e exam ine the transport coe cients ij=T in the lim it of ! 0 and T ! 0 and nd that there is considerable therm altransport in the mixed state of a superconductor with an s-wave symmetry due to the creation of gapless exitations in the magnetic eld. This is in contrast to the zero eld therm al transport which is exponentially sm all for an s-w ave superconductor with no nodes in the gap. Furtherm ore, when passing from the norm alto the superconducting state the therm alcoe cients _____i=T becom e reduced with respect to their norm al state values $(=)^2$ which measures the fraction of by a factor the Ferm i surface that contains coherent gapless or near gapless excitations in a magnetic eld. In this respect, therm al conductivities behave sim ilarly to the dH vA oscillations in which the amplitude is also reduced at the superconducting transition. F inally, we num erically com pute the longitudinal therm al conductivity for two realistic superconducting system s, the borocarbide LuN i_2B_2C and the A-15 superconductor V₃Si. The therm altransport in LuN i₂B₂C is much larger in magnitude than the therm altransport of V_3 Siat the same eld. This result indicates that the borocarbide LuN i2B2C m ight still be in the regime of delocalized quasiparticle states even at elds much lower than the critical eld H $0:2H_{C2}$

(estim ated from the dHvA experim ents^{4,20}). The agreement of our theoretical plot with the experim ental data for LuN $\frac{1}{2}B_2C$ taken by Boaknin et al.¹⁰ over a wide range of elds used in the experiment is suprisingly good.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank E.Boaknin and L. Taillefer for sharing their know ledge of the subject with

Electronic address: dukan@m onk.goucher.edu

- ¹ S.Dukan, A.V.Andreev and Z.Tesanovic, Physica C 183, 355 (1991); S.Dukan and Z.Tesanovic, Phys.Rev.B 49, 13017 (1994).
- ² H.Akera, A.H.M acD onald, S.M.G irvin and M.R.N orm an, Phys.Rev.Lett. 67, 2375 (1991); A.H.M acD onald, H.Akera and M.R.Norman, Phys.Rev.B 45, 10147 (1992); M.R.Norman, H.Akera and A.H.M acD onald, Physica C 196, 43 (1992); J.C.R yan and A.Rajagopal, Phys.Rev.B 47, 8843 (1993).
- ³ Z.Tesanovic and P.Sacram ento, Phys.Rev.Lett.80, 1521 (1998).
- ⁴ For a review of the dHvA m easurements in A-15 superconductors see T.J.B.M. Janssen and M. Springford in \The Superconducting State in M agnetic Fields: Special Topics and New Trends", Edited by Carlos A.R.Sa de Melo, Series on Directions in Condensed M atter Physics, 13, 175, W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1998 and the references therein; and in boro-carbides: G.Goll et al., Phys. Rev.B 53, 8871 (1996).For a review of the dHvA theory see S.Dukan and Z. Tesanovic in \The Superconducting State in M agnetic Fields: SpecialTopics and New Trends", Edited by Carlos A.R.Sa de Melo, Series on Directions in Condensed M atter Physics, 13, 197, W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1998.
- ⁵ S. Dukan and Z. Tesanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2311 (1995).
- ⁶ For discussion of the dH vA signal as H crosses H _{c2} see T. M aniv, A.Y.Rom, I.D.Vagner and P.W yder, Solid State Commun.101, 621 (1997); V.N.Zhuravlev, T.M aniv, I. D.Vagner and P.W yder, Phys. Rev. B 56, 14 693 (1997).
- ⁷ K.Krishana et al., Science 277, 83 (1997); H.Aubin et al.,

us. This work is supported by a grant from the Re-

search Corporation (SD and TPP) and by the NSF G rant

Science 280, 9a (1998).

DMROO-94981 (ZT).

- ⁸ S. H. Sim on and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1548 (1997); M. Franz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1760 (1999); C. Kubert and P. J. Hirschfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4963 (1998).
- ⁹ A.C.Durst and P.A.Lee, Phys.Rev.B 62, 1270 (2000).
- ¹⁰ E.Boaknin et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 237001 (2001).
- ¹¹ G.D.Mahan, Many-Particle Physics (Plenum Press, New York, 1990).
- ¹² V. Ambegaokar and L. Tewordt, Phys. Rev. 134, A 805 (1964); V. Ambegaokar and A. Grin, Phys. Rev. 137, A 1151 (1965).
- $^{\rm 13}$ S.D ukan and O.Vafek, Physica C 309, 295, (1998).
- ¹⁴ S.Dukan and Z.Tesanovic, Phys.Rev.B 56,838 (1997).
- ¹⁵ M.R.Nomman, A.H.MacDonald and H.Akera, Phys. Rev.B 51, 5927 (1995).
- ¹⁶ N.W. A shcroft and N.D.M erm in, Solid State Physics (Brooks/Cole Publishing, 1976).
- ¹⁷ A.A.Abrikosov, Fundam entals of the Theory of M etals (North-Holland, 1988).
- ¹⁸ S.V.Shulga et al., Phys. Rev. Lett 80, 1730 (1998).
- ¹⁹ T.J.B.M.Janssen et al, Phys.Rev.B 57, 11 698 (1998).
- ²⁰ In a clean s-wave superconductor $x = H = H_{c2}$ can be estimated as a solution of the equation $x^3 = \frac{2}{\frac{4}{E_F(h!_{c2})^3}} (1 x)^2$ and yields H 0.2 0.5H_{c2} for the systems in question (see R ef. 4). This estimate depends on the value of the s-wave gap function and can be much smaller than 0.2 0.5H_{c2} if the value of minimum gap in a strongly anisotropic s-wave case is signilated by a strong the accepted BCS value.